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APPENDIX A

1999 Programmatic Agreement, As Amended
[Historic Preservation]
PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG
THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AT YOSEMITE,
THE CALIFORNIA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER,
AND THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
REGARDING PLANNING, DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATIONS
AND MAINTENANCE, YOSEMITE NATIONAL PARK, CALIFORNIA.

With October, 2003, Amendment 1

WHEREAS, the National Park Service (NPS) at Yosemite National Park (YOSE) has
determined that planning, design, construction, operations and maintenance may have
an effect on properties included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of
Historic Places, and has consulted with the California State Historic Preservation Officer
(SHPO) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Council) pursuant to Section
800.13 of the regulations (36 CFR Part 800), implementing Section 106 of the National
Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470; hereinafter NHPA); and

WHEREAS, the NPS, the Council, and National Conference of State Historic
Preservation Officers (NCSHPO) executed a Nationwide Programmatic Agreement on
July 17, 1995 that establishes a framework for taking historic properties into account
and is supplemented by this agreement; and

WHEREAS, the NPS completed a 1980 General Management Plan (GMP) that
provides the management direction for YOSE; and

WHEREAS, the NPS, SHPO and Council executed a November 1, 1979, Memorandum
of Agreement that is still in effect to cover actions specified in the 1980 GMP; and

WHEREAS, a Concessions Services Plan and a Yosemite Valley Plan exist or are
underway to implement proposals of and amend the 1980 General Management Plan;
and

WHEREAS, the NPS has on staff or has access to qualified cultural resource specialists
who meet, at a minimum, the appropriate qualifications set forth in the Department of
the Interior's "Professional Qualifications Standards" (36 CFR Part 61, Appendix A) to
carry out programs for cultural resource management. These include cultural resource
management advisors described in Stipulation III (C) (3) of the nationwide programmatic
agreement; and

WHEREAS, the terms in 36 CFR Section 800.2 "Definitions" are applicable throughout
this Programmatic Agreement, including "Historic Property" to mean any prehistoric or
historic district, site, building, structure or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places. Historic Properties include artifacts and remains that are related to and located within such properties, cultural landscapes, as defined in National Register Bulletins 18 and 30, and traditional cultural properties, as defined in National Register Bulletin 38. "Indian Tribes" refers to American Indian tribes, bands, organized groups, or communities recognized as eligible for the special programs and services provided by the United States to Indians because of their status as Indians, and who are culturally affiliated with YOSE lands and resources; and

WHEREAS, YOSE has consulted with Indian Tribes (American Indian Council of Mariposa County, Inc., the Tuolumne Me-Wuk Tribal Council, the Mono Lake Indian Community, the Bridgeport Paiute Tribe, the Chukchansi Nation, the Northfork Mono Ranohoria and the Northfork Mono Indian Mucoum) and has provided these parties the opportunity to participate in the development of, and to concur in the terms of, this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, YOSE has consulted with the National Trust for Historic Preservation (National Trust) and has invited the National Trust to concur in this agreement; and

WHEREAS, YOSE has notified the public of the formulation of this agreement and provided them an opportunity to comment;

NOW, THEREFORE, the NPS, SHPO, and Council agree that YOSE shall carry out its responsibilities under the NHPA, as amended, for those undertakings/actions specified in Stipulation II below.

STIPULATIONS

YOSE shall ensure that the following measures are carried out:

I. POLICY

YOSE shall manage and preserve the historic properties of the park through undertakings and research, consistent with good management and stewardship. These efforts are, and will remain, in keeping with the NHPA, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), and other applicable laws, executive orders, regulations and policies. YOSE shall implement its programs with public review and in consultation with other federal agencies, the SHPO, Indian Tribes, city and county governments and their respective authorities, as appropriate.

A. Guidelines, standards, and regulations that are relevant to this Agreement and that shall provide guidance and performance standards for management of historic properties include:
NPS/ACHP The Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Federal Agency Historic Preservation Programs Pursuant to the National Historic Preservation Act [Section 110 Guidelines]

ACHP Treatment of Archeological Properties: A Handbook

FHWA Manual for Uniform Traffic Control Services

NPS Maintenance Management Program, Operations Manual, Parts 1 & 2

NPS Museum Handbook, Parts 1 & 2

NPS Director's Order 2: Park Planning

NPS-6 Interpretive and Visitor Services Guidelines

NPS-12 NEPA Compliance Guidelines

NPS-28 Cultural Resource Management Guideline

NPS-38 Historic Property Leasing Guidelines

NPS-76 Housing Design and Rehabilitation Guidelines

USDI Archeology and Historic Preservation: Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines

USDI The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings

USDI The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Historic Preservation Projects with Guidelines for Applying the Standards

USDI The Secretary of Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for the Treatment of Cultural Landscapes

US Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (49 FR 31528-31617)

US Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (56 FR 45731-45778)


As needed, additional guidelines may be developed for the built or designed landscapes of YOSE. Proposed new guidelines developed by YOSE shall be submitted to the SHPO for review and comment. The SHPO shall have 30 days after receiving the proposed guidelines to respond to specific treatments described in the guidelines.

B. YOSE shall use the following Cultural Resource Identification and Professional or Technical Plans and Studies in management:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPS</th>
<th>YOSE Hazard Tree Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>YOSE Fire Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>YOSE Wilderness Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>YOSE Resource Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>YOSE Archeological Synthesis and Research Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>Cultural Landscape Report, Yosemite Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>Ethnographic Evaluation of Yosemite Valley, the Native American Cultural Landscape</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II. APPLICABILITY

This agreement is applicable to all individual actions relating to:

A. Routine maintenance and park operations

B. Individual actions proposed in the 1980 General Management Plan, that will be attached in Appendix C, and individual actions proposed in implementing plans including, but not limited to:

- 1992 Concessions Services Plan
- Yosemite Valley Plan (in preparation)

C. Design projects

D. Specific management plans

III. SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

A. This Agreement applies to undertakings at YOSE that have not been covered by previous agreements, and that are under the direct or indirect supervision of the NPS including undertakings performed by NPS lessees, permittees, concessionaires, cooperators and park partners.

B. The NPS shall ensure that the lessees, permittees, concessionaires, cooperators and park partners are notified that they are subject to the terms of this Agreement.

IV. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PLANS

A. This Agreement incorporates provisions of, but does not supersede, the 1979 MOA executed for the 1980 GMP. Provisions of that agreement will continue to be implemented as written.
B. This Agreement supplements the 1996 Nationwide Programmatic Agreement among the NPS, the Council, and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers.

V. PARTICIPATION OF INDIAN TRIBES

A. YOSE shall consult with Indian Tribes in such a manner as to meaningfully involve them in decisions affecting resources of concern.

B. Within one year of the execution of this Agreement, YOSE shall develop an agreement that sets forth the process by which Indian Tribes will be involved in considering the impacts of undertakings on Historic Properties at YOSE that are of interest to them. This protocol will:

1. Define when consultation between the YOSE and tribes is necessary.
2. Identify individuals or offices directly involved in the consultation process
3. Outline key elements of the consultation process
4. Outline the process to be followed in case of inadvertent discovery of human remains or other items subject to the NAGPRA

C. Until this agreement is in place, YOSE shall continue to consult with Indian Tribes according to 36 CFR Part 800 and, when appropriate, the provisions of NAGPRA.

VI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

A. YOSE shall consult with the signatories to this Agreement and with other Interested Parties or Persons to determine if there are organizations or individuals that may be concerned with actions described in Stipulation VIII below, and shall provide notice to the public of the undertakings subject to the stipulations of this Agreement through the public participation process of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and its implementing regulations set forth in 40 CFR Parts 1500-1508. Any member of the public may participate as an Interested Person in the consultation for a particular action upon notifying YOSE of their interest. YOSE, SHPO and Council, if participating, shall jointly determine when such Interested Persons shall be invited to participate as a consulting party for individual undertakings in accordance with 36 CFR Section 800.5(e)(1)(iv). YOSE shall take into account the views of such parties regarding any adverse effect of an undertaking described in Stipulation VIII below.

B. Documentation regarding identification and National Register evaluation of historic properties, when not subject to confidentiality concerns, will be available for inspection at YOSE, SHPO, or NPS Pacific West Regional Office.

VII. CONSIDERATION OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES
Pursuant to the NHPA and in the earliest stages of the planning process, YOSE shall identify, evaluate, determine effects to, and treat historic properties in conformance with all applicable regulations, policies and guidelines listed in Stipulation I above.

A. Identification

1. YOSE shall consult with Indian Tribes and Interested Persons, as appropriate, on activities to locate and inventory Historic Properties, in accordance with Section 110 of the NHPA, and 36 CFR Section 800.4.

2. If no Historic Properties are identified, YOSE shall maintain documentation of the inventory for purposes of review under Stipulation XVIII and no further action will be necessary.

3. If Historic Properties are identified, and consistent with any confidentiality protocols provided by the Tribe(s) and/or described in Section 304, NHPA, all final reports resulting from the Historic Properties surveys stipulated above shall be submitted to SHPO.

B. National Register Evaluation

1. YOSE, in consultation with SHPO, shall follow the procedures in 36 CFR Section 800.4 (c) (1 through 3) to evaluate the historical significance of all properties that may be affected by an undertaking. If YOSE and SHPO do not agree on the National Register eligibility of any property, or if the Council so requests, YOSE shall obtain a formal determination of eligibility from the Keeper of the National Register pursuant to 36 CFR Section 800.4 (c) (4). If SHPO does not respond within the review period described in Stipulation IX below, YOSE may assume SHPO concurrence with YOSE determinations.

2. As part of the 1980 GMP planning process, NPS evaluated and SHPO concurred in National Register eligibility determinations of certain properties in Yosemite. These determinations are itemized in the Case Report accompanying the 1979 MOA (summary list to be appended within six months). In addition, subsequent studies have evaluated properties under National Register criteria. These determinations will be reviewed, on a case by case basis by YOSE cultural resource staff or advisors, for new information or changed circumstances. Previous National Register determinations will be revisited by YOSE staff or cultural resources advisors if new information, such as recognition of new property types (e.g. cultural landscapes and traditional cultural properties) or change in historic context(s), is forthcoming or if SHPO so requests.

3. If traditional cultural properties are identified through the process outlined in Stipulation VII (A), YOSE shall seek the participation of all Indian Tribes (or other groups as appropriate) who ascribe traditional cultural values to those properties...
in applying the National Register criteria. Except as provided by any confidentiality protocols developed by Indian Tribes, and/or those described in Section 304, NHPA, YOSE shall ensure that documentation of determinations, including the SHPO's comments, are made available for inspection according to provisions stated in Stipulation VI.

C. Assessment of Effect

YOSE shall determine the effect of any undertaking subject to this Agreement using the Criteria of Effect and Adverse Effect (36 CFR Part 800). YOSE may consult with the signatories to this Agreement or with other Interested Persons regarding effect determinations for individual undertakings.

1. Repetitive, Low Impact Activities

Repetitive, low impact activities defined in Stipulation IV B of the 1995 Service-wide programmatic agreement will be undertaken with no additional review by YOSE cultural resource staff. The project proponent shall maintain records of actions for inspection according to Stipulation XVII below.

2. Actions Having No Effect or No Adverse Effect

Activities determined by YOSE to have "No Effect" or "No Adverse Effect" to Historic Properties, as defined in 36 CFR Part 800, may be implemented and will be documented for purposes of this Agreement by YOSE without further review by the Council or SHPO, provided:

a) that the undertaking is not subject to provisions of Stipulation VII(B);

b) that the applicable YOSE management office has submitted a proposed undertaking to the YOSE Section 106 Coordinator for review and concurrence.

c) that the YOSE Section 106 Coordinator has reviewed the undertaking to ensure that identification and evaluation of Historic Properties in the area of potential effect has been completed according to Stipulation VII (A) and (B) above, and that adequate information has been compiled to identify and evaluate the effects of proposed undertakings on Historic Properties;

d) that YOSE ensures that decisions regarding proposed undertakings are made and carried out in conformance with the standards and guidelines in Stipulation I above;
e) that YOSE shall ensure that recovery of archeological data is based on the existing YOSE Archeological Research Design and Archeological Synthesis and Revised Research Design;

f) that YOSE has consulted with the appropriate Indian Tribe(s) regarding possible effects to Native American archeological or traditional cultural properties;

g) that YOSE has determined that the proposed action either does not affect or does not adversely affect Historic Properties based on the criteria of adverse effect found in 36 CFR Section 800.9; and

h) Monitoring, when appropriate, shall be summarized in a brief letter report. If Historic Properties are discovered during implementation, a detailed monitoring report shall be prepared. Large-scale ground disturbing activities shall be monitored in accordance with a monitoring plan. The monitoring plan shall include, at minimum, the following elements:

i. a detailed summary of properties that may be exposed during construction activities, based on archival research;

ii. treatment strategies (i.e. documentation, data recovery excavations, protection, etc.) for anticipated property types;

iii. specific guidelines for any necessary work stoppages;

iv. the locations of Historic Properties to be avoided and the means by which they will be avoided;

v. specific areas and phases of construction which will be monitored;

vi. a schedule for submitting progress reports of monitoring activities to the SHPO;

vii. a process for dealing with types of properties not anticipated in the monitoring plan, including names of individuals or offices to be contacted in the event of discovery

viii. reporting requirements, to be followed upon project completion

ix. specific procedures to be followed in the event of discovery of human remains

x. Indian tribal monitoring procedures

VIII. RESOLUTION OF ADVERSE EFFECTS

YOSE shall make every reasonable effort to avoid adverse effects to Historic Properties identified according to Stipulation VII (A) through project design, facilities' location, or other means. Avoidance alternatives will be documented during the NEPA process.
When avoidance of a Historic Property is not feasible or prudent, and the undertaking does not involve properties or actions described in (B) below, YOSE, as part of its examination of treatment options, may decide to implement one or more Standard Mitigating Measures (SMM) described in (A) below. YOSE shall notify the following parties in writing of the decision to implement SMM:

- the SHPO
- Indian Tribe(s) (when American Indian properties are involved)
- members of the public who have made their interest in the undertaking known according to provisions outlined in Stipulation VI.

Consultation with the Council will not be undertaken when YOSE decides to implement SMM. If the SHPO, any Indian Tribe or any Interested Person does not object, within 14 calendar days of the notification, to YOSE’s decision to treat the adverse effect according to the SMM, YOSE will proceed without further involvement of these parties. Should the SHPO, Indian Tribe, or Interested Person(s) object to the implementation of SMM as set forth above, YOSE shall make every effort to resolve the objection. If YOSE decides not to implement SMM, or YOSE and the objecting party are unable to resolve the objection, YOSE shall consult in accordance with (B) below, Required Consultation.

A. Standard Mitigating Measures

1. Recordation

a) Individual, nationally significant Historic Properties will be documented according to the standards of the Historic American Buildings Survey or Historic American Engineering Record, as appropriate. The level of documentation for these Historic Properties shall be determined by the NPS. Copies of documentation will be deposited in the YOSE archives, SHPO, and Library of Congress.

b) The following categories of structures, whether significant at the national, state, or local level, will be documented by black and white 5 x 7 photographic prints, and a Historic Record that includes narrative history and original drawings where available. Copies of documentation will be deposited in the YOSE archives and with SHPO:

- Contributing elements in a historic district (unless individually eligible)
- Individual elements of linear resources, such as ditches, roads, trails
- Minor elements of a complex (e.g. sheds, garages)
• Individual elements of cultural landscapes
• Individual Historic Properties of state and local significance

2. Salvage

If a Historic Property will be demolished, YOSE historical architect, curator and/or preservation specialist will conduct a documented inspection to identify architectural elements and objects that may be reused in rehabilitating similar historic structures or that may be added to the YOSE museum collection.

3. Interpretation

YOSE will ensure that the story of human interaction with nature and changes in that interaction is a central theme in the interpretation of the Yosemite story. This interpretation will include a history of alteration of the human environment and reasons for that change.

4. National Register Reevaluation

Within 120 working days after adverse alteration, relocation, or demolition of a Historic Property, YOSE shall consult with SHPO regarding the Property’s continued eligibility for the National Register. The results of this consultation, with accompanying documentation, shall be forwarded to the Council and Keeper of the National Register. Should YOSE and SHPO disagree, YOSE shall seek a determination from the Keeper in accordance with 36 CFR Section 800.4 (C)(4).

B. Required Consultation

YOSE shall consult, according to 36 CFR Section 800.5(e) with the SHPO, Indian Tribe(s) (as appropriate) and Interested Persons as defined and identified under Stipulation VI (as appropriate), and shall invite the Council’s participation regarding any action that:

1. may affect a National Historic Landmark, or properties of national significance listed on the National Register of Historic Places
2. may affect a human burial
3. adversely affect a traditional cultural property
4. generates significant public controversy
5. involves a disagreement among YOSE, the SHPO, any Indian Tribe, or any Interested Persons regarding proposed use SMMs

IX. REVIEW PERIODS
A. YOSE shall submit the results of all identification efforts, NRHP eligibility determinations, discovery plans, and treatment plans to SHPO, Indian Tribes, and Council (as necessary) for a 30 calendar day review and comment period, unless otherwise agreed to. Opportunity for review by Interested Persons is as identified in Stipulation VI. This period shall begin upon receipt of adequate documentation by the reviewing party. If any reviewing party does not respond to YOSE within 30 calendar days of receipt of adequate documentation, YOSE may assume that that party does not object to the findings and recommendations as detailed in the submission. If any party does not respond, does not object, or proposes changes that YOSE accepts, no further review by that party will be required and YOSE may proceed according to its findings and recommendations.

B. Should any party object to findings or recommendations in any submittal within the time period specified in (A) above, YOSE shall consult with the objecting party to resolve the objection. If the objection is not resolved, YOSE shall consult according to Stipulation XIV, Dispute Resolution.

X. DISCOVERY

A. Native American Human Remains

1. YOSE shall ensure that any Native American burials or Native American human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects and objects of cultural patrimony discovered during implementation of an undertaking, archeological fieldwork, or other actions, are treated with appropriate respect and according to federal law, including, but not limited to, the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, Public Law 101-601 (NAGPRA) and its implementing regulations (43 CFR Part 10, Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act Regulations). Actions described herein do not constitute compliance with provisions of NAGPRA.

2. If objections are raised by any Indian Tribe regarding treatment of human remains or cultural items as defined under NAGPRA, the objection shall be resolved in accordance with NAGPRA. YOSE shall notify SHPO and Council of any such dispute if so requested by involved tribes.

B. Other Historic Properties

YOSE shall notify the SHPO and Indian Tribe(s), as appropriate, as soon as practicable if it appears that an undertaking will affect a previously unidentified property that may be eligible for inclusion in the National Register, or affect a known Historic Property in an unanticipated manner. YOSE shall stop all potentially harmful activities (if ongoing) in the vicinity of the discovery and shall take all reasonable steps to avoid or minimize harm to the property until YOSE concludes
consultation. If the newly discovered property has not previously been included in or determined eligible for listing in the National Register, YOSE may assume that the property is eligible for purposes of this Agreement. YOSE shall notify the SHPO at the earliest possible time and consult with the SHPO to develop actions that will take the effects of the undertaking into account. YOSE will notify SHPO of any time constraints, and YOSE and SHPO will mutually agree upon time frames for this consultation. YOSE shall provide the SHPO (and Indian Tribe[s], as appropriate) with written recommendations that take the effects of the undertaking into account. If the SHPO does not object to YOSE’s recommendations within the agreed upon time frame, YOSE will implement the recommendations. If SHPO or the Indian Tribe(s) object to the proposed treatment, and these objections cannot be resolved, YOSE shall follow procedures outlined in Stipulation XIV, Dispute Resolution.

XI. NATURAL DISASTERS

In the past YOSE has experienced major floods, fires, earthquakes, wind damage from storms, earth slides, and other natural disasters/emergencies which are likely to recur in the future. For a period not exceeding 45 days after the conclusion of the emergency (plus any extension agreed upon by YOSE, SHPO and Council) YOSE will proceed as follows:

A. YOSE will, without SHPO consultation, undertake emergency actions pursuant to the terms of this Agreement to stabilize Historic Properties and prevent further damage.

B. YOSE cultural resource specialists shall work closely with the emergency operations team, participate in discussions regarding emergency response activities and monitor work that has the potential to affect Historic Properties.

C. YOSE staff shall consult with the appropriate Indian Tribe(s) regarding emergency actions.

D. All work having the potential to affect Historic Properties shall be documented.

E. Every effort will be made to avoid known or discovered Historic Properties during emergency response activities. However, in those rare cases where this is impossible or could impede emergency responses, photographic and written documentation of affected Historic Properties shall be completed.

F. All such emergency measures shall be undertaken in a manner that does not foreclose future preservation or rehabilitation, unless YOSE determines that integrity has been permanently lost.
G. Within 90 days after the conclusion of the disaster or emergency period, YOSE shall submit to the SHPO, Council and the Federal Preservation Officer, NPS a report that documents how any effect of disaster or emergency response operations on Historic Properties were taken into account.

XII. EMERGENCY REPAIRS

A. In the event that damage to or failure of park infrastructure poses an immediate threat to life or health, YOSE will undertake emergency repairs with on-site monitoring by appropriate cultural resource specialists.

B. Should Historic Properties be discovered during emergency repair activity, all work that could result in adverse effects shall cease provided the Superintendent or designated representative determines work cessation will not impede emergency repairs. If the work stoppage at the discovery site will impede emergency repairs, emergency repair will continue and YOSE officials shall immediately notify the SHPO by telephone and provide the following information:

1. finding of a required emergency
2. description of the emergency and steps necessary to address the situation
3. description of the discovery and its apparent significance
4. description of the emergency and potential effect on the discovery feature
5. efforts to consider Historic Properties

C. Repairs and emergency treatment of any discovered properties shall be documented by YOSE on a Preservation Assessment Form or its equivalent. This form, along with a description of the emergency situation, signed by the requesting park official and the cultural resource specialist accomplishing the monitoring, shall be provided to the SHPO within 15 days of the emergency repair.

XIII. PERMITS

A. Permits and other legal agreements including, but not limited to, special use permits, leases, concessions, contracts and easements (hereinafter "Permits") for use of lands or structures in YOSE reflect a diversity of utilities and uses. All such Permits shall contain terms and conditions YOSE deems appropriate to protect and preserve Historic Properties.

B. YOSE shall require that any undertaking proposed and implemented by a permittee/licensee, which may affect a Historic Property, shall meet the guidelines and standards set forth in Stipulation I above, and is reviewed by YOSE in accordance with Stipulation VII (c). Any permittee/licensee who proceeds with an undertaking without project review and approval, and who forecloses the obligation
of YOSE to fulfill terms of this agreement, may be subject to appropriate sanctions
in accordance with the terms of the permit/license.

XIV. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

A. Should SHPO or Council object within 30 calendar days to any matter submitted by
YOSE for review pursuant to this Agreement, YOSE shall consult with the objecting
party to resolve the objection. If after 30 calendar days YOSE or the objecting party
determines that the objection cannot be resolved, YOSE shall forward all
documentation relevant to the dispute to the Council. Within 30 calendar days after
receipt of all pertinent documentation, the Council will either:

1. provide YOSE with recommendations, which YOSE shall take into account in
   reaching a final decision regarding the dispute; or

2. notify YOSE that it will comment pursuant to 36 CFR Section 800.6(b), and
   proceed to comment. Any Council comment provided in response to such a
   request shall be taken into account by YOSE in accordance with 36 CFR Section
   800.6(c)(2) with reference only to the subject of the dispute; YOSE’s
   responsibility to carry out all actions under this Agreement that are not the
   subjects of the dispute will remain unchanged.

B. Should any Indian Tribe object to the manner in which the terms of this Agreement
are implemented, YOSE shall take the objection into account and consult with the
objecting party for 30 calendar days. If YOSE determines that the objection cannot
be resolved, YOSE shall refer the objection to the Council according to Section A of
this Stipulation.

C. Should any Interested Persons or a member of the public object to the manner in
which this Agreement is implemented, YOSE shall take the objection into account
and consult with the objecting party for 30 calendar days. If YOSE determines that
the objection cannot be resolved, YOSE shall refer the objection to the Council in
accordance with Section A of this Stipulation.

D. Should the subject of an objection pertain to the eligibility of a property for listing in
the National Register, YOSE shall consult with the objecting party for a 30-day
period. If the objection is not resolved within those 30 calendar days, YOSE shall
refer the matter to the Keeper of the National Register for a final determination.

XV. FUTURE AGREEMENTS

Programmatic agreements or memoranda of agreement may be negotiated by YOSE,
SHPO, and the Council, as appropriate, and may supplement this Agreement.
XVI. AMENDMENTS

Any signatory may request that this Agreement be amended, whereupon the parties will consult in accordance with 36 CFR Section 800.13. Where the parties cannot agree on executing an amendment, the matter shall be addressed pursuant to Stipulation XIV, Dispute Resolution. Any amendment agreed upon will be executed in the same manner as the original Agreement.

XVII. FAILURE TO CARRY OUT AGREEMENT

In the event YOSE does not or cannot carry out the terms of this Agreement, YOSE shall comply with the NPS Nationwide Programmatic Agreement with regard to individual undertakings covered by this Agreement.

XVIII. REVIEW OF AGREEMENT

A. On or before November 15 of each year for two years and biannually thereafter, so long as this Agreement is in effect, YOSE shall prepare and provide to the signatories and all parties invited to concur with this Agreement and the NPS Federal Preservation Officer a report describing how YOSE is carrying out its responsibilities under this Agreement. The report shall include, at a minimum, a list of "no effect and "no adverse effect" actions carried out in accordance with Stipulation VIII (B), above; efforts to identify and/or evaluate potential Historic Properties; monitoring efforts, and treatment of Historic Properties. YOSE shall ensure that this report is made available for public inspection pursuant to Stipulation VI, that potentially Interested Persons and members of the public are made aware of its availability, and that interested members of the public are invited to provide comments to the Council and SHPO as well as to YOSE. The SHPO, Council, and Indian Tribes may review the annual report and provide comments to YOSE. At the request of any party to this Agreement, YOSE shall supplement this process through meeting(s) to address comments and/or questions.

B. The SHPO and the Council may monitor activities carried out pursuant to this Agreement, and the Council will review such activities if so requested. YOSE shall cooperate with the SHPO and the Council in carrying out their monitoring and review responsibilities.

XIX. TERMINATION

YOSE, SHPO, or Council may terminate this Agreement by providing 30 calendar days' written notice to the other parties provided that the parties will consult during the period prior to termination to seek agreement on amendments or other actions that would avoid termination. In the event of termination, the NPS shall comply with 36 CFR Sections 800.4 through 800.6 for individual undertakings covered by this Agreement.
XX. EXPIRATION

This Programmatic Agreement shall be null and void fifteen (15) years from date of execution of this Agreement by the Council.

Execution and implementation of this Programmatic Agreement evidences that YOSE has satisfied its Section 106 responsibilities for all individual undertakings referenced in this Agreement.
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INTRODUCTION
The Public Comment and Response Report summarizes public comments submitted on the Yosemite Institute (YI) Environmental Education Campus Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) and National Park Service responses to those comments. As appropriate, comments have been incorporated into the Final EIS. The YI Environmental Education Campus DEIS was released for public review on May 15, 2009, and the National Park Service accepted comments through July 15, 2009. Written public comments were received by U.S. mail and by e-mail. During the comment period, 47 public comment letters were received.

METHODOLOGY
Public comments received during the public comment period were reviewed and analyzed using the park’s Comment Analysis and Response Database (CARD) system. Analysis of public comment letters is performed in a series of stages which require review by staff and members of the Management Team during review and processing. Initially, each letter received is reviewed to determine the discrete points the author is expressing. Each sentence or paragraph in the letter is then “coded” in order to associate that comment with a particular resource topic or element of the plan (such as air quality or the plan’s relationship to other projects).

Once all letters have been coded for individual comments, similar comments are grouped together and a “concern statement” is generated, which is intended to capture the main points of what the comments are addressing. Concern statements are worded in a way that affords the National Park Service the opportunity to respond to a requested action. Concern statements are then screened to determine whether or not further clarification is needed to be made in the document or whether they call for a modification of the proposed action. In the case of the latter, these types of concerns would be brought to park management for deliberation. Finally, the planning team prepares responses presenting the National Park Service’s reasoning as to how and why public concerns will be incorporated into the planning process.

As a direct result of public input, all comments are made available for review on the park’s web site. The posting of public comments is a result of requests made during the scoping process for this planning effort, and will continue for future planning efforts.

HOW TO USE THIS DOCUMENT
This Report is divided into sections based upon the topics identified in the Table of Contents.

Each section includes one or more management issues supported by the statements of public concern. These public concerns present common themes identified from comments in a statement that captures what action the public feels the National Park Service should undertake. [Note: Because all public concerns are presented, oftentimes these statements may offer contradictory direction.] Each public concern is, in turn, followed by supporting quotes from public comments referenced to original letters.

Each supporting quote is followed by an attribute which identifies the number assigned to the original letter it came from, whether the comment was made by an individual or an organization, a general description of the organization type, and a reference to the letter number and the comment number within the letter. This information appears as a parenthetical clause in the following format: organization or individual, relevant planning effort – letter number. For example, “(Individual, #7-3)” is a letter from an individual, and assigned the letter number 7; the supporting quote is from the third coded comment in the letter.
MANAGEMENT TOPICS

PLANNING PROCESS AND POLICY (10000 – PLANN)

Public Concern #3: The NPS should accept the author's offer to consult as a lineal descendant of Yosemite Indians.

