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Report Summary

- This report describes the results of a visitor study at Yosemite National Park during February 2-10, 2008. A total of 938 questionnaires were distributed to visitor groups. Of those, 563 questionnaires were returned, resulting in a 60% response rate.

- This report profiles a systematic random sample of Yosemite National Park. Most results are presented in graphs and frequency tables. Summaries of visitor comments are included in the report and complete comments are included in the Visitor Comments Appendix.

- Fifty percent of visitor groups were in groups of two and 25% were in groups of three or four. Sixty percent of visitor groups were in family groups.

- United States visitors comprised 91% of total visitors, with 89% from California, and smaller proportions from 32 other states. International visitors were from 24 countries and comprised 9% of total visitation, with 9% each from Germany, Korea, Taiwan, United Kingdom. Smaller proportions came from 20 other countries.

- Fifty-nine percent of visitors were ages 31-65 years, 8% were over 65 years, and 17% were ages 15 years or younger. Five percent of visitor groups reported physical conditions that made it difficult to access or participate in park activities or services.

- Sixteen percent of visitors were of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity. Ten percent were Asian and 3% were American Indian or Alaska Native. Among the visitor groups of Asian ethnicity, the most common backgrounds were Chinese (39%), Japanese (21%) and Korean (18%).

- Twenty-six percent of visitors had visited the park once in their lifetime, and 55% had visited four or more times. For 53% of visitors, this was their first visit in the past 12 months, while 20% had visited twice in the past 12 months.

- Thirty percent of visitors made the decision to visit the park between 8 and 30 days before their visit, while 27% made the decision two to seven days prior to their visit.

- For 67% of visitors, interest in seeing Yosemite scenery in wintertime was the most common factor affecting their decision to visit the park.

- Prior to this visit, visitor groups most often obtained information about Yosemite National Park through previous visits (74%) and the Yosemite NP website (52%). Most visitor groups (93%) did obtain information about the park prior to their visit. Sixty-eight percent indicated they would prefer to obtain information for a future visit from the park website.

- The most common visitor activities were viewing scenery/taking a scenic drive (84%), taking photographs/painting/drawing (73%), and day hiking (35%).

- Of those visitors who stayed overnight in the park or in the area (65%), forty percent stayed two nights. Among the park’s day visitors, 50% spent six or more hours. The average time spent in the park (including hours and days), was 1.3 days.

- The average group expenditure in the park and the surrounding area (within 50 miles of any entrance point) was $579, with a median (50% spent more and 50% spent less) of $315. The average total expenditure per person was $194.

- Most visitor groups (85%) rated the overall quality of services, facilities, and recreational opportunities at Yosemite National Park as “very good” or “good.” Less than 2% of visitor groups rated the overall quality as “very poor” or “poor.”
the University of Idaho at (208) 885-7863 or the following website http://www.psu.uidaho.edu.
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INTRODUCTION

Yosemite National Park, one of the first wilderness parks in the United States, is best known for its waterfalls, but within its nearly 1,200 square miles, you can find deep valleys, grand meadows, ancient giant sequoias, a vast wilderness area, and much more. (Yosemite National Park, National Park Service, Department of the Interior website http://www.nps.gov/yose August, 2008)

This report describes the results of a visitor study at Yosemite National Park, conducted February 2 – 10, 2008 by the National Park Service (NPS) Visitor Services Project (VSP), part of the Park Studies Unit (PSU) at the University of Idaho.

Organization of the report

The report is organized into three sections.

Section 1: Methods. This section discusses the procedures, limitations, and special conditions that may affect the results of the study.

Section 2: Results. This section provides summary information for each question in the questionnaire and includes a summary of visitor comments. The presentation of the results of this study does not follow the same order of questions in the questionnaire.

Section 3: Appendices


Appendix 2: The Questionnaire. A copy of the questionnaire distributed to groups.

Appendix 3: Additional Analysis. A list of options for cross-references and cross comparisons. These comparisons can be analyzed within park or between parks. Results of additional analyses are not included in this report as they may only be requested after the results of this study have been published.

Appendix 4: Decision rules for checking non-response bias. An explanation of how the non-response bias was determined.

Appendix 5: Visitor Services Project Publications. A complete list of publications by the PSU. Copies of these reports can be obtained by contacting the PSU office at (208) 885-7863 visiting the website: http://www.psu.uidaho.edu/vsp/reports.htm.

Visitor Comments Appendix: A separate appendix provides visitor responses to open-ended questions. It is bound separately from this report due to its size.
Presentation of the results

Results are represented in the form of graphs (see example below), scatter plots, pie charts, tables, or text.

SAMPLE ONLY

1: The figure title describes the graph’s information.
2: Listed above the graph, the “N” shows the number of individuals or visitor groups responding to the question. If “N” is less than 30, “CAUTION!” is shown on the graph to indicate the results may be unreliable.
   * appears when total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding.
   ** appears when total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer choice.
3: Vertical information describes the response categories.
4: Horizontal information shows the number or proportions of responses in each category.
5: In most graphs, percentages provide additional information.

Figure 14: Number of visits to park in past 12 months
METHODS

Survey Design

Sample size and sampling plan

All VSP questionnaires follow design principles outlined in Don A. Dillman's book *Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method* (2007). Using this methodology, the sample size was calculated based on the park visitation statistics of previous years.

Brief interviews were conducted with a systematic, random sample of visitor groups that arrived at selected locations in Yosemite National Park during February 2 – 10, 2008. During this survey, 1,006 visitor groups were contacted and 938 of these groups (93.2%) accepted questionnaires (average acceptance rate for 183 VSP visitor studies is 90.9%). The distributed questionnaires included 15 questionnaires in Spanish, of which six were returned. Table 1 shows the three locations and numbers of questionnaires distributed at each location. Visitors were surveyed between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. Questionnaires were completed and returned by 563 visitor groups resulting in a 60% response rate for this study. The average response rate for the 183 VSP visitor studies conducted from 1988 through 2007 was 74.9%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling site</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Percent of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arch Rock</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Flat</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Entrance</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>938</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Questionnaire distribution

N=number of questionnaires distributed

Questionnaire design

The Yosemite National Park questionnaire was developed at a workshop held with park staff to design and prioritize the questions. Some of the questions were comparable with VSP studies conducted at other parks while others were customized for Yosemite National Park. Many questions asked visitors to choose answers from a list of responses, often with an open-ended option, while others were completely open-ended.

No pilot study was conducted to test the Yosemite National Park questionnaire. However, all questions followed OMB guidelines and/or were used in previous surveys. Thus, the clarity and consistency of the survey instrument have been tested and supported.

Survey procedure

Visitor groups were greeted, briefly introduced to the purpose of the study, and asked to participate. If visitors agreed, they were asked which member (at least 16 years of age) had the next birthday. The individual with the next birthday was selected to complete the questionnaire for the group. An interview,
lasting approximately two minutes, was conducted with that person to determine group size, group type, and the age of the member completing the questionnaire. These individuals were asked for their names, addresses, and telephone numbers or email addresses in order to mail them a reminder/thank you postcard and follow-ups. Visitors were asked to complete the survey after their visit, and return the questionnaire by mail. The questionnaires were pre-addressed and affixed with a U.S. first class postage stamp.

Two weeks following the survey, a reminder/thank you postcard was mailed to all participants. Replacement questionnaires were mailed to participants who had not returned their questionnaires four weeks after the survey. Seven weeks after the survey, a second round of replacement questionnaires was mailed to visitors who had not returned their questionnaires.

**Data Analysis**

Returned questionnaires were coded and the information was entered into a computer using custom and standard statistical software applications—Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), and a custom designed FileMaker Pro application. Descriptive statistics and cross-tabulations were calculated for the coded data and responses to open-ended questions were categorized and summarized. The data were entered twice—by two independent data entry staff—and validated by a third staff member.

**Limitations**

Like all surveys, this study has limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results.

1. This was a self-administered survey. Respondents completed the questionnaire after the visit, which may have resulted in poor recall. Thus, it is not possible to know whether visitor responses reflected actual behavior.

2. The data reflect visitor use patterns to the selected sites during the study period of February 2 – 10, 2008. The results present a ‘snapshot-in-time’ and do not necessarily apply to visitors during other times of the year.

3. Caution is advised when interpreting any data with a sample size of less than 30, as the results may be unreliable. Whenever the sample size is less than 30, the word "CAUTION!" is included in the graph, figure, table, or text.

4. Occasionally, there may be inconsistencies in the results. Inconsistencies arise from missing data or incorrect answers (due to misunderstood directions, carelessness, or poor recall of information). Therefore, refer to both the percentage and N (number of individuals or visitor groups) when interpreting the results.

**Special Conditions**

The weather during the survey period was variable, generally partly cloudy with freezing temperatures. A significant snowfall occurred on February 3, causing temporary road closures in the park.
Checking Non-response Bias

The two variables used to check non-response bias were group size and age of the group member who actually completed the questionnaire.

As shown in Table 2, there are statistically significant differences between respondent and non-respondent ages. Respondents also tended to travel with a larger group than nonrespondents. See Appendix 4 for more details of the non-response bias checking procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Non-respondent</th>
<th>p-value (t-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N  Average</td>
<td>N  Average</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group size</td>
<td>555 4.2</td>
<td>370 3.1</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>549 47.6</td>
<td>369 36.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-response bias was detected in this study. Respondents tended to be of older age and traveled with larger groups. Thus, visitor demographic information needs to be interpreted with caution. However, this does not necessary translate into differences in behaviors and perceptions.
RESULTS

Demographics

Visitor group size

Question 18
On this visit, how many people were in your personal group, including yourself?

