

Tuolumne Wild and Scenic River

Draft Comprehensive Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement

ERRATA SHEET

January 2013

Chapter 5, page 5-14: Two paragraphs under the “Management Concern” heading should be replaced with:

***Management concern* is defined as an impact identified in the condition assessment discussions below, or in future monitoring, that are segment-wide but correctable. If a river value has a management concern present, it is still considered to be within the management standard, with no adverse effect or degradation present.**

Management concerns are situations in which a river value condition has dropped below a trigger point (identified later in this chapter) requiring immediate action and corrective measures. Another form of management concern is a downward trend in river condition that is occurring so slowly that the river condition has not yet been adversely affected but would if given adequate time and continued decline. With the *Tuolumne River Plan* being a 20- to 30-year plan, if a downward trend is visible for 10 years or more, the trend will be considered a management concern. In either case, the NPS will take the actions identified for each river value when a trigger point is reached. A river value that has documented management concerns is still considered to be protected but requires management action to remain so.

Chapter 5, page 5-41: The first sentence under the “Adverse Impact” heading referring to this indicator (meadow bare soil) for the Subalpine Meadow and Riparian Complex ORV should read (**bolded and underlined** text is new):

An adverse impact on meadow condition will occur if bare soil cover values are twice the bare soil cover value for low ecological condition (regardless of meadow type) in at least 40% of the sites in a river segment.

Additionally, the sentence at the very end of p. 5-41, crossing to the top of p. 5-42, should read: Increases in bare soil that result in **twice the value for** low ecological condition rating for more than **40%** of meadow plots in a river segment would signify a more significant decline than a minor, short-term fluctuation in one meadow.

Chapter 5, page 5-70: Adverse impact for this indicator (vehicles parked compared to designated parking supply) for the Tioga Road Access ORV should read (**bolded and underlined** text is new):

An adverse impact is defined as parked vehicles exceeding the parking supply **25%** of the time at peak hour, or an increase of **20%** or more in exceeding parking supply within a three-year sample.

Also, degradation for this ORV should read: Degradation is defined as parked vehicles exceeding the parking supply **50%** of the time at peak hour.