"I offer myself as such a descendent and pledge to act with all possible haste in addressing projects such as these." (Individual, Comment #45.4)

Response:

We are pleased to accept your comments.

Public Concern #4: The NPS should acknowledge that American Indian consultations are deficient because the American Indian Council of Mariposa County is not a recognized tribe.

"American Indian consultations concerning this project are deficient." (Individual, Comment #45.1)

Response:

NPS Management Policies (2006) require the park to consult with American Indian tribes or groups having traditional cultural associations to Yosemite.

Public Concern #20: The NPS should clarify how the proposed action is consistent with the General Management Plan.

"Establishment of YI at Henness Ridge...is contradictory to the General Management Plan - Glacier Point Road Corridor which calls for the removal of 'intensive development in the Chinquapin area.'" (Individual, Comment #25.7)

Response:

The proposed development of an environmental education campus/overnight facility at Henness Ridge is consistent with several primary goals of the General Management Plan (GMP), including to promote visitor understanding and enjoyment, to reclaim natural beauty (relocating visitor services to more resilient environments) allowing natural processes to prevail (restore and protect Crane Flat meadow), reducing traffic congestion and crowding in the Yosemite Valley, and providing park access for all members of the public (including for young children, who might not otherwise be able to visit the park) through affordable, accessible visitor accommodations and interpretive services. The YI program at Henness would also shuttle students to and from the Valley using bus transit, consistent with the GMP.

The GMP for the Chinquapin Developed Zone called for removal of the non-essential services and structures at Chinquapin, including the gas station and attached residence, and relocating the road maintenance facility to Henness Ridge, south of Chinquapin. The gas station has since been removed, and the contaminated site was formally remediated in the 1990s. The Chinquapin area has since been determined to be a historic district, and as such, the Ranger Residence and Comfort Station that were proposed for removal in the GMP will instead be retained, preserved, and reused.
Placing the campus at Henness Ridge would consolidate overnight visitor accommodations near those already existing at Henness Ridge/Yosemite West, on the outer edge of the park, along a major entrance route, at a previously developed site. The Henness Ridge area is a developed zone managed as wildland urban interface along a major park entrance highway. The site most recently served as a road maintenance staging area, and prior to that, a Blister Rust Camp for nearly two decades, a logging camp, railroad crossroads, and stage stop. Because of its location and history, this centrally located but out-of-valley site has also been considered by the park in the past, as potentially suitable for siting other types of visitor services such as out-of-valley parking, or a campground.

Public Concern #28: The NPS should consider reducing concessions.

"The key issue is the concession is expensive." (Individual, Comment #42-14)

"Putting efforts into creating a scholarship endowment to half the proposed campus cost would provide an operations offset equal to 15-25% of YI's annual budget." (Individual, Comment #42-7)

Response:

YI and the concessionaire have their own contract agreement for lodging YI students at Curry Village. Cost savings for lodging in the Valley would allow YI to focus those funds toward scholarships and operations. NPS does not have a role in the contract agreement between YI and the concessionaire.

Right now, Yosemite Institute tuition is higher than any other environmental education program in California because concession lodging costs are so high. The Crane Flat campus provides beds for only 16 students, while the other 76 must stay in concession-operated lodging in Yosemite Valley. Many students cannot participate in the program without significant scholarship support. The lower overall costs of creating and operating a newer, more efficient campus, with the same tuition rates, would allow YI to build a significant scholarship fund in perpetuity.

Public Concern #36: The NPS should specify whether the new campus is going to be used commercially.

"The representative also mentioned that the YI might rent out the facilities to other groups when the YI was not in session. This falls outside the stated use of the YI as an educational facility." (Individual, Comment #25-1)

"Campus facilities will be available during summer for multiple uses." (Conservation Organization, Comment #32-3)

"It appears DNC will be using the proposed campus as a conference site when YI is not in session as an opportunity to enhance DNC’s bottom line." (Individual, Comment #36-1)

"We request that the types of uses which would be accepted, and who would have the authority to make those decisions, be clarified in the final EIS." (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-1)
Response:

The campus will not be commercially operated. Campus programs will have an educational focus, be limited to non-commercial use, and will be carefully coordinated by Y1 and the park to ensure that the uses are appropriate, compatible, and consistent with the park mission and goals.

Public Concern #39: The NPS should consider whether there is a need for this project since the pressures to leave Crane Flat no longer exist.

"The pressure of Y1 having to close no longer exists. This project is unneeded." (Individual, Comment #42-1)

"The Y1 director was quoted in the news in May as stating that the Crane Flat campus was 'clean, safe, and functional'." (Individual, Comment #42-6)

Response:

While the campus remains clean, safe, and functional, it poses significant ongoing challenges for operation and management as an educational facility: it is extremely energy inefficient, must be operated at a low capacity due to fire and safety requirements, and has ongoing challenges with wastewater treatment capacity and water supply. Additionally, even with the many existing modifications to improve access, the campus buildings and grounds do not meet standards for accessibility. The facility also does not meet the needs of school teachers for prep space, gathering, or teaching space in its current configuration with small rustic, communal dormitories and a crowded dining facility as its only central indoor gathering space. Measures taken to protect meadows in the vicinity of the campus have also further restricted the surrounding outdoor teaching space.

Public Concern #42: The NPS should update the maps to indicate the latest site design plan.

"There are some discrepancies between the maps and what we believe is being proposed." (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-8)

Response:

Designs have continued to evolve, based on feedback and input received from the public, tribes, and agencies, and the site plans have been updated in the Final EIS to include the most recent design concepts.

Public Concern #43: The NPS should clarify the terminology used for and describe the waste water treatment plant for each alternative.

"Use consistent terminology in the FEIS and clearly describe the wastewater treatment systems being proposed for each alternative. We recommend not using the term plant to describe a septic system." (Federal Agency, Comment #44-8)

Response:

More detail is provided in the Final EIS on the wastewater treatment system design and the terminology has been corrected to reflect that this system is a leach field and not a treatment plant.
Public Concern #45: The NPS should clarify that Boys town is within Curry Village.

"Boys Town is Curry Village." (Individual, Comment #42-9)

Response:

Boystown, the former boys' camp, is adjacent to Curry Village and currently serves as employee housing. This is where displaced Yosemite Institute students and employees have been relocated due to the October 2008 rock fall, as noted in the recent Merced River Plan Settlement Agreement.

Public Concern #46: The NPS should correct the document to say that Crane Flat was used since 1973, not 1971.

"Crane Flat came into play, not in 1971 as the DEIS states in several place [sic], but in 1973." (Individual, Comment #42-10)

Response:

Thank you for this clarification. The Yosemite Institute (YI) was established in 1971. From 1971 to 1973, their programs were housed exclusively in Yosemite Valley. In 1973, YI obtained a special use permit from NPS for use of the former CCC and blister rust camp to conduct their environmental education program.

Public Concern #47: The NPS should correct the end date of CCC to 1942.

"The CCC was formally disbanded in 1942." (Individual, Comment #34-6)

Response:

The Crane Flat CCC Campus operated through July 1942, and across the nation, the last of the CCC camps ceased operation by July 1943.

Public Concern #48: The NPS should correct the label to say Henness Ridge Road in the DEIS.

"The TIAR ... erroneously and repeatedly calls Henness Ridge Road "Henness Ridge Drive."" (Individual, Comment #2-6)

Response:

This will be corrected in the Final EIS.

Public Concern #49: The NPS should change the reference to correctly indicate Yosemite West.

"The TIAR on page 3 states that "the roadway extends eastward from Wawona Road to the community of Incline." The community is Yosemite West." (Individual, Comment #2-5)

Response:

Thank you, this is corrected in the final traffic report and EIS.
Public Concern #84: The NPS should consider locating the campus outside the park.

"Development of an area outside the park should have been one of those [alternatives]." (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-7)

"Yosemite Institute should not have a campus inside Yosemite National Park." (Individual, Comment #36-2)

"The action alternatives in the EIS fail to consider alternatives outside the park." (Individual, Comment #36-6)

"I do not believe the new location should be within the Yosemite National Park boundary." (Individual, Comment #25-4)

"Y1 claims their clientele demands that the students be situated inside the park." (Individual, Comment #36-4)

Response:

The General Management Plan of 1980 encourages the Park to reach out to various groups of people, specifically including young people, to help them enjoy and learn from the Park. It is beneficial and in line with the Park's mission and policies regarding education and interpretation to continue conducting the Yosemite Institute's program within the boundaries of the Park. NPS did consider several locations outside of the Park during the 2006 Choosing By Advantage (CBA) workshops, including Hazel Green, McCauley Ranch, Ransome Ranch, and Wawona II. However, these sites ranked low in scoring of the alternatives in the CBA due to fewer educational opportunities and increased time students would spend busing.

Public Concern #86: The NPS should consider whether scoping was adequate.

"There should have been a new scoping process for the Henness Ridge project." (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-3)

"Is it legally acceptable to have a scoping period about a Crane Flat campus, but no scoping on a preferred alternative somewhere else?" (Individual, Comment #42-3)

Response:

The Henness Ridge alternative was developed directly in response to scoping comments and dialogue with the public during development of an administrative draft EIS. The park continued to involve the public as the alternatives were refined through open houses where site maps and designs were available for input and review, site visits, planning updates and the Park planning webpage, and fact sheets. Chapter 2 (Process of Selecting the NPS-Preferred Alternative) and Chapter 4 (Scoping History) of the EIS describe the adequacy of the scoping process.

Public Concern #88: The NPS plans and policies should not discriminate economically.

"Many of the plans and policies now advocated in Yosemite are facilitating economic discrimination." (Individual, Comment #36-5)
Response:

Yosemite Institute programs assist the Park in providing opportunities for more young people from diverse economic backgrounds to experience Yosemite and provide scholarships to make it more affordable. The GMP states that providing a diversity of experiences is a goal of the Park and NPS policies direct that these opportunities should be available to everyone.

Public Concern #90: The NPS should identify the locations of approved/pending projects in relation to the alternatives.

"It would be helpful to see the locations of the approved/pending projects and their proximity to either of the two proposed campus locations." (State Agency, Comment #46-2)

Response:

Please see Chapter 3: Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, under the Cumulative Impacts assessment for a list (including location) of all past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions. The potential cumulative effects of these projects were considered in the EIS analysis.

Public Concern #93: The Plan should include more than two action alternatives.

"There should have been more than two action alternatives." (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-2)

"Is it legally acceptable to have a scoping period about a Crane Flat campus, but no scoping on a preferred alternative somewhere else?" (Individual, Comment #42-3)

"This project overlooks alternatives that make more sense." (Individual, Comment #42-2)

Response:

NPS considered a total of eleven alternatives, including four outside the Park, in response to internal and public scoping. The action alternatives were selected through choosing by advantage workshops conducted to refine the alternatives to those best meeting the purpose and need. As described in Chapter 2 of the EIS, other alternatives were dismissed from further analysis for the following reasons: (1) they were technically or economically infeasible; (2) they did not meet the purpose and need; (3) they conflicted with other park policies and goals; and/or (4) they would have unacceptable levels of environmental impacts. Extensive public and NPS staff input determined that the Crane Flat and Henness Ridge sites should be retained for further analysis in the EIS.

ALTERNATIVES (20000 – ALTER)

Public Concern #1: The National Park Service should approve Alternative #3 - Henness Ridge Campus.

"I strongly endorse the proposed plan." (Individual, Comment #3-1)

"I consider it a "no brainier" that Alternative #3 is by far the best choice." (Business, Comment #1-1)
"I urge you to consider the park's choice of Henness Ridge as the preferred alternative for the new facility." (Conservation Organization, Comment #8-2)

"Enclosed is my letter of support for the new campus for Yosemite Institute at Henness Ridge." (Individual, Comment #17-1)

"Please support Yosemite Institute's plan of designing their own educational campus at Henness Ridge." (Individual, Comment #15-1)

"I am writing to voice my support for Henness Ridge Preferred Alternative." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-1)

"I am strongly in favor of the Henness Ridge Preferred Alternative." (Individual, Comment #13-1)

"The Henness Ridge site is the best location." (Conservation Organization, Comment #11-1)

"We lend our support to that alternative." (Conservation Organization, Comment #44-1)

"Please see the attached letter supporting the Henness Ridge Plan for Yosemite Institute." (Individual, Comment #10-1)

"I am [sic] writing to express my support for the choice of the Henness Ridge site for the Institute's new campus." (Individual, Comment #9-1)

"I'm writing to offer my strongest possible support for option 3." (Individual, Comment #12-1)

"I agree with the selection of Henness Ridge as the Preferred Alternative." (Individual, Comment #34-5)

"I am writing to voice my support for Henness Ridge Preferred Alternative." (Individual, Comment #19-1)

"I'm writing in support of Alternative #3." (Individual, Comment #21-1)

"I am writing to voice my support for Henness Ridge Preferred Alternative." (Individual, Comment #23-1)

"I am confident that YOSE is making the right decisions to move forward with construction of this project at Henness Ridge." (Conservation Organization, Comment #24-1)

"The preferred alternative (Henness Ridge) is a superb location for this campus." (Individual, Comment #29-1)

"I support the park's choice for Henness Ridge as the best option." (Business, Comment #31-1)

"We very much support this project at Henness Ridge" (Individual, Comment #7-1)

"We wish to wholeheartedly offer our support...regarding the Project as presented." (Individual, Comment #18-1)

"The Yosemite committee strongly supports the environmental educational program proposed in the NPS DEIS preferred alternative three."
(Conservation Organization, Comment #32-2)

"It is essential that the Y1 campus be approved for the Chinquapin/Yosemite West area." (Individual, Comment #48-1)

"Love Alt 3." (Individual, Comment #33-1)

"We are encouraged by the benefits that can be achieved by the Environmentally Preferred Alternative." (Conservation Organization, Comment #47-1)

"I support the Henness Ridge Preferred Alternative as the site for an environmental education center in Yosemite." (Conservation Organization, Comment #35-1)

"I would like to speak on behalf of the proposed Henness Ridge site for the Yosemite National Park Environmental Education Center" (Non-Governmental Organization, Comment #37-1)

"This purpose of this email is to show my support for...a new site across Yosemite Valley at Henness Ridge." (Individual, Comment #39-1)

"We wish to wholeheartedly offer our support...regarding the Project as presented." (Individual, Comment #18-1)

**Response:**

Thank you for your comment.

**Public Concern #2: The NPS should note that the separation of the campus and the wilderness by the highway will reduce incidental impacts to the wilderness area.**

"Land designated as wilderness is located across the highway. This will help actively manage potential impacts. Students will not be allowed to access the area without an instructor." (Individual, Comment #19-4)

"Land designated as wilderness is located across the highway. This will help actively manage potential impacts. Students will not be allowed to access the area without an instructor." (Individual, Comment #17-12)

"Land designated as wilderness is located across the highway. This will help actively manage potential impacts. Students will not be allowed to access the area without an instructor." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-12)

"With the ability to provide distance from the roadway and a clear boundary." (Conservation Organization, Comment #8-5)

"Land designated as wilderness is located across the highway. This will help actively manage potential impacts. Students will not be allowed to access the area without an instructor." (Individual, Comment #7-11)

"Land designated as wilderness is located across the highway. This will help actively manage potential impacts. Students will not be allowed to access the area without an instructor." (Business, Comment #31-12)
Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #5: The NPS should add 64 acres of wilderness through implementation of Alternative 3 that includes the restoration and conversion of Old Glacier Point Road to a trail.

"Water for the site will be provided from a well head near Indian Creek. This water source will allow the decommissioning of a water diversion and water tank currently on the Old Badger Pass Road. This removal will allow this utility corridor to rotate back to a full Wilderness Designation, adding [64] acres to the wilderness inventory in Yosemite." (Business, Comment #31-2)

"Water for the site will be provided from a well head near Indian Creek. This water source will allow the decommissioning of a water diversion and water tank currently on the Old Badger Pass Road. This removal will allow this utility corridor to rotate back to a full Wilderness Designation, adding [64] acres to the wilderness inventory in Yosemite." (Individual, Comment #7-2)

"At Henness, a new water supply already developed for the proposed campus will allow the existing utility corridor currently serving the Chinquapin/Henness area to qualify for an revert to an additional [64] acres of Yosemite wilderness." (Conservation Organization, Comment #32-1)

"Water for the site involves building a well near Chinquapin and decommissioning an old water system the Old Badger Pass Road, allowing for [64] acres to be converted back to wilderness." (Individual, Comment #29-2)

"Water for the site will be provided from a well head near Indian Creek. This water source will allow the decommissioning of a water diversion and water tank currently on the Old Badger Pass Road. This removal will allow this utility corridor to rotate back to a full Wilderness Designation, adding [64] acres to the wilderness inventory in Yosemite." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-2)

"Water for the site will be provided from a well head near Indian Creek. This water source will allow the decommissioning of a water diversion and water tank currently on the Old Badger Pass Road. This removal will allow this utility corridor to rotate back to a full Wilderness Designation, adding [64] acres to the wilderness inventory in Yosemite." (Individual, Comment #17-2)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #11: The NPS should approve Alternative #2.

"I reluctantly support Alternative 2 as the proper course of action that the NPS should take in deciding what to do with the existing campus at Crane Flat. Alternative 2 has a lesser risk of disturbing, unearthing, and destroying American Indian artifacts and items of cultural significance." (Individual, Comment #45-2)

"Re-development of Crane Flat without increasing capacity should have been another [alternative]." (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-6)
Response:

Thank you for your comments, they are acknowledged. NPS projects are designed to avoid and minimize impacts to natural and cultural resources and fully implement Federal laws and park policies to protect all cultural resources. Redeveloping at Crane Flat without increasing capacity would not have met the purpose and need of reaching more students.

Public Concern #12: The NPS should consider the potential to partner with the University of California.

"It is also important that the NPS uses of the campus be inclusive to the park's relationship with the University of California." (Individual, Comment #48-2)

Response:

NPS and Y1 will continue to support a partnership with the University of California.

Public Concern #14: The NPS should clarify the fuel source and identify location of the cogeneration plant.

"Clarify the fuel source for the cogeneration plant. Identify the location of the cogeneration system on the site plan." (Federal Agency, Comment #40-4)

"Clarify the energy development plans for the preferred alternative in the FEIS." (Federal Agency, Comment #40-3)

Response:

No cogeneration plant is planned for the Hemness Ridge site under the Preferred Alternative. Energy system details for the campus are included in the final EIS. In summary, a combination of solar energy, geothermal energy, propane, and electricity from off-site solar delivered through existing power lines will be used to generate power for uses such as heating, lighting, and cooking. The final EIS provides more details on energy use and the net zero goals of the campus.

Public Concern #15: The NPS should clarify how harvested water will be used and if/how it will be made suitable for drinking.

"The FEIS should clarify this and indicate whether/how this water will be made suitable for drinking." (Federal Agency, Comment #40-6)

Response:

Harvested water will not be used for drinking. Drinking water will be supplied from a groundwater well at Indian Creek, which will be treated at a new facility at Chinquapin (see Chapter 2, Alternative 3, Utilities). Gray water (from showers and hand washing) will be temporarily stored in a closed system near the bathhouses and recycled only for toilet flushing.
Public Concern #16: The NPS should clarify the distribution of student housing in terms of transportation (arrivals/departures).

"More clarification is needed to show how the [summer] or [school year] students will use the campus housing." (State Agency, Comment #46-1)

Response:

The Yosemite Institute's (YI) program would only be conducted during the school year. Any potential summer activities would involve less numbers of students, while still being related to education. Examples include a 2-week fire institute for local high school graduates, base camp support for YI’s backcountry WILDLINK programs, programs for adults and young people living with disability, teacher trainings, and other NPS interpretation/education programs, workshops, or conferences, with UC Merced, Native American tribes, YA, volunteers, and staff. While Table 2-8 in the draft EIS did note that 490 students would be involved in the YI program under Alternative 3, only 224 would be on campus at any one time. In addition, the table notes that 490 is only 74 more than are in program now, namely 416 with only a portion of those located at the current campus at any one time.

Public Concern #19: The NPS should clarify the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification goal for the project.

"The goal should read 'To obtain LEED certification at the highest level practicable.'" (Individual, Comment #34-4)

"Students and teachers want to be in the Valley; it's the best equipped and most inspiring place to learn." (Individual, Comment #42-5)

"How many other buildings should be replaced to meet/exceed LEED standards?" (Individual, Comment #42-4)

Response:

The park seeks the highest possible Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) rating (at a minimum, it will achieve at least a silver rating) given the various constraints of the proposed project. For example, the goal of maximizing solar panels for renewable energy must be balanced with maintaining tree canopy for wildlife.

Public Concern #22: The NPS should clarify Yosemite Institute program plans for the use of the Fire Lookout.

"If this is truly under consideration, it should be included in the final EIS." (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-9)

"They discussed the possibility of using the Fire Outlook.... This concept raises the strong potential for further development of the area." (Individual, Comment #23-6)

Response:

The YI program will include supervised student day hikes to the fire lookout, but will not include overnight stays at that location. Fire clearance will continue to be maintained around the fire lookout and helipad,
according to the park fire management plan. Park access to the fire lookout and other telecommunications equipment at Henness Ridge will continue to be maintained. Henness Ridge Road will continue to provide access to the historic fire lookout and telecommunications facility.

Public Concern #26: The NPS should consider reducing the footprint of a new campus.

"We do not believe it should be necessary to build such a massive educational facility within the Park."
(Conservation Organization, Comment #43-4)

"Would like to see a smaller footprint." (Individual, Comment #33-2)

Response:
The design has continued to be refined to minimize building scale and footprint, while creating an accessible, sustainable campus that provides more opportunities for young people to participate in the program and as efficiently as possible. For example, the dining hall consolidates several buildings and functions as an efficient use of space. The kitchen, dining and gathering space, and ground level gear storage have been combined within one structure, and the dining hall size is reduced by half by serving the students in two shifts. Overall the campus layout and buildings have been thoughtfully designed and refined to retain a modest and rustic feeling, be of a smaller scale that is better suited and welcoming to children, and integrate carefully into its park setting.

Public Concern #37: The NPS should consider whether a backup generator is necessary.

"Is the backup generator necessary? Is there already one fall back source of energy?" (Individual, Comment #34-3)

Response:
The backup generator, fueled by propane, is needed for safety to supply electricity for emergency lighting during power outages.

Public Concern #38: The NPS should consider having fewer students housed at Henness Ridge and in the Valley.

"It seems that Y1 could forgo a few additional beds at Camp Curry under alternative 3. Why not reduce the number of Y1 student beds in Yosemite Valley to 224." (Individual, Comment #34-2)

"The one question I have is the number of individuals to be housed at this facility. Two hundred campers seems extensive. [The Crane Flat facility is old, difficult to repair and the environmental impact cannot be ignored." (Individual, Comment #4-1)

Response:
A primary impetus for redeveloping the campus was to reach more kids, and to make the improvements to the educational facilities, but given the sensitive environment and limited water supply, a campus as large as at Henness could not be achieved. Under the Preferred Alternative, 224 students would be housed at the Henness Ridge campus and approximately 266 in Yosemite Valley. Overall, this provides more opportunities for kids yet reduces the number of students in Yosemite Valley (i.e., Curry Village) by approximately 100.
These children make up less than one percent of all park visitors. These young students would occupy 8
cabins, each with chaperones and instructors. The more upland nature of the Henness Ridge site makes it
naturally more resilient and capable of housing this level of capacity, while the hilly topography provides
separation from the nearby community. The numerous roadbeds/trails and varied activities each group will
participate in throughout the park area will also help disperse the groups.

Public Concern #77: The NPS should convert the former campus at Crane Flat to a youth
hostel.

"I would like to introduce the idea of a hostel in Yosemite as an adaptive reuse of the historic buildings." (Recreational Organization, Comment #38-1)

"I only write to second the suggestion that a hostel for backpackers worldwide would be a great addition to
the park." (Individual, Comment #30-1)

"I would like to vote my support for having a youth hostel at Yosemite run by HI." (Conservation
Organization, Comment #26-1)

"HI-USA would like to propose a revised scheme in which HI-USA would contact with the National Park
Service to utilize the current Y1 campus as an HI-USA Hostel." (Conservation Organization, Comment #22-1)

"Our interest is focused on supporting a new site for the Yosemite Institute and additionally the preservation
of the Crane Flat campus..." (Recreational Organization, Comment #38-2)

Response:

Reuse of the campus for other purposes such as for housing, visitor contact, offices, or meeting spaces was
considered. However, to protect sensitive resources in the vicinity, the park would like to move the
development to another more resilient area, remove the utilities and infrastructure, convert the land use zone
from a development zone to a natural zone, and to restore and improve the meadow and forest habitat.

Public Concern #52: The NPS should explore the use of permeable asphalt.

"We recommend the NPS explore the use of porous asphalt or another permeable pavement for the parking
lot." (Federal Agency, Comment #40-2)

Response:

The campus landscape design incorporates permeable surfaces and native landscaping to reduce runoff and
absorb water on site. To the extent possible, the design minimizes the width and length of hardened surfaces,
while still providing accessibility for mobility-impaired individuals, emergency vehicle access, and snow-
clearing.

Public Concern #53: The NPS should explore the use of the parking lot for installation of
photovoltaic cells.

"It does not appear that the parking lot location has been explored for installation of photovoltaics as
carports." (Federal Agency, Comment #40-1)
Response:

This was explored, but the area is too heavily treed and blocked by the ridgeline. There are other areas on site better suited for solar cells with southern exposure. The classroom, three cabins, and bathhouse rooftops will also have solar panels. Off-site solar power generation will be used to minimize the size of the ground array, to minimize site disturbance and tree clearing.

Public Concern #59: The NPS should establish the campus away from the rockfall hazards in Yosemite Valley.

"By maintaining a facility a bit further removed from the hazards of Yosemite Valley, we can ensure that programs can continue." (Individual, Comment #21-3)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #61: The NPS should note the benefits of restoring Crane Flat to natural conditions as part of Alternative #3.

"As part of the preferred alternative solution, the Crane Flat site would be restored to a natural landscape." (Business, Comment #31-11)

"As part of the preferred alternative solution, the Crane Flat site would be restored to a natural landscape." (Individual, Comment #19-3)

"As part of the preferred alternative solution, the Crane Flat site would be restored to a natural landscape." (Individual, Comment #7-10)

"Enjoy the wonders of Yosemite while reducing the impact on the Crane Flat area and the Valley." (Individual, Comment #12-2)

"As part of the preferred alternative solution, the Crane Flat site would be restored to a natural landscape." (Individual, Comment #13-4)

"As part of the preferred alternative solution, the Crane Flat site would be restored to a natural landscape." (Individual, Comment #17-11)

"Finally, Crane Flat will be restored." (Individual, Comment #29-4)

"As part of the preferred alternative solution, the Crane Flat site would be restored to a natural landscape." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-11)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.
Public Concern #62: The NPS should note the benefit of having the campus at Henness Ridge due to its greater educational opportunity.

"Henness Ridge has a wide array of trails and destinations. The impact of fire in natural and managed systems will form an important part of the curriculum." (Individual, Comment #23-3)

"Henness Ridge has a wide array of trails and destinations. The impact of fire in natural and managed systems will form an important part of the curriculum." (Individual, Comment #13-2)

"Henness Ridge has a wide array of trails and destinations. The impact of fire in natural and managed systems will form an important part of the curriculum." (Business, Comment #31-4)

"Students get a well-rounded experience which is extremely valuable." (Individual, Comment #21-4)

"Henness Ridge has a wide array of trails and destinations. The impact of fire in natural and managed systems will form an important part of the curriculum." (Individual, Comment #17-4)

"Having an educational campus will allow YI to...broaden their curriculum." (Individual, Comment #15-2)

"Henness Ridge has a wide array of trails and destinations. The impact of fire in natural and managed systems will form an important part of the curriculum." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-4)

"Henness Ridge has a wide array of trails and destinations. The impact of fire in natural and managed systems will form an important part of the curriculum." (Individual, Comment #7-4)

"The educational opportunities for student will be greater, thanks to the greater variety of trails. More students will be able to learn about the park." (Individual, Comment #9-4)

"The Henness Ridge Project will greatly enhance educational opportunities for students." (Non-Governmental Organization, Comment #37-2)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #63: The NPS should note the benefit Henness Ridge provides in terms of winter recreational opportunities.

"It is an excellent year-around location, offering exceptional opportunities for cross-county skiing and snowshoeing." (Individual, Comment #19-5)

"It is an excellent year-around location, offering exceptional opportunities for cross-county skiing and snowshoeing." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-5)

"It is an excellent year-around location, offering exceptional opportunities for cross-county skiing and snowshoeing." (Business, Comment #31-5)
"It is an excellent year-around location, offering exceptional opportunities for cross-county skiing and snow shoeing." (Individual, Comment #23-4)

"It is an excellent year-around location, offering exceptional opportunities for cross-county skiing and snow shoeing." (Individual, Comment #17-5)

"This location provides unique educational opportunities for a four-season, wilderness, fire science focused curriculum." (Conservation Organization, Comment #8-3)

"It is an excellent year-around location, offering exceptional opportunities for cross-county skiing and snow shoeing." (Individual, Comment #7-5)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #4: The NPS should note how the addition of a new fire station adjacent to the campus would provide students with an opportunity to learn about fire ecology and interact with professional fire fighters.