Results
- 50% of visitors were in groups of two (see Figure 1).
- 33% were in groups of four or more.

Figure 1: Visitor group size

Visitor group type

Question 17
On this visit, what kind of personal group (not guided tour/school/other organized group) were you with?

Results
- 60% of visitor groups were made up of family members (see Figure 2).
- 20% were with friends.
- “Other” groups (1%) included:
  - Club
  - Mountain Area Ski School

Figure 2: Visitor group type

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Visitors with organized groups

Question 16a
On this visit, were you and your personal group part of a commercial guided tour group?

Results
- 3% of visitor groups were part of a commercial guided tour group (see Figure 3).

![Figure 3: Visitors with a commercial guided tour group](image)

Question 16b
On this visit, were you and your personal group part of a school/educational group (school, etc.)?

Results
- 3% of visitor groups were part of a school/educational group, etc. (see Figure 4).

![Figure 4: Visitors with a school/educational group](image)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Question 16c
On this visit, were you and your personal group part of a wedding/reunion group?

Results
- 3% of visitor groups were part of a wedding/reunion group (see Figure 5).

Figure 5: Visitors with a wedding/reunion group

Question 16d
On this visit, were you and your personal group part of an other organized group such as business group, scout group, etc.?

Results
- 4% of visitor groups were traveling with an other organized group (see Figure 6).

Figure 6: Visitors traveling with an other organized group
Visitor age

Question 19a
For you and your personal group on this visit, what is your current age?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- Visitor ages ranged from 1 to 91 years.
- 59% of visitors were in the 31-65 years age group (see Figure 7).
- 17% were 15 years or younger.
- 8% were 66 or older.

Figure 7: Visitor age

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
United States visitors by state of residence

Question 19b
For you and your personal group on this visit, what is your state of residence?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- U.S. visitors were from 33 states and comprised 91% of total visitation to the park during the survey period.
- 89% of U.S. visitors came from California (see Table 3 and Map 1).
- Smaller proportions of U.S. visitors came from 24 other states.

Table 3: United States visitors by state of residence*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of visitors</th>
<th>Percent of U.S. visitors N=1,299 individuals</th>
<th>Percent of total visitors N=1,430 individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 other states</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Map 1: Proportions of United States visitors by state of residence

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
International visitors by country of residence

Question 19b
For you and your personal group on this visit, what is your country of residence?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results

- International visitors were from 24 countries and comprised 9% of total visitation to the park during the survey period (see Table 4).

- International visitors came from Germany, Korea, Taiwan, and United Kingdom (9% each).

- Smaller portions came from 20 other countries.

Table 4: International visitors by country of residence *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of visitors</th>
<th>Percent of international visitors N=131 individuals</th>
<th>Percent of total visitors N=1,430 individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Number of visits to the park

Question 19c
For you and your personal group on this visit, how many times have you visited Yosemite National Park in the past 12 months (including this visit)?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- 53% of visitors visited the park once in the past 12 months (see Figure 8).
- 26% visited three or more times.

Figure 8: Number of visits to park in the past 12 months

Question 19d
For you and your personal group on this visit, how many times have you visited Yosemite National Park in your lifetime (including this visit)?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- 55% of visitors visited the park four or more times in their lifetime (see Figure 9).
- 26% visited the park once in their lifetime.

Figure 9: Number of visits to park in lifetime

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Visitor ethnicity

Question 21a
Are you or members of your group Hispanic or Latino?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- 16% of visitors were of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity (see Figure 10).

**Figure 10: Visitors of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity**

Question 21c
Are you or members of your group Middle Eastern/Arab ancestry/descent?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- 2% of visitors were of Middle Eastern/Arab ancestry/descent (see Figure 11).

**Figure 11: Visitors of Middle Eastern/Arab ancestry/descent**

Visitor race

Question 21b
What is your race? What is the race of each member of your personal group?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- 88% of visitors were White (see Figure 12).
- 10% were Asian.

**Figure 12: Visitor race**

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Asian ethnic groups

Question 22
If you or your personal group members are of Asian race, which of the following categories best describe your race?

Results
- Among visitors who were of Asian race, 39% were of Chinese ethnicity (see Figure 13).
- 21% were Japanese.

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Visitors with physical conditions/impairments

Question 20a
Does anyone in your personal group have a physical condition that made it difficult to access or participate in park activities or services?

Results
- 5% of visitor groups had members with physical conditions that made it difficult to participate in park activities/access services (see Figure 14).

![Figure 14: Visitors with physical conditions](image)

Question 20b
If YES, what services or activities were difficult to access/participate in? (open-ended)

Results
- Services or activities in which visitors had difficulty accessing or participating in included:
  - Anything near smokers, and room fresheners/scents
  - Bus access
  - Cross country skiing
  - Getting to and from lodge over snow banks
  - Handicap parking was difficult due to snow
  - Hiking
  - Icy trails
  - Loft Restaurant was not accessible by disabled persons
  - Sledding
  - Trail walking
  - Walking

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Language used for speaking and reading

Question 12a
When visiting an area such as Yosemite National Park, what one language do you and most members of your personal group prefer to use for speaking?

Results
• 93% visitor groups reported English as their preferred language used for speaking (see Figure 15).

Bilingual language groups (1%) included:
- Spanish/English
- German/English
- Japanese/English

Results
• 94% visitor groups preferred English for reading (see Figure 16).

Bilingual language groups (1%) included:
- Spanish/English
- German/English
- Japanese/English

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Preferred services to be provided in other languages than English

Question 12b
What services in the park would you like to have provided in languages other than English? (open-ended)

Results
- Services that visitors would like to have provided in languages other than English included:
  - All services
  - Brochures
  - Directional signs
  - Emergency/safety information
  - Food service/stores
  - Guided tours
  - Guides
  - Information at ranger stations
  - Informational signs
  - No-smoking signage
  - No cell phone use signage
  - Parking
  - Restrooms
  - Road conditions
  - Tour information
  - Video about park and nature
  - Visitor center information
  - Weather conditions

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Trip/Visit Characteristics and Preferences

Information sources prior to visit

Question 1a
Prior to this visit, how did you and your personal group obtain information to plan your visit to Yosemite National Park?

Results
- 93% of visitor groups obtained information about Yosemite National Park prior to their visit (see Figure 17).
- As shown in Figure 18, among those visitor groups who obtained information about Yosemite National Park prior to their visit, the most common sources were:
  - 74% Previous visits
  - 52% Park website
  - 41% Friends/relatives/word of mouth
- “Other” sources (4%) included:
  - Class information
  - Information at Sunset
  - Information from hotel
  - Library books
  - Local resident
  - M.A.S.S. Ski Program
  - Phone call to Badger Ski area
  - Snowshoeing books
  - Tourist information
  - Visitor bureau

Figure 17: Visitor groups who obtained information about Yosemite National Park prior to visit

Figure 18: Sources of information used by visitors prior to visit

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Question 1c
From the sources you used prior to this visit, did you and your personal group receive the type of information about the park that you needed?

Results
- 91% of visitor groups received needed information prior to their visit (see Figure 19).

Figure 19: Visitors who received needed information prior to their visit

Question 1d
If NO, what type of park information did you and your personal group need that was not available? (open-ended)

Results
- Additional information that visitor groups needed included:
  - Chain control information
  - Condition of hiking trails to Mariposa Trails
  - Cross country skiing
  - Different routes in/out
  - Hotel prices
  - If there was a rope tow for tubing at Badger Pass
  - Information on Badger Pass ski area
  - Information on Wawona Inn
  - Lodging availability
  - Maps/brochures
  - Ranger programs
  - Road conditions
  - Shuttle maps
  - Snow conditions
  - Snowmobiling information
  - Snowshoe rental information
  - Snowshoe trail information
  - Specific self-guided hikes/snowshoe walks
  - Specifics on winter activities
  - Tour information
  - Tour information on the website
  - Traffic
  - Weather conditions
  - Where to get wilderness permits
  - Which trailheads were closed

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Information sources for future visit

Question 1b
If you were to visit Yosemite National Park in the future, how would you and your personal group prefer to obtain information about Yosemite National Park?

Results
- As shown in Figure 20, the most common sources of information preferred for a future visit were:
  - 68% Park website
  - 52% Previous visits
  - 36% Maps/brochures
- “Other” sources of information (2%) included:
  - Hiking/snowshoeing books
  - Information from rangers
  - Local library
  - Road conditions radio station
  - Weather forecast

![Figure 20: Sources of information preferred for a future visit](chart)

Timing of decision to visit the park

Question 2a
When did you and your group make the decision to visit Yosemite National Park?

Results
- 30% of visitor groups made the decision to visit Yosemite National Park 8-30 days before this visit (see Figure 21).
- 27% of visitor groups made the decision 2-7 days before the visit.

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Factors affecting decision to visit the park

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Question 2b
Which factors affected you and your group’s decision to visit Yosemite National Park on the day that you visited?

Results
- As shown in Figure 22, the most common factors included
  - 67% Interest in seeing Yosemite scenery in wintertime
  - 52% Snow and favorable weather conditions for winter activities
  - 46% Expecting fewer crowds in the park

- “Other” factors (13%) included:
  - Ability to drive in the park
  - Anniversary
  - Annual trip
  - Attend a wedding
  - Attend meeting in park
  - Availability of lodging
  - Birthday celebration
  - Business/work trip
  - Cross-country skiing
  - Educational trip
  - Favorite family outing
  - Fit in with other holiday plans
  - Hot buttered rum
  - Ice rink
  - Interest in buying annual pass
  - Local residents
  - Park’s proximity
  - Photography
  - Preparing for longer visit in March
  - Proximity to other destinations
  - On organized tour
  - Skiing Badger Pass
  - Special winter rates at hotel
  - “Stay and play” incentives
  - Test new Subaru in winter conditions
  - Timing
  - Visit family
  - Visit private property
  - Wedding planning
  - World class rock climbing
  - Yosemite Institute availability
  - Yosemite is a great place to visit

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Primary reason for visiting the area

Question 5
For this trip, what was the primary reason that you and your personal group visited Yosemite National Park area (within 50 miles of any entrance point)?

Results
- 10% of visitor groups were residents of the area (see Figure 23).
- Figure 24 shows the primary reason for visiting Yosemite National Park area (within 50 miles of any entrance point) among visitor groups who were not residents included:
  - 80% Visit Yosemite National Park
  - 3% Visit other attractions in the area
  - 3% Visit friends/relatives in the area
- “Other” reasons (10%) included:
  - Annual visit
  - Attend a symposium
  - Attend a wedding
  - Bringing friends/relatives
  - Celebrate anniversary
  - Could not get Saturday night in park
  - Cross country skiing
  - Have a meal
  - Home-schooling family members
  - Hot buttered rum
  - Malidoma’s visit
  - Meeting attendance
  - Obtain information for future visits
  - Play in snow
  - Skiing/snowboarding
  - Snow and mountains
  - Special offers at lodging
  - Stay at Tenaya Lodge, Fish Camp
  - Taking photographs
  - Uncle ran the hospital 1950-1970
  - Visit Badger Pass Ski Area
  - Wedding planning
  - Work at our cabin
  - YMCA Adventure Guides winter trip
  - Yosemite Institute program

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Length of visit in the park

Question 3a
On this visit to Yosemite National Park, how long did you and your personal group spend visiting the park?

Results
- The average length of stay for all visitor groups (including hours and days) was 1.3 days.

Number of hours if less than 24
- 50% of visitor groups spent six or more hours (see Figure 25).
- 29% spent between four and five hours.

Number of days if 24 hours or more
- 73% of visitor groups spent two or three days (see Figure 26).
- 31% spent three days.

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Length of stay in the area

Question 3b
On this visit to Yosemite National Park, how long did you and your personal group stay in the area (within 50 miles of any entrance point)?

Note: Number of days stayed was only reported for visitors who were not residents of the area.

Results
- The average length of stay for all visitor groups (including hours and days) was 1.9 days.

Number of hours if less than 24
- 44% of visitor groups spent six or more hours (see Figure 27).
- 24% spent four to five hours.

Number of days if 24 hours or more
- Of the visitors who stayed 24 hours or more in the area, 72% spent two or three days (see Figure 28).
- 21% stayed four or more days.

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Activities on this visit

Question 9a
On this visit, what activities did you and your personal group participate in while visiting Yosemite National Park?

Results

- As shown in Figure 29, the most common activities visitor groups participated in were:

  - 84% Viewing scenery/taking scenic drive
  - 73% Taking photographs, painting, drawing
  - 35% Day hiking

- “Other” activities (20%) included:

  - Attending a meeting (symposium)
  - Attending a wedding
  - Building snowmen
  - Business
  - Celebrating anniversary
  - Education
  - Enjoying cocktails at Yosemite Lodge
  - Enjoying hot buttered rum
  - Having a meal
  - Instructor-led interpretive hikes
  - Lounging
  - Napping
  - Obtaining skiing information
  - Playing in the snow
  - Purchasing annual pass
  - Relaxing in cabin
  - Rock climbing
  - Shoveling snow to get our car out
  - Sledding
  - Snowboarding
  - Touring by car
  - Tubing
  - Two hour bus tour
  - Visiting a museum
  - Visiting Ahwahnee Hotel
  - Visiting our private property
  - Visiting visitor center
  - Walking
  - Wandering aimlessly
  - Yosemite Institute educational field trip

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
**Most important activity**

**Question 9b**
Which one of the above activities was the primary activity that you and your personal group participated in while visiting Yosemite National Park?

**Results**
- As shown in Figure 30, visitor groups’ most important activities included:
  - 36% Viewing scenery/taking scenic drive
  - 18% Skiing
  - 12% Day hiking
  - 12% Taking photographs/painting/drawing

- “Other” activities (11%) included:
  - Attending a meeting (symposium)
  - Attending a wedding
  - Building snowmen
  - Business
  - Education
  - Enjoying hot buttered rum
  - Having a meal
  - Having a meal at Ahwahnee Hotel
  - Playing in the snow
  - Purchasing annual pass
  - Relaxing at Ahwahnee Hotel
  - Rock climbing
  - Shoveling snow to get our car out
  - Sledding
  - Snowboarding
  - Touring by car
  - Visit Ahwahnee Hotel
  - Walking
  - Wandering aimlessly

**Figure 30: Most important activity**

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer*
Sites visited

Question 11
On this visit, which of the following sites in Yosemite National Park did you and your personal group visit?

Results
• As shown in Figure 31, the most commonly visited sites by visitor groups at Yosemite National Park included:
  - 59% Yosemite Falls
  - 55% Yosemite Valley Visitor Center
  - 43% Bridalveil Fall
• The least visited sites were:
  - <1% Tuolumne Meadow
  - <1% High Sierra

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer

Figure 31: Sites visited
“Other” sites that were visited (19%) included:

- Ahwahnee
- Ahwahnee Hotel
- Ansel Adams Gallery
- Arch Rock
- Base of Glacier Point
- Camp Curry
- Campgrounds in Pines
- Chapel
- Clouds Rest
- Columbia Point
- Cook's Meadow
- Cookie Cliff
- Crane Flat
- Curry Village
- Dewey Point
- El Capitan
- El Capitan Meadows
- Forests and lake
- Gallery near visitor center
- Granite monolith
- Habitat Yosemite
- Happy Isles
- Ice skating rink
- Inspiration Point
- Meadows
- Old Church Bowl
- Ostrander Hut
- Royal Arches
- Snow park by 120 Big Oak Flat entrance
- Sentinel Bridge
- Store
- Swinging Bridge
- The Redwoods in Yosemite
- Tunnel View
- Valley Floor
- Views of El Capitan and Half Dome from Hwy. 41
- Wawona
- Wawona golf course
- Wawona Hotel
- Wawona Meadow
- Wawona Tunnel Overlook
- Wilderness ski trails
- Yosemite Chapel
- Yosemite Lodge
- Yosemite Valley
- Yosemite Village

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Overnight accommodations

Question 6a
On this trip, did you and your personal group stay overnight away from home in Yosemite National Park or in the area within 50 miles of any entrance point?

Results
- 65% of visitor groups stayed overnight away from home in the area (see Figure 32).

![Figure 32: Visitor groups who stayed overnight in the area](image)

Question 6b
If YES, please list the number of nights you and your personal group stayed inside Yosemite National Park.

Results

Number of nights inside the park
- 40% of visitor groups stayed two nights (see Figure 33).
- 34% stayed three or more nights.

![Figure 33: Number of nights inside the park](image)

Number of nights in the area within 50 miles of any entrance point
- 44% of visitor groups stayed two nights in the area (see Figure 34).
- 32% stayed one night.

![Figure 34: Number of nights in the area outside the park](image)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
**Type of lodging inside and outside the park**

**Question 6c**

In what type of lodging did you and your personal group spend the night(s) inside the park?

**Results**

- 89% of visitor group stayed in a lodge, motel, cabin, rented condo, or bed & breakfast (see Figure 35).
- 4% tent camped in developed campground.
- “Other” types of lodging (2%) included:
  - Crane Flat
  - Hostel
  - Ostrander hut

![Figure 35: Type of lodging inside the park](image)

**Question 6d**

In what type of lodging did you and your personal group spend the night(s) in the area outside the park (within 50 miles of any entrance point)?

**Results**

- 82% of visitor groups stayed in a lodge, motel, cabin, rented condo, or bed & breakfast (see Figure 36).
- 10% stayed with friends or relatives
- “Other” types of lodging (3%) included:
  - Our resort
  - Snow cave
  - Time share

![Figure 36: Type of lodging in the area outside the park](image)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Places stayed before visit

Question 10a
On this trip, where did you and your personal group stay on the night prior to visiting Yosemite National Park?

Results
- Table 5 shows the towns/cities in which visitor groups stayed before visiting Yosemite National Park.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town/city</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oakhurst, CA</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno, CA</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa, CA</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groveland, CA</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose, CA</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish Camp, CA</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Portal, CA</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarsegold, CA</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento, CA</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced, CA</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bass Lake, CA</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modesto, CA</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clovis, CA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonora, CA</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livermore, CA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madera, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Rosa, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visalia, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angels Camp, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turlock, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atwater, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheys Valley, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas, NV</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midpines, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain View, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Fork, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakdale, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
### Table 5: Town/city in which visitor groups stayed before visit (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town/city</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orinda, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twain Harte, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahwahnee, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambria, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmel Valley, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmichael, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dublin, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Oaks, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilroy, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayward, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollister, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensington, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Grange, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodi, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Gatos, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacifica, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinole, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pismo Beach, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinas, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Leandro, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Obispo, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Maria, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnyvale, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tehachapi, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracy, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other towns/cities, CA</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other towns/cities, NV</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other towns/cities, OR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other towns/cities, AK</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other towns/cities, BC, Canada</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other towns/cities, IL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other towns/cities, MO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other towns/cities, TX</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Places stayed after visit

**Question 10b**

On this trip, where did you and your personal group stay on the night after visiting Yosemite National Park?