"The fact that a Fire Station will be located near campus provides education about fire ecology to students as well as additional fire protection." (Individual, Comment #29-3)

"The additional of the new fire station will increase the opportunities for student to interaction with NPS staff and provide an exciting educational facet to the center." (Business, Comment #31-10)

"The location of Henness Ridge offers a unique opportunity to experience the wide range of fire management applications in the area." (Individual, Comment #17-9)

"The additional of the new fire station will increase the opportunities for student to interaction with NPS staff and provide an exciting educational facet to the center." (Individual, Comment #17-9)

"The location of Henness Ridge offers a unique opportunity to experience the wide range of fire management applications in the area." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-9)

"The additional of the new fire station will increase the opportunities for student to interaction with NPS staff and provide an exciting educational facet to the center." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-10)

"The additional of the new fire station will increase the opportunities for student to interaction with NPS staff and provide an exciting educational facet to the center." (Individual, Comment #7-9)

"Plus the bonus of the teaching and learning that goes with...forest evolution from fire." (Individual, Comment #3-2)

"The location of Henness Ridge offers a unique opportunity to experience the wide range of fire management applications in the area." (Business, Comment #31-9)

"This location provides unique educational opportunities for a four-season, wilderness, fire science focused curriculum." (Conservation Organization, Comment #8-4)
Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #65: The NPS should note that the Henness Ridge campus has been designed for universal access.

"The buildings have been designed with 100% universal access." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-13)

"The buildings have been designed with 100% universal access." (Business, Comment #31-13)

"The buildings have been designed with 100% universal access." (Individual, Comment #23-6)

"The buildings have been designed with 100% universal access." (Individual, Comment #7-12)

"The buildings have been designed with 100% universal access." (Individual, Comment #17-13)

"The buildings have been designed with 100% universal access." (Individual, Comment #13-5)

"The buildings have been designed with 100% universal access." (Individual, Comment #19-6)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #66: The NPS should note the benefits of reducing light and noise pollution in the design of Alternative #3.

"Plans in place for...reducing light and noise pollution...are well conceived and protective." (Conservation Organization, Comment #8-1)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #67: The NPS should note that the economic efficiencies of a new campus would allow Yosemite Institute to provide more student scholarships.

"The economic efficiencies of a new Center will allow Yosemite Institute to generate increased scholarship dollars for schools." (Individual, Comment #19-2)

"The economic efficiencies of a new Center will allow Yosemite Institute to generate increased scholarship dollars for schools." (Individual, Comment #23-5)

"The economic efficiencies of a new Center will allow Yosemite Institute to generate increased scholarship dollars for schools." (Business, Comment #31-6)

"The economic efficiencies of a new Center will allow Yosemite Institute to generate increased scholarship dollars for schools." (Individual, Comment #7-6)
"The economic efficiencies of a new Center will allow Yosemite Institute to generate increased scholarship dollars for schools." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-6)

"The economic efficiencies of a new Center will allow Yosemite Institute to generate increased scholarship dollars for schools." (Individual, Comment #17-6)

"By controlling our costs... we can offer more scholarships." (Individual, Comment #21-2)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #68: The NPS should note that Alternative #3 provides protection for Great Gray Owl nesting habitat.

"The nesting grounds of the Great Gray Owl will be protected." (Individual, Comment #9-3)

"Protecting the meadows and habitat of the Great Gray Owl." (Individual, Comment #29-5)

"While some of the species which are of concern at Crane Flat may be present at Henness, the fact remains that Henness does not have the great diversity and uniqueness which the meadows at Crane Flat provide." (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-11)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #69: The NPS should note that the preferred alternative’s access to established trails provides opportunities to manage groups in an environmentally sensitive manner.

"The established trail system will make it easy to dispense students in an environmentally friendly manner." (Individual, Comment #17-7)

"The established trail system will make it easy to dispense students in an environmentally friendly manner." (Business, Comment #31-7)

"The established trail system will make it easy to dispense students in an environmentally friendly manner." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-7)

"The established trail system will make it easy to dispense students in an environmentally friendly manner." (Individual, Comment #13-6)

"The established trail system will make it easy to dispense students in an environmentally friendly manner." (Individual, Comment #7-14)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.
Public Concern #70: The NPS should note that distance of the Henness Ridge campus from meadows provides a buffer that protects sensitive resources.

"The ridge top site is a significant distance from sensitive meadow ecosystems to allow buffering of impacts." (Individual, Comment #7-3)

"The ridge top site is a significant distance from sensitive meadow ecosystems to allow buffering of impacts." (Business, Comment #31-3)

"The ridge top site is a significant distance from sensitive meadow ecosystems to allow buffering of impacts." (Individual, Comment #23-2)

"The ridge top site is a significant distance from sensitive meadow ecosystems to allow buffering of impacts." (Individual, Comment #17-3)

"The ridge top site is a significant distance from sensitive meadow ecosystems to allow buffering of impacts." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-3)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #71: The NPS should note that the planning of the new campus further from the highway improves student safety.

"I would be more comfortable having students housed in a more controlled area." (Individual, Comment #15-3)

"Away from traffic on the road." (Individual, Comment #9-2)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #72: The NPS should note that the Henness Ridge site has significantly higher solar energy potential than other alternatives.

"This site has significantly higher solar energy potential than other alternatives." (Individual, Comment #7-7)

"This site has significantly higher solar energy potential than other alternatives." (Individual, Comment #13-3)

"This site has significantly higher solar energy potential than other alternatives." (Conservation Organization, Comment #14-8)

"This site has significantly higher solar energy potential than other alternatives." (Business, Comment #31-8)

"This site has significantly higher solar energy potential than other alternatives." (Individual, Comment #17-8)
Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #74: The NPS should note the benefit of living in and learning about green buildings.

"Plus the bonus of the teaching and learning that goes with the green building." (Individual, Comment #3-3)

"The new facility will bring to life the vast potential for renewable energy, green building, energy use, and conservation of all resources." (Conservation Organization, Comment #8-6)

"Will serve as a model for sustainable building and education in the National Park System." (Conservation Organization, Comment #11-2)

"Through the educational experience of learning about sustainable living practices." (Individual, Comment #21-5)

"Students will be constantly reinforced in thinking about their use energy and resources." (Business, Comment #31-14)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #75: The NPS should note the benefit of the larger capacity.

"The fact that is will allow Y1 to service so many additional students." (Non-Governmental Organization, Comment #37-3)

"The most important of these is the larger capacity." (Individual, Comment #10-2)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.

Public Concern #76: The NPS should note the location of Henness Ridge offers a unique opportunity to experience the wide range of fire management applications in the area.

"The location of Henness Ridge offers a unique opportunity to experience the wide range of fire management applications in the area." (Individual, Comment #7-8)

Response:

Thank you for your comment.
Public Concern #57: The program should continue to provide an enriching outdoor experience for the students even with a new campus.

"The project diminishes the experience of students by removing them from the richness of Crane Flat and Yosemite Valley." (Individual, Comment #42-8)

“When the students climb Vernal Falls, they come back with a tremendous sense or accomplishment, and when they overcome their fears and help each other through Spider Caves, they build bonds with each other that wouldn’t have happened any other way. I sincerely hope that these experiences will still be available to my students.” (Individual, Comment #6-2)

Response:

Yosemite Institute (YI) recognizes the value of student accommodations and learning experiences in Yosemite Valley and Crane Flat, and they do not intend to change that in their future operational plan. The distance between Henness Ridge and the Valley is the same as the distance between Crane Flat and the Valley and there is no intention to keep students indoors or on buses any more with a new campus. The views are quite nice and the trails extensive, so there is much to learn and explore in the Henness Ridge area. Hikes in the Henness Ridge area are plentiful and include historic structures, a fire lookout, views, meadows, and extensive introductory skiing and hiking opportunities on mild gradient established roadbeds/old railroad beds. The location offers solitude and insulation from other groups and visitors—a quality that is unavailable in Yosemite Valley.

Henness Ridge offers a wide variety of habitats and locations to facilitate true field science exploration and study. Students are given the opportunity to get away from the city-like distractions of Yosemite Valley in favor of a more peaceful, immersive experience in a new campus.

The new classrooms and laboratories will offer the ability to take scientific inquiry one step further. Use of these indoor spaces will be optional and time spent in them will vary based on weather conditions, trail conditions, student age, health, curriculum, etc. Use of indoor teaching space is not new to the YI program, but space which is dedicated to supporting YI’s programs is a luxury never before dreamed possible by its educators. Multiple indoor spaces are used in Yosemite Valley currently. Those spaces include: Happy Isles Nature Center, LeConte Memorial, Curry Village Pavilion, Curry Village Lounge, Yosemite Lodge Cliff Room, Yosemite Association Art and Activity Center, Yosemite Associate Yurt, the Auditorium, etc. These spaces have historically played an important role in allowing educators the opportunity to introduce concepts, conduct activities, reinforce lessons, and offer shelter in inclement weather.

The size of the current, out-of Valley facility does not allow for all students to experience both Yosemite Valley and the more peaceful, secluded areas of the Park. The new campus capacity allows for almost all students to have the benefit of both experiences.

Public Concern #59: The NPS should show the location of the above-ground waste water treatment on the plans and describe the impacts of such a facility.

"If a package [wastewater treatment plant] is being proposed, this is an above-ground treatment works the location of which should be included on the site plans for the alternatives. NPS should ensure the impacts of construction and operation of such a facility are disclosed." (Federal Agency, Comment #40-5)
Response:

Further detail on the wastewater treatment system is included in the Final EIS (see Chapter 2, Alternative 3, Utilities).

Public Concern #91: The NPS should stay true to the low-tech aspect of Crane Flat.

"I urge you to stay true to the simple, low-technology aspect that Crane Flat has always offered our students." (Individual, Comment #6-1)

Response:

A primary design goal has been to retain the traditional rustic character and camp-like simplicity one would expect to find at a camp in Yosemite National Park. The design is intended to encourage a close connection between the students and nature, and provide an enriching experience far different from the urban environment many students experience back home. However, the sustainable design of the new campus will be far more energy efficient than the Crane Flat campus, and universally accessible. The campus itself will itself be a learning tool for resource conservation and sustainability.

Public Concern #92: YI should continue to provide programs at Crane Flat until Henness Ridge is complete.

"I would hope the existing facility at Crane Flat would continue to be utilized until the new facility is complete." (Individual, Comment #4-3)

Response:

If a new campus is approved for Henness Ridge, YI would continue to conduct programs at Crane Flat and Yosemite Valley while the new campus is under construction, but would relocate as soon as new facilities are available and ready for occupation.

Public Concern #94: The NPS should include a better description of the restoration of the 64-acre Wilderness parcel in the project description.

"While this is mentioned in several places in the DEIS, it is not included in the project description...." (Federal Agency, Comment #40-10)

Response:

This will be further described in the final EIS.

RESOURCES, GENERALLY (30000 – RESOU)

Public Concern #9: The NPS should also address Public Services, Recreation, and Agricultural Resources

"The DEIS should also address: Public Services, Recreation, and Agricultural Resources at the proposed locations." (State Agency, Comment #46-3)
Appendix B

Response:

These resource topics are given consideration in the draft EIS.

WATER RESOURCES (32000 – WATER)

Public Concern #21: The NPS should clarify what impacts pumping of the supply well will have on the water table.

"Clarify the discussion of impacts from the preferred alternative's groundwater pumping...on the water table, nearby wells, and surface water." (Federal Agency, Comment #40-9)

"The principle water source is to the Indian Creek which is seasonal and may lead to area water shortages." (Individual, Comment #25-5)

Response:

On the contrary, Alternative 3 would remove an existing surface creek diversion from Indian Creek. No longer drawing from Indian Creek, the well draws groundwater from nearly 1000 ft below surface. An extended pump test conducted Nov 8-13 and 14-21, 2008 indicated that there was no measurable impact on either the water of Indian Creek or the shallow aquifer associated with it (adjacent monitoring well); the drawdown was 2.8 feet, translating to a less than significant decrease of <10 ft per year (NEED to find the citation for the Wilson Rpt).

RARE, THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES (36000 – RTESP)

Public Concern #13: The NPS should better document the status of other birds and mammals, especially T&E species, present in significant numbers at Henness Ridge.

"better document the status of other birds and mammals present in significant numbers at Henness Ridge." (Individual, Comment #2-7)

"Many of the same species that live at Crane Flat also live at Henness Ridge." (Individual, Comment #25-8)

Response:

While both Crane Flat and Henness provide habitat for sensitive species such as owl and Pacific fisher, Crane Flat is distinctive for the quality and type of wildlife habitat it provides- high quality nesting and foraging habitat in close proximity. Crane Flat has recorded nesting sites of protected species, whereas the Henness site does not have recorded dens or nests of proposed threatened or endangered species. Nonetheless, the ridge line has been preserved and avoided in design as a wildlife travel corridor. Both fisher and owls require areas with denser canopy cover and a heavy accumulation of downed woody material, along with large trees with cavities that provide essential nesting and foraging habitat. The Henness Ridge proposed site is on a previously developed site, on a saddle between an isolated neighborhood and a major park highway; it is in a development zone within the wildland urban interface, and as such is managed to maintain lower tree density and fuels, according to the Fire Management Plan. As a mature forest/wet meadow ecotone, The Crane Flat campus area provides important edge habitat which supports higher species diversity and regionally, and the Crane Flat fen is a much more rare and sensitive habitat type. Mitigations include pre-construction surveys,
and on-going data collection and sharing to identify and protect critical habitat for rare species, as well as future species surveying and monitoring at Henness.

**Public Concern #60: The NPS should learn more about the flammulated owl population at Henness Ridge.**

"learn more about the flammulated owl population at Henness Ridge." (Individual, Comment #2-8)

**Response:**

Owls continue to be managed as park special-status species. Pre-construction surveys will be completed to determine whether owls are active in the area. If they are nesting in the vicinity of the project, mitigations will be implemented to avoid and protect nesting and foraging habitat, including establishing buffers, and minimizing sound and light disturbance, during nesting season. The park will continue to conduct and promote owl research and surveys in Yosemite. Students will also have the opportunity to participate and assist in long-term data collection and monitoring of owls and other wildlife species, under the direction of park biologists.

**AIR QUALITY (37000 – AIRQU)**

**Public Concern #6: The NPS should address the applicability of the Clean Air Act and EPA's general conformity regulations**

"The FEIS should address the applicability of the Clean Air Act section 176 and EPA's general conformity regulations." (Federal Agency, Comment #4-7)

**Response:**

Emissions resulting from construction of the Preferred Alternative have been calculated and a discussion of conformity is included in the final EIS.

**THE SOUND ENVIRONMENT (37800 – NOISE)**

**Public Concern #85: The NPS should account for impacts that go beyond the mapped project boundary.**

"The visual and audible impacts of the new campus are going to extend will beyond the neat boundary line that's shown...." (Individual, Comment #42-13)

"Visual impact as seen from Wawona Road and [Henness Ridge Road] seems to be inadequately addressed.” (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-10)

"The visual and audible impacts of the new campus are going to extend will beyond the neat boundary line that's shown...." (Individual, Comment #42-12)

**Response:**

The soundscape and scenic analysis performed as part of the draft EIS did take into account the surrounding topography and terrain. As noted in Figures 3–6 and 3-7 of the draft EIS, the potential visual impact points
were based on topography and approach to the campus. Thesoundscape analysis also took into account “recreational users of nearby trails and meadows” along with visitors, rather than claiming any potential impacts might end at an arbitrary line.

NPS uses contrast analysis, which can be summarized as “the degree to which a project or activity affects scenic quality or visual resources depends on the visual contrasts created or imposed by the project on the surrounding landscape. The contrasts can be measured by comparing the project’s features with the major features in the existing landscape. The area of potential effect varies depending on the resource being analyzed, but for nearly all resources, including scenic resources, the area considered in analysis extends well beyond the campus itself.

Most of the Henness Ridge campus would not be visible from the road and surrounding recreational areas, as it is downslope and screened by trees, and most visitors will pass quickly by, in their vehicles, along a sloped curve. Although some structures may create form and color contrasts with the surrounding landscape, viewer sensitivity is considered low in this area, as most travelers along Henness Ridge Road would be traveling either to or from the local residential and vacation accommodations, or to the campus itself.

CULTURAL RESOURCES (39000 – CULTU)

Public Concern #50: The NPS should correct their conclusion that no human remains will be found at Henness Ridge since the entire park contains human remains.

"The NPS conclusion that no American Indian remains will be found during construction under Alternative 3 is erroneous because the entirety of Yosemite contains human remains." (Individual, Comment #45-3)

Response:

NPS believes that an appropriate level of effort was expended to thoroughly review available cultural resource information for the Henness Ridge Site. Evaluation of the presence or absence of human remains is based on information collected by scientists and ethnographic information. The location has also been surveyed as part of five separate studies and archeological evidence does not suggest remains are located at that site.

However, operating procedures are in place in the unlikely event that human remains are exposed. If an artifact were to be discovered during construction of the Preferred Alternative, work would stop immediately, the proper agencies notified, and mitigation enacted pursuant to the EIS.

Public Concern #41: The NPS should preserve the historic Crane Flat buildings; NPS should reuse or relocate the historic structures.

"Such measures can in no way reduce demolition to a finding of no negative effect." (Individual, Comment #28-2)

"Save these buildings." (Individual, Comment #27-1)

"I think the option of retaining these important resources for both historic stewardship and financial reasons should be seriously considered." (Individual, Comment #28-1)

"Has any thought been given to preserving one of the CCC-era building and relocating it to the Pioneer History Center?" (Individual, Comment #34-1)
"There is a huge need for more employee housing and Crane Flat offers a great opportunity to answer this need." (Individual, Comment #42-11)

Response:

The Park Historic Preservation Officer has further developed appropriate mitigations in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, according to the 1999 Programmatic Agreement, following NHPA Sec. 106, Secretary of Interior's Guidelines for Historic Preservation, Executive Order 13006, and 36 CFR Part 800, which include HABS/HAER documentation for the structures to be removed, and retention and repair of one building eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, with interpretation of the history of the site at the nearby Tuolumne Grove visitor use area.

SPECIAL LAND DESIGNATIONS (40000 – SPECL)

Public Concern #30: The NPS should consider that the Wilderness addition could be made by placing a new tank along the highway.

"The wilderness addition can be made now, without a new campus, merely by putting in a new water tank along the highway at Chinquapin." (Individual, Comment #42-16)

Response:

Removing the existing dilapidated and non-potable utility system, modern vacant building, associated piping and other structures and associated garbage, and restoring the site allows for converting the Old Glacier Point Road to Wilderness, as permitted and directed by Congress, and as described as part of the proposed water utility system upgrade at Chinquapin under Alternative 3. Under Alternative 3, new water storage tanks would not be placed on the highway, but rather be concealed from view west of the new campus at Henness Ridge. This EIS serves as the compliance document for that proposed action.

ACCESS (51000 – ACCESS)

Public Concern #78: The NPS should provide assurance of continued access to the Halsey Tree Farm.

"We...need assurance that our continued use of our historic access route be unimpeded, including periodic trucks and equipment necessary for transport of selectively harvested logs." (Individual, Comment #18-2)

Response:

The park and VI will continue to provide traditional Right-of-Way access to the Halsey Tree Farm, and will encourage cooperation in planning and coordinating necessary access, and ensuring public safety during your sustainable forestry operations.
TRANSPORTATION (55000 – TRANS)

Public Concern #7: The NPS should address the issue of future expansion and associated traffic.

"The DEIS does not address the potential for future expansion which would likely mean additional traffic and possible congestion to the roads." (State Agency, Comment #46-8)

Response:

The draft EIS does consider the potential impacts of reasonably foreseeable actions as part of the cumulative impacts analysis. This would include the potential for additional traffic. However, potential actions that are purely speculative are not considered as reasonably foreseeable and therefore would not be included in this analysis.

Public Concern #8: The NPS should address the issue of road safety of busing students to Yosemite Valley (especially in winter conditions) and the increased risk facing charter bus drivers on the road to Henness Ridge.

"This curve required that the main entrance to the Institute be located on the Henness Ridge Road which could be an issue if there were an emergency evacuation in the area." (Individual, Comment #25-2)

"Yet the proposed campus would be placed at Chinquapin Pass which would require busing children down to Yosemite Valley using that "treacherous road" during times of the year when there is considerable inclement weather." (Individual, Comment #36-7)

"The transportation section does also not address the issue of road safety of busing students to Yosemite Valley (especially in winter conditions)... Nor does it address the increased risk facing charter bus drivers...that do not frequently drive in Yosemite's unique mountainous conditions." (Individual, Comment #16-2)

Response:

Traffic safety is a high priority for Yosemite and the YI program. The existing program uses much of the same routes, which are year-round state highways. No additional safety concerns are anticipated as a result of switching the campus to this new location.

Public Concern #18: The NPS should clarify the impacts to bus traffic in the Valley and whether there will be a reduction in total bus traffic in the Valley.

"Though the Henness Ridge campus is not Cedar Lodge, it will still require the busing of children down to the Valley, dispersing them in large numbers." (Individual, Comment #36-8)

"This leads to further increased traffic and overall visitation in a section of the park that currently has little visitation." (Individual, Comment #25-9)

"It is suggested that there would be a net decrease in traffic via buses into Yosemite Valley. Perhaps that is true of charter buses..., but not so for the total bus traffic in Yosemite Valley." (Individual, Comment #16-1)


Response:

Under the proposed action, bus round trips to Yosemite Valley will decrease by approximately two per week compared to the current program.

Public Concern #23: The NPS should collect impact fees toward future improvements at intersections.

"Impact fees should be collected toward future improvements on Tioga Road/Tuolumne Grove intersection, Big Oak Road/Tioga Road intersection, Wawona Road/Glacier Point Road, and Wawona Road/Hennes Ridge Drive intersections." (State Agency, Comment #46-5)

Response:

The NPS collects a fee from each user at the Park entrance. These funds are used to support park improvements, including road improvements. County roads that approach the Park are improved using county taxes. Therefore, there is no need for a special impact fee.

Public Concern #32: The NPS should consider the number of faculty trips.

"Won't most employees drive 45 minutes to/from work/home in El Portal?" (Individual, Comment #42-15)

"Additional information is needed to determine a reasonable number of trips for employees that will come and go..." (State Agency, Comment #46-6)

Response:

Under the current YL program, instructors commonly carpool to and from El Portal to Crane Flat with trips averaging five per day while classes are in session. Under the proposed action at Hennes Ridge, carpooling from El Portal is expected to continue with approximately seven trips per day. The EIS, including the Traffic Impact Analysis Report (Appendix II), considers these and other project-related trips.

Public Concern #44: The NPS should correct Table 4 of the transportation report to differentiate into peak morning and afternoon/evening traffic.

"Table 4 shows number of total incoming and outgoing trips, however, the table does not differentiate the trips into separate peak hour morning and afternoon/evening trips, for a total up to 28 trips." (State Agency, Comment #46-7)

Response:

The especially low number of trips (28) is well below any level of service threshold. A separation of those trips into morning and afternoon/evening subtotals would not change the analysis. For example, all 28 trips may occur in either the morning or afternoon with the same analysis results.

Public Concern #51: The NPS should discuss bicycle use in the DEIS.

"Include 'share the road' signs in the vicinity of Hennes Ridge, at the Chinquapin-Glacier Point Road intersection, and along Glacier Point Road." (Individual, Comment #2-3)
"The DEIS does not mention bicycle use." (Individual, Comment #2-9)

Response:

Although there may be a minor increase in bicycle use along Wawona Road by campus staff or visitors, this increase is not expected to be significant. YI students arrive by bus or carpool, and the YI program does not include bicycling as an activity.

Public Concern #54: The NPS should extend the reduced speed limit of 20 mph on Wawona Road South of Henness Ridge.

"It would be prudent to anticipate the effects of increased traffic...by extending the reduced speed limit of 20 mph on Wawona Road south of Henness Ridge." (Individual, Comment #5-1)

"anticipate the effects of increased traffic volumes through not only establishing turn lanes at the intersection of Wawona Road and Henness Ridge Road, but also by extending the reduced speed limit of 25 mph on Wawona Road South of Henness Ridge." (Individual, Comment #2-4)

Response:

Traffic safety improvements will include a reduced speed zone of 20 mph on Wawona Road, from the curve approaching the southern edge of campus to Chinquapin.

Public Concern #57: The NPS should install a turn lane at Hwy 41 and Henness Ridge.

"No matter what your engineering experts say, this expert says you must put in a turn lane." (Individual, Comment #20-1)

"We request that this be addressed in the final EIS, possibly by stipulating a turn/merge lane be included." (Conservation Organization, Comment #43-5)

Response:

The traffic analysis (Appendix H) determined that the traffic volume did not warrant a turn lane on Wawona or Henness Ridge Road. The park makes every effort to consider traffic safety for park visitors, and appropriate measures will be taken to improve traffic safety as determined necessary for visibility and traffic flow at the intersection and campus entrance.

Public Concern #58: The NPS should install pedestrian/hiker crossing signs near the Wawona Road-Henness Ridge Road

"Further, a pedestrian/hiker crossing sign should be placed near the Wawona Road-Henness Ridge Road intersection." (Individual, Comment #2-2)

Response:

Pedestrian signs will be included as traffic safety improvements under Alternative 3 (already in place at Crane Flat campus/Tioga Road crossing).
Public Concern #79: The NPS should provide the author the technical traffic appendices from Appendix H of the Traffic report.

"Appendix H does not show technical traffic appendices for the TIAR. Forecasting would like to review any specific data used to produce forecast and existing counts." (State Agency, Comment #46-9)

Response:

Current traffic data used in the analysis was taken from county and NPS traffic records as well as on-site counts take in June 2008. Future traffic projections were based on information supplied by NPS and the Yosemite Institute. The data, summarized as an appendix to the TIAR, is not included in Appendix H but is available for review.

Public Concern #81: The NPS should recognize that improvements along SR 41, 120 and 140 would impact Caltrans ROW and require CEQA.

"This work is subject to the California Environmental Quality Act. Therefore environmental studies may be required as part of the encroachment permits application." (State Agency, Comment #46-4)

Response:

Funding for road improvements inside of national parks is provided through the Federal Lands Highway Program (FLHP) since it began in 1982. However, the NPS and the Federal Highways Administration (FHWA) have cooperated since the inception of the NPS in 1916. The NPS and FHWA have had a formal relationship since 1926 to develop and maintain the current system of National Park Roads and Parkways. The main intent of the FLHP is to disburse funding to a coordinated program of public roads that serve the transportation needs of federal lands, not under state or local governmental responsibility.

The FHWA, operating through Interagency Agreements with federal land managing agencies including the NPS, oversees and administers a coordinated federal lands program, which includes forest highways, public lands highways, park roads and parkways, refuge roads, and reservation roads. Overall, the FLHP program is responsible for funding to maintain more than 90,000 miles of federally owned and public authority-owned roads, which provide access to and serve federal lands. The NPS maintains jurisdiction over approximately 8,900 miles of park roads and parkways.

Any improvements of roads inside of Yosemite National Park will be funded under the FLHP. Thus the FHWA, Central Federal Lands Highway Division, will be a cooperating agency on the design and construction of the project and all needed permitting and environmental compliance will be coordinated with FHWA.

PARK OPERATIONS (70000 – PARKO)

Public Concern #35: The NPS should consider what additional stress the new facility would place on the power grid.

"One wonders what added stress that would put on the grid." (Individual, Comment #25-3)
Response:

The park is coordinating with PG&E to determine the peak load from development at Henness Ridge. Energy use is not expected to overload the grid due to various energy conservation measures. For example, the campus will use geothermal energy to provide heat to campus buildings and propane will be used for cooking and emergency use. The campus will be highly energy efficient with a goal of net-zero energy use. Net-zero use means that the consumption of energy at the campus is no more than the energy produced by the campus in a given year.
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APPENDIX C: MITIGATION MEASURES
COMMON TO ALL ACTION ALTERNATIVES
(BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES)

The National Park Service places a strong emphasis on avoidance, minimization, and mitigation of impacts. To help ensure that field activities associated with the environmental education campus protect natural, cultural, and social resources and the quality of the visitor experience, mitigation measures have been developed. The following section discusses mitigation measures that would occur prior to, during, and after construction of the proposed improvements.

PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION

The Construction Contractor shall prepare a Health and Safety Plan to address all aspects of Contractor health and safety issues compliant with OSHA standards and other relevant regulations. The Plan shall be submitted for park review and approval prior to construction.

An Oil and Hazardous Materials Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan shall be prepared by the Construction Contractor for the project to address hazardous materials storage, spill prevention and response. The Plan shall be submitted for park review and approval prior to construction.

A Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) shall be prepared by the Construction Contractor and implemented for construction activities to control surface run-off, reduce erosion, and prevent sedimentation from entering water bodies during construction. The SWPPP shall be submitted for park review and approval prior to construction.

A construction work schedule shall be prepared by the Construction Contractor for the project that minimizes effects on wildlife in adjacent habitats, peaks in visitation, and noise levels near residential housing and visitor lodging areas. The work schedule shall be submitted for park review and approval prior to construction.

The park shall develop a Communications Strategy Plan to alert necessary park and Concessionaire employees, residents and visitors to pertinent elements of the construction work schedule.

Supervisory construction personnel shall attend an Environmental Protection briefing provided by the park prior to working on site. This briefing is designed to familiarize workers with statutory and contractual environmental requirements and the recognition of and protection measures for archeological sites, sensitive habitats, water resources, and wildlife habitats.

Protective barriers shall be placed around areas adjacent to the project area that require special attention as identified by the park, such as specified staging areas, trees, plants, root zones, river edges, aquatic habitats, wetlands, sensitive wildlife habitats, cultural resource features, and infrastructure. Barriers shall be installed prior to construction and field inspected by natural and cultural resource personnel to verify proper placement.

The architectural character of the new building shall be consistent with the Mission 66 houses and apartment building and would feature dark stained board- and- batten exterior siding. Ongoing consultation with Yosemite’s History, Architecture, and Landscapes Branch shall be required to maintain the appropriate
character for development while minimizing adverse affects to landscape features such as topography, views and vegetation.