**Results**

- Table 6 shows the towns/cities in which visitor groups stayed after visiting Yosemite National Park.

### Table 6: Town/city in which visitor groups stayed after visit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town/city</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakhurst, CA</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno, CA</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose, CA</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa, CA</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groveland, CA</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modesto, CA</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarsegold, CA</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bass Lake, CA</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish Camp, CA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clovis, CA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento, CA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced, CA</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Rosa, CA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont, CA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livermore, CA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonora, CA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madera, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visalia, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Portal, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Maria, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atwater, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheys Valley, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain View, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Fork, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakdale, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracy, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turlock, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twain Harte, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer*
### Table 6: Town/city in which visitor groups stayed after visit (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town/city</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahwahnee, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antioch, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptos, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auburn, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambria, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmel Valley, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmichael, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dos Palos, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dublin, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Segundo, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Oaks, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilroy, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayward, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollister, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensington, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Grange, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodi, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Banos, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newhall, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Alto, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinole, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reno, NV</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinas, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Leandro, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Obispo, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Monica, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Lake Tahoe, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnyvale, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torrance, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cities/towns, CA</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cities/towns NV</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cities/towns, OR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cities/towns, AK</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cities/towns, AZ</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cities/towns, MO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cities/towns, WA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Entry point

Question 4a
On this visit, which entrance point did you and your group use to first enter Yosemite National Park?

Results
- 40% of visitor groups first entered the park via the South Entrance (see Figure 37).
- 30% used the Big Oak Flat Entrance.
- 29% used Arch Rock Entrance.

Exit point

Question 4b
On this visit, which exit point did you and your group use to last exit Yosemite National Park?

Results
- 41% of visitor groups last exited the park via the South Entrance (see Figure 38).
- 33% used the Arch Rock Entrance.
Number of park entries

Question 4c
During your stay in the area (within 50 miles of any entrance point), how many times did you and your personal group enter Yosemite National Park?

Results
- 74% of visitor groups entered the park once (see Figure 39).
- 18% entered the park twice.

Figure 39: Number of park entries
Forms of transportation

Question 8a
On this visit, what forms of transportation did you and your group use to travel between your overnight accommodation or home and Yosemite National Park?

Results
- 86% of visitor groups used private vehicles (see Figure 40).
- 10% used rental vehicle.
- “Other” forms of transportation (1%) included:
  - Airplane
  - Yosemite bus transit shuttle

Number of vehicles

Question 8b
On this visit, how many vehicles did you and your personal group use to arrive at the park (not including park shuttle)?

Results
- 90% of visitor groups used one vehicle to enter the park (see Figure 41).

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Opinions about entrance fees

Question 7a
On this visit, which one of the following entrance fees applied to you and your group?

Results
- 42% of visitor groups paid $20 per car (see Figure 42).
- 36% used annual passes.

![Type of entrance fee paid](image)

Figure 42: Type of entrance fee paid

Question 7b
On this visit, how would you and your personal group rate the value you received for the entrance fee paid or pass used to enter Yosemite National Park?

Results
- 83% of visitor groups rated value for entrance fee paid as “very good” or “good” (see Figure 43).
- 3% rated the quality as “poor” or “very poor.”

![Value for fee paid](image)

Figure 43: Value for fee paid

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Ratings of Visitor Services, Facilities, Elements, Attributes and Resources

Visitor services and facilities used

Question 13a
Please indicate all of the visitor services and facilities that you and your personal group used during this visit to Yosemite National Park.

Results
- As shown in Figure 44, the most commonly used services and facilities included:
  - 90% Roads
  - 88% Directional signs (in park)
  - 82% Restrooms
- The least used service/facility was:
  - 5% Campgrounds

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Importance ratings of visitor services and facilities

Question 13b
Next, for only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their importance from 1 to 5.

1=Not important
2=Somewhat important
3=Moderately important
4=Very important
5=Extremely important

Results
• Figure 45 shows the combined proportions of “extremely important” and “very important” ratings for visitor services and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

• The services/facilities receiving the highest combined proportions of “extremely important” and “very important” ratings were:

  96% Roads
  90% In-park lodging
  89% Trails (other than Badger Pass trails)

• Figures 46 to 60 show the importance ratings for each service/facility.

• The services/facilities receiving the highest “not important” rating that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups were:

  9% Items purchase in gift shops
  (other than visitor center bookstore)
  8% Picnic areas

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Figure 46: Importance of directional signs (in park)

Figure 47: Importance of directional signs (outside park)

Figure 48: Importance of roads

Figure 49: Importance of Badger Pass ski trails

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Figure 50: Importance of trails (other than Badger Pass)

Figure 51: Importance of restrooms

Figure 52: Importance of campgrounds

Figure 53: Importance of picnic areas

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Figure 54: Importance of trash collection

Figure 55: Importance of recycling

Figure 56: Importance of parking

Figure 57: Importance of in-park lodging

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Figure 58: Importance of in-park restaurants

Figure 59: Importance of items purchased in gift shops (other than visitor center bookstore)

Figure 60: Importance of access for disabled persons

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding  
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Quality ratings of visitor services and facilities

Question 13c
Finally, for only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their quality from 1-5.

1=Very poor
2=Poor
3=Average
4=Good
5=Very good

Results
- Figure 61 shows the combined proportions of “very good” and “good” quality ratings for visitor services/facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

- The services/facilities that received the highest combined proportions of “very good” and “good” quality ratings were:
  - 79% Trash collection
  - 76% Recycling
  - 76% Trails (other than Badger Pass trails)

- Figures 62 to 76 show the quality ratings for each service/facility.

- The services/facilities receiving the highest “very poor” quality ratings that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups were:
  - 3% Badger Pass ski trails
  - 3% Parking

Figure 61: Combined proportions of “very good” and “good” quality ratings of visitor services/facilities

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Figure 62: Quality of directional signs (in park)

Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=455 visitor groups</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 63: Quality of directional signs (outside park)

Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=318 visitor groups</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 64: Quality of roads

Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=468 visitor groups</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 65: Quality of Badger Pass ski trails

Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=120 visitor groups*</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Yosemite National Park – VSP Visitor Study
February 2–10, 2008

**Figure 66: Quality of trails (other than Badger Pass)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>0-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>20-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>50-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>100-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>150-200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=177 visitor groups

**Figure 67: Quality of restrooms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>0-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>20-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>50-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>100-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>150-200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=427 visitor groups

**Figure 68: Quality of campgrounds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>30-60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=22 visitor groups*

**Figure 69: Quality of picnic areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>2-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>30-60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=45 visitor groups

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Yosemite National Park – VSP Visitor Study
February 2–10, 2008

Figure 70: Quality of trash collection

Figure 71: Quality of recycling

Figure 72: Quality of parking

Figure 73: Quality of in-park lodging

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Figure 74: Quality of in-park restaurants

Figure 75: Quality of items purchased in gift shops (other than visitor center bookstore)

Figure 76: Quality of access for disabled persons

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Mean scores of importance and quality ratings for visitor services and facilities

- Figures 77 and 78 show the mean scores of importance and quality ratings for all visitor services/facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

- All visitor services/facilities were rated above average except for “items purchased in gift shop” which was rated average in importance.

Figure 77: Mean scores of importance and quality ratings for visitor services/facilities

Figure 78: Detail of Figure 77

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Information services and facilities used

Question 14a
Please indicate all information services and facilities that you or your personal group used during this visit to Yosemite National Park.

Results
- As shown in Figure 79, the most commonly used information services and facilities included:
  - 80% Park brochure/map
  - 62% Yosemite Guide (booklet distributed at park entrance)
  - 45% Assistance from park staff

- The least used services/facilities were:
  - 1% Ranger-led campground program
  - 1% Junior Ranger program

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer

Figure 79: Information services and facilities used
Importance ratings of information services and facilities

Question 14b
Next, for only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their importance from 1-5.