Construction Contractor shall ensure that any imported soils, fills or aggregates are free of deleterious materials. Sources of imported materials shall be compiled by Construction Contractor and submitted for park review and approval prior to construction.

The Underground Services Alert (USA) shall be informed by construction personnel 72 hours prior to any ground disturbance to enable Valley Utilities staff to verify the on site location and depth (elevation) of all existing utilities and services through field survey (potholing).

**DURING CONSTRUCTION**

The Construction Contractor shall implement and comply with all requirements of the Oil and Hazardous Materials Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan prepared and approved for the project.

The Construction Contractor shall implement and comply with all operational compliance required by the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) issued for the project.

Construction waste shall be separated into recyclable materials, green waste, and other debris that shall be placed in refuse containers daily and disposed of weekly. Recycled, toxic-free, and environmentally sensitive materials, equipment, and products shall be utilized whenever possible. Burning or burying of waste is strictly prohibited.

Wastewater contaminated with silt, grout, or other by-products from construction activities shall be contained in a holding or settling tank to prevent contaminated material from entering watercourses or wetlands.

Hazardous or flammable chemicals shall be prohibited from storage in the staging area, except for those substances identified in the Oil and Hazardous Materials Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan. Hazardous waste materials shall be immediately removed from project site in approved containers.

Machinery and equipment shall be parked over containment pads designed to trap any leaking oil, fuel or hydraulic fluids and inspected daily.

Secondary containment shall be required for all fuel storage. Routine oiling, lubrication, and refueling shall be conducted with secondary containment and is prohibited in the River Protection Overlay, water courses or wetlands at any time.

Spill response materials including absorbent pads, booms, and other materials to contain hazardous material spills shall be maintained on the project site to ensure rapid response to spills.

The Park Project Manager shall be immediately notified of all spills or releases of hazardous materials. Any spill release shall be digitally photographed or videotaped as part of response activities.

Disruption of utility service will require advanced notification to the park, concessionaire and residents prior to scheduled disruptions. Unexpected interruptions due to construction activities shall promptly be reconnected.
The Construction Contractor shall implement and comply with the Exotic Species Management Plan prepared by the park for the project.

All construction tools and equipment entering the park shall be cleaned by means of pressure washing and/or steam cleaning to arrive on-site free of mud or seed-bearing material. Each piece of equipment shall undergo inspections immediately prior to entry of the park.

Clearing of vegetation and ground disturbance shall be minimized to the greatest extent possible.

Vegetation salvage, seed collection and revegetation shall be implemented as defined in the Revegetation Plan.

Topsoil shall be salvaged, segregated during storage, and reused in the proper location and depth. Wetland soils shall be salvaged and reused as fill in wetland areas. Stockpiles of soils infected with fungal pathogens (root rot) must not be moved and reused in non-infected areas of the park. Equipment buckets, tires and hand tools used in areas containing root rot shall be cleaned prior to removal.

Soil and stump treatment prescriptions shall be executed according to the park’s Root Rot Management Guidelines and the park’s Forester. All stumps from excavations shall be disposed of in a legal manner outside of the Yosemite National Park boundary.

Stationary noise sources shall be located as far as possible from residential housing and visitor lodging and camping areas. Construction equipment shall not be left running while standing by. All on-site work that generates noise levels above 76db at the site boundary in the vicinity of residential housing and visitor lodging and camping areas shall be done between 8am and 5pm.

Lockable, bear-proof dumpsters and food storage containers shall be delivered to the construction site by the park for construction crew use.

Excavation sites must be monitored or covered to avoid trapping wildlife and routes of escape should be maintained. The construction site shall be inspected daily for appropriate covering and flagging of excavation sites. Each morning the project area shall be inspected for wildlife trapped in excavation pits. A qualified biologist will be available to inspect all excavations before refilling occurs.

A Construction Contractor representative shall be designated to monitor the worksite daily for proper disposal of waste, wrappers, and food packaging.

Site watering and slow truck speeds shall be managed as appropriate to control dust. When hauling dry materials, truck beds will be securely covered to prevent blowing dust or loss of debris.

Appropriate signage shall be located and sequenced during construction activities to ensure safe and efficient traffic and pedestrian circulation. Information about traffic detours and recreational closures shall be provided to visitors as they enter the park at each entrance station.

**POST CONSTRUCTION**

All tools, equipment, barricades, signs, surplus materials, debris, and rubbish shall be removed by the Construction Contractor from the project work limits upon project completion.
The park will monitor the success of revegetation efforts. Plant materials used for revegetation shall remain alive and in a healthy, vigorous condition for a period of one year after final acceptance of planting. The project site shall be monitored by qualified park personnel in accordance with the Exotic Plant Management Plan and Revegetation Plan. All plants determined to be in unhealthy condition shall be replaced.

The park will monitor and remove invasive species from the project area for a period of four years post construction in accordance with the Exotic Plant Management Plan and Revegetation Plan.
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APPENDIX D: SPECIAL-STATUS SPECIES EVALUATION AND ACCOUNTS

SPECIAL-STATUS SPECIES CATEGORIES

Special status species are identified as special status by federal, state, or relevant non-governmental organization and are categorized in Table D-1 as:

- Federal Endangered (FE): Any species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its national range.
- Federal Threatened (FT): Any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its national range.
- Federal Candidate (FC): Any species for which there is sufficient information on their biological status and threats to propose them as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), but for which development of a proposed listing regulation is precluded by other higher priority listing activities.
- Federal Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC): Migratory and non-migratory bird species (beyond those already designated as Federally threatened or endangered) that represent the highest conservation priorities and in need of conservation action.
- California Endangered (CE): Any species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range in the state.
- California Threatened (CT): Any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its state range.
- California Species of Special Concern (CSC): Any species that may become vulnerable to extinction on a state level from declining population trends, limited range, and/or continuing threats; could become threatened or endangered.
- California Fully Protected (CFP): Species (including federal and state listed) that are rare or face possible extinction for which the State provides additional protection. The State of California regulates the possession and taking of these species.
- California Candidate (CC): Any species for which there is sufficient information on their biological status and threats to propose them as endangered or threatened, but for which development of a proposed listing regulation is precluded by other higher priority listing activities.
- California Watch-list (CWL): These species occupy much of their native range, but were formerly more widespread or abundant within that range. The populations of such species need to be assessed periodically and included in long-term plans for protection.
Other Federal or State Agency

- Yosemite National Park Sensitive Plant (PS): Plant species that are locally rare natives, listed by the California Native Plant Society, endemic to the park or its local vicinity, at the furthest extent of their range, of special importance to the park (identified in legislation or park management objectives), the subject of political concern or unusual public interest, vulnerable to local population declines, or subject to human disturbance during critical portions of their life cycle.

- U.S. Forest Service Sensitive (USFS:S): Species identified by a regional forester that are not listed or proposed for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act for which population viability is a concern, as evidenced by significant current or predicted downward trends in population numbers or density, or significant current or predicted downward trends in habitat capability that would reduce a species' existing distribution.

- Bureau of Land Management (BLM:S): Those species that are (1) under status review by the FWS/NMFS; or (2) whose numbers are declining so rapidly that Federal listing may become necessary, or (3) with typically small and widely dispersed populations; or (4) those inhabiting ecological refugia or other specialized or unique habitats.

Non-governmental Organization

- American Bird Conservancy: United States WatchList of Birds of Conservation Concern (ABC:WL): The United States WatchList is a joint project between the American Bird Conservancy and the National Audubon Society. It lists those bird species in greatest need of immediate conservation attention to survive a convergence of environmental challenges, including habitat loss, invasive species, and global warming. The list is based on the latest available research and assessments from the bird conservation community, along with data from the Christmas Bird Count and Breeding Bird Survey.

- Western Bat Working Group High Priority (WBWG:H): Bat species designated as “High Priority” are imperiled or are at high risk of imperilment based on available information on distribution, status, ecology, and known threats.

- Western Bat Working Group Medium Priority (WBWG:M): Bat species designated as “Medium Priority” warrant closer evaluation, more research, and conservation actions of both the species and possible threats. A lack of meaningful information is a major obstacle in adequately assessing these species' status and should be considered a threat.
Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PLANTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Camp brodiaea&lt;br&gt;Brodiaea pallida</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>In old, intermittent (vernal) stream channel with serpentine substrate. About 1250 feet elevation. Valley and foothill grassland (vernal streambeds, serpentine); elevation 1260 feet (California Native Plant Society 2001).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis.&lt;br&gt;This species typically occurs at lower elevations than the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa pussy-paws&lt;br&gt;Calyptridium pulchellum</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>Sandy soils of decomposed granite, primarily in foothill oak woodlands. 1310-3600 feet elevation (USFWS 1994).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis.&lt;br&gt;This species typically occurs at lower elevations than the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succulent owl’s clover&lt;br&gt;Castilleja campestris ssp. succulenta</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>Small, seasonal pools. Mostly restricted to vernal pools in the southern portion of the Central Valley of California (USFWS 1997).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis.&lt;br&gt;This species typically occurs in more southern regions than the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoover’s spurge&lt;br&gt;Chamaesyce hooveri</td>
<td>FT/CH</td>
<td>Chamaesyce hooveri is endemic to California and is restricted to the dried mudflats in the deepest portions (often middle) of Vernal Pools along the eastern edge of California’s Central Valley (USFWS 1997).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colusa grass&lt;br&gt;Neostapfia colusana</td>
<td>FT/CH</td>
<td>Colusa grass is endemic to California and restricted to small, seasonal pools</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin Valley Orcutt grass</td>
<td>FT/CH</td>
<td>Small, seasonal pools. This annual herb is endemic to California and is mostly restricted to vernal pools in the Central Valley of California (USFWS 1997).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orcuttia inaequalis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairy Orcutt grass</td>
<td>FE/CH</td>
<td>Small, seasonal pools. This annual herb is endemic to California and is mostly restricted to vernal pools in the Central Valley of California (USFWS 1997).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orcuttia pilosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layne’s butterweed</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>Restricted to chapparral communities on gabbroic and serpentine soils in El Dorado, Yuba and Tuolumne counties, California (USFWS 1996).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senecio layneae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene’s tuctoria</td>
<td>FE/CH</td>
<td>Grows in the bottom of dried vernal pools in open grassland on the eastern side of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valleys (USFWS 1997).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuctoria greenei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Hills vervain</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>Along intermittent and perennial streams with serpentine substrates. 850 -1310 feet elevation. Narrowly restricted to mesic serpentine situations in in the Red Hills of Tuolumne County, California (USFWS 1994).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. This species typically occurs at lower elevations than the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbena californica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Rock Cress</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Dry forests in mixed conifer, montane, and subalpine zones</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Occurrences of this species occur directly adjacent to the Crane Flat campus. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for an analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabis repanda var.repanda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There are no documented occurrences of this species within the Henness Ridge area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresno Mat Ceanothus fresnensis</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>This endemic plant inhabits the central Sierra Nevada in the vicinity of Yosemite. It is a prostrate shrub in the Buckthorn family (Rhamnaceae) that forms rigid mats that hug the ground in montane chaparral communities.</td>
<td>There are no documented occurrences of this species within the Crane Flat area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in Analysis. There are occurrences of this species at Henness Ridge. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Lady’s-slipper Cypripedium montanum</td>
<td>CWL</td>
<td>Mainly northerly, occurring on slopes. Lady’s Slipper grows on a wide variety of substrates in wooded communities with 60-80 percent canopy closure in mixed conifer and mixed evergreen/oak woodland plant communities. These are known to occur in Elevenmile Meadow.</td>
<td>There are no documented occurrences of this species within the Crane Flat area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas ex Lindley</td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in Analysis. While there are no reported occurrences at Henness Ridge, there are reported occurrences at Eleven Mile Meadow for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolander’s Dandelion Phalacroseris breweri</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Occurs in high elevation (5,906 to 9,600 feet) meadows.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. While there are no occurrences of this species within the Crane Flat campus, there are occurrences adjacent to the Crane Flat campus. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for an analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There are no documented occurrences of this species within the Henness Ridge area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Habitat Type/Occurrence</td>
<td>Determination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitneya</td>
<td>Fed State Other</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. While there are no occurrences of this species within the Crane Flat campus, there are occurrences adjacent to the Crane Flat campus. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitneya dealbata</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shady wooded sites. Whitneya has been located across Tioga Pass Road from the Crane Flat facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henness Ridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There are no documented occurrences of this species within the Henness Ridge area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservancy fairy shrimp</td>
<td>FE/CH</td>
<td>Restricted to approximately ten disjunct localities each comprised of one to twenty turbid, slightly alkaline, large, deep, vernal pools and winter lakes in California grassland areas (Eng et al. 1990)</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branchinecta conservatio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernal pool fairy shrimp</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>This species inhabits vernal pools and similar ephemeral wetlands. It is most commonly found in grassed or mud bottomed pools or basalt flow depression pools in unplowed grasslands (Eng et al. 1990).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branchinecta lynchi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley elderberry longhorn beetle</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>This endemic beetle is only found in association with its host plant, elderberry, and is restricted to fewer than 10 locations on the American River, Putah Creek and the Merced River (USFWS 2006).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmocerus californicus dimorphus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vernal pool tadpole shrimp</td>
<td>Fed/CH</td>
<td>One of the more widely distributed California tadpole shrimp. Endemic to the northern</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lepidurus packardi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>Central Valley of California and found in a variety of seasonally ponded habitat types</td>
<td>from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>including: vernal pools, swales, ephemeral drainages, stock ponds, and reservoirs</td>
<td>effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(USFWS 2004).</td>
<td>evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This species does not occur within Yosemite National Park.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISH</td>
<td></td>
<td>The species does not occur in Yosemite National Park, however, the park contains the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta smelt Hypomesus</td>
<td>FT/CT</td>
<td>headwaters of tributaries that feed into downstream habitat for the species.</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. This species does not occur within Yosemite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transpacificus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>National Park. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>further.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Special-Status Species Evaluation*

*Yosemite Environmental Education Center D-7*

*Final Environmental Impact Statement*
## Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paiute cutthroat trout  <em>Oncorhynchus</em> (=Salmo) <em>clarki seleniris</em></td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>Historic range included the Silver King Creek system, Toiyabe National Forest, California. Introduced above Llewellyn Falls from downstream; later, the population below the falls hybridized with introduced rainbow trout (Behnke 1992). Introduced populations occur in other streams and lakes in California, including the North Fork of Cottonwood Creek (Mono County), Stairway Creek (Madera County), and Cabin and Sharktooth creeks (Behnke 1992). The species does not occur in Yosemite National Park, however the park contains the headwaters of tributaries that feed into downstream habitat for the species.</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. This species does not occur within Yosemite National Park. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Fed</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley steelhead</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spawns in the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and their tributaries; the majority of native, natural production occurs in upper Sacramento River tributaries below Red Bluff Diversion Dam, but these populations are nearly extirpated; the American, Feather, and Yuba (and possibly the upper Sacramento and Mokelumne) rivers also have naturally spawning populations, but these have had substantial hatchery influence and their ancestry is not clearly known; in the San Joaquin River system, current range apparently includes only small populations in the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced rivers (tributaries) and the mainstem San Joaquin River to its confluence with the Merced River (NMFS 1996). This species occurs in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary and tributaries. Though the species does not occur in Yosemite National Park, the park contains the headwaters of tributaries that feed into downstream habitat for the species.</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. This species does not occur within Yosemite National Park. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REPTILES AND AMPHIBIANS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California red-legged frog</td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>Found in quiet pools in permanent streams in mixed conifer zones and foothills. Critical habitat for the California red-legged frog had been designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service northwest of the project area (Unit 5) within Yosemite National Park (final rule dated March 13, 2001, Federal Register 66:14625-14674) (USFWS 2001). However, the proposed revised critical habitat (USFWS 2005) does not include Unit 5. The last verified record for red-legged frog in Yosemite is from 1984, at a lake in the northern portion of the park. Recent surveys have found no remaining red-legged frogs.</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rana aurora draytonii</em></td>
<td>State</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite toad</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Inhabits high elevation (6,400 to 11,300 feet) wet meadows in the central high Sierra Nevada. Subject to wet meadow degradation within its restricted range.</td>
<td>Considered Further in Analysis. There have been no detections of this species from the meadow system at Crane Flat, and at 6,200 feet elevation is slightly below the elevation range for this species. However, Crane Flat Meadow represents potential, although perhaps marginal habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaxyrus canorus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in Analysis. While there are no reported occurrences, Eleven Mile Meadow may support potential habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Habitat Type/Occurrence</td>
<td>Determination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Nevada yellow-legged frog</td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>Inhabits lakes, meadow streams, and ponds in mid-to–high elevation mountain habitats</td>
<td><strong>Removed from Further Analysis.</strong> Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rana sierrae</em></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>between 6,000 to over 12,000 feet.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California tiger salamander</td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>Restricted to the central portion of California and lives in vacant or mammal-occupied</td>
<td><strong>Removed from Further Analysis.</strong> Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ambystoma californiense</em></td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>burrows (e.g., California ground squirrel, valley pocker gopher) (Trenham 2001),</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>occasionally other underground retreats, throughout most of the year; in grassland,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>savanna, or open woodland habitats.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giant garter snake <em>Thamnophis</em></td>
<td>FT</td>
<td>Habitat of this highly aquatic species includes primarily marshes and sloughs,</td>
<td><strong>Removed from Further Analysis.</strong> Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>gigas</em></td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>sometimes low-gradient streams, ponds, and small lakes, with cattails, bulrushes,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>willows, or other emergent or water-edge vegetation usually present and used for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>basking and cover in the Central Valley of California (USFWS 1993)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern goshawk <em>Accipiter</em></td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>Inhabits coniferous forests, usually mature, open stands to promote below canopy</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Northern goshawks have been observed and could potentially nest in forested habitats on or adjacent to the project area. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>gentilis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>maneuverability and prey capture. Known to occur in Yosemite National Park.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Northern goshawks have been observed and could potentially nest in forested habitats on or adjacent to the project area. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<p>| Species                        | Status | Habitat Type/Occurrence                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Determination                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Crane Flat                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Henness Ridge                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cooper’s hawk <em>Accipiter cooperi</em> | CWL    | Inhabits woodlands and forests up to 9,000 feet in the Sierra Nevada. Often occurs adjacent to openings and hunts along wooded edges (NPS 1997a). Numerous recent records for Yosemite, especially in Yosemite Valley.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <strong>Considered Further in Analysis.</strong> The Crane Flat project site vicinity supports suitable habitat for this species. There are reported occurrences of this species from Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <strong>Considered Further in Analysis.</strong> The Henness Ridge project site vicinity supports suitable nesting and foraging habitat for this species. There are reported occurrences of this species at Henness Ridge. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. |
| Sharp-shinned hawk <em>Accipiter striatus</em> | CWL    | Inhabits coniferous forests, usually dense stands for nesting within a mixed or patchy forest community for higher prey densities. Known to occur throughout the west slope of the Sierra Nevada, including Yosemite.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <strong>Considered Further in Analysis.</strong> Sharp-shinned hawk could potentially nest in forested habitats on or adjacent to the project area and have been observed at Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <strong>Considered Further in Analysis.</strong> Sharp-shinned hawk could potentially nest in forested habitats on or adjacent to the project area and have been observed at Henness Ridge. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences for analysis of effects on this species. |
| Golden eagle <em>Aquila chrysaetos</em> | BCC, CFP, CWL | Found in a wide range of elevations in the park. Needs open terrain for hunting. Feeds primarily on small mammals. Nests on cliffs and in large trees in open areas.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <strong>Considered Further in Analysis.</strong> Golden eagles have been known to occur in the vicinity of Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <strong>Considered Further in Analysis.</strong> Golden eagles have been known to occur at Henness Ridge. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-eared owl</strong></td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>Crane Flat</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> The Crane Flat project site vicinity supports suitable nesting and foraging habitat for this species. There are reported occurrences of this species from Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asio otus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Henness Ridge</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> The Henness Ridge project site vicinity supports suitable nesting and foraging habitat for this species. There are reported occurrences from Henness Ridge. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flammulated owl</strong></td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Crane Flat</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> The Crane Flat project site vicinity supports suitable breeding habitat for this species. There is a reported occurrence of this species from Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otus flammeolus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Henness Ridge</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> The Henness Ridge project site could possibly support the highest breeding density of this species in the park. There are numerous reported occurrences of this species from Henness Ridge. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Great gray owl</strong></td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>Crane Flat</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Great-gray owls have been documented at the Crane Flat site annually for nearly 40 years. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strix nebulosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>Henness Ridge</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Great-gray owls have been documented in the Elevenmile Meadow. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis*

- **Fed**: Federal
- **State**: State
- **Other**: Other
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California spotted owl</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>The California spotted owl is considered a habitat specialist because of its dependence on old-growth and late-successional habitat and its tendency toward selecting stands that have higher structural diversity and significantly larger trees.</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable roosting, nesting and foraging habitat for this species occurs within the project area, with one report of a nest site located near the campus. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. <strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable roosting, nesting and foraging habitat for this species exists at Henness Ridge, with reported nest sites. Numerous observations have been made at Henness Ridge and other nearby locations including Elevenmile Meadow. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strix occidentalis</em> occidentalis</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable nesting habitat for this species occurs within the greater project area and numerous observations of this species have been made at Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. <strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable nesting habitat for this species occurs within the Henness Ridge site. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaux’s swift</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>Inhabits mixed-coniferous forest in Coast Ranges, Cascade, and Sierra Nevada. Often in the vicinity of water. Requires large residual snags for nesting and roosting.</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable nesting habitat for this species occurs within the greater project area and numerous observations of this species have been made at Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. <strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable nesting habitat for this species occurs within the Henness Ridge site. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White-headed woodpecker <em>Picoides albolarvatus</em></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>Inhabits mixed-montane coniferous forest in the Sierra Nevada. The dominant requisite</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. The Crane Flat project site supports suitable roosting,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>habitat components are abundance of mature pines, relatively open canopy, and availability</td>
<td>nesting, and foraging habitat for this species. There are several reported occurrences of this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>of snags and stumps for nest cavities. This species persists in burned or cutover forest</td>
<td>species from Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with residual snags and stumps.</td>
<td>for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. The Henness Ridge project site vicinity supports suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>roosting, nesting, and foraging habitat for this species. There are reported occurrences of this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>species from Henness Ridge. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Reported occurrences in the Crane Flat project area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suitable nesting habitat occurs in the vicinity of Crane Flat area. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Reported occurrences in the Henness Ridge project area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suitable nesting habitat occurs at Henness Ridge area. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. While there are no reported occurrences at Henness Ridge,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eleven Mile Meadow may support potential habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Reported occurrences in the Crane Flat project site vicinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>supports suitable nesting habitat for this species. There are reported occurrences of this species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>from Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in Analysis. While there are no reported occurrences at Henness Ridge, Eleven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mile Meadow may support potential habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Fed**: Federal Status
- **State**: State Status
- **Other**: Other Status
- **Crane Flat**
- **Henness Ridge**
### Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hermit warbler</strong></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>Inhabits coniferous forests throughout Sierra Nevada, Cascade, and north Coast Ranges.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Hermit warblers are a common breeding species found annually at the Crane Flat site for over 15 years. The campus vicinity contains suitable breeding habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yellow warbler</strong></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Inhabits riparian woodlands, mixed conifer and other coniferous forest habitats, usually with substantial understory brush. In recent decades, numbers of individuals have declined dramatically in Yosemite National Park (DeSante et al. 2007).</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Suitable nesting habitat for this species exists at Crane Flat and there have been several documented occurrences in the last few decades. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAMMALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Henness Ridge contains suitable nesting habitat and there have been several documented occurrences of this species at Eleven Mile Meadow. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pallid bat</strong></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Primarily found below 6,000 feet in elevation, in a variety of habitats, especially oak, ponderosa pine, and giant sequoia habitats. Roosts in rock outcrops, caves, and especially hollow trees.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species occurs within the vicinity of the project area. This species has been detected at Crane Flat in the vicinity of the campground. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: BCC = California; CSC = Canada; ABC: WL = California, Oregon, Washington.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Townsend's big-eared bat</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>Found in all habitats up to alpine zone. Requires caves, mines, or buildings for roosting. Prefers mesic habitats where it gleans from brush or trees along habitat edges.</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable habitat for this species occurs within the vicinity of Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corynorhinus townsendii</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable habitat for this species occurs within the vicinity of Henness Ridge. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotted bat</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>Rare throughout range, but relatively abundant in Yosemite National Park. Uses crevices in rock faces for roosting and reproduction. Forages in a wide variety of habitats, primarily for moths.</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Although suitable roosting habitat is absent, this species has been documented in the vicinity of Crane Flat. Suitable foraging habitat exists at Crane Flat. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euderma maculatum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable foraging habitat for this species occurs in the vicinity of Henness Ridge. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver-haired bat</td>
<td>WBWG M</td>
<td>The silver-haired bat is a forest bat, associated primarily with northern temperate zone conifer and mixed conifer/hardwood forests with available water (Pierson et al. 2006).</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> No surveys for silver-haired bats have been conducted at Crane Flat however suitable habitat exists for their occurrence and this species has been documented near the project area at Tuolumne Grove. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasionycteris noctivagans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> No surveys for silver-haired bats have been conducted at Henness Ridge, however suitable habitat exists for their occurrence. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western red bat <em>Lasiurus blossevillii</em></td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>Although the majority of records for this species are from coastal riparian habitats, males are noted to move to higher elevations in summer and have been observed at Yosemite National Park.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. No surveys for western red bats have been conducted at Crane Flat however suitable habitat exists for their occurrence. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoary bat <em>Lasiurus cinereus</em></td>
<td>WBWG :M</td>
<td>The hoary bat is the most widespread of all North American bats and is found throughout California. The hoary bat is associated with cottonwood riparian habitat, and is also found in forested areas.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. No surveys for hoary bats have been conducted at Crane Flat however suitable non-breeding habitat exists for their occurrence and this species has been documented near the project area at Tuolumne Grove. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Habitat Type/Occurrence</td>
<td>Determination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Western small-footed myotis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Occurs mostly above 6,000 feet and in wooded and brushy habitats near water. Forages among trees and over water. Breeds in colonies in buildings, caves, and mines (NPS 1997a). Suitable habitat for this species occurs within Yosemite National Park.</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable roosting habitat for this species occurs within the forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of the project area represent suitable habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myotis ciliolabrum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-eared myotis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wide range, from coast to high Sierra Nevada, in montane oak woodlands and coniferous habitats. Roosts primarily in hollow trees, especially large snags or lightning-scarred, live trees.</td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Suitable roosting habitat for this species occurs within the forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of the project area represent suitable habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myotis evotis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Considered Further in this Analysis.</strong> Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of the project area represent suitable roosting habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fed State Other</strong></td>
<td>BLM:S WBWG :M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fringed myotis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myotis thysanodes</em></td>
<td>BLM:S WBWG :H</td>
<td>Found to at least 6,400 feet in the Sierra Nevada, in deciduous/mixed conifer forests. Feeds over water, in open habitats, and by gleaning from foliage. Roosts in caves, mines, buildings, and trees, especially large conifer snags.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Suitable roosting habitat for this species occurs within the forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of the project area represent suitable habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-legged myotis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myotis volans</em></td>
<td>WBWG :H</td>
<td>Found up to high elevations in the Sierra Nevada, in montane coniferous forest habitats. Forages over water, close to trees and cliffs, and in openings in forests. Roosts primarily in large-diameter snags. Forms nursery colonies numbering hundreds of individuals, usually under bark or in hollow trees.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Suitable roosting habitat for this species occurs within the forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of the project area represent suitable habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Suitable roosting habitat for this species occurs within the forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of the project area represent suitable habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.
Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yuma myotis Myotis yumanensis</td>
<td>Fed: BLM: S</td>
<td>Usually occurs below 8,000 feet in elevation. Forages over open, still, or slow-moving water and above low vegetation in meadows. Roosts in buildings, caves, or crevices. Nursery colonies of several thousand individuals may be in buildings, caves, or mines.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Suitable roosting habitat for this species occurs within the forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of the project area represent suitable habitat for this species. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western mastiff bat Eumops perotis californicus</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>Found in a variety of habitats to over 9,800 feet in elevation. Roosts primarily in crevices in cliff faces, and occasionally trees. Detected most often over meadows and other open areas, but will also feed above forest canopy, sometimes to high altitudes (1,000 feet).</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. No surveys for western mastiff bats have been conducted at Crane Flat however suitable foraging habitat exists. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Nevada Mountain beaver Aplodontia rufa californica</td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>Generally found in association with moist meadows and montane riparian habitat and occasionally with open, brushy stages of most forest types in the Sierra Nevada.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Suitable habitat occurs in the vicinity of the Crane Flat project area. There have been documented occurrences of this species within the project vicinity. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Feeding</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>American marten</strong> <em>Martes americana</em></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>State: USFS:S Other: S</td>
<td>Inhabits dense, complex coniferous forests with large trees and snags. Occurrence records range from 4,000 to 13,000 feet.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. The habitat type in the project area and vicinity could be occasionally used by martens for forage, dispersal, and cover; however, existing human disturbances likely precludes denning activity. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. Considered Further in this Analysis. The habitat type in the project area and vicinity could be occasionally used by martens for forage, dispersal, and cover; however, existing human disturbances likely precludes denning activity. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pacific Fisher</strong> <em>Martes pennanti pacifica</em></td>
<td>Fed: FC State: CC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fisher are generally found in stands with high canopy closure, large trees and snags, large woody debris, large hardwoods, and multiple canopy layers between 2,000 and 8,500 feet in elevation.</td>
<td>Considered Further in this Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is found within the vicinity of the project area and could be used by fisher for foraging, dispersal, and cover; however, existing human disturbances may preclude denning activity. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species. Considered Further in this Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is found within the vicinity of the project area and could be used by fishers for foraging, dispersal, and cover. Refer to Chapter 3, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences, for analysis of effects on this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>San Joaquin Kit Fox</strong> <em>Vulpes macrotis mutica</em></td>
<td>Fed: FE State: CT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Restricted to alkali sink, valley grassland, foothill woodland in San Joaquin Valley, California. Hunts in areas with low sparse vegetation that allows good visibility and mobility (Biosystems Analysis 1989).</td>
<td>Removed from Further Analysis. Suitable habitat for this species is absent from the project area. There is no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effect on this species from the proposed action, and this species is not evaluated further.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table D-1. Special-Status Species Considered in This Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Habitat Type/Occurrence</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fed</td>
<td>Crane Flat</td>
<td>Henness Ridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
<td>PS – Yosemite National Park Sensitive Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>USFS:S – U.S. Forest Service Sensitive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLM:S – Bureau of Land Management Sensitive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBWG:H – Western Bat Working Group High Priority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WBWG:M – Western Bat Working Group Medium Priority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH – Critical Habitat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key to Status

- **FE** – Federal Endangered
- **FT** – Federal Threatened
- **FC** – Federal Candidate
- **BCC** – Federal Bird of Conservation Concern
- **CE** – California Endangered
- **CT** – California Threatened
- **CSC** – California Species of Concern
- **CFP** – California Fully Protected
- **CC** – California Candidate
- **CWL** – California Watch List

- **PS** – Yosemite National Park Sensitive Plant
FULL ACCOUNTS OF SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES CONSIDERED FURTHER IN ANALYSIS

Plants

YOSEMITE ROCK CRESS *Arabis repanda* var. *repanda*

*Status*. Yosemite National Park Sensitive

*General Distribution*. Dry forests in mixed conifer, montane, and subalpine zones. This park-sensitive species is poorly documented in Yosemite. It is a biennial in the Mustard family (Brassicaceae) found in dry forests in mixed conifer, montane, and subalpine zones.

*Habitat and Status in the Project Area*. The population mapped near Crane Flat contains about 1,550 plants, mostly seedlings.

FRESNO MAT *Ceanothus fresnensis*

*Status*. Yosemite National Park Sensitive

*General Distribution*. This endemic plant inhabits the central Sierra Nevada in the vicinity of Yosemite. It is a prostrate shrub in the Buckthorn family (Rhamnaceae) that forms rigid mats that hug the ground in montane chaparral communities. This plant is endemic to the central Sierra Nevada in the vicinity of Yosemite. It is a prostrate shrub in the Buckthorn family (Rhamnaceae) that forms rigid mats that hug the ground.

*Habitat and Status in the Project Area*. It is locally common in the vicinity of Henness Ridge and Chinquapin.

MOUNTAIN LADY’S-SLIPPER *Cypripedium montanum* Douglas ex Lindley

*Status*. California Native Plant Society Watch List

*General Distribution*. The geographic range is within the range of the northern spotted owl at elevations from 1500-6500 ft. Mainly northerly, occurring on slopes. Lady’s Slipper grows on a wide variety of substrates in wooded communities with 60-80 percent canopy closure in mixed conifer and mixed evergreen/oak woodland plant communities.

*Habitat and Status in the Project Area*. These are known to occur in Elevenmile Meadow.

BOLANDER’S DANDELION *Phalacroseris breweri*

*Status*. Yosemite National Park Sensitive

*General Distribution*. Occurs in high elevation (5,906 to 9,600 feet) meadows. This plant is endemic to the central and southern Sierra Nevada. In Yosemite it is known from meadows on the Glacier Point Road, Crane Flat, and Tamarac Flat. It is a perennial plant in the Aster family (Asteraceae).

*Habitat and Status in the Project Area*. The population mapped at Crane Flat consists of about 140 individuals.
WHITNEYA  *Whitneya dealbata*

**Status.** Yosemite National Park Sensitive

**General Distribution.** Shady wooded sites. This park-sensitive plant is a Sierra Nevada endemic with a limited distribution in Yosemite National Park and California. It is an herbaceous perennial and member of the Aster family (Asteraceae).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** The mapped population located across Tioga Pass Road from Crane Flat consists of about 1,600 individuals.

Amphibians

**YOSEMITE TOAD  *Bufo canorus***

**Status.** Federal Candidate, California Species of Special Concern, IUCN Endangered, USFS Sensitive

Kagarise Sherman and Morton (1993) and Drost and Fellers (1996) suggested that Yosemite toads have declined in and around Yosemite National Park. Drost and Fellers (1996) resurveyed for Yosemite toads across a transect of the Sierra Nevada mountains that documented Yosemite toad detections in the early 1900s (Grinnell and Storer 1924). In the park, Drost and Fellers (1996) reported that the Yosemite toad had disappeared from 6 of 13 localities where they had previously been present, and were observed in low numbers at most sites. In 1997, a survey of over 260 sites in Yosemite found the Yosemite toad at a total of only five sites (Fellers and Freel 1995, Fellers 1997). During 1999, the Yosemite toad was found at 14 sites out of a total of 291 sites that were surveyed. During the Yosemite Lake Survey conducted 2002-2003, Yosemite toads were detected at 74 of the 2,655 (3%) surveyed water bodies (Knapp 2003). In 2002, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service determined that the listing of Yosemite toad under the Endangered Species Act is “warranted” although “precluded” by other higher priority listing actions (Federal Register 2002). Current threats facing the Yosemite toad in and around Yosemite include cattle and packstock grazing, timber harvesting, recreation, disease, conifer encroachment, and climate change (Federal Register 2002).

**General Distribution.** The historic range of Yosemite toads in the Sierra Nevada occurs from the Blue Lakes region north of Ebbetts Pass (Alpine County) to 3 mi south of Kaiser Pass in the Evolution Lake/Darwin Canyon area (Fresno County) (Jennings and Hayes 1994). The historic elevational range of Yosemite toads is 4,790 to 11,910 ft (Stebbins 1985). Yosemite toads may be found in areas with thick meadow vegetation or patches of low willows near or in water, and use rodent burrows for overwintering and temporary refuge during the summer (Jennings and Hayes 1994). Breeding habitat includes the edges of wet meadows, slow flowing streams, shallow ponds, and shallow areas of lakes. Yosemite toads have been reported from elevations ranging from 6,400 to 11,480 ft (Karlstrom 1962).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Yosemite toads emerge from hibernation when melting snow forms pools near their overwintering sites (Karlstrom 1962, Kagarise Sherman 1980, Jennings and Hayes 1994). Observed emergence times range from early May to the middle of June (Kagarise Sherman 1980). They exhibit breeding behavior soon after emergence, at which time males form breeding choruses (Jennings and Hayes 1994). Yosemite toads generally breed in wet meadows or shallow portions of wetland complexes, characterized by slow-flowing runoff streams with short emergent sedges (Sadinski 2004). Egg laying typically occurs from mid-April to mid-July, depending on local conditions. Eggs are deposited in shallow water with silty bottoms in wet meadows or in shallow tarns surrounded by forest (Karlstrom 1962).
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**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Adult and juvenile Yosemite toads are lie- and-wait predators. They remain motionless until a prey item approaches, then strike and capture the prey with their sticky tongues (Kagarise Sherman and Morton 1984). The examined stomach contents of Yosemite toads have included beetles, ants, centipedes, spiders, dragonfly larvae, mosquitoes, and moth and butterfly larvae (Grinnell and Storer 1924; Mullally 1953). They will also prey on flies, bees, wasps, millipedes (Kagarise Sherman and Morton 1984), spider mites, crane flies, springtails, owl flies, and damsel flies (Martin 1991). Yosemite toad tadpoles graze on detritus and plant material such as algae and will also eat other items such as lodgepole pine pollen. Yosemite toad tadpoles can be carnivorous and will eat other Yosemite toad tadpoles, Pacific chorus frog (previously Pacific treefrog) (*Pseudacris regilla*, previously *Hyla regilla*) tadpoles, diving beetle larvae, and dead mammals (Martin 1991).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Currently there are no presence/absence data for Yosemite toad at either project area. Crane Flat and Henness are located at or below Yosemite toads’ lower elevation range; however the wet meadow habitats at Crane Flat or Elevenmile Meadows may potentially support individuals.

**Birds**

**NORTHERN GOSHAWK Accipiter gentilis**

**Status.** California Species of Special Concern, Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, California Department of Forestry Sensitive, USFS Sensitive, California Bird Species of Special Concern

Surveys in Yosemite suggest that the density of nesting goshawks in the park is high relative to areas outside the park, which probably reflects the high quality of relatively intact forest habitats in the park (Maurer 2000). Except for localized effects from development, goshawk habitats in Yosemite are relatively intact and probably support near-natural numbers of this species. Habitat loss and degradation are the primary known threats to northern goshawks (Squires and Kennedy 2006). Loss of habitat includes such factors as logging, toxic chemicals, fire suppression, disease, shooting, and falconry (Bloom et al. 1986).

**General Distribution.** Northern goshawks occupy temperate and boreal forests throughout the Holarctic (Brown and Amadon 1968, Squires and Reynolds 1997). In North America, they breed from boreal Alaska and Canada south in the East as far as Pennsylvania and New York and in the West to the mountains of southern Arizona and New Mexico (Squires and Reynolds 1997). In California, their core breeding range includes most of the northern Coast Ranges, the Klamath and Siskiyou mountains, across the Cascades, Modoc Plateau, and Warner Mountains, and south through the Sierra Nevada. They are year-round residents throughout all or most of the California range, though in winter some individuals remain on or near breeding territories while others migrate short distances to winter elsewhere (Keane 1999). Throughout their range, they inhabit moderately dense coniferous forests broken by meadows and other openings, between 4,920 and 8,860 ft elevation.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Nesting generally begins in March or early April. Northern goshawks typically nest in the largest trees of dense, north-facing stands of coniferous, mixed, and deciduous forests (Zeiner et al. 1990). During courtship, they repair old nests or build new stick nests in mature live trees. Clutch size usually consists of 2 to 4 eggs. In the Sierra Nevada, goshawks breed in elevations with mixed conifer forests up to higher lodgepole pine forests (Fowler 1988). Pairs defend their territory where they maintain one to eight alternate nest trees (Squires and Reynolds 1997). General nesting habitat characteristics include older seral stages, high basal area, high canopy closure, open understories, gentle

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Northern goshawks forage in mature and old-growth forests that have relatively dense canopies (Beier and Drennan 1997), but also hunt among a variety of vegetative cover, including meadow edges (Younk and Bechard 1994). Goshawk studies indicate a dependence on squirrels such as the Douglas squirrel (*Tamiasciurus douglasii*) and golden-mantled ground squirrel (*Spermophilus lateralis*), and mid-sized forest birds, such as Steller’s jay (*Cyanocitta stelleri*) and northern flicker (*Colaptes auratus*) (Schnell 1958, Bloom et al. 1986, Woodbridge et al. 1988, Keane et al. 2006). Goshawks hunt from tree perches, scanning the ground and lower canopy for prey. As such, an open understory improves the chances of detection and capture of prey (Reynolds et al. 1992).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Northern goshawks have been observed on 155 different occasions in Yosemite, including five records in the Crane Flat vicinity (1976, 1982, 1992, and 1993) and four records in the Henness vicinity (1980, 1982, 1993, and 1994) (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009). Key breeding requirements, including suitable nesting and foraging habitat and adequate prey, probably exist in the project areas.

**COOPER’S HAWK Accipiter cooperi**

**Status.** The Cooper’s hawk is currently listed in the State of California as a watch list species. Cooper’s hawk populations declined as a result of the use of pesticides such as DDT, but have begun to recover since DDT was banned in 1972. One threat facing Cooper’s hawks today is degradation and loss of habitat. Management activities such as logging may make former habitat unsuitable for breeding. Cooper’s hawks are protected under the U.S. Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

**General Distribution.** The Cooper’s hawk is a medium-sized accipiter found throughout the Sierra Nevada from the foothills to approximately 9,000 feet elevation. This species is most commonly found in low to mid-elevation riparian areas and oak woodlands, particularly in montane canyons (Garrett and Dunn 1981; Curtis et al. 2006). Less frequently it is found in dense coniferous forest communities, but is not necessarily associated with older, complex forest structure. This species is found in medium to younger forest age classes with smaller diameter trees, forest openings, and a more developed shrub cover compared to northern goshawk.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Cooper’s hawks begin breeding as early as March. Clutch size is usually 3 to 6 eggs. The eggs hatch after 32 to 36 days, during which time they are incubated primarily by the female. Young become independent at about 8 weeks (Stoper and Usinger 1968, Peterson and Peterson 2002, Rosenfield and Bielefeldt 1993).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Cooper’s hawks are predators primarily of birds and small mammals. They also occasionally feed upon reptiles and amphibians. When hunting, Cooper’s hawks usually perch in a hidden location and watch for prey. They wait until their prey is unaware of their presence, then quickly swoop down and seize it. Other small birds, chipmunks and squirrels are common prey for Cooper’s hawks. The prey taken by an individual Cooper’s hawk is largely influenced by the size of the bird; larger hawks eat larger prey than smaller hawks.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** The Henness Ridge site supports habitat suitable for Cooper’s hawk nesting. NPS (2007) survey results indicated that a Cooper’s hawk was detected in the vicinity of Henness Ridge in 2006. Cooper’s hawks have been known to occur at Crane Flat.
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SHARP-SHINNED HAWK *Accipiter striatus*

**Status.** California Watch List Species

Sharp-shinned hawks are found throughout wooded habitat in the park from 3,935 to 6,890 ft in elevation. Observations of this species in Yosemite are relatively rare; 33 observations are listed in the Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database (2009). The last record of a sharp-shinned hawk nesting in Yosemite Valley occurred in 1930. In Yosemite, their habitat is largely intact, except for localized habitat destruction from roads and development. Because of the species’ secretive nature, particularly during the breeding season (Reynolds and Wight 1978), few data exist on the historical and current population. Declines in counts at migration watch sites in eastern North America from the 1940s to the early 1970s have been attributed to widespread use of DDT and its effects on reproduction (Snyder et al. 1973, Henny 1977, Newton 1979, Cade et al. 1988); a rebound in numbers followed the U.S. ban of DDT (Bednarz et al. 1990). Dependence on relatively large tracts of contiguous forest for nesting, at least until recently, has almost certainly affected distribution historically (Bildstein et al. 2000).

**General Distribution.** Sharp-shinned hawks occur across most of North America, inhabiting woodlands and forests, hunting in openings and along edges. In California, they breed in a variety of forested habitats between 3,935 and 6,890 ft elevation. In winter, they often descend to lower elevations to all but the most barren and open habitats.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Sharp-shinned hawks arrive on breeding territory in April and early May. Nests of the sharp-shinned hawk are typically located in dense stands of small conifers which are moist, cool, and well-shaded. They are often in areas near water with little ground cover. The nest is usually located 8 to 62 ft up in a tree (Bildstein et al. 2000), against the trunk on horizontal limbs in dense, well-developed portions of the crown well below the top of the canopy (Wiggers and Kritz 1991). Breeding habitats include ponderosa pine, black oak, riparian deciduous, mixed conifer, and Jeffrey pine. They tend to select habitats containing a riparian component on a north-facing slope.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Diet is composed almost entirely of small birds, and occasionally small mammals, reptiles, and insects. Hunting generally occurs in forest openings and edges, and brushy areas. Sharp-shinned hawks often burst in sudden flight from a perch to surprise their prey, and may also hunt in low, gliding flights.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Both project areas appear to contain suitable nesting and foraging habitat for sharp-shinned hawks. (Gaines 1992) noted nesting behavior on the west slope of Crane Flat at 6,230 ft elevation. Sharp-shinned hawks have been observed on 33 different occasions in Yosemite, including three records in the Crane Flat vicinity (1978, 1990, and 1994) and two records in the Henness vicinity (1984 and 2006) (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009). The latter detection at Henness Ridge was during a site visit conducted by a Yosemite NPS biologist on 6 September 2006.

GOLDEN EAGLE *Aquila chrysaetos*

**Status.** California Fully Protected, Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection Sensitive, California Watch List Species, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Bird of Conservation Concern

Golden eagle adults, young, eggs, and nests have been protected since 1962 in the U.S. by the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. They are further protected in Canada, Mexico, and the U.S. by the Migratory Bird
Humans have caused greater than 70% of recorded golden eagle deaths, directly or indirectly (Franson et al. 1995). Accidental trauma (collisions with vehicles, power lines, or other structures) is the leading cause of death (27%), followed by electrocution (25%), gunshot (15%), and poisoning (6%) (Franson et al. 1995). Degradation of habitat in the form of large-scale fires since 1980, mining and energy development, urbanization, and human-population growth has resulted in a decreased number of nesting pairs (Kochert et al. 1999). Recreation and other human activity near nests can cause breeding failures, but most evidence is anecdotal or correlative (Scott 1985, Steidl et al. 1993, Watson 1997).

**General Distribution.** Golden eagles occur over most of North America, ranging from high alpine habitats to low deserts. Nearly all nesting in the United States occurs west of the Great Plains, with the rest of the range used primarily by migrants (Palmer 1988). In California, they inhabit foothills, mountainous areas, sage-juniper flats, and desert habitats (Zeiner et al. 1990). In the Sierra Nevada, golden eagles favor grasslands and areas of shrubs or saplings, and open-canopied woodlands of young blue oaks. In late summer, they often range to above timberline (Zeiner et al. 1990).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** In the Sierra Nevada, golden eagles breed from mid-January to late September, with a peak between late April and August. Nests are typically constructed on a cliff ledge with a good view of surrounding habitat, at elevations usually below 7,875 ft. Large trees are also used occasionally (Menkens and Anderson 1987). Clutch size ranges from 1 – 3 eggs, but is usually 2, which are laid from early February to mid-May. Incubation lasts from 43 to 45 days, and the nesting period lasts 65 – 70 days (Zeiner et al. 1990). A nesting pair of golden eagles occupies a nest site on Elephant Rock in the Merced River gorge east of El Portal, in most years.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Golden eagles feed mostly on rabbits and rodents, but may also take other mammals, birds, reptiles, and carrion. They hunt in meadows, clearings, rock outcroppings, granite shelves, fell fields, talus, and other open or openly wooded habitats, but avoid dense forests (Gaines 1992). They employ three main strategies to search for prey: soaring, still-hunting from a perch, and low contouring flight (Edwards 1969, Dunstan et al. 1978, Dekker 1985, Palmer 1988).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Whereas the local habitats of the project areas probably do not contain suitable nesting structures, both project areas are within the home range of breeding pairs and contain large snags, valued as hunting perches. In 2008, a NPS biologist observed a golden eagle perched on one of the larger snags at Henness Ridge during a site visit (Ann Roberts, pers. comm.). Golden eagles have been observed on 262 different occasions in Yosemite, including two records in the Crane Flat vicinity and 11 records in the Henness vicinity (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009). Overall, the relatively intact habitats in Yosemite are beneficial to golden eagles, and recent large fires in the park have likely expanded the area of suitable foraging habitat by providing more open terrain.

**LONG-EARED OWL Asio otus**

**Status.** California Species of Special Concern, California Bird Species of Special Concern

In Yosemite National Park, little is known about the status of the long-eared owl. In California, numbers of long-eared owls have been declining since the 1940’s. Known factors in this decline are destruction and fragmentation of riparian woodlands, live oak habitats, and isolated tree groves, but other factors may also be present. The species’ decline in southern California has been attributed to the loss of riparian and grassland habitats to development (Marti and Marks 1989, Bloom 1994).
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**General Distribution.** The Long-eared Owl inhabits open and sparsely forested habitats across North America and Eurasia between 30° and 65°N latitude (Marks et al. 1994). Long-eared owls are found across most of the United States, but are uncommon throughout their range. In the Sierra Nevada, this species is found from blue oak savannah up to ponderosa pine and black oak habitats, usually in association with riparian habitats. In Yosemite, they are known to nest in riparian forests and oak-conifer woodlands (Gaines 1992). Long-eared owls will also use live oak thickets and other dense stands of trees for roosting and nesting (Zeiner et al. 1990).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Long-eared owl nest sites are typically in trees with dense canopy coverage and in proximity to meadow edges for greater hunting opportunities. In southwestern Idaho, the average height of 130 nests was 10.5 ft above ground (range 4.3-27.2 ft); most nests were located about mid-height in the nest tree (Marks and Yensen 1980, Marks 1986). Typical nests are abandoned stick nests built by another bird, such as crow, raven, magpie, or hawk (Glue 1977, Marks 1986). Nesting occurs from mid-March to mid-May, with usually 4–5 eggs per nest (Marks et al. 1994). Known nesting locations of long-eared owls in Yosemite are few, but include one in Yosemite Valley in 1915.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Long-eared owls search for prey in low, gliding flights in open areas and occasionally woodland and forested habitats (Zeiner et al. 1990). Prey consists mostly of voles and other small rodents, and occasionally other birds (Marks et al. 1994).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Both project areas appear to contain suitable nesting and foraging habitat for long-eared owls. The species has been observed on 22 different occasions in Yosemite National Park, including two records at Crane Flat in October 1982 and June 1986, a pair observed at Henness Ridge (Gaines 1992), and nine records from Glacier Point Road (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009). Long-eared owls may be more numerous than we think; virtually nothing is known of their population status, habitat requirements, and prey in the park (Gaines 1992).

**FLAMMULATED OWL Otus flammeolus**


The flammulated owl is a small forest owl, considered a common summer resident locally (Winter 1974, Garrett and Dunn 1981), but vulnerable and possibly declining in some areas. The species inhabits montane forests from ponderosa pine to red fir forests, though they are found predominately in ponderosa pine. Flammulated owls favor small openings, and edges and clearings with snags for nesting and roosting. Predators include spotted owls, other large owls, and accipiters; eggs and young may be preyed upon by squirrels, long-tailed weasels, and other mammals.

**General Distribution.** The breeding range of the flammulated owl extends from southernmost British Columbia (Godfrey 1966) south to Central Mexico (Sutton and Burleigh 1940) and from the Pacific Coast Mountains (except Oregon and Washington; Winter 1974) east to the Rocky Mountains (Linkhart et al. 1998). Flammulated owls are thought to engage in long-distance migrations, wintering from central Mexico south to the highlands of Guatemala and El Salvador (Phillips et al. 1964). In California, flammulated owls breed in the North Coast and Klamath Ranges, Sierra Nevada, and in suitable mountain habitats in southern California. They occur in montane regions from 6,000-10,000 ft elevation. Migration timing and environmental cues.
used by flammulated owls, such as wind, temperature, and moon phase, are mostly unknown (McCallum 1994).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** The flammulated owl is generally found in coniferous habitats with low to intermediate canopy closure. The species breeds May through October; peak breeding season occurs in June and July. Territory size is seldom more than 900 ft in diameter, and varies from 1.6 to 4 ha. Territories may be distributed singly, or in loose colonies (Ehrlich et al. 1988). In the Sierra Nevada, Winter (1974) reported that for two males, the average home range was 40 ha. Marshall (1939) found 18 males on a 3.2 mi² site, and 4 males and 1 female on a 20 ha site. Breeding densities vary from 3.2 to 5.2 males per 100 ha (Marshall 1939, Winter 1974).

Flammulated owls nest in cavities or woodpecker holes (usually northern flicker, occasionally pileated woodpecker) in aspen, oak, or pine trees. They select nest cavities 3-39 ft above ground (Bull and Anderson 1978) and may compete for nest sites with western screech-owls, American kestrels, and other secondary cavity-nesting species. In the Blue Mountains of Oregon, Thomas (1979) estimated that minimum tree dbh used for nesting was 12 in. Clutch size ranges from 2 to 5 nestlings, usually 3-4, with one brood per year; rarely two. Males feed incubating females.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** The diet of flammulated owls consists almost entirely of insects, primarily owlet moths (Noctuidae), beetles (Coleoptera), crickets and grasshoppers (Orthoptera; Ross 1969, Balda et al. 1975), and occasionally small vertebrates (Cannings 1994, Linkhart and Reynolds 1994, Oleyar et al. 2003). This diet probably forces them south of locations where low fall/winter temperatures drastically reduce insect abundance (McCallum 1994). The flammulated owl forages by hawking insects from a tree or snag, or gleaning insects from branches, trunks, or the ground. This small forest owl roosts close to the trunk of fir or pine trees; and also uses cavities in snags or trees for cover.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Flammulated owls are one of the least studied and least understood birds in Yosemite National Park. Very little information exists on the breeding status of flammulated owls and their habitat requirements. However, breeding habitat appears to be present at both project sites, with possibly the highest breeding density of flammulated owls in the entire park centered around Henness Ridge. Based on anecdotal observations, a breeding colony has inhabited Henness Ridge for decades. Between 1962 and 2007, 12 of 27 park-wide observations have been from the Henness area (NPS 2007). One observation was near Crane Flat at the Merced Grove on July 7, 1925. Most park observations are from May or June (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009).

**GREAT GRAY OWL Strix nebulosa**

**Status.** California State Endangered, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection Sensitive, USFS Sensitive

Based on the owl’s apparent restricted range in California and a 1979 state population estimate of 50 individuals from surveys (Winter 1980), the owl was listed as State Endangered under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) on October 2, 1980, and is currently listed as such. Genetics research is currently underway which will indicate if the great gray owl in and surrounding Yosemite is a genetically distinct population or subspecies, which could potentially elevate its conservation status under the Endangered Species Act (Keane et al. 2008). Recent estimations place the state-wide population between 100-200 individuals (Winter 1980, Rich 2000) or 80 individuals (Maurer 2006). The species limited distribution, relative isolation, and small population size in California is probably due to ecological constraints.
coupled with land use patterns, including development, logging, and grazing on public and private lands in the Sierra Nevada (Winter 1986). Yosemite’s montane meadows are currently protected from timber harvest, grazing activities, and major developments, which has probably contributed greatly to maintaining suitable great gray owl habitat within the park. However, human activity and development in and adjacent to park meadows can disrupt great gray owl foraging behavior, which may reduce foraging success and compromise breeding success. Wildman (1992) reported that in 1987-88 visitors were present in meadows at Crane Flat at the same time as an owl from 5% to 10% of the time and flushed owls about 25% of the time. When flushed by visitors, owls typically flew into the forest, did not return to the meadow 57% of the time to resume hunting, and those that returned did so about 50 minutes after human activity had ceased. Birdwatchers caused 50% more flushes than non-birdwatchers. As is the case with all small populations, great gray owls in the Yosemite area are at high risk of population declines or extinctions in the case of cumulative disturbances, a disease, such as West Nile Virus, or habitat loss that threatens prey populations or snags suitable for nesting.

General Distribution. The great gray owl is a large forest owl that ranges across northern boreal and temperate forests in both North America and Eurasia. Throughout its circumpolar range, the species is considered rare. In California, great gray owls are restricted to the Sierra Nevada and southern Cascades. The core breeding distribution is centered on Yosemite National Park and the immediately adjacent and surrounding Stanislaus, Sierra, and Sequoia National Forests, with a few additional documented pairs in Sequoia-Kings Canyon National Park (Winter 1986, Rich 2000, Keane 2001). The California population is the southern-most population in the world, with the closest known breeding population occurring in southern Oregon (Bull and Duncan 1993). The great gray owl is apparently a habitat specialist in the Yosemite region that requires functioning wet montane meadow habitat for foraging adjacent to forest stands with high canopy closure and a significant decadent component consisting of large, standing snags – especially red and white fir – for nesting and successful reproduction, along with suitable wintering foraging habitat during the non-breeding period. In the Sierra Nevada during the breeding season, there are approximately 50 meadows used by great gray owls; including about 35 in Yosemite that have been used in the last 20 years (Maurer 2006, Keane et al. 2008). Casual observers have reported over 200 records of great gray owl observations in Yosemite National Park (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009).

Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat. Great gray owls are monogamous and breed from about March to August. Incubation begins in April and lasts for approximately 30 days; eggs hatch from mid-May to mid-June. The nestling period is about 3 to 4 weeks, after which the young fledge in early June to early July. The fledglings often initially fall from their nests and end up on the ground, unable to fly for another 1 to 2 weeks. During this period, the owlets make use of leaning snags to access perches and roosts up off the ground away from predators (Bull and Duncan 1993) and remain in the vicinity of the nest stand through August. Young may be dependent on adults for food up to three months after fledging (Bull and Duncan 1993).