1=Not important
2=Somewhat important
3=Moderately important
4=Very important
5=Extremely important

Results
- Figure 80 shows the combined proportions of “extremely important” and “very important” ratings for visitor services and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.
- The information services and facilities receiving the highest combined proportions of “extremely important” and “very important” ratings were:
  - 83% Trail guides
  - 82% Yosemite Valley loop shuttle bus service
  - 81% Yosemite NP website
- Figures 81 to 92 show the importance ratings for each information service and facility.
- The information service/facility receiving the highest “not important” rating that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups was:
  - 7% Items purchased in visitor center bookstore

Figure 80: Combined proportions of “extremely important” and “very important” ratings of information services and facilities

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
**Yosemite National Park – VSP Visitor Study**

**February 2–10, 2008**

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer

---

**Figure 81: Importance of park brochure/map**

![Bar chart showing importance of park brochure/map](image)

- **Extremely important**: 42%
- **Very important**: 34%
- **Moderately important**: 17%
- **Somewhat important**: 6%
- **Not important**: 1%

**Rating**

N=378 visitor groups

---

**Figure 82: Importance of Yosemite Guide (booklet distributed at park entrance)**

![Bar chart showing importance of Yosemite Guide](image)

- **Extremely important**: 36%
- **Very important**: 34%
- **Moderately important**: 20%
- **Somewhat important**: 9%
- **Not important**: <1%

**Rating**

N=292 visitor groups

---

**Figure 83: Importance of trail guides**

![Bar chart showing importance of trail guides](image)

- **Extremely important**: 51%
- **Very important**: 32%
- **Moderately important**: 12%
- **Somewhat important**: 4%
- **Not important**: 1%

**Rating**

N=114 visitor groups

---

**Figure 84: Importance of items purchased in visitor center bookstore (selection, quality, price, etc.)**

![Bar chart showing importance of items purchased in visitor center bookstore](image)

- **Extremely important**: 12%
- **Very important**: 16%
- **Moderately important**: 47%
- **Somewhat important**: 18%
- **Not important**: 7%

**Rating**

N=91 visitor groups

---
Yosemite National Park – VSP Visitor Study

February 2–10, 2008

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
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*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer

**Figure 89:** Importance of indoor exhibits

**Figure 90:** Importance of outdoor exhibits

**Figure 91:** Importance of Yosemite Valley loop shuttle bus service

**Figure 92:** Importance of Yosemite National Park website (www.nps.gov/yose)
Quality ratings of information services and facilities

Question 14c
Finally, for only those services and facilities that you or your personal group used, please rate their quality from 1-5.

1 = Very poor
2 = Poor
3 = Average
4 = Good
5 = Very good

Results
- Figure 93 shows the combined proportions of “very good” and “good” quality ratings for information services and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

- The information services and facilities that received the highest combined proportions of “very good” and “good” quality ratings were:
  - 91% Indoor exhibits
  - 91% Ranger-led walks/talks
  - 88% Yosemite Guide

- Figures 94 to 105 show the quality ratings for each service/facility.

- The services/facilities receiving the highest “very poor” quality rating that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups were:
  - 1% Yosemite National Park website
  - 1% Trail guides
  - 1% Yosemite Valley loop shuttle bus service

Figure 93: Combined proportions of “very good” and “good” quality ratings of information services/facilities

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
**Figure 94: Quality of park brochure/map**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=366 visitor groups*

---

**Figure 95: Quality of Yosemite Guide (booklet distributed at park entrance)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=283 visitor groups*

---

**Figure 96: Quality of trail guides**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=108 visitor groups*

---

**Figure 97: Quality of items purchased in visitor center bookstore (selection, quality, price, etc.)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=91 visitor groups

---

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
**Figure 98:** Quality of assistance from park staff

**Figure 99:** Quality of ranger-led walks/talks

**Figure 100:** Quality of ranger-led campground program

**Figure 101:** Quality of Junior Ranger program

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Figure 102: Quality of indoor exhibits

Figure 103: Quality of outdoor exhibits

Figure 104: Quality of Yosemite Valley loop shuttle bus service

Figure 105: Quality of Yosemite National Park website (www.nps.gov/yose)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Mean scores of importance and quality ratings for information services and facilities

- Figures 106 and 107 show the mean scores of importance and quality ratings for all information services and facilities that were rated by 30 or more visitor groups.

- All information services and facilities were rated above average.

Figure 106: Mean scores of importance and quality ratings for information services/facilities

Figure 107: Detail of Figure 106

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
**Additional services/facilities needed**

**Question 15a**
Is there any service/facility that you and your personal group needed inside Yosemite National Park, but it was not available?

**Results**
- 17% visitor groups reported that there were services/facilities that they needed but were not available (see Figure 108)

![Figure 108: Services/facilities needed but not available](image)

**Question 15b**
If YES, what is it? (open-ended)

Access to Le Carte Museum
Accessibility of front desk from the rooms
Accurate information on the website
Accurate information from park staff
Additional parking locations
Assistance/information on tire chains
Badger Ski should not be partly open
Better snow removal
Better dining options
Better handicap tram access
Better enforcement of speed limits
Bike lanes
Campgrounds open during weekdays
Cell phone coverage
Cheap food
Continued private vehicle use
Cross-country ski rental on weekdays
Display reasons for delay at parking lot
Display average waiting time at parking
Distances on park map/brochure
Electricity for camping in winter
Exit assistance from Badger Pass ski trail
Free winter tent camping
Functioning snow plow to open Badger

**Results**
- Services and facilities that visitors needed, but were not available, included:
  - Gas stations
  - Glacier Point hut
  - Groomed cross country trails
  - Highchairs
  - Hot food between set meal times
  - Ice skating rink
  - Information on road conditions
  - Information on snow chains
  - Lodging options
  - More signs
  - Room availability information at ranger station
  - Tire chains

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer*
Expenditures

Total expenditures inside and outside Yosemite National Park

**Question 24**
For you and your personal group, please report all expenditures for the items listed below for this visit to Yosemite National Park and the surrounding area (within 50 miles of any entrance point).

**Results**
- 35% of visitor groups spent $200 or less (see Figure 109).
- 30% spent $601 or more.
- The average visitor group expenditure was $579.
- The median group expenditure (50% of groups spent more and 50% of groups spent less) was $315.
- Average total expenditure per person (per capita) was $194.
- As shown in Figure 110, the largest proportions of total expenditures inside and outside the park were:
  - 42% Hotels, motels, cabins, B&B, etc.
  - 22% Restaurants and bars

**Figure 109: Total expenditures inside and outside the park**

**Figure 110: Proportions of total expenditures inside and outside the park**

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer*
**Number of adults covered by expenditures**

**Question 24c**  
How many adults (18 years or older) do these expenses cover?

**Results**
- 66% of visitor groups had two adults covered by expenditures (see Figure 111).
- 23% had three or more adults.
- 10% had one adult.

![Figure 111: Number of adults covered by expenditures](image)

**Number of children covered by expenditures**

**Question 24c**  
How many children (under 18 years) do these expenses cover?

**Results**
- 59% of visitor groups did not have any children covered by expenditures (see Figure 112).
- 16% had two children.

![Figure 112: Number of children covered by expenditures](image)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding  
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Expenditures inside the park

Question 24a
Please list your personal group’s total expenditures inside Yosemite National Park.

Note: Surrounding area residents should only include expenditures that were just for this visit to Yosemite National Park.

Results
- 38% of visitor groups spent up to $100 inside the park (see Figure 113).
- 30% spent $301 or more.
- The average visitor group expenditure inside the park was $338.
- The median expenditure (50% of groups spent more and 50% of groups spent less) was $115.
- Average total expenditure per person (per capita) was $122.
- As shown in Figure 114, the largest proportions of total expenditures inside the park were:
  - 45% Hotels, motels, cabins, B&B, etc.
  - 24% Restaurants and bars

Figure 113: Total expenditures inside the park

Figure 114: Proportions of total expenditures inside the park

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Hotels, motels, cabins, B&B, etc.

- 56% of visitor groups did not spend any money on lodging inside the park (see Figure 115).
- 25% spent up to $400.

Camping fees and charges

- 92% of visitor groups did not spend any money on camping inside the park (see Figure 116).
- 6% spent up to $50.

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Guide fees and charges

- 93% of visitor groups did not spend any money on guide fees and charges inside the park (see Figure 116).
- 4% spent up to $25.

Restaurants and bars

- 45% of visitor groups spent up to $100 on restaurants and bars inside the park (see Figure 118).
- 30% did not spend any money.
Groceries and takeout food

- 51% of visitor groups did not spend any money on groceries and takeout food inside the park (see Figure 119).
- 42% spent up to $50.

Gas and oil (auto, RV, Boat, etc.)

- 76% of visitor groups did not spend any money on gas and oil inside the park (see Figure 120).
- 13% spent up to $50.

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Other transportation expenses (rental cars, taxis, auto repairs, but NOT airfare)

- 95% of visitor groups did not spend any money on other transportation expenses inside the park (see Figure 121).
- 3% spent up to $100.

Admission fees

- 49% of visitor groups spent up to $25 on admission fees inside the park (see Figure 122).
- 31% did not spend any money.

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Recreation, entertainment fees (including ski equipment rental)

- 67% of visitor groups did not spend any money on recreation, entertainment fees inside the park (see Figure 123).
- 21% spent up to $100.

![Figure 123: Expenditures for recreation, entertainment fees inside the park](chart)

All other purchases (souvenirs, film, books, sporting goods, clothing, etc.)

- 40% of visitor groups did not spend any money on other purchases inside the park (see Figure 124).
- 38% spent up to $50.

![Figure 124: Expenditures for all other purchases inside the park](chart)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Donations

- 88% of visitor groups did not donate any money inside the park (see Figure 125).
- 10% donated $1 to $25.

Figure 125: Expenditures for donations inside the park

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Expenditures outside the park

Question 24b
Please list your group’s total expenditures outside the park (within 50 miles).

Note: Surrounding area residents should only include expenditures that were just for this trip to Yosemite National Park.

Results
- 32% of visitor groups spent up to $100 (see Figure 126).
- 22% spent over $400.
- 11% spent no money outside the park.
- The average visitor group expenditure outside the park was $325.
- The median expenditure (50% of groups spent more and 50% of groups spent less) was $145.
- Average total expenditure per person (per capita) was $121.
- As shown in Figure 127, the largest proportions of total expenditures outside the park were:
  - 38% Hotels, motels, cabins, B&B, etc.
  - 20% Restaurants and bars

![Figure 126: Total expenditures outside the park](image)

![Figure 127: Proportions of total expenditures outside the park](image)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
### Hotels, motels, cabins, B&B etc.
- 48% of visitor groups did not spend any money on lodging outside the park (see Figure 128).
- 28% spent up to $200.