In the Sierra Nevada, great gray owls nest in mature red fir, mixed conifer, or lodgepole pine forests near wet meadows or other vegetated openings (Zeiner et al. 1990) between 2,460 to 8,860 ft elevation (Greene 1995). In California, almost all reported great gray owl nests have been in the tops of large diameter broken snags (Winter 1980) that are usually within about 230 to 330 ft from a meadow. In the greater Yosemite area, great gray owls tend to nest in large, broken-topped conifer snags, particularly red fir (Abies magnifica) or white fir (Abies concolor) (Maurer 1994, Greene 1995), and in lower elevations have also been found in black oak (Quercus kellogi) (Greene 1995, Keane et al. 2008), and very rarely in stick nests (Maurer 2006). In the park, red or white fir nest snags (n = 11) in Yosemite averaged about 46 ft high and averaged about 44 in dbh (Maurer 1994 and Greene 1995). Great gray owls can also nest on structures constructed by humans. On the Stanislaus NF, primarily in the Ackerson Meadow area, several dozen conifers were enhanced by topping a tree, usually a rot-resistant incense cedar (Calocedrus decurrens), and hollowing out a depression in the
remaining bole. Nine of these structures were used by nesting great gray owls between 1985 and 1996 (Greene 1995). Breeding requirements include high densities of large-diameter snags, a large degree of canopy closure for adequate nestling thermoregulation and nest concealment (Greene 1995), adequate numbers of hunting perches, and vole abundance (Winter 1981, 1982). Both montane meadows and large-diameter snags have been significantly affected by management practices, specifically grazing, timber harvest, fuels management, and fire suppression (Greene 1995, Keane 2001).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Great gray owls feed primarily on rodents in meadows, but may also take other prey items, such as birds, amphibians, and mustelids (Zeiner et al. 1990). In Yosemite, surveys found that voles (Microtus spp.) and pocket gophers (Thomomys spp.) make up 90% of the prey biomass in pellets (Winter 1986, Reid 1989). Reproductive success of the great gray owl has been shown to vary synchronously with annual prey abundance throughout its range (Hoglund and Lansgren 1968, Pulkkinen and Loisa 1977, Winter 1986, Bull et al. 1988, Reid 1989, Duncan 1992). Greater vole abundance was characterized by greater vegetation height, plant cover, and soil moisture (Greene 1995).

Great gray owls forage primarily along edges of forest openings, particularly along meadow edges (Winter 1986, Franklin 1988). Over 60% of 5,338 relocations on nine adult and three juvenile radio-tagged owls in Yosemite from 1986-90 were within 330 ft of a meadow (van Riper and van Wagtendonk 2006). Winter (1986) suggested that owls require 10-12 hectares of meadow area to successfully reproduce. Greene (1995) found meadow area averaged 18.7 hectares with a range from 6.7 to 40.3 hectares at 10 reproductive sites in Yosemite and 8 in the Stanislaus NF. Great gray owls forage primarily at night and also frequently during dawn and dusk, perhaps in response to peak daily prey activity periods (Reid 1989, Wildman 1992). Diurnal foraging activity probably decreases when owls are not paired or their nest has failed (Winter 1986, Wildman 1992). Great gray owls hunt from low to moderately high (0-30 ft) perches along the meadow edge and within the meadow habitat, making use of lower limbs of large trees of snags, the tops of young trees, or fallen logs, where they detect prey using sight or sound. Great gray owls, like many other species of owls, have exceptional hearing and can pinpoint prey even when they are not visible.

In general, great gray owls in the Sierra Nevada migrate downslope during the winter, when their prey becomes unavailable at most breeding sites due to snow cover (Skiff 1995). Many of the owls that breed in Yosemite, winter outside the park on private lands or Forest Service lands subject to multiple-use practices, making these critical wintering grounds vulnerable to significant habitat alteration due to greater logging, grazing, and development practices.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Both project areas contain critical habitat for great gray owls in Yosemite. Great gray owls have been observed at the Crane Flat Meadow complex almost every year since 1970 and every year since 1979 to present (2008), although reproduction has been documented there in only seven years (1952, 1986, 1972, 1974, 1991, 1992, and 1994) (Maurer 2006). Elevenmile Meadow receives much less visitation than Crane Flat and has not been regularly surveyed for owls. Thus, great gray owl observations are limited to September 1993 by the NPS forestry crew, and during surveys by great gray owl researchers during winters 1987-1990 (1/7-1/12 1987, 2/26-3/18 1988, 2/8-29 1990 (Skiff 1995), in September 2007 (feathers collected by Keane et al. 2008), and a vocalizing male on April 7, 2008 (Joe Medley pers. comm.). Elevenmile Meadow appears to be used by great gray owls occasionally during the breeding season and regularly during the winter. Reproduction has not been documented in Crane Flat since 1994, although survey effort since that time has been limited to 1999, and 2004 to 2008. At Crane Flat, several visitor and employee facilities, developments and activities as well as park projects occur that likely alter owl behavior and habitat use patterns (Maurer 2006). In addition, owls in this area are also at high risk of auto collision, a significant source of mortality among adult great gray owls. Since about 1990, at least 14 owls have
been hit and at least 12 killed by vehicles in the greater Yosemite region, including two hit at Crane Flat in the summer of 2003 (Maurer 2006). Human development and activities, including noise and light, and automobile traffic, may impact great gray owl presence, foraging success, and reproductive success both inside and outside Yosemite (Wildman 1992, Maurer 1999).

**CALIFORNIA SPOTTED OWL *Strix occidentalis occidentalis***

*Status.* California Species of Special Concern, American Bird Conservancy Green List, Audubon Watch List, Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, IUCN Near Threatened, United States Bird Conservation Watch List, USFS Sensitive, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Bird of Conservation Concern, California Bird Species of Special Concern

The California spotted owl is a year-round resident within most of its range (Davis and Gould 2008). Whereas the outline of the overall range has remained stable, populations have steadily declined (Davis and Gould 2008). The primary threat to the owl is habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation from timber harvest, large stand-replacing wildfires, and development (Davis and Gould 2008). A new and rising threat to the spotted owl is the recent invasion of its range by the barred owl (*Strix varia*) (Davis and Gould 2008).

On April 3, 2000, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service received a petition to list the California spotted owl as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. On February 14, 2003, the Service announced that after reviewing the best available scientific and commercial information available, they found that the petitioned action was not warranted.

**General Distribution.** The California spotted owl ranges from the southern Cascades south throughout the entire Sierra Nevada, and in the central Coast Ranges. Population density in Yosemite is higher than elsewhere in the Sierra Nevada; in Yosemite density was estimated from 0.25 to 0.46 owls .62 mi$^2$; whereas the mean density in surrounding areas in the Sierra Nevada was estimated from 0.10 to 0.21 .62 mi$^2$ (Roberts 2008). Although Roberts (2008) did not calculate home ranges, owl pairs in Yosemite (1 pair per 3.5 mi$^2$) exceeded the mean home range estimate throughout California (6.5 mi$^2$; Zabel et al. 1992). Roberts (2008) estimated 315 spotted owl pairs in Yosemite, with 154 pairs in burned mixed-conifer forest and 161 pairs in unburned forest.

Most known sites with spotted owls are located at elevations from 4,265 ft to 7,220 ft (Gould and Norton 1993, Roberts 2008). In 1988 and 1989, California Department of Fish and Game conducted spotted owl surveys across 142,700 acres of forest habitat in Yosemite; and detected owls at a total of 58 sites (Gould and Norton 1993). In the summer of 2000, Steger (2000) conducted spotted owl surveys at specific sites that could be affected by the Yosemite Valley Plan/EIS, comprising Foresta (no detections), Big Oak Flat Entrance (single male), Badger Pass (two pairs within about .9 mi), Wawona (no detections), South Entrance (two pairs within 1.6 mi), El Portal (no detections), and Yosemite Valley (four detections).

From 2004 to 2006, Roberts (2008) investigated patterns of occupancy and reproduction of spotted owls within burned and unburned mixed-conifer forests in Yosemite. The survey sites were distributed randomly along an elevation gradient (4,640 to 7,990 ft) on the west slope of the Sierra Nevada. Using nocturnal surveys (116 hours) between April and July 2004 to 2006, Roberts (2008) detected 19 spotted owl nesting pairs, two single males, and 22 fledglings; and fitted 30 adults and five subadults with unique number and color leg bands.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** The California spotted owl is considered a habitat specialist because of its dependence on old-growth and late-successional forests (Forsman et al. 1984, Gutiérrez and
Carey 1985, Gutiérrez et al. 1992, Verner et al. 1992a) and its tendency toward selecting stands that have higher structural diversity and significantly more large trees than those generally available (Moen and Gutiérrez 1997). The California spotted owl nests and roosts in forests and woodlands characterized by high basal areas of trees and snags (>24 in diameter at breast height [dbh]), dense canopies (>75% canopy closure), multi-layered canopy, and downed woody debris (Bias and Gutiérrez 1992, Gutiérrez et al. 1992, Verner et al. 1992b, LaHaye et al. 1997, Moen and Gutiérrez 1997, Roberts 2008). Large, old trees have been identified as the key component for providing nest sites and cover from inclement weather and adding structure to the forest canopy and woody debris to the forest floor (Davis and Gould 2008). In addition, a range of tree sizes between 4 in and 20 in dbh that contribute to a multi-layered understory is probably important for allowing the nestlings to efficiently thermoregulate (Barrows 1981, Weathers et al. 2001, Roberts 2008).

Roberts (2008) reported that California spotted owl reproductive success in Yosemite was best explained by a model that combined the positive effect of total basal area for live trees •4 in dbh) and the negative effect of elevation. Reproductive success was higher at burned sites compared to unburned sites, with an average of 0.58 and 0.35 fledglings produced per nest, respectively (Roberts 2008). In the Sierra Nevada, the spotted owl predominately occur in mixed-conifer forest, and to a lesser extent, red fir (Abies magnifica) forest at higher elevations (Davis and Gould 2008) and oak woodlands at lower elevations (Guetirrez 1992, Verner et al. 1992a). Spotted owls do not build their own nests, rather they use suitable, naturally occurring sites in trees. In Sierra Nevada conifer forests, nests are usually in tree cavities (66%) or on broken-topped trees or snags (Verner et al. 1992a). Less often, they are platform nests which consist of abandoned raptor or common raven (Corvus corax) stick nests, squirrel nests, dwarf mistletoe (Arceuthobium spp.) brooms, or debris accumulations in trees. In oak woodlands, spotted owls predominately use platform nests (59%; Guitierrez 1992). Nest trees in conifer forests are typically large (mean dbh of 46.7 in, Steger et al. 1997b); whereas those in oak woodlands are smaller (mean dbh of 24 in, Steger et al. 1997a).

Breeding occurs from about mid-February to mid- or late-September, by which time the young are largely independent of their parents (Gutiérrez et al. 1995). Spotted owls may be sporadic breeders, with many pairs nesting when weather and prey conditions are favorable, thereby spreading the risk of reproductive investments over several breeding seasons (Noon and Franklin 2002). The female spotted owl lays 1-4 eggs and incubates them from early April through mid-May (Gutiérrez et al. 1995). The incubation period averages 30 ± 2 days (Forsman et al. 1984). The female leaves the nest only briefly during incubation to defecate, regurgitate pellets, defend the nest site, or receive prey from the male (Gutiérrez et al. 1995). Therefore, the male does nearly all of the hunting and feeds the female and brooding young during incubation and early brooding periods (Gutiérrez et al. 1995). The young generally leave the nest when they are between 34-36 days old over several days usually between mid-May and the end of June (Forsman et al. 1984). Both parents care for and roost near the young through August (approximately 60-90 days post-fledging), although one parent may roost apart (Gutiérrez et al. 1995). During August and September, parents spend less time with their young, at which point the young are developing their flying and hunting skills, but able to capture their own prey (Gutiérrez et al. 1995). In October, juveniles begin dispersing away from their natal areas during which they are extremely vulnerable to mortality from starvation or depredation with a survival rate of approximately 33% (Blakesley et al. 2001).

Diet and Foraging Habitat. The California spotted owl forages in similar habitats as selected for breeding and roosting, but will also hunt in more open stands, with canopy closures typically •40% (Call et al. 1992). Foraging habitat is typically decadent and includes snags, old trees, and large downed logs. Spotted owls prey mainly on small to medium-sized mammals, primarily rodents in the Sierra Nevada. It mostly consumes northern flying squirrels (Glaucomys sabrinus) in the higher elevations (conifer forests) and woodrats (Neotoma spp.) at lower elevations (burned mixed-conifer, oak woodlands and riparian forests) and
throughout southern California (Verner et al. 1992a, Roberts 2008). Downed woody debris in higher-elevation forests of the Sierra Nevada is strongly associated with underground fungi, which are important food for spotted owl prey species, such as northern flying squirrels (Davis and Gould 2008). Meyer et al. (2007) reported that northern flying squirrels in Yosemite select large trees and snags for nesting. In general, woodrats prefer forests with a brushy understory of shrubs or saplings, and a higher than average number of snags and downed woody material (Sakai and Noon 1993, Innes et al 2007). In mixed-conifer forests, woodrats are more abundant in stands with an abundance of large (>13 in dbh) oak trees (Innes et al. 2007).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** At both Crane Flat and Henness Ridge sites, suitable roosting, nesting, and foraging habitat exist for the California spotted owl. Between 1940 and 2007, casual observers reported 69 observations of California spotted owls in Yosemite National Park (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009), including nine in the Crane Flat area and 10 in the Henness area. At Crane Flat, a spotted owl nest is located in the near vicinity of the project area. A female spotted owl was detected on April 24, 2007 during a great gray owl survey (Keane et al. 2008). At Henness, a pair of spotted owls was confirmed and a nest site was located in 1988 (Gould and Norton 1993). Spotted owls have continued to use the Henness area for nesting (Roberts 2008). At nearby Elevenmile Meadow, spotted owls were detected on June 11, 2007 and August 7, 2007 during great gray owl surveys (Keane et al. 2008), and were subsequently detected summer 2008 (Keane, unpublished data). Spotted owls were confirmed at other nearby locations accessed from the Glacier Point Road, including Monroe Meadow (near Badger Pass), McGurk Meadow, and Dewey Point (Gould and Norton 1993, Roberts 2008).

**VAUX’S SWIFT Chaetura vauxi**

**Status.** California Species of Special Concern, California Bird Species of Special Concern

Vaux’s swifts require older trees and hollow snags for nesting and roosting habitat. Threats to the species include logging, and factors that reduce abundance of pileated woodpeckers may in turn reduce cavity availability. "Forest health" management activities reduces incidence of heartrot and aerial insects. To maintain nest and roost trees over time, both live and dead-large diameter hollow trees should be maintained, as well as green trees with some indication of decay to replace those that fall or become unsuitable (Bull and Collins 2007). Nest boxes (11.5 ft tall and 12 in square) put 30-50 ft above the ground in trees are successfully used for nesting (Bull 2003) and provide a short-term alternative to large-diameter hollow trees for nests and roosts.

**General Distribution.** Vaux’s swifts breed from southwestern Canada through the western United States to Mexico, Central America, and northern Venezuela. In winter, northern migrant populations of this species overlap southern residents (Bull and Collins 2007). In Yosemite National Park, Gaines (1992) reported that Vaux’s swifts are probably widely distributed in old-growth forests where standing, hollow snags afford suitable nesting sites.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Hollow trees are the species’ favored nesting and roosting sites (chimneys are used on occasion), making this swift vulnerable to loss of old-growth forest. Indeed, recent declines in Vaux’s swift populations have been documented in the Pacific Northwest where mature forest is dwindling (Bull and Collins 2007). They feed in flocks or singly during the breeding season, pursuing insects on the wing and capturing them in their beaks. Each parent makes up to 50 trips per day, delivering more than 5,000 small insects from dawn to dusk (Bull and Collins 2007).
**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Like other swifts, the Vaux’s is almost entirely insectivorous, hawking a variety of ants, bugs, flies, moths, spiders, and aphids from the air. They forage in air over forest canopy, grasslands, and water (the latter especially in the morning and evening). They dive through forest canopy and pause near branches, perhaps feeding on insects in the trees. They are usually seen foraging over mature forests at 65-165 ft height (Bull and Collins 2007).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** The Vaux’s swift probably inhabits both proposed study areas: Crane Flat and Henness Ridge, as both areas appear to have suitable nesting habitat. Out of 21 park-wide observations, Vaux’s swifts have been observed at Crane Flat on six different occasions (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009). The lack of observations at Henness probably reflects fewer people reporting wildlife observations in that part of the park, rather than absence of the animal. Nesting Vaux’s swifts were not discovered on the west slope of the park until 1968, when a pair was observed entering a dead red fir snag at Crane Flat (Gaines 1992). Gaines (1992) suspects that the population is widely distributed in old-growth forests where standing, hollow snags afford suitable nesting cavities. Peak counts include 20 to 30 individuals detected at Crane Flat from July 15-21, 1985.

**WHITE-HEADED WOODPECKER Picoides albolarvatus**

**Status.** USFWS Birds of Conservation Concern, American Bird Conservancy Green List, United States Bird Conservation Watch List

Though no trends are detectable from the small number of Breeding Bird Surveys within its range, the species has declined locally due to habitat degradation, including clear-cutting, removal of snags which provide nesting sites, planting of even-age stands, fire suppression, and forest fragmentation (Raphael 1983). The species persists in burned or cutover forest with residual snags and stumps; thus populations are more tolerant of disturbance than those species associated with closed-canopy forest (Raphael et al. 1987, Hanson and North 2008). The species is relatively tolerant of human activity in nest vicinity, so long as the nest itself is not disturbed (Garrett et al. 1996)

**General Distribution.** Except for a small extension into the Okanagan Valley of British Columbia, the White-headed Woodpecker is endemic to the U.S., where it has a fragmented distribution in the mountains of Washington, Oregon, Idaho, California, and extreme western Nevada, in the vicinity of Lake Tahoe. The white-headed woodpecker is non-migratory and generally considered a resident species across its range (Garrett et al. 1996).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** In California, the white-headed woodpecker is a fairly common resident species in the Sierra Nevada and mountains of the southern part of the state. In the Sierra Nevada, the species occupies mixed-montane coniferous forest of ponderosa pine, sugar pine, white fir, red fir, Douglas-fir, and black oak and in high-elevation lodgepole pine and western white pine forests (Gaines 1992, Garrett et al. 1996). The dominant requisite habitat components are abundance of mature pines (with large cones and abundant seed production), relatively open canopy (50–70%), and availability of snags and stumps for nest cavities. Understory vegetation is generally sparse within preferred habitat. Local populations are abundant in burned or cut forest where residual large-diameter live and dead trees are present (Raphael 1981, Raphael and White 1984, Raphael et al. 1987).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** The white-headed woodpecker inhabits mixed-coniferous forest where it forages primarily on invertebrates (primarily adult and larval insects, especially ants [Hymenoptera], beetles [Coleoptera] and scale insects [Homoptera]), and conifer seeds (Beal 1911).
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woodpeckers glean for insects on trunks and branch surfaces and flakes and chips bark from the tree, they generally do not drill deeper into living or decaying wood (Garrett et al. 1996).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** The white-headed woodpecker is present at both Crane Flat and Henness Ridge project sites, where suitable roosting, nesting, and foraging habitat exist. The Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database (2009) contains records at both sites (7 from Crane Flat and 1 from Henness Ridge). In June 2003, at the Crane Flat Campground, an observer watched an adult white-headed woodpecker carry food into a nest cavity (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009). At Henness, white-headed woodpeckers have been seen regularly during site visits in 2006 and 2007; and was detected during bird surveys during summer 2007 (NPS2007).

**OLIVE-SIDED FLYCATCHER Contopus cooperi**

**Status.** California Species of Special Concern, California Bird Species of Special Concern

The olive-sided flycatcher is well sampled by Breeding Bird Surveys, which show that while the species is still abundant in the state, populations have declined steadily from 1968 to 2004 (Sauer et al. 2005). Likewise, migration data from Southeast Farallon Island also show significant declines over a 25 year period (1968-1992) (Pyle et al. 1994).

The most significant threat to the olive-sided flycatcher is habitat degradation and loss on both breeding and wintering grounds (Widdowson 2008). In Lake Tahoe Basin, flycatcher abundance decreased with increased levels of localized development (Manley et al. 2006). In the southern Sierra Nevada where habitat has remained essentially unchanged, declines probably have resulted from destruction of forests on wintering grounds in Central America (Marshall 1988).

On the breeding grounds, olive-sided flycatchers require suitable snags for nesting, perching, foraging, and singing (Widdowson 2008). They may depend on forest fires and other natural disturbances that create patchy habitats, forest openings, and abundant forest edge (Widdowson 2008). Fire suppression policies from the past 50 to 100 years have probably degraded available olive-sided flycatcher breeding habitat. Habitat quality as a limiting factor is probably exacerbated by the fact that the genus Contopus has the lowest reproductive rate of all North American passerines (Widdowson 2008). Thus, high survivorship is essential to the maintenance of stable populations (Altman and Sallabanks 2000).

**General Distribution.** The olive-sided flycatcher breeding range extends from Alaska across Canada south into the United States where it occupies forested areas. In California, the general outline of its historic breeding range is largely unchanged from what it is today. However, local extirpations have been reported for a few areas (Marshall 1988, Raphael et al. 1988).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Pair formation generally begins in May and may last up to two weeks (Bent 1942). In Oregon, most nest-building begins during the first week of June; with the earliest date being 20 May and the latest date 19 July (Altman 1999). Clutch initiation date depends of latitude and elevation; in California, Bent (1942) reported that out of 48 nests, the peak of egg-laying occurred between 9-25 June. One brood is raised per season. In Oregon, most young fledge between mid- to late-July (Altman 1999).

Open-cup nests are generally placed out toward the tip of a horizontal branch where overhanging branches provide protection from predators and weather (Altman and Sallabanks 2000). Nest heights range from 5 to 111.5 ft, usually from about 29.5 to 49 ft high in the West (Altman and Sallabanks 2000). In California, nests
are usually in conifers, but have been found in a variety of species, including willows (Salix spp.), alders (Alnus spp.), oaks (Quercus spp.), and eucalyptus (Smith 1927, Grinnell and Miller 1944, and Altman and Sallabanks 2000). In the Sierra Nevada, the species is most abundant in open mixed conifer and California red fir (Abies magnifica) forest than in closed-canopy forest (Beedy 1981).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** The olive-sided flycatcher diet is composed almost entirely of insects, 83% of which are bees and wasps, indicating a very high degree of specialization (Beal 1912). Olive-sided flycatchers forage in unobstructed canopies with high perches (Altman and Sallabanks 2000). Grinnell and Miller (1944) described their foraging and singing-post perches as apical tips of snags that protrude above the surrounding canopy. Altman (1999) observed that most foraging bouts took place from the upper third of trees or snags.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** The olive-sided flycatcher inhabits both proposed study areas: Crane Flat and Henness Ridge and both areas appear to contain suitable nesting habitat. Olive-sided flycatchers have been observed several times at Crane Flat (e.g., six observations, Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009). In the Henness area, this species was documented by Museum of Vertebrate Zoology (MVZ) on June 12, 1915, noted on May 19, 1919 in the Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database (2009), and detected during breeding season bird surveys (National Park Service 2007).

**WILLOW FLYCATCHER Empidonax traillii**

**Status.** California State Endangered, American Bird Conservancy Green List, Audubon Watch List, United States Bird Conservation Watch List, U.S. Forest Service Sensitive

Of the three willow flycatcher subspecies that breed in California, (Phillips 1948, Unitt 1987), two of these subspecies, E. t. brewsteri and E. t. adastus, are possible in Yosemite National Park, whereas the third species, E. t. extimus, is a federal threatened species that is not found in the park. The willow flycatcher is identified in the Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment Notice of Intent (1998), as one of seven aquatic, riparian, and meadow-dependent vertebrate species at risk in the Sierra Nevada bioregion. The willow flycatcher is recognized by the Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region as the highest-priority landbird species in the Sierra Nevada bioregion because it is considered to have “... the highest probability of being extirpated from the bioregion in the near future” (USDA Forest Service 1996).

Early in the 20th century the species was described as “common” through much of the Sierra Nevada (Grinnell and Miller 1944), but by 2003, Green et al. (2003) were able to tally just 315 Sierra territories known to have been occupied at some time since 1982. Bombay et al. (2001) estimated population growth rates in the range of 0.768 to 0.869 in their Sierra study area, indicating a continuing population decline. In a comprehensive review of possible causes of Willow Flycatcher decline in the Sierra Nevada, Green et al. (2003) determined that reduced fecundity due to high rates of nest predation, rather than poor survival of adults or recruitment of juveniles, was likely the primary demographic cause. Cain et al. (2003) found that standing water around nests is a deterrent to predation by mammalian predators, and Green et al. (2003) suggested that high rates of nest predation are a result of gradual desiccation of meadows, resulting from livestock trampling, road construction, human recreation, harvesting of adjacent timber, forest thinning for fire control, fire suppression, water diversions, mining, and perhaps climate change.

In Yosemite, the species has also clearly declined. Willow Flycatchers nested commonly in Yosemite Valley at least into the early 20th century (Grinnell and Storer 1924) and were “vocal, conspicuous birds” in suitable habitat throughout the lower elevations of the park until at least the 1930s (Gaines 1992). But the species has not nested in Yosemite Valley since 1966 (Gaines 1992), and in the late 1980s Gaines (1992) estimated there...
were fewer than 30 pairs remaining in the greater Yosemite area. Further declines have been evident from the Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship (MAPS) program (DeSante and Kaschube 2006, DeSante et al. 2007), which began in Yosemite National Park in the early 1990s. At Hodgdon Meadow willow flycatchers were captured every year between 1991 and 1997, but the number of captures has declined through the 1990s (Siegel et al. 2008).

In 2006 and 2007, Siegel et al (2008) conducted a nearly comprehensive two-year inventory of willow flycatcher breeding habitat throughout the park. Their goal was to locate all remaining willow flycatcher territories in Yosemite rather than merely estimate the size of the park’s breeding population. They therefore identified and surveyed every one of the park’s most promising habitat patches. During the two-year study, willow flycatchers were detected two times at only one of the 71 sites; and both detections were of nonterritorial birds at Wawona Meadow (Siegel et al. 2008). Siegel et al. (2008) concluded that willow flycatchers no longer breed in Yosemite. The apparent extirpation of willow flycatchers from the park may be attributed to a combination of factors, such as anthropogenic meadow dessication due to past grazing (Green et al. 2003), current climate change (Siegel et al. 2008), and disrupted metapopulation dynamics, i.e. that suitable habitat within the park is insufficient to sustain a viable population without immigration from neighboring areas (Gaines 1992).

Across most of North America, willow flycatchers are frequent hosts of the brown-headed cowbird. Willow flycatchers are at greater risk of cowbird brood parasitism where pack stations, corrals, supplemental feed, livestock holding facilities, livestock herds, campgrounds, picnic areas, rural communities or other brown-headed cowbird-associated locations occur within at least 5 mi of occupied willow flycatcher sites (Rothstein et al. 1980, Verner and Rothstein 1988). Brown-headed cowbirds are frequently observed in Yosemite taking advantage of unnatural food sources at pack stations, stables, campgrounds, and in park residential areas.

**General Distribution.** The willow flycatcher is a neotropical migrant that breeds in riparian and moist meadow willow thickets in the U.S. and southern Canada (American Ornithologists’ Union 1983). The willow flycatcher winters from Mexico to northern South America. Currently, about half of the willow flycatcher breeding population in California occurs in the Sierra Nevada (Zeiner et al. 1990, Kus et al. 2000). Most willow flycatchers in the Sierra Nevada are found at elevations from 1,200, to 9,515 ft although most of the known willow flycatcher sites (88 percent) occur between 3,935 to 7,875 ft (Serena 1982, Harris et al. 1988, Stafford and Valentine 1985).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** The willow flycatcher nests most typically in willow thickets in or adjacent to low- and mid-elevation meadows or riparian stringers covering at least 0.4 ha, usually considerably more (Bombay et al. 2000). Nests have also been found in willow thickets adjacent to lakes, marshes, and creeks. Less frequently, Willow Flycatchers have nested in patches of riparian deciduous shrubs other than willows. Nesting areas, at least in the early part of the breeding season, generally are characterized by extensive surface water (Harris et al. 1988, Sanders and Flett 1989, but see also McCreedy and Heath 2004) and substantial openings, either large and continuous or small and numerous, in the forest canopy. In the Sierra Nevada, breeding occurs from late May/early June to September. Willow flycatchers fledge young between approximately July 15 and August 31 and fledglings remain in territories for two to three weeks post-fledging (Stafford and Valentine 1985, Sanders and Flett 1989). Historical records from the Yosemite area suggest willow flycatchers bred commonly in the park below 5,000 ft and less frequently at higher elevations (Gaines 1992). An average of three to four eggs are laid in an open-cup nest typically placed about 6.5-13 ft high on the edges of a patch of shrubs, with a high density of leaves (Sanders and Flett 1989, Bombay 1999). Willow flycatcher nests are frequently parasitized by brown-headed cowbirds. Parasitism occurs more often
in lowland habitats than in higher elevations of the Sierra Nevada (Harris 1991), apparently due to differences in breeding period of cowbirds and willow flycatchers at higher elevations (Verner and Ritter 1983).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Willow flycatchers forage by either gleaning insects from vegetation while flying, or by waiting on an exposed perch and capturing insects in flight (Ettinger and King 1980, Sanders and Flett 1989). Deciduous trees and shrubs interspersed with open areas enhance the quality of foraging habitat.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Evidence suggests willow flycatchers have nested in Crane Flat within the last 20 years. From 1990 to present, six willow flycatchers have been captured and banded at Crane Flat (1993 (1 indiv.), 1994 (2 indiv.), 1996 (2 indiv.), and 2001 (1 indiv.)) during Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship standard operations (Siegel, unpubl. data). In 1994, one individual was identified as a female with a mature brood patch, suggesting she was brooding young locally at Crane Flat (Siegel, unpubl. data). The willow flycatcher also may have inhabited Elevenmile Meadow based on habitat characteristics, but its presence there is purely speculative at this point.