![Figure 128: Expenditures for lodging outside the park](image)

### Camping fees and charges
- 97% of visitor groups did not spend any money on camping fees and charges outside the park (see Figure 129).

![Figure 129: Expenditures for camping fees and charges outside the park](image)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Guide fees and charges

- 99% of visitor groups did not spend any money on guide fees and charges outside the park (see Figure 130).

![Figure 130: Expenditures for guide fees and charges outside the park](image)

Restaurants and bars

- 44% of visitor groups spent up to $100 on restaurants and bars outside the park (see Figure 131).
- 37% did not spend any money on restaurants and bars.

![Figure 131: Expenditures for restaurants and bars outside the park](image)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Groceries and takeout food

- 49% of visitor groups did not spend any money on groceries and takeout food outside the park (see Figure 132).
- 45% spent $1 to $100.

Gas and oil (auto, RV, boat, etc.)

- 40% of visitor groups spent up to $50 on gas and oil outside the park (see Figure 133).
- 22% spent no money

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Other transportation expenses (rental cars, taxis, auto repairs, but NOT airfare)

- 81% of visitor groups spent no money on other transportation expenses outside the park (see Figure 134).
- 9% spent up to $100.

![Figure 134: Expenditures for other transportation expenses outside the park](image)

Admission fees

- 89% of visitor groups did not spend any money on admission fees outside the park (see Figure 135).
- 8% spent up to $50.

![Figure 135: Expenditures for admission fees outside the park](image)

Recreation, entertainment fees (including ski equipment rental)

- 86% of visitor groups did not spend any money on recreation, entertainment fees outside the park (see Figure 136).
- 8% spent up to $50.

![Figure 136: Expenditures for other recreation, entertainment fees outside the park](image)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding

**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
All other purchases (souvenirs, books, sporting goods, clothing, etc.)

- 75% of visitor groups did not spend any money on other purchases outside the park (see Figure 135).
- 15% spent up to $50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount spent</th>
<th>N=259 visitor groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$51 or more</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1-50</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent no money</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 137: Expenditures for all other purchases outside the park

Donations

- 97% of visitor groups did not donate any money outside the park (see Figure 138).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount spent</th>
<th>N=235 visitor groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1 or more</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent no money</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 138: Expenditures for donations outside the Park

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Preferences for future visit

Preferred interpretive programs/information services

Question 25
If you were to visit Yosemite National Park in the future, how would you and your personal group prefer to learn about the cultural and natural history/features of Yosemite NP?

Results
- 93% of visitor groups were interested in learning about the park on a future visit (see Figure 139).
- As shown in Figure 140, the most preferred methods for learning about the park on a future visit were:
  67% Printed materials
  62% Self-guided tours
  59% Outdoor exhibits
- Other methods (5%) included:
  Ranger-led tours
  Ranger-led hikes/walks
  Ranger-led talks/programs
  Information via e-mail
  Camping
  CD for car available at gate entrance
  Hands-on interactions
  Lectures
  Press releases to websites
  Publications on local papers
  Special speakers
  TV shows
  Valley View Tours
  Web search (Google)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
**Overall Quality**

**Question 23**
Overall, how would you and your group rate the quality of the visitor facilities, services, and recreational opportunities provided to you and your personal group at Yosemite National Park during this visit?

**Results**
- 85% of visitor groups rated the overall quality of facilities, services, and recreational opportunities as "very good" or "good" (see Figure 141).
- Less than 2% rated the quality as "very poor" or "poor."

![Figure 141: Overall quality rating of facilities, services, and recreational opportunities](chart)

*total percentages do not equal 100 due to rounding
**total percentages do not equal 100 because visitors could select more than one answer
Visitor Comments

Planning for the future

Question 26
If you were a manager planning for the future of Yosemite National Park, what would you propose?

Results
- 58% of visitor groups (N=326) responded to this question.
- Table 7 shows a summary of visitor comments. A complete copy of handwritten comments is included in the Visitor Comments Appendix.

Table 7: Planning for the future
N=572 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERSONNEL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide more rangers</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERPRETIVE SERVICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote education in park</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide more information on weather</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give ranger-led programs</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide more information on American Indian history</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post more signs</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Show a movie about park</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update information on daily activities on website</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FACILITIES/MAINTENANCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create parking areas at entrances - use shuttles in park</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair/maintain the roads in the park</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve snow removal</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create more campgrounds</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand campgrounds</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have more restrooms</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restore and reopen camping facilities</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build better roads</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have less road construction</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add more pull-offs/turnouts</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve parking</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve trail maintenance</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase parking lot size</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add more guard rails</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Planning for the future
(continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FACILITIES/MAINTENANCE</strong> (continued)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create more snow play areas</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have cell phone signs available</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve restrooms</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install some phone booths/emergency telephones</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove vegetation to facilitate viewing</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restore Hetchy-Hetch</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLICIES/MANAGEMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit private vehicle use</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide shuttle services</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit visitor numbers</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit development in the park</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ban car use inside the park</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge higher entrance fees</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower the cost of lodging</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not limit vehicle use</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow dogs in trails</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow less tour buses in the park</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ban smoking</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not increase the fees</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage biking in the park</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ban RV access</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge lower entrance fees</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge lower fee to low emissions cars</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not allow cell phone use</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitate camping reservations</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give big fines for littering</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote green transportation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide first-come/first-served accommodation system</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONCESSION SERVICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open more restaurants inside the park</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve food quality</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve lodging</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase lodging</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Badger Pass facilities</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Planning for the future
(continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESOURCE MANAGEMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep it natural/wild</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve natural habitat</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage recycling</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL COMMENTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep it as it is</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have visiting system by appointments during peak periods</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep up the good job</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage hiking in the park</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the human impact on the environment</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create more winter programs/activities</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote outdoor activities</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide more eating/dining choices</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional comments

Is there anything else you and your personal group would like to tell us about your visit to Yosemite National Park?

Results

- 52% of visitor groups (N=293) responded to this question.
- Table 8 shows a summary of visitor comments. A complete copy of handwritten comments is included in the Visitor Comments Appendix.

Table 8: Additional comments
N=524 comments; some visitor groups made more than one comment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERSONNEL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff was friendly</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff was helpful</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel was great</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERPRETIVE SERVICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps are terrible (park maps, trail maps)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need more signs at ski and snowshoe trails</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FACILITIES/MAINTENANCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/paths need to be cleaned of snow</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads/paths were clear of snow</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well maintained</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike paths/lanes would be a good addition</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean park</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities were great</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good roads</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need more restrooms</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking was difficult because of snow</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too many work areas in the streets</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLICIES/MANAGEMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good job protecting/preserving the park</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep protecting the park</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not crowded in winter</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not restrict use</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse patrol was effective</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep it as it is</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park fees are good</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park is overdeveloped/commercialized</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park visit should be free</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8: Additional comments (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Number of times mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESOURCE MANAGEMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw wildlife</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONCESSION SERVICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park lodging is expensive</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodge front desk under-staffed/long wait</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer environmental/sustainable products</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL COMMENTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyed visit</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beautiful park</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love it</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will return</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thank you</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beautiful scenery</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyed the snow/winter play</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular visitor</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is a serene place</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep up the good work</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyed skiing</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local resident</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow interfered with park visit/experience</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badger Pass road was closed although we were informed it was open</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Like to bring family/friends</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit was too short</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always excellent except in peak summer months</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beautiful day</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beautiful day/weather</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is a national treasure</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spent a lot of time waiting</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey was too long</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comments</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1: Comparative demographics, 2008 Winter, 2005 Summer

Visitor group size

2008 Winter
Question 18
On this visit, how many people were in your personal group, including yourself?

Results

- 50% of visitor groups were in groups of two (see Figure 1A).
- 33% were in groups of four or more.

2005 Summer
Question 18a
On this visit how many people in your personal group, including yourself?

Results

- 36% of visitor groups had two people (see Figure 1B).
- 32% had three or four people.
- 27% had five or more people.

Figure 1 A: Visitor group size - 2008

Figure 1 B: Visitor group size - 2005
Visitor group type

2008 Winter
Question 17
On this visit, what kind of personal group (not guided tour/school/other organized group) were you with?

Results
- 60% of visitor groups were made up of family members (see Figure 2A).
- 20% were with friends.
- “Other” groups (1%) included:
  - Club
  - Mountain Area Ski School

![Figure 2 A: Visitor group type - 2008](image-url)

2005 Summer
Question 17
What kind of personal group (not tour/school/business group) were you with?

Results
- 63% of visitor groups were made up of family members (see Figure 2B).
- 15% were with friends.
- 13% were with family & friends.
- “Other” (3%) groups included:
  - Wedding party
  - International visitors

![Figure 2 B: Visitor group type - 2005](image-url)
Visitors with organized groups

2008 Winter

Question 16a
On this visit, were you and your personal group part of a commercial guided tour group?

Results
- 3% of visitor groups were part of a commercial guided tour group (see Figure 3A).

![Figure 3 A: Visitors with a commercial guided tour group - 2008](chart)

2005 Summer

Question 16a
On this visit, were you and your personal group part of a guided tour group?