**HERMIT WARBLER Dendroica occidentalis**

**Status.** Audubon Watch List, American Bird Conservancy Green List.

**General Distribution.** Hermit warblers (Dendroica occidentalis) inhabit coniferous forest communities along the north Coast, Cascade Range, and Sierra Nevada in California. In the Sierra Nevada, they are found in red and white fir, Jeffrey and lodgepole pine, ponderosa pine, and giant sequoia. They are closely associated with coniferous forest types and avoid areas with high deciduous volume and are generally absent from riparian areas and clearcuts and other openings.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** This species nests high up in the canopy, and thus is generally associated with mature forests with dense canopy and multi-storied structure (Pearson 1997).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** This species forages high up in the canopy, and thus is generally associated with mature forests with dense canopy and multi-storied structure (Pearson 1997).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Hermit warbler is a common breeding species at Crane Flat, evidenced by 633 individual captures by the Crane Flat MAPS station between 1990 and 2006. Hermit warblers are also a common breeder at the Henness Ridge area. NPS bird surveys conducted in 2007 documented seven individuals, including singing males, in the Henness Ridge area (NPS 2007).

**YELLOW WARBLER Dendroica petechia**

**Status.** California Species of Special Concern, American Bird Conservancy Green List, Audubon Watch List, IUCN Near Threatened, US Bird Conservation Watch List, US Fish and Wildlife Service Bird of Conservation Concern, California Bird Species of Special Concern

Human population growth and resulting habitat degradation threaten yellow warbler populations given their sensitivity to decreases in deciduous habitat, riparian habitat heterogeneity, and riparian corridor width (Saab 1999). Destruction of riparian habitats and nest parasitism by brown-headed cowbirds have led to declines in lowland populations of yellow warblers. In Yosemite, the Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship Program documented a significant decline in yellow warbler captures between 1993 and 2006 (Siegel et al. 2006).
**General Distribution.** Breeding range of the yellow warbler extends over most of North America, and wintering range extends to northern South America. In California, yellow warblers breed over much of the state where suitable breeding habitat occurs. Some yellow warblers winter in extreme southern California.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Yellow warblers breed primarily in riparian woodlands from coastal, valley and desert lowlands, up to 7,875 ft in the Sierra Nevada. Other breeding habitat includes montane chaparral, ponderosa pine, and mixed conifer where substantial amounts of brush occur (Zeiner et al. 1990). Breeding occurs from mid-April to early August, with peak activity in June. Three to six eggs are laid in an open cup nest placed from 2 to 16 ft above the ground in a shrub or deciduous sapling. Nesting territories often contain heavy brush understory for nesting and tall trees for foraging and singing (Zeiner et al. 1990).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Food of yellow warblers consists primarily of insects and spiders that are gleaned from the canopy of deciduous trees and shrubs. Occasionally, insects are hawked from the air, or berries are eaten.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** The yellow warbler inhabits both proposed study areas: Crane Flat and Henness Ridge and both areas appear to contain suitable nesting habitat. Yellow warblers have been recorded two times at Crane Flat (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009) and three individuals were detected at Elevenmile Meadow in May 2007 during bird surveys (National Park Service 2007). Between 1993 and 2006, 21 yellow warbler captures occurred at Crane Flat, including several juvenile birds and several individuals exhibiting breeding condition (females with brood patches and males with cloacal protuberances) (Siegel, unpubl. data).

**Mammals**

**PALLID BAT Antrozous pallidus**

**Status.**—California Species of Special Concern, Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, USFS Sensitive, Western Bat Working Group High Priority

The pallid bat has experienced population declines and could be seriously threatened, particularly at lower elevations, at least in southern California (Miner and Stokes 2005). Pallid bats tend to roost in large groups and are sensitive to disturbance, making them vulnerable to mass displacement. Threats to roosts, hibernacula, and maternity colonies include vandalism, recreational activities, e.g., rock climbing, forestry practices, e.g., timber harvest, and demolition, modification, eradication, and exclusion of man-made structures (Rambaldini 2005). Foraging habitat can be lost or degraded by prescribed fire and development (Rambaldini 2005). The pallid bat occurs in Yosemite, but their status is not well known. There are eight museum specimens for pallid bats for Yosemite National Park, all from Yosemite Valley (MVZ, YNP), collected between 1934 and 1940 (Pierson et al. 2006).

**General Distribution.** The pallid bat is found from southern British Columbia and Montana to central Mexico and Cuba, and east to Texas, Oklahoma, and Kansas. Throughout California, the species inhabits primarily low to mid elevations, although it has been found up to 11,155 ft in the Sierra Nevada (Barbour and Davis 1969; Record from Chagoopa Plateau, Sequoia National Park). Habitats range from desert to coniferous forest and non-coniferous woodlands; relevant to Yosemite, the species shows an association with oak habitat (Rainey and Pierson 1996), mixed deciduous forest, e.g., Yosemite Valley and Wawona, and giant sequoia habitat (Pierson and Heady 1996, Rainey et al. 1992). For roosting, pallid bats show a high reliance on hollow trees, but will also use rock crevices and outcrops, abandoned mines, caves, buildings, and bridges (Barbour
Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat. The pallid bat gives birth to one to two young per year but usually two, with birth occurring in May to June. This species is quite versatile in its choice of roosting sites, and has been documented using tree hollows (both oak and ponderosa pine), rock crevices, caves, abandoned mines, and other anthropogenic structures such as buildings and bridges (Barbour and Davis 1969, Hermanson and O’Shea 1983, Lewis 1996, Orr 1954, Pierson et al. 1996, Pierson et al. 2001, Pierson and Rainey unpubl. data). This species is gregarious, and roosts in nursery colonies of typically between 30 and several hundred individuals.

Diet and Foraging Habitat. The pallid bat feeds primarily on large, flightless arthropods such as scorpions, Jerusalem crickets, cicadas, wolf spiders and centipedes (Pierson et al. 2006). Large cerambycid beetles, particularly *Prionus californicus*, and ten-lined June beetles (*Polyphlla decemlineata*) are also major prey items (Johnston and Fenton 2001, Orr 1954, Pierson et al. 2004).

Habitat and Status in the Project Area. The pallid bat has been detected at Crane Flat, but probably occurs at Henness too, as both project areas appear to have suitable habitat. The detection at Crane Flat occurred in July 2004 and consisted of a lactating female pallid bat in the vicinity of the campground (Pierson et al. 2006).

TOWNSEND’S BIG- EARED BAT *Corynorhinus townsendii townsendii*

Status. California Species of Special Concern, Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, IUCN – Vulnerable, U.S. Forest Service Sensitive, Western Bat Working Group High Priority

The Townsend’s big- eared bat has experienced population declines and could be seriously threatened, particularly at lower elevations, at least in southern California (Miner and Stokes 2005). This species is particularly sensitive to human disturbance events and may abandon roost sites after human visitation (Humphrey and Kunz 1976). Like most North American bat species, both roosting and foraging habitat is threatened by timber harvesting practices and loss of riparian habitats (Sherwin 2005).

General Distribution. The Townsend’s big- eared bat occurs throughout the west and is distributed from the southern portion of British Columbia south along the Pacific coast to central Mexico and east into the Great Plains, with isolated populations occurring in the central and eastern United States (Sherwin 2005). In California, the majority of records are from low to moderate elevations, though the species has been found to almost 9,840 ft in elevation. In the Sierra Nevada, maternity colonies have been found to up over 4,920 ft in elevation. The Townsend’s big- eared bat is concentrated in areas with mines (particularly in the desert regions to the east and southeast of the Sierra Nevada) or caves (in the northeast portion of California and karstic regions in the Sierra Nevada and Trinity Alps) as roosting habitat (Pierson and Fellers 1998).

In Yosemite, Townsend’s big- eared bats have been detected at Mirror Lake (Pierson and Rainey 1993), Wawona (Pierson and Rainey 1995), and at the barium mine on USFS land in El Portal. This mine is fenced and protected from disturbance.

Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat. Mating generally takes place in winter roosts from October to February (Sherwin 2005). Females form maternity colonies, comprised of a few to several hundred individuals, between March and June; and each typically gives birth to a single pup from May to July each year (Sherwin 2005). The gestation period varies from 56 to 100 days. Young bats are capable of flight at 2.5 to
3 weeks of age (Pierson and Fellers 1998). Males appear to remain solitary during the maternity period (Sherwin 2005). All known nursery sites in the Sierra Nevada occur at relatively low elevation (the highest being at 5,410 ft along the Yuba River), although males have been detected much higher (Pierson et al. 2001). Szewczak et al. (1998) reported on two nursery roosts in the White Mountains at elevations higher than 5,575 ft.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** The Townsend’s big-eared bat feeds primarily on small moths, with over 90% of its diet composed of lepidopterans (Sherwin 2005). Foraging associations include edge habitats along streams, adjacent to and within a variety of wooded habitats (Fellers and Pierson 2002, Sherwin 2005).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** No surveys for the Townsend’s big-eared bat have been conducted at either project area, however suitable habitat exists and the occurrence of this species is likely.

**SPOTTED BAT Euderma maculatum**

**Status.**—California Species of Special Concern, Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, Western Bat Working Group High Priority

Little is known about possible threats to spotted bats because of lack of knowledge of this species (Chambers and Herder 2005). As with most bat species, threats include habitat destruction or alteration, disturbance, sensitivity to pesticides and other pollutants, and overexploitation, particularly recreational rock climbing, dam construction, urbanization, and livestock grazing (Chambers and Herder 2005).

**General Distribution.** Although considered one of North America’s rarest mammals (Zeiner et al. 1990), the spotted bat is widely distributed throughout much of the western U.S., with its range extending as far north as southern British Columbia, and as far south as Durango, Mexico (Pierson et al. 2006). In the Sierra Nevada, spotted bats are widely distributed in habitats ranging from desert scrub to montane coniferous forest, with acoustic detections up to >9,840 ft (Pierson et al. 2006).

Studies conducted in Yosemite National Park have shown that spotted bats are relatively abundant in many areas where suitable cliff-roosting habitat is prevalent. The majority of detections are from relatively open foraging settings (e.g., wet meadows) at lower elevations (e.g., Yosemite Valley and Wawona) and from a number of sites up to >9,840 ft (Pierson and Rainey 1993, 1995, 1996, Pierson et al. 2001). Yosemite Valley had the highest population of spotted bats of any location surveyed in California (Pierson and Rainey 1995, 1996). Surveys have revealed spotted bats foraging on the north side of El Capitan Meadow, just below El Capitan, Bridalveil Meadow, Leidig Meadow, and the Ahwahnee Meadow (Pierson and Rainey 1993). Pierson and Rainey (1993) suggest that spotted bats roost on or near Half Dome and El Capitan.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Spotted bats breed in late summer with females giving birth to a single pup in early summer (May or June) (Chambers and Herder 2005). Limited information suggests that spotted bats roost non-colonially, predominantly in crevices in high cliff faces (Wai-Ping and Fenton 1989). Surveys in the Sierra Nevada suggest that they are most abundant in areas with fractured rock (Pierson and Rainey 1996, 1998a, b).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Spotted bats feed primarily on large (2-.5 in) moths, particularly Noctuids (Chambers and Herder 2005). Most observations suggest spotted bats forage alone (Wai-Ping and Fenton 1989), sometimes maintaining exclusive feeding areas (Leonard and Fenton 1983), and other times using a “trapline” strategy (Woodsworth et al. 1981). Individuals generally forage 15-50 ft off the ground in large elliptical paths, with axes of 655-985 ft (Wai-Ping and Fenton 1989, Nava et al. 1992, Pierson and Rainey...
The spotted bat is capable of long distance and rapid flight, thus foraging ranges can be large. Radio-tracking studies in Arizona documented this species traveling up to 25 mi each night (Chambers et al. 2005). In montane habitats, the spotted bat forages over meadows, along forest edges, or in open coniferous woodland.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Spotted bats have been detected in close proximity to Crane Flat, at the Tuolumne Grove (Pierson et al. 2006). However, because this species is thought to be an obligate cliff-dweller, and is known to travel large distances from its roost sites to forage, it is highly unlikely that it would be found roosting in the project areas. However, the spotted bat probably forages in or near both project sites.

**SILVER-HAIRED BAT Lasionycteris noctivagans**

**Status.** Western Bat Working Group – Medium Priority

Availability of suitable trees for maternity roosts appears to be a limiting factor for silver-haired bats (Mattson et al. 1996). In Yosemite, the species has been documented in Yosemite Valley (Pierson and Rainey 1993), on the South Fork of the Merced River in Wawona, at Kiosk Creek in the Mariposa Grove, and in the Merced Grove (Pierson et al. 2001).

**General Distribution.** The silver-haired bat is a forest bat, associated primarily with northern temperate zone conifer and mixed conifer/hardwood forests with available water (Pierson et al. 2006). The species ranges from southern Alaska, throughout southern Canada, most of the United States, and into the San Carlos Mountains of northeastern Mexico (Kunz 1982). In California, the species distribution is concentrated in the northern half of the state, with most of the breeding records occurring in the upper Sacramento drainage (Rainey and Pierson 1996), the Trinity Mountains and northern coast ranges (Pierson and Rainey 1998b), and the northern Sierra Nevada. Some individuals of this migratory species may over-winter in southern California (Pierson et al. 2006).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Maternity roosts are typically found in tree cavities, most of which have been excavated by woodpeckers (Mattson et al. 1996), and under flaking bark (Barbour and Davis 1969, Betts 1996, 1998, Campbell et al. 1996, Rainey and Pierson 1996, Vonhof 1996). As is the case with most bats in Yosemite, silver-haired bats have a primary mating period in the fall before entering hibernation. In these fall-breeding bats, sperm are stored by the female until ovulation occurs in the spring (in New Mexico; Druecker 1972). Gestation is approximately 50-60 days (Druecker 1972) and in British Columbia parturition is estimated to occur in late June or early July (Schowalter et al. 1978, Nagorsen and Brigham 1993). Kunz (1971) reported a median parturition date of 16 June and a lactation period of approximately 36 days. Silver-haired bats produce 1-2 offspring per year (Kunz 1982), which are capable of flight at 3-4 weeks old (Kunz 1971).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** The silver-haired bat forages above the canopy, in forest clearings, and in riparian habitats along water courses (Kunz 1982, Barclay 1985, 1986, Rainey and Pierson 1996). Radio-tracking has shown that the species can travel considerable distances from roost sites to foraging areas (Rainey and Pierson 1996). Silver-haired bats tend to specialize on Lepidopteran moths, but are known to prey on a wide variety of insects, including Diptera, Homoptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, and Coleoptera (Whitaker et al. 1981, Kunz 1982, Barclay 1985, 1986, and van Zyll de Jong 1985). In a study in the upper Sacramento River drainage, Rainey and Pierson (1996) found the bulk of the diet dominated by Lepidoptera and Trichoptera.
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Habitat and Status in the Project Area. No surveys for silver-haired bats have been conducted at Crane Flat or Henness, however suitable habitat exists for their occurrence. The species has been documented near the Crane Flat project area at the Tuolumne Grove in February 1993 (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009) and at the Merced Grove (Pierson et al. 2006).

WESTERN RED BAT *Lasiurus blossevillii*

**Status.** California Species of Special Concern, U.S. Forest Service Sensitive, Western Bat Working Group High Priority

Loss of riparian habitats and the use of pesticides threaten both roosting and foraging habitats of red bats (Bolster 2005). Controlled burns may also be a significant mortality factor for bats that roost in leaf litter during cool temperatures (Bolster 2005).

**General Distribution.** The western red bat is broadly distributed from southern British Columbia in Canada, though much of the western United States, through Mexico and Central America to Argentina and Chile in South America (Bolster 2005). In California, the majority of records are from the coastal areas from the San Francisco Bay area south, plus the Central Valley and bordering foothills, with a limited number of records from southern California, extending as far east as western Riverside and central San Diego Counties (Pierson et al. 2006). There are a few records from higher elevations and the east side of the Sierra Nevada (Constantine 1998, Pierson et al. 2000). This species roosts in foliage and breeding females appear to be highly associated with lower elevation riparian habitats, particularly relatively intact stands of cottonwood and sycamore in the Central Valley and southern coastal areas (Pierson et al. 2000). Winter populations of both sexes are concentrated along the central and southern coast (Pierson et al. 1999). Grinnell (1918) suggested that red bats in California were sexually segregated in summer, with males moving to higher elevations, a pattern more recently noted in other species (e.g., Cryan et al. 2000). Western red bats (most likely males or non-reproductive females) have been documented up to 8,200 ft in the Sierra Nevada (Pierson et al. 2000 and 2001).

The first record of a western red bat in Yosemite was the capture of three individuals (two adult males and one nulliparous female) over the South Fork Merced River on 16 September 1998 (Pierson et al. 2001). Since that time the species has been documented acoustically at multiple localities up to 7,982 ft (Pierson et al. 2001). Acoustic detections have been obtained in association with black cottonwood in both Yosemite and Sequoia National Parks.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Western red bats mate in late summer or early fall (Bolster 2005). Females become pregnant in spring with a gestation period of 80-90 days (Bolster 2005). Females have litters with up to five pups per year (Bolster 2005). Western red bats roost on the underside of overhanging leaves. Recent studies in the Central Valley found that summering populations are substantially more abundant in remnant stands of cottonwood/sycamore riparian that extend >165 ft back from the river than they are in younger, less extensive stands (Pierson et al. 1999).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Red bats forage on a number of insect taxa, flying at both canopy height and low over the ground (Shump and Shump 1982). Studies have reported diets consisting of primarily small moths, in addition to a variety of other insects, primarily orthopterans (Ross 1961), and also Homoptera, Coleoptera, Hymenoptera, and Diptera (Shump and Shump 1982). Red bats apparently arise from hibernation on warm days to feed (Shump and Shump 1982).
Habitat and Status in the Project Area. No surveys for western red bats have been conducted at Crane Flat or Henness, however suitable habitat exists for their occurrence.

HOARY BAT *Lasiurus cinereus*

**Status.** Western Bat Working Group: Medium Priority

Lack of information on the basic ecology and population trends of the hoary bat is one of the greatest threats to the conservation of this species. Known threats include loss of roosting habitat and foraging habitat and the use of pesticides. In Yosemite, no roost sites for this species are known, however hoary bats are well documented at many locations in the park, including Dusy Basin at 11,235 ft, Yosemite Valley, one mile east of Merced Lake (Museum of Vertebrate Zoology collection), Wawona, Tenaya Lake, Merced Grove, Mariposa Grove, and Tuolumne Grove (Pierson et al. 2001, 2006).

**General Distribution.** The hoary bat is the most widespread of all North American bats, occurring widely across most of North America from north-central Canada, south into southern Mexico (Shump and Shump 1982b). This species is found throughout California, with records from the Central Valley to > 8,200 ft in the Sierra Nevada. The hoary bat is associated with cottonwood riparian habitat, and is also found in forested areas. In their study in Oregon, Perkins and Cross (1988) reported a strong association with old growth Douglas fir forest. Hoary bats are known to undergo long distance seasonal migrations (Cryan 2003), with concentrations of bats appearing along the California coast in the fall (Dalquest 1943, Tenaza 1966) and in southern California in the winter (Vaughan and Krutzsch 1954). Data from the Central Valley and the Sierra foothills (Pierson et al. 2000) suggest that this species migrates through the Central Valley and adjacent foothills in the spring and the fall.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** No breeding females have been found in California, and the majority of records (and all midsummer records) are males (Pierson et al. 2006).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** The hoary bat forages along river and stream corridors, over open bodies of water, over meadows, in open forest habitat, and above forest canopies (Kalcounis et al. 1999). The species feeds primarily on 0.2-1.2 in moths (Ross 1967, Black 1974), but is also known to consume Coleoptera, Hymenoptera, Isoptera, and Odonata (Ross 1967, Barclay 1985, van Zyll de Jong 1985, Barclay 1986). Rolseth et al. (1994) reported that juveniles foraged primarily on smaller insects like chironomids. In California, in the upper Sacramento River drainage, the diet of this species was dominated by Lepidoptera (Rainey and Pierson 1996).

Habitat and Status in the Project Area. No surveys for hoary bat have been conducted at Crane Flat or Henness, however suitable nonbreeding habitat exists for their occurrence. Hoary bats have been documented in the Tuolumne Grove, located adjacent to the Crane Flat project area.

WESTERN SMALL-FOOTED MYOTIS *Myotis ciliolabrum*

**Status.** Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, Western Bat Working Group: Medium Priority

Threats to the western small-footed myotis include a lack of information on the species population status, trends, and distribution, precluding effective management. Further, recreational activities, e.g., rock climbing, may impact roosting bats in rock crevices; and insect control activities may impact the prey base of these bats. The distribution of the western small-footed myotis in Yosemite is poorly known. It appears to be far less common in Yosemite than farther south in Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks (Pierson et al. 2006).
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It has, however, been detected at elevations as low as Yosemite Valley and near Bass Lake outside the Park and as high as Tioga Road Bridge over Yosemite Creek and Yosemite Creek Campground (Pierson et al. 2001).

**General Distribution.** The western small-footed myotis occurs in western North America, ranging north from British Columbia, Alberta, and Saskatchewan, Canada, south to Mexico (Holloway and Barclay 2001). In California, the species occurs in a wide variety of habitats, primarily in relatively arid wooded and brushy uplands near water, and ranges from sea level to at least 8,860 ft elevation (Zeiner et al. 1990). The species has been found on both west and east sides of the Sierra Nevada (Zeiner et al. 1990), and is known to roost primarily in rock crevices (Pierson et al. 2006).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Maternity colonies, usually consisting of 12 to 20 individuals, have been found in buildings, caves, and mines. The species generally has one young per litter (Hall 1946, Koford and Koford 1948, Findley et al. 1975). The females mate in the fall, gives birth to young from May through June, and lactate through July (Zeiner et al. 1990). Most young are capable of flight by mid-August (Zeiner et al. 1990).


**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** No surveys for western small-footed myotis have been conducted at Crane Flat or Henness. While not a common feature in the project areas, rock crevices may provide suitable roosting habitat.

**LONG-EARED MYOTIS Myotis evotis**

**Status.** Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, Western Bat Working Group: Medium Priority

**General Distribution.** The long-eared myotis bat (*Myotis evotis*) is found across much of western North America, from British Columbia south to California and New Mexico. It is found in a wide range, from the coast to the Sierra Nevada, and in montane oak woodlands. This species has potential to occur in all areas of the park.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Long-eared myotis lives in coniferous forests in mountain areas and roosts in small colonies in caves, buildings, and under tree bark.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** This species is insectivorous. They prey mainly on moths, but their diet also includes beetles, flies, and spiders. They can take prey from the air as well as from surfaces, and can forage throughout the night.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Roosting habitat for this species potentially occurs in forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Focused bat surveys have not been performed to verify the presence or absence of this species in the local vicinity; thus, is presumed present based on the availability of suitable habitat. Surveys of site structures completed in summer 2002 revealed no evidence of bat use of structures associated with the Crane Flat campus. Snags or other trees in the vicinity of Crane Flat provide suitable habitat for this species. Surveys have not been conducted specifically for this species in the vicinity of Henness.
Ridge area. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of Henness Ridge provide suitable roosting habitat for this species.

**FRINGED MYOTIS Myotis thysanodes**

**Status.** Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, Western Bat Working Group: High Priority.

**General Distribution.** The fringed myotis bat (*Myotis thysanodes*) is found in much of California, up to British Columbia, and is scattered across several southwestern states and into Mexico. It is found to at least 6,400 feet above msl in the Sierra Nevada, in deciduous/mixed conifer forests.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** The fringed myotis roosts in caves, mines, buildings, and trees, especially large conifer snags.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** The fringed myotis feeds over water, in open habitats, and by gleaning from foliage.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Roosting habitat for this species potentially occurs in forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Focused bat surveys have not been performed to verify the presence or absence of this species in the local vicinity; thus, is presumed present based on the availability of suitable habitat. Surveys of site structures completed in summer 2002 revealed no evidence of bat use of structures associated with the Crane Flat campus. Snags or other trees in the vicinity of Crane Flat provide suitable habitat for this species. Surveys have not been conducted specifically for this species in the vicinity of Henness Ridge area. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of Henness Ridge provide suitable roosting habitat for this species.

**LONG-LEGGED MYOTIS Myotis volans**

**Status.** Western Bat Working Group: High Priority.

**General Distribution.** The range of the long-legged myotis bat (*Myotis volans*) includes most of western North America, as far north as Alaska and south to central Mexico. It prefers forested mountainous areas and is sometimes found in desert lowlands. The species is found up to high elevations in the Sierra Nevada in montane coniferous forest habitats. The long-legged myotis bat was recently recorded in the park (Pierson et al. 2001). These sightings were recorded at Cascades Creek and Yosemite Creek.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Long-legged myotis bat roosts primarily in large-diameter snags. The species forms nursery colonies numbering hundreds of individuals, usually under bark or in hollow trees.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Long-legged myotis bat forages over water, close to trees and cliffs, and in openings in forests.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Roosting habitat for this species potentially occurs in forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Focused bat surveys have not been performed to verify the presence or absence of this species in the local vicinity; thus, is presumed present based on the availability of suitable habitat. Surveys of site structures completed in summer 2002 revealed no evidence of bat use of structures associated with the Crane Flat campus. Snags or other trees in the vicinity of Crane Flat provide suitable habitat for this species. Surveys have not been conducted specifically for this species in the vicinity of Henness Ridge area. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of Henness Ridge provide suitable roosting habitat for this species.
Ridge area. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of Henness Ridge provide suitable roosting habitat for this species.

**YUMA MYOTIS Myotis yumanensis**

**Status.** Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, Western Bat Working Group: Medium Priority

**General Distribution.** The Yuma myotis bat (*Myotis yumanensis*) is found across much of the western United States and into western Canada, usually below 8,000 feet in elevation. Mist-net bat surveys were conducted in Yosemite Valley in 1993 at Mirror Lake, Cook’s Meadow, El Capitan Meadow, Yosemite Creek below Yosemite Falls, Cathedral Picnic Area, and Cascades Picnic Area (Pierson and Rainey 1993, 1995; Pierson et al. 2001). Yuma myotis bat was captured at Mirror Lake, El Capitan Meadow, the Yosemite Creek site, and both the Cathedral and Cascades Picnic Areas. This species was also found in recent mist-netting surveys in Yosemite Valley and Wawona (Pierson and Rainey 1993, 1995), and in hand-net or visual surveys at bridge crossings at Cascades and Wildcat Creeks (Pierson et al. 2001). There have also been several sightings throughout Yosemite Valley. Suitable habitat also occurs throughout the Merced River gorge, upper Merced River, and along portions of the South Fork.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Yuma myotis bat roosts in buildings, caves, or crevices; nursery colonies choose caves, mines, buildings, or under bridges.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** The species forages over open, still, or slow-moving water and above low vegetation in meadows. The species skims low over water to snatch up flying insects.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Roosting habitat for this species potentially occurs in forested habitats surrounding Crane Flat. Focused bat surveys have not been performed to verify the presence or absence of this species in the local vicinity; thus, is presumed present based on the availability of suitable habitat. Surveys of site structures completed in summer 2002 revealed no evidence of bat use of structures associated with the Crane Flat campus. Snags or other trees in the vicinity of Crane Flat provide suitable habitat for this species. Surveys have not been conducted specifically for this species in the vicinity of Henness Ridge area. Snags, large trees, and hollow trees in the vicinity of Henness Ridge provide suitable roosting habitat for this species.

**WESTERN MASTIFF BAT Eumops perotis californicus**

**Status.** California Species of Special Concern, Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, Western Bat Working Group: High Priority

Like most other North American species of bat, the western mastiff bat is threatened by low fecundity, high juvenile mortality, long generational turnover; loss of clean, open water; loss of riparian vegetation; pesticide application (Siders 2005). More specific threats include construction activities that impact cliffs or boulders, rock climbing, and human disturbance.

**General Distribution.** The subspecies that occurs in North America, *E. p. californicus*, ranges from central Mexico across the southwestern United States (parts of California, southern Nevada, Arizona, southern New Mexico and western Texas) (Eger 1977, Bradley and O’Farrell 1967). The western mastiff bat is found along the west side of the Sierra Nevada, primarily at low to mid-elevations, but has been detected up to 9,840 ft in the summer (Pierson et al. 2006). The species is found in a variety of habitats, from desert scrub and
chaparral to montane coniferous forest. Its presence is determined by the availability of significant rock features offering suitable roosting habitat (Pierson et al. 2006).

In Yosemite, greater western mastiff bats have been detected in Yosemite Valley in Bridalveil meadow, El Capitan Meadow, Leidig Meadow, Cook’s Meadow, Ahwahnee Meadow, Stoneman Meadow, Wosky Pond, and wetlands near Happy Isles. They were also detected in a few upland habitats east of El Capitan meadow and Sentinel Beach Picnic area (Pierson and Rainey 1995). Yosemite Valley has the highest population of the greater western mastiff bat in any localities surveyed in California (Pierson and Rainey 1995). In addition, the species has been captured in Wawona (Pierson and Rainey 1995).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** The western mastiff bat mates in the late winter/early spring and gives birth to a single young in the early to mid-summer (Siders 2005). Most young are born by early July, although parturition dates vary extensively and births are not synchronous, even within colonies (Siders 2005). Maternity colonies comprise predominately adult females, however some colonies may contain both adult males and females at all times of the year (Siders 2005).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** The diet of western mastiff bats consists primarily of moths (Lepidoptera), but also includes beetles, crickets, and katydids (Siders 2005). The species may forage in flocks, regularly 100-200 ft over the substrate; and can forage considerable distances from their roosting sites (Siders 2005). Foraging habitats include dry desert washes, flood plains, chaparral, oak woodland, open ponderosa pine forest, grassland, agricultural areas, and high elevation meadows surrounded by mixed conifer forests (Siders 2005).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** The western mastiff bat most likely forages in or near Crane Flat or Henness. However, no surveys for the species has been conducted at either project area.