Results
- 5% of visitor groups were traveling with a guided tour group (see Figure 3B).

![Figure 3 B: Visitors traveling with a guided tour group - 2005](chart)
2008 Winter
Question 16b
On this visit, were you and your personal group part of a school/educational group (school, etc.)?

Results
- 3% of visitor groups were part of a school/educational group, etc. (see Figure 4A).

2005 Summer
Question 16b
On this visit, were you and your personal group part of a school/educational group (school, etc.)?

Results
- 1% of visitor groups were traveling with a school/educational group (see Figure 4B).
2008 Winter
Question 16c
On this visit, were you and your personal group part of a wedding/reunion group?

Results
- 3% of visitor groups were part of a wedding/reunion group (see Figure 5A).

![Figure 5 A: Visitors with a wedding/reunion group - 2008](image)

2005 Summer
Question 16c
Were you with a wedding reunion group?

Results
- 4% of visitor groups were traveling with a wedding/reunion group (see Figure 5B).

![Figure 5 B: Visitors traveling with a wedding/reunion group - 2005](image)
## Visitor age

### 2008 Winter

**Question 19a**
For you and your personal group on this visit, what is your current age?

**Note:** Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

**Results**
- Visitor ages ranged from 1 to 91 years.
- 59% of visitors were between 31-65 years age group (see Figure 6A).
- 17% were 15 years or younger.
- 8% were 66 or older.

![Figure 6 A: Visitor age - 2008](image)

### 2008 Summer

**Question 19b**
For you and your group, that is your current age?

**Note:** Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

**Results**
- Visitor ages ranged from 1 to 91 years old.
- 17% of visitors were 15 years or younger (see Figure 6B).
- 46% were ages 36-60 years.
- 7% were 66 years or older.

![Figure 6 B: Visitor age - 2005](image)
United States visitors by state of residence – 2008 Winter

Question 19b
For you and your personal group on this visit what is your state of residence?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
• U.S. visitors were from 33 states and comprised 91% of total visitation to the park during the survey period.

• 89% of U.S. visitors came from California (see Table 1A and Map 1A).

• Smaller proportions of U.S. visitors came from 32 other states.

Table 1A: United States visitors by state of residence 2008 Winter+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of visitors</th>
<th>Percent of U.S. visitors N=1,299 individuals</th>
<th>Percent of total visitors N=1,430 individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Washington</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 other states</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Map 1A: Proportions of United States visitors by state of residence – 2008 Winter
United States visitors by state of residence – 2005 Summer

Question 19c
For you and your group, what is your state of residence?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- U.S. visitors comprised 82% of visitors to park (see Table 1B and Map 1B).
- 69% of U.S. visitors came from California.
- 4% came from Texas.
- Smaller proportions came from 39 other states, Washington, D.C., and Puerto Rico.

Table 1B: United States visitors by state of residence 2005 Summer*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of visitors</th>
<th>Percent of U.S. visitors</th>
<th>Percent of total visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>1,409</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 other states, Washington, D.C. and Puerto Rico</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Map 1B: Proportions of United States visitors by state of residence – 2005 Summer
International visitors by country of residence – 2008 Winter

Question 19b
For you and your personal group on this visit, what is your country of residence?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- International visitors were from 24 countries and comprised 9% of total visitation to the park during the survey period (see Table 2A).

- International visitors came from Germany, Korea, Taiwan, and United Kingdom (9% each).

- Smaller portions came from 20 other countries.

Table 2A: International visitors by country of residence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of visitors</th>
<th>Percent of international visitors</th>
<th>Percent of total visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
International visitors by country of residence – 2005 Summer

Question 19c
For you and your personal group, what is your country of residence?

Note: Response is limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
• As shown in Table 2B, international visitors comprised 18% of the total visitation to Yosemite NP.
• 23% of international visitors came from England.
• 11% came from France.
• 9% came from Holland.
• 8% came from Japan.
• Smaller proportions came from 33 other countries.

Table 2B: International visitors by country of residence 2005 Summer*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of visitors</th>
<th>Percent of international visitors N=445</th>
<th>Percent of total visitors N=2,487</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Ireland</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 other countries</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of visits to the park

2008 Winter

Question 19c

For you and your personal group on this visit, how many times have you visited Yosemite National Park in the past 12 months (including this visit)?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results

- 53% of visitors visited the park once in the past 12 months (see Figure 7A).
- 26% visited three or more times.

Figure 7 A: Number of visits to park in the past 12 months - 2008

2005 Summer

Question 19d

For you and your group, please list the number of visits made to the park in the past 12 months (including this visit).

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results

- 81% of the visitors had visited once during the past 12 months (see Figure 7B).
- 12% had visited twice during the past 12 months.

Figure 7 B: Number of visits to the park in past 12 months - 2005
2008 Winter
Question 19d
For you and your personal group on this visit, how many times have you visited Yosemite National Park in your lifetime (including this visit)?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- 55% of visitors visited the park four or more times or more in their lifetime (see Figure 8A).
- 26% visited the park once in their lifetime.

Figure 8 A: Number of visits to park in lifetime - 2008

2005 Summer
Question 19e
For you and your group, please list the number of visits made to the park in your lifetime (including this visit)?

Note: Response is limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- 48% of visitors visited the park for the first time in their lifetime (see Figure 8B).
- 30% visited the park four or more times in their lifetime.
- 21% visited the park two or three times.

Figure 8 B: Number of visits to the park in visitor lifetime - 2005
Visitor ethnicity

2008 Winter
Question 21a
Are you or members of your group Hispanic or Latino?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results
- 16% of visitors were of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity (see Figure 9A).

Figure 9 A: Visitors of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity - 2008

2005 Summer
Question 20a
For you only, are you Hispanic or Latino?

Results
- 8% of respondents were of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity (see Figure 9B).

Figure 9 B: Respondent ethnicity - 2005
Visitor race

2008 Winter

Question 21b
What is your race? What is the race of each member of your personal group?

Note: Response was limited to seven members from each visitor group.

Results

- 88% of visitors were White (see Figure 10A).
- 10% were Asian

Figure 10 A: Visitors race - 2008

2005 Summer

Question 20b
For you only, which of these categories best describes your race?

Results

- 88% of respondents were White (see Figure 10B).
- 10% were Asian.

Figure 10 B: Respondent race - 2005
Asian ethnic groups

2008 Winter
Question 22
If you or your personal group members are of Asian race, which of the following categories best describe your race?

Results
- Among visitors who were of Asian race, 39% were of Chinese ethnicity (see Figure 11A).
- 21% were Japanese.

2005 Summer
Question 20c
If you are of Asian race, please check which of these categories best describes your race.

Results
- 34% of respondents of Asian race were Chinese (see Figure 11B).
- 22% were Japanese.
- 16% were Filipino.
- "Other" (7%) Asian races listed were: Japanese/Russian Hawaiian/Nepali Taiwanese Bangladeshi

Figure 11 A: Asian ethnic groups - 2008

Figure 11 B: Asian ethnic groups - 2005
Visitors with physical conditions/impairments

2008 Winter
Question 20a
Does anyone in your personal group have a physical condition that made it difficult to access or participate in park activities or services?

Results
• 5% of visitor groups had members with physical conditions that made it difficult to participate in/access services (see Figure 12A).

Figure 12 A: Visitors with physical conditions - 2008

2005 Summer
Question 23a
On this visit, did anyone in your group have any disabilities/impairments that limited their ability to visit/enjoy Yosemite NP?

Results
• 10% of visitor groups had members with disabilities or impairments that affected their park experience (see Figure 12B).

Figure 12 B: Visitors with disabilities/impairments – 2005
Appendix 2: The Questionnaire

English and Spanish
Appendix 3: Additional Analysis

The Visitor Services Project (VSP) offers the opportunity to learn more from VSP visitor study data. Additional analysis can be done using the park’s VSP visitor study data that was collected and entered into the computer. Two-way and three-way cross tabulations can be made of any of the characteristics listed below. Be as specific as possible—you may select a single program/service/facility instead of all that were listed in the questionnaire. Include your name, address and phone number in the request.

- Sources of information used prior to visit
- Sources of information preferred for future visits
- Receive needed information?
- Timing of decision to visit park
- Factors affecting decision to visit
- Length of stay in park (hours/days)
- Length of stay in area (hours/days)
- Entrance point
- Exit point
- Number of entries
- Primary reason for visit to park area
- Stay overnight away from home?
- Number of nights inside park
- Number of nights in area
- Type of lodging inside park
- Type of lodging outside park
- Type of entrance fee paid
- Rating of entrance fee value
- Forms of transportation used
- Number of vehicles
- Activities during this visit
- Primary activity
- Sites visited
- Visitor services/facilities used
- Importance of visitor services/facilities
- Quality of visitor services/facilities
- Information services/facilities used
- Importance of information services/facilities
- Quality of information services/facilities
- Services/facilities needed but not available
- With commercial guided tour group?
- With school/educational group?
- With wedding/reunion group?
- With other organized group
- Group type
- Group size
- Visitor age
- State of residence
- Country of residence
- Number of visits, past 12 months
- Number of lifetime visits
- Group member with physical condition making access/participation difficult?
- Visitor ethnicity
- Visitor race
- Visitors of Middle Eastern/Arab ancestry
- Visitors of Asian race
- Overall quality
- Expenditures inside park
- Expenditures outside park (area)
- Number of adults/children included in expenditures
- Preferred interpretive programs/services on future visit

For more information please contact:
Visitor Services Project, PSU
College of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 441139
University of Idaho
Moscow, ID 83844-1139

Phone: 208-885-7863
Fax: 208-885-4261
Email: littlej@uidaho.edu
Website:
http://www.psu.uidaho.edu
Appendix 4: Decision Rules for Checking Non-response Bias

There are several methods for checking non-response bias. However, the most common way is to use some demographic indicators to compare between respondents and non-respondents (Dey 1997; Salant and Dillman 1994; Dillman, 2007; Stoop 2004). In this study, group type, group size and age of the group member (at least 16 years old) completing the survey were three variables that were used to check for non-response bias.

Two independent-sample T-tests were used to test the differences between respondents and non-respondents. The p-values represent the significance levels of these tests. If p-value is greater than 0.05, the two groups are judged to be insignificantly different.

Therefore, the hypotheses for checking non-response bias are:

1. Average age of respondents – average age of non-respondents = 0
2. Average group size of respondents – average group size of non-respondents = 0

As shown in Table 2, the p-values for respondent/non-respondent group size and average age were less than 0.05, indicating insignificant differences between respondents and non-respondents. Thus, non-response bias for group size is judged to be significant. Information about visitor demographics need to be interpreted with caution.
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Appendix 5: Visitor Services Project Publications

All VSP reports are available on the Park Studies Unit website at www.psu.uidaho.edu/vsp.reports.htm. All studies were conducted in summer unless otherwise noted.

1982

1983
3. Mapping interpretive services: A follow-up study at Yellowstone National Park and Mt Rushmore National Memorial.

1985
5. North Cascades National Park Service Complex
6. Crater Lake National Park

1986
7. Gettysburg National Military Park
8. Independence National Historical Park
9. Valley Forge National Historical Park

1987
10. Colonial National Historical Park (summer & fall)
11. Grand Teton National Park
12. Harpers Ferry National Historical Park
13. Mesa Verde National Park
14. Shenandoah National Park (summer & fall)
15. Yellowstone National Park
16. Independence National Historical Park: Four Seasons Study

1988
17. Glen Canyon National Recreational Area
18. Denali National Park and Preserve
20. Craters of the Moon National Monument

1989
21. Everglades National Park (winter)
22. Statue of Liberty National Monument
23. The White House Tours, President's Park

1989 (continued)
24. Lincoln Home National Historic Site
25. Yellowstone National Park
26. Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area
27. Muir Woods National Monument

1990
28. Canyonlands National Park (spring)
29. White Sands National Monument
31. Kenai Fjords National Park
32. Gateway National Recreation Area
33. Petersburg National Battlefield
34. Death Valley National Monument
35. Glacier National Park
36. Scott's Bluff National Monument
37. John Day Fossil Beds National Monument

1991
38. Jean Lafitte National Historical Park (spring)
39. Joshua Tree National Monument (spring)
40. The White House Tours, President's Park (spring)
41. Natchez Trace Parkway (spring)
42. Stehekin-North Cascades NP/Lake Chelan NRA
43. City of Rocks National Reserve
44. The White House Tours, President's Park (fall)

1992
45. Big Bend National Park (spring)
46. Frederick Douglass National Historic Site (spring)
47. Glen Echo Park (spring)
48. Bent's Old Fort National Historic Site
49. Jefferson National Expansion Memorial
50. Zion National Park
51. New River Gorge National River
52. Klondike Gold Rush National Historical Park, AK
53. Arlington House-The Robert E. Lee Memorial
Visitor Services Project Publications (continued)

1993
54. Belle Haven Park/Dyke Marsh Wildlife Park (spring)
55. Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area (spring)
56. Whitman Mission National Historic Site
57. Sitka National Historical Park
58. Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore
59. Redwood National Park
60. Channel Islands National Park
61. Pecos National Historical Park
62. Canyon de Chelly National Monument
63. Bryce Canyon National Park (fall)

1994
64. Death Valley National Monument Backcountry (winter)
65. San Antonio Missions National Historical Park (spring)
66. Anchorage Alaska Public Lands Information Center
67. Wolf Trap Farm Park for the Performing Arts
68. Nez Perce National Historical Park
69. Edison National Historic Site
70. San Juan Island National Historical Park
71. Canaveral National Seashore
72. Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore (fall)
73. Gettysburg National Military Park (fall)

1995
74. Grand Teton National Park (winter)
75. Yellowstone National Park (winter)
76. Bandelier National Monument
77. Wrangell-St. Elias National Park & Preserve
78. Adams National Historic Site
79. Devils Tower National Monument
80. Manassas National Battlefield Park
81. Booker T. Washington National Monument
82. San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park
83. Dry Tortugas National Park

1996
84. Everglades National Park (spring)
85. Chiricahua National Monument (spring)

1996 (continued)
86. Fort Bowie National Historic Site (spring)
87. Great Falls Park, Virginia (spring)
88. Great Smoky Mountains National Park
89. Chamizal National Memorial
90. Death Valley National Park (fall)
91. Prince William Forest Park (fall)
92. Great Smoky Mountains National Park (fall)

1997
93. Virgin Islands National Park (winter)
94. Mojave National Preserve (spring)
95. Martin Luther King, Jr., National Historic Site (spring)
96. Lincoln Boyhood National Memorial
97. Grand Teton National Park
98. Bryce Canyon National Park
99. Voyageurs National Park
100. Lowell National Historical Park

1998
101. Jean Lafitte National Historical Park & Park (spring)
102. Chattahoochee River National Recreation Area (spring)
103. Cumberland Island National Seashore (spring)
104. Iwo Jima/Netherlands Carillon Memorials
106. Klondike Gold Rush National Historical Park, AK
107. Whiskeytown National Recreation Area
108. Acadia National Park

1999
109. Big Cypress National Preserve (winter)
110. San Juan National Historic Site, Puerto Rico (winter)
111. St. Croix National Scenic Riverway
112. Rock Creek Park
113. New Bedford Whaling National Historical Park
114. Glacier Bay National Park & Preserve
115. Kenai Fjords National Park
116. Lassen Volcanic National Park
117. Cumberland Gap National Historical Park (fall)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>118. Haleakala National Park (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>119. White House Tour and White House Visitor Center (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120. USS Arizona Memorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>121. Olympic National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122. Eisenhower National Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>123. Badlands National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>124. Mount Rainier National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>125. Biscayne National Park (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>126. Colonial National Historical Park (Jamestown)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>127. Shenandoah National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128. Pictured Rocks National Lakeshore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>129. Crater Lake National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130. Valley Forge National Historical Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>131. Everglades National Park (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132. Dry Tortugas National Park (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>133. Pinnacles National Monument (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>134. Great Sand Dunes National Park &amp; Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>135. Pipestone National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>136. Outer Banks Group (Cape Hatteras National Seashore, Ft. Raleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historic Site, and Wright Brothers National Memorial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>137. Sequoia &amp; Kings Canyon National Parks and Sequoia National Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>138. Catoctin Mountain Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>139. Hopewell Furnace National Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140. Stones River National Battlefield (fall)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>141. Gateway National Recreation Area: Floyd Bennett Field (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>142. Cowpens National Battlefield (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>143. Grand Canyon National Park – North Rim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>144. Grand Canyon National Park – South Rim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145. C&amp;O Canal National Historical Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>146. Capulin Volcano National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147. Oregon Caves National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>148. Knife River Indian Villages National Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149. Fort Stanwix National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150. Arches National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003 continued</td>
<td>151. Mojave National Preserve (fall)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>152. Joshua Tree National Park (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>153. New River Gorge National River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>154. George Washington Birthplace National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>155. Craters of the Moon National Monument &amp; Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>156. Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>157. Apostle Islands National Lakeshore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>158. Keweenaw National Historical Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>159. Effigy Mounds National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>160. Saint-Gaudens National Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>161. Manzanar National Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>162. John Day Fossil Beds National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>163. Congaree National Park (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>164. San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park (spring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>165. Lincoln Home National Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>166. Chickasaw National Recreation Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>167. Timpanogos Cave National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>168. Yosemite National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>169. Fort Sumter National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>170. Harpers Ferry National Historical Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>171. Cuyahoga Valley National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>172. Johnstown Flood National Memorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>173. Nicodemus National Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175. John Fitzgerald Kennedy National Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>176. Devils Postpile National Monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177. Mammoth Cave National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>178. Yellowstone National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>179. Monocacy National Battlefield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180. Denali National Park &amp; Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>181. Golden Spike National Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>182. Katmai National Park and Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>183. Zion National Park (spring and fall)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Visitor Services Project Publications (continued)

2007
184.1. Big Cypress National Preserve (spring)
184.2. Big Cypress National Preserve (ORV Permit Holder/Camp Owner)
185. Hawaii Volcanoes National Park (spring)
186. Glen Canyon National Recreation Area (spring and summer)
187. Lava Beds National Monument
188. John Muir National Historic Site
189. Fort Union Trading Post National Historical Site
190. Fort Donelson National Battlefield
191. Agate Fossil Beds National Monument
192. Mount Rushmore National Memorial
193. Ebey's Landing National Historical Reserve
194. Rainbow Bridge National Monument
195. Independence National Historical Park
196. Minute Man National Historical Park

2008
197. Blue Ridge Parkway (fall and summer)
198. Yosemite National Park (winter)

For more information about the Visitor Services Project, please contact the University of Idaho Park Studies Unit, website: www.psu.uidaho.edu or phone (208) 885-7863.
Visitor Comments Appendix

This section contains complete visitor comments of all open-ended questions and is bound separately from this report due to its size.