**SIERRA NEVADA MOUNTAIN BEAVER Aplodontia rufa californica**

**Status.** California Species of Special Concern, IUCN Near Threatened

Habitat degradation from livestock grazing, invasion of exotic plants, fire, and human activities in fragile coastal habitats are factors contributing to declines in mountain beaver populations (Fitts et al. 2002, Fellers et al. 2004, Wake 2006). Ground disturbance from human recreation and logging activities can cause collapse of the burrow systems and nest chambers that are vital for mountain beaver survival.

**General Distribution.** The mountain beaver is endemic and restricted to western North America. Currently seven subspecies are recognized (Dalquest and Scheffer 1945, Hall 1981), including the isolated population *A.r. californica* that extends through much of the Sierra Nevada Range in eastern California into the western extreme portion of Nevada (Arjo 2007). Mountain beavers can be found up to 9,840 ft elevation in portions of the Sierra Nevada Range; however, they are more commonly found at lower elevations in humid, densely vegetated understory areas (Feldhamer et al. 2003). Mountain beavers are confined to well-vegetated, moist, cool environments – a function of their poor ability to concentrate urine and low tolerance for temperature extremes (Nungesser and Pfeiffer 1965).

Mountain beaver habitat in Yosemite is found in sandy loam soils that are dominated by one or more of the following woody plants: dogwood (*Cornus* spp.), labrador tea (*Ledum glandulosum*), willow (*Salix* spp.), and alder (*Alnus* spp.) (Todd 1990). Common herbaceous plants include cow parsnip (*Heracleum lanatum*), corn lily (*Veratrum californicum*), broad-leaved lupine (*Lupinus latifolius*), fireweed (*Epilobium* spp.), and various grasses. Todd (1990) estimated that mountain beavers occupy approximately 200 to 550 sites in Yosemite
National Park. By extrapolating the number of mountain beaver sites to the numbers of animals, Todd (1990) estimated from 400 to 6,600 adults living in the park.

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Male onset of reproductive activity for mountain beaver occurs in November and December (Hubbard 1922, Pfeiffer 1956, Lovejoy et al. 1978, Carraway and Verts 1993). Mountain beavers are not reproductively capable until after their second year, and have low reproductive rates (Pfeiffer 1958). Although little data is available on reproduction of the southern subspecies, the large latitudinal range in distribution of mountain beaver may suggest local variation in reproductive traits (Pfeiffer 1958, Zielinski and Mazurek 2006). In both the A. r. pacifica and A. r. rufa subspecies, breeding usually occurs from late January to early February. Estimated parturition of 2–4 pups after a 28–30 day gestation is late March to early April, with weaning occurring at the beginning of June (Lovejoy and Black 1974, Arjo, unpublished data). Nest chambers are located from one to 4.6 ft below the ground surface (Zeiner et al. 1990).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Mountain beavers are strictly herbivorous and are coprophagic, reingesting certain fecal pellets for maximum nutrition (Feldhamer et al. 2003). Sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*) and salal (*Gaultheria shallon*) are clipped year-round as a food and bedding source (Neal and Borrecco 1981). Good forage cover (e.g., ferns, forbs, and shrubs) as well as large amounts of small diameter woody debris or uprooted stumps are areas usually selected by mountain beaver (Todd 1992, Hacker and Coblenz 1993). Willow (*Salix* sp.), alder (*Alnus* sp.) and fir (*Abies* sp.) dominate areas preferred by mountain beavers in the higher elevations of the Sierra Nevada Mountains (Arjo 2007).

Mountain beavers feed on vegetative parts of plants including thimbleberry, salmonberry, blackberry, dogwood, salal, ferns, lupines, willow, and grasses. They forage underground, above ground, under snow, on the surface of snow, and up to 14.8 ft high in trees and bushes. Vegetation is stored near a burrow entrance or in underground chambers (Maser et al. 1981). Mountain beavers in the Sierra Nevada require abundant riparian plants for harvesting but species composition is relatively unimportant (Todd 1990).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Suitable habitat for mountain beavers occurs at both project areas, especially at Henness where the species likely inhabits the drainages on either side of the ridge. There are seven observations of mountain beavers from Chinquapin and Yosemite West; the species is also known to occur in the streams that drain from the meadows and ski slopes at Badger Pass (Monroe Meadow) (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009). In the Crane Flat vicinity, a mountain beaver was observed at the Merced Grove in June 1981 and nearby on the Big Oak Flat Road in May of 1981 (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009).

**AMERICAN MARTEN Martes americana**

**Status.** The marten is not currently protected under ESA. Collection of pelts has reduced populations in many parts of the species range. The destruction of coniferous forest habitat has also led to decreased numbers. In spite of these threats, American martens are not protected, but are currently only listed as a USFS sensitive species.

**General Distribution.** The American marten (*Martes americana*) is found in coniferous dominated montane forests of the north Coast Ranges, Cascade Range, and Sierra Nevada (Kucera et al. 1995). In the southern Sierra Nevada, the species is most closely associated with lodgepole pine forests. Occurrence records range from approximately 4,000 to 13,000 feet above msl in elevation with an average elevation of 8,300 feet above
msl (Schempf and White 1977). This species is thought to occur in low densities throughout its range (Kucera et al. 1995).

**Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat.** Martens den and find cover in hollow trees, downed logs, and cavities in rocks.

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Martens forage for mice and other small mammals on the forest floor and in open barren habitats.

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** American marten has been documented at both proposed study areas, Crane Flat and Henness Ridge. Both sites appear to contain suitable habitat, however, the majority of marten observations in the park occur at higher elevations. An American marten observation was recorded in October of 1946 at Crane Flat and two observations (1992 and 1996) have been recorded since then in the near vicinity along the Big Oak Flat Road (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009). In the Henness area, this species has been documented three times (1957, 1974, and 1975) at Badger Pass, including one observation at the nearby water tank (Yosemite Wildlife Observation Database 2009).

**PACIFIC FISHER Martes pennanti**

**Status.** Federal Candidate, California Candidate Species, Bureau of Land Management Sensitive, U. S. Forest Service Sensitive

Three petitions were submitted to list the fisher in the western United States under the federal Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. § 1531 et seq. (Beckwitt 1990, Carlton 1994, Greenwald et al. 2000). Following the Greenwald et al. (2000) petition, USFWS determined that a listing of the West Coast population segment of the fisher was “warranted but precluded by other, higher priority listing actions” (12- month finding for a petition to list west coast distinct population segment of the fisher; Proposed Rule, Federal Register April 8, 2004). In 2009, California Department of Fish and Game (DFG) accepted for consideration the petition to list the Pacific fisher (M. pennanti) as threatened or endangered under the California Endangered Species Act (California Code of Regulations Sec 670.1, 670.5, Title 14). Effective April 24th, 2009, DFG gave the species the interim designation of “candidate species.” In a public notice dated September 2, 2009, DFG announced that it is reviewing the petition and evaluating available scientific information, to make a recommendation to the Fish and Game Commission.

Threats to the fisher in the Sierra Nevada include more than a century of logging with concurrent road building, rapid population growth, development and trapping prior to 1946 (Duane 1996, McKelvey and Johnson 1992, Lamberson et al. 2000, Campbell 2004, Zielinski et al. 2005). The fisher occurs at lower elevations than the American marten, where the species is in closer proximity to human development and forest- altering activities (Zielinski et al. 2005). Truex et al. (1998) concluded that “for all intents and purposes the southern Sierra is a demographically closed population.” This conclusion is supported by the recent genetic work by Wisely et al. (2004), which found that populations from northern California and the southern Sierra Nevada are highly differentiated, and there is little migration among populations from north to south (Wisely et al. 2004).

In Yosemite National Park, field surveys conducted in 1999 and 2000 verified the presence of one fisher in the park (Campbell 2004) and surveys in 2007 verified the presence of one fisher in the southern part of the park by a research team led by Richard Truex (unpubl. data). In the past decade, there have also been six road kills (including a lactating female) and about 15 unverified sightings of fisher. Vehicle-related accidents cause the greatest number of known adult fisher mortalities in the park.
General Distribution. Fisher populations are present in low numbers, or absent throughout most of their historic range in Montana, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, and California (Heinemeyer and Jones 1994). In recent decades, a scarcity of sightings in Washington, Oregon, and the northern Sierra Nevada may indicate fisher extirpation from much of this area (Zielinski et al. 1996, Aubry and Raley 1999, Carroll et al. 1999). In California, the fisher’s range has been reduced to roughly 50 percent of its historic range (Zielinski et al. 1997a, Zielinski et al. 2005). In particular, researchers have failed to detect fishers north of Yosemite National Park during extensive surveys using remote cameras and track plates, suggesting that the fisher is extirpated or occurs at extremely low densities in the central and northern Sierra Nevada (Truex et al. 1998, Zielinski et al. 1997b, 2000, 2005a, Campbell 2004). This has effectively isolated fishers in the southern Sierra Nevada from fishers in northern California by a distance of roughly 265 mi (Lamberson et al. 2000, Zielinski et al. 2005), which is more than four times greater than the observed maximum dispersal distance for fisher of 65 mi (Arthur et al. 1993, York 1996).

In the Sierra Nevada, the fisher occurs from roughly 1,970 ft – 8,530 ft with occasional sightings up to 9,840 ft (Grinnell et al. 1937, Zielinski et al. 1997a). Studies on the habitat use of fishers in the western United States demonstrate that the fisher is strongly associated with mature and late successional forests (Aubry and Houston 1992, Buck et al. 1994, Dark 1997, Jones and Garton 1994, Mazzoni 2002, Powell and Zielinski 1994, Seglund 1995, Truex et al. 1998, Carroll et al. 1999, Zielinski et al. 2004a, 2004b). In particular, fishers are generally found in stands with high canopy closure, large trees and snags, large woody debris, large hardwoods, and multiple canopy layers. Records at the MVZ in Berkeley, California for specimens collected in Yosemite indicate that fishers were most commonly found between 5,905 and 6,890 ft in elevation. In recent years, the majority of reported fisher sightings and road kills have occurred along the Wawona and Big Oak Flat Roads near Henness Ridge and Crane Flat.

Reproductive Biology and Breeding Habitat. The breeding season for the fisher begins in late February and lasts until mid-April, although some births occur as late as May (Frost et al. 1997). Gestation, including delayed implantation, is approximately 338 to 358 days with the period of active pregnancy following implantation lasting approximately 40 days until birth (Frost et al. 1997). Kits are born in early to mid-spring, and raised entirely by the female (Powell and Zielinski 1994). Kits subsist exclusively on their mother’s milk until 8-10 weeks old, and by 10 weeks the kits wean (Powell 1993, Powell and Zielinski 1994). After about four months, the kits begin killing their own prey; by one year kits will have developed their own home ranges (Powell 1993, Powell and Zielinski 1994). Fishers have low annual reproductive capacity; not all fishers produce young every year. Truex et al. (1998) documented that of the females in their study area in the southern Sierra Nevada only about 50-60 percent successfully gave birth to young. In their study area on the North Coast, however, reproductive rates fluctuated from 73% of females giving birth in 1995 to only 14% in 1996.

Natal dens, where kits are born, are most commonly in tree cavities at heights of greater than 20 ft, while maternal dens, where kits are raised, may be in cavities closer to the ground so active kits can avoid injury in the event of a fall from the den (Lewis and Stinson 1998). Most natal and maternal dens are in large conifers or oaks, which may be live or in snag form (Truex et al. 1998). Natal and maternal dens collectively are defined as rest sites where kits are observed prior to juvenile dispersal (Truex et al. 1998). Females have to be selective because they must find a suitable cavity with an entrance hole small enough to dissuade access by males and to protect their young from predators (Zielinski et al. 2004a). In three studies that described 75 natal and maternal dens in California, all dens were in cavities of very large live or dead conifer or hardwood trees, and all were standing except one white fir (Abies concolor) log (Truex et al. 1998, Higley and Matthews 2006, and Self and Callas 2006). Truex et al. (1998) reported that of a total of 19 denning sites in the North Coast, eastern Klamath, and southern Sierra Nevada, eight were in live hardwood trees, six were in
live conifer trees, four were in conifer snags, and one was in a conifer log. Overall the average diameter at breast height (DBH) was 45.2 in for conifers and 24.6 in for hardwoods. The minimum sized conifer den tree was an
32.3-in live white fir, while minimum sized hardwoods were in 15.8-in live black oak and live oak. Habitat conditions surrounding natal and maternal den trees included canopy closure that ranged from 70 to 100% and basal area that averaged 248 ft$^2$/ha for North Coast sites, 205.4 ft$^2$/ha for the Southern Sierra site, and 196.2 ft$^2$/ha for Eastern Klamath sites (Truex et al. 1998).

**Diet and Foraging Habitat.** Fishers are opportunistic, generalist predators with a diverse diet, including birds, porcupines (*Erethizon dorsatum*), snowshoe hares (*Lepus americanus*), squirrels (*Sciurus* spp., *Tamiasciurus* spp., *Glaucomys* spp.), mice and voles (*Clethrionomys gapperi*, *Microtus* spp., *Peromyscus* spp.), shrews (*Blarina* spp., *Sorex* spp.), insects, carrion of deer (*Odocoileus* spp.), vegetation, and fruit (Powell 1993, Martin 1994, Powell and Zielinski 1994, Zielinski et al. 1999, Weir et al. 2005, Bowman et al. 2006). Throughout most of its range, snowshoe hare and porcupine are important components of the fisher’s diet (Bowman et al. 2006). Although mammals were still the most frequent prey found in fisher scat from the southern Sierra, reptiles, especially the alligator lizard *Elgaria*, constituted a major prey item, occurring in 20.4 percent of all observed scat and 37.7 percent of scat collected in spring (Zielinski et al. 1999). Also unique to the southern Sierra Nevada and northern California, fishers were found to potentially feed on hypogeous fungi (false truffles) (Grenfell and Fasenfest 1979, Zielinski et al. 1999).

Foraging habitat for the fisher depends on conditions that support abundant prey populations and reduce fisher predation (Powell 1993). The fisher is among the most habitat-specific mammals in North America (Buskirk and Powell 1994). Fishers inhabit forest or woodland landscape mosaics that include conifer-dominated stands, and avoid entering open areas that have no overstory or shrub cover (Buskirk and Powell 1994). Late-successional coniferous or mixed forests provide the most suitable fisher habitat because they provide abundant potential den sites and preferred prey species (Allen 1987). The presence of large conifers and hardwoods is a highly significant predictor of fisher occurrence (Carroll et al. 1999). There are two possible reasons for the importance of large hardwoods to fishers: (1) cavities, which are frequently used as resting and den sites, are more common in hardwoods than in conifers, and (2) large hardwoods produce mast (acorns), which may in turn stimulate higher prey densities (Powell and Zielinski 1994). Density of overhead cover is another predictor of fisher occurrence (Carroll et al. 1999). Landscapes with high levels of overhead cover may protect fishers from predation, reduce the amount of energy fishers expend when traveling between foraging sites, provide more favorable microclimates, and increase prey numbers or prey vulnerability (Buskirk and Powell 1994, Powell and Zielinski 1994). Fishers also use habitat where shrubs contribute to “overhead” canopy (Buck et al. 1994, Dark 1997, Seglund 1995). Riparian corridors (Heinemeyer and Jones 1994) and forested saddles between major drainages (Buck 1983) may provide important dispersal habitat or landscape linkages for the species. Riparian areas are important to fishers because they provide important rest site elements, such as broken tops, snags, and coarse woody debris (Seglund 1995).

**Habitat and Status in the Project Area.** Fishers have been detected at or nearby both project areas, which is not surprising since both areas contain both micro- and macro-habitat features required by fishers for resting, denning, and dispersing. However, the fisher is a highly elusive, fast, nocturnal animal, making it difficult to determine its status in Yosemite, much less in the project areas. Only eight fisher natal and maternal dens are known in the Sierra Nevada, none of which are located in Yosemite. While protection of den sites is essential, it is important to note that location of den sites is difficult and time consuming. Project-level surveys are unlikely to locate new den sites. Depending on the detection method, it can take up to 21 days to confirm or deny the presence of fishers in an area (Zielinski et al. 1996).
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Representative Site Photographs
APPENDIX E: REPRESENTATIVE PHOTOGRAPHS OF CRANE FLAT AND HENNESS RIDGE

This appendix contains photographs taken from key viewpoints around the Crane Flat and Henness Ridge sites. The photographs provide a visual representation of the descriptions contained in the Scenic Resources section of Chapter 3. The viewpoint from which the photograph was taken, as well as a brief description of the view, is provided for each photograph. Figures are provided at the end to show the locations and direction of each viewpoint.

CRANE FLAT PHOTOGRAPHS

![Photo CF-1: View of Buildings and Parking Lot at Crane Flat Entrance off Tioga Road from Viewpoint A](image)
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Photo CF-2: View of Parking Area and Disturbance Along Tioga Road from Viewpoint B

Photo CF-3: View to South of Tioga Road Adjacent to Crane Flat from Viewpoint C
Representative Site Photographs

Viewpoint CF-4: View Eastward Towards Crane Flat Campus from Tuolumne Grove Trail (Undesignated Trail (Center) and 2005 Exploratory Wellhead (Lower Left) To Be Restored)

Photo CF-5: View of Buildings at Back End of the Campus Among the Trees from Viewpoint D
HENNESS RIDGE PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo HR-1: View of Henness Ridge Road and Roadside Embankments at Entrance to the Site from Viewpoint B
Photo HR-2: View of Modern Sand Shed from Henness Ridge Road (Viewpoint B)
Photo HR-3: Henness Ridge Road Entrance to Proposed Campus Site (Viewpoint B)
Representative Site Photographs

Photo HR-4: View to South of Wawona Road Curve from Viewpoint D

Photo HR-5: View Toward Henness Ridge Site (at 35 mph Sign) from Wawona Road (Viewpoint D)
Photo HR-8: View of Northeast Corner of Proposed Campus, Looking Southward on Wawona Road from Henness Ridge Road Intersection (Southbound Wawona Road Curving Southeast in the Distance) (Viewpoint G)

Photo HR-9: View from Yosemite West Road-Henness Ridge Road Intersection (Viewpoint G)
Photo HR-10: View of Henness Ridge Road Looking West from Wawona Road at Viewpoint G

Photo HR-11: View of the Historic Garage at Chinquapin
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Air Quality Impact
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APPENDIX F: AIR QUALITY IMPACT

This appendix provides background information on air quality to support the air quality analysis in Chapter 3 of the Environmental Impact Statement. Results of vehicle emission modeling (URBEMIS) and energy use emissions are provided at the end of this appendix (including additional analysis for the preferred alternative conducted in response to comments on the Draft EIS).

ATTAINMENT/NONATTAINMENT DESIGNATIONS

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the California Air Resources Board designate whether counties in California are in attainment of federal and state (respectively) ambient air quality standards for criteria air pollutants. Table 1 shows the current attainment status of Tuolumne and Mariposa Counties. As shown in Table 1, portions of Tuolumne and Mariposa Counties located within Yosemite National Park are designated nonattainment for national and state ozone standards. The portion of Mariposa County within Yosemite Park is also designated nonattainment for the state particulate matter (PM-10) standard. Both counties are designated either attainment or unclassified for the remaining national and state standards.

While air quality in a given air basin is usually determined by emission sources within the basin, it also can be affected by pollutants transported from upwind air basins by prevailing winds. For descriptive purposes, emissions sources are typically categorized as stationary, mobile, or area. Generally, stationary sources refer to emissions sources associated with industrial or commercial processes; mobile sources refer to on-road and off-road motor vehicles; and area sources refer to a wide range of sources that are individually minor but are more substantial in the aggregate. Consumer use of paints and pesticides is an example of an area source. Another category of emissions sources is referred as a “fugitive” source. Fugitive sources refer to those sources that emit pollutants to the atmosphere through some means other than through a smokestack or tailpipe. A vehicle traveling over an unpaved road is an example of a fugitive source of dust.

Table 1. Tuolumne and Mariposa Counties Attainment/Nonattainment Designations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuolumne County</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>Nonattainment</td>
<td>Nonattainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate Matter (PM-10)</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Particulate Matter (PM-2.5)</td>
<td>Attainment/Unclassified</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>Attainment/Unclassified</td>
<td>Attainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dioxide</td>
<td>Attainment/Unclassified</td>
<td>Attainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur Dioxide</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>Attainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (Particulate)</td>
<td>No Designation</td>
<td>Attainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mariposa County</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>Nonattainment</td>
<td>Nonattainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate Matter (PM-10)</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>Nonattainment*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Tuolumne and Mariposa Counties Attainment/Nonattainment Designations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine Particulate Matter (PM-2.5)</td>
<td>Attainment/ Unclassified</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>Attainment/ Unclassified</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Dioxide</td>
<td>Attainment/ Unclassified</td>
<td>Attainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur Dioxide</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>Attainment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (Particulate)</td>
<td>No Designation</td>
<td>Attainment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Designation applies to the portion of Mariposa County that lies within Yosemite National Park
Source: CARB 2008

Estimated air emissions from sources located within Yosemite Valley for the year 1998, which is the most current year for which emissions inventory data is available, are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Estimated Air Emissions in Yosemite Valley (1998)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>PM-2.5</th>
<th>PM-10</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>NO2</th>
<th>VOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stationary Sources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Oil Boilers/Furnaces</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Propane Gas Heating/Cooking</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generators</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fireplaces</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Storage Tanks/Refueling</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Area Sources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campfires</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobile Sources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor and Employee Vehicles, Buses, NPS, and Concessionaire Vehicles</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>167.5</td>
<td>568.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>176.2</td>
<td>635.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>70.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM-2.5/PM-10=particulate matter less than 2.5 microns and 10 microns, respectively; CO=carbon monoxide; SO2=sulfur dioxide; NO2=nitrogen dioxide; VOC=volatile organix compounds.
Source NPS 2000
AIR QUALITY MONITORING DATA

Federal, state, and local agencies operate a network of monitoring stations throughout California to provide data on ambient concentrations of air pollutants. Table 3 summarizes recent monitoring data from monitoring stations in the vicinity of Crane Flat and Henness Ridge. Ozone levels are measured at the Turtleback Dome monitoring station, which is located approximately six miles east of Crane Flat at approximately 5,300 feet above sea level. The nearest PM-10 measurements are taken at the Yosemite Valley monitoring station in Yosemite Valley (approximately 4,000 feet above sea level). As shown in Table 3, exceedances of state and national standards for ozone and state standards for PM-10 have been recorded on occasion within the last five years of available data (i.e., 2002-2006). In addition, the ozone standard has recently been lowered to .075 ppm that may lead to more exceedances in the future.

Table 3 indicates that ozone concentrations in the park exceed the state standard on an average of four to 15 days per year. Elevated ozone concentrations are a summertime phenomenon, with most of the exceedances of the state standard in July, August, and September and only occasional exceedances in June and October. Ozone concentrations in Yosemite National Park are largely a function of pollutant transport from the San Joaquin Valley, Sacramento, and, to a lesser extent, the San Francisco Bay Area.

Table 3 shows that exceedances of the state 24-hour-average PM-10 standard occurred during all five years for which data are available (i.e., 2002-2006) in Yosemite Village. No exceedances of the less stringent national 24-hour standard of 150 micrograms per cubic meter were either measured or estimated to occur during the last five years of available data. Measured annual concentrations also exceeded the state’s annual PM-10 standard of 20 micrograms per cubic meter during the years 2002 and 2003. Annual data for the remaining years (i.e., 2004-2006) is currently unavailable.

### Table 3. Recent Ozone and PM-10 Concentration Data for Yosemite National Park

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>National Standard</th>
<th>State Standard</th>
<th>Monitoring Data By Year*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ozone Monitoring Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station: Yosemite National Park—Turtleback Dome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest 1-hour average, ppm</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days over state standard*</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days over national standard</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest 8-hour average, ppm</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days over national standard</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Particulate Matter (PM-10) Monitoring Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station: Yosemite Village—Visitor Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest 24-hour average, μg/m³ (national/state)**</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>76/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days over state standard (measured/estimated)**</td>
<td>3/18</td>
<td>1/5.8</td>
<td>2/ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3. Recent Ozone and PM-10 Concentration Data for Yosemite National Park

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>National Standard</th>
<th>State Standard</th>
<th>Monitoring Data By Year*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days over national standard (measured/estimated)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002  2003  2004  2005  2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual geometric mean, μg/m³ (national/state)**</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26  21  ND  ND  ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* "Days over standard" refers to the number of days in a given year during which the ozone concentration over at least one hour exceeded the hourly state or national standard.
** State and national statistics may differ due to variations in sampling equipment, locations, references, and equivalent methods.
*** PM-10 is usually measured every sixth day (rather than continuously like other pollutants). Measured days is based on days that a measurement was greater than the standard. Estimated days mathematically estimates how many days concentrations would have been greater than the level of the standard had each day been monitored.
NA = Not applicable; ND = No data available; ppm = parts per million; μg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter. Values shown in bold type exceed the applicable standard.
Source: CARB 2008
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## Air Quality Impact

### Combined Annual Emissions Reports (Tons/Year)

**File Name:** C:\Documents and Settings\Kurt Legleiter\Application Data\Urbemis\Version9\Projects\Yosemite Institute Alt 1.urb924  
**Project Name:** Yosemite Institute - Alt 1  
**Project Location:** Mountain Counties Air Basin  
**On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on:** Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2008  
**Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on:** OFFROAD2007

### Summary Report

#### AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>42.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>46.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report

### Area Source Emission Estimates Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Coatings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Area Source Changes to Defaults

- Cords of wood burned per year per wood fireplace changed from 0.28 cords per year to 12 cords per year
- Days used per year per wood stove changed from 82 days to 243 days

## Operational Unmitigated Detail Report

### Operational Emission Estimates Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOX</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Institute</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>42.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</strong></td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>42.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operational Settings:

- Does not include correction for passby trips
- Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips
### Summary of Land Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Type</th>
<th>Acreage</th>
<th>Trip Rate</th>
<th>Unit Type</th>
<th>No. Units</th>
<th>Total Trips</th>
<th>Total VMT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Institute</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>1000 sq ft</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>21.07</td>
<td>162.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vehicle Fleet Mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Percent Type</th>
<th>Non-Catalyst</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Diesel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light Auto</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Truck &lt; 3750 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bus</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Bus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>school bus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Home</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Travel Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban Trip Length (miles)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Trip Length (miles)</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trip speeds (mph)</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| % of Trips - Residential | 32.9 | 10.0 | 49.1 |

| % of Trips - Commercial (by land use) | 2.0 | 1.0 | 97.0 |
### Combined Annual Emissions Reports (Tons/Year)

- **File Name:** C:\Documents and Settings\Kurt Legleiter\Application Data\Urbemis\Version\Projects\Yosemite Institute Alt 2\urb824
- **Project Name:** Yosemite Institute - Alt 2
- **Project Location:** Mountain Counties Air Basin
- **On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on Version:** Emfarc2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2008
- **Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on:** OFFROAD2007

#### Summary Report

**AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>50.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>193.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>244.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report:

**AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>50.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Coatings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>50.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operational: Unmitigated Detail Report:

**OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOX</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Inn/Info</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>193.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</strong></td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>193.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operational Settings:**

- Does not include correction for passby trips
- Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips
- Analysis Year: 2010  Season: Annual

*Emfitc: Version : Emfitc2007 V2.3 Nov '1 2008*
### Summary of Land Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Type</th>
<th>Acreage</th>
<th>Trip Rate</th>
<th>Unit Type</th>
<th>No. Units</th>
<th>Total Trips</th>
<th>Total VMT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Incluse</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1000 sq ft</td>
<td>34.67</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>576.84</td>
<td>576.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vehicle Fleet Mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Percent Type</th>
<th>Non-Catalyst</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Diesel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light Auto</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Truck &lt; 3750 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lite-Heavy Truck 6501-10,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bus</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Bus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Bus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Home</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Travel Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Home-Work</td>
<td>Home-Shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Trip Length (miles)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Conditions</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Home Work</td>
<td>Home Shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Trip Length (miles)</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trip speeds (mph)</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Trips - Residential</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)
### Summary Report

**Area Source Emission Estimates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>71.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operational (Vehicle) Emission Estimates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>190.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sum of Area Source and Operational Emission Estimates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>262.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix F

#### 9/7/2008 12:06:37 PM

**Area Source Unmitigated Detail Report:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>71.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Coatings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>71.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Area Source Changes to Defaults**

**Operational: Unmitigated Detail Report:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Institute</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>190.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>190.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operational Settings:**

- Does not include correction for passby trips
- Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips
- Analysis Year: 2010 Season: Annual
### Summary of Land Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Type</th>
<th>Acreage</th>
<th>Trip Rate</th>
<th>Unit Type</th>
<th>No. Units</th>
<th>Total Trips</th>
<th>Total VMT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Inclusive</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1009 sq ft</td>
<td>40.27</td>
<td>27.69</td>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>568.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vehicle Fleet Mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Percent Type</th>
<th>Non-Catalyst</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Diesel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light Auto</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Truck &lt; 3750 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Truck 5751-8500 lbs</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bus</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Bus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Bus</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle/Other</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Travel Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Home-Work</td>
<td>Home-Shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Trip Length (miles)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Travel Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th></th>
<th>Commercial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Home Work</td>
<td>Home Shop</td>
<td>Home Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Trip Length (miles)</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trip Speeds (mph)</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Trips - Residential</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Institute</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Emissions Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>NOX</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Valley (Total)</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>176.2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALT 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Use</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Use</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearth</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALT 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Use</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Use</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearth</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALT 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Use</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Use</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearth</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ALTERNATIVE 1: SPACE HEATING - WOOD HEARTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat Source:</th>
<th>Wood-Burning Hearth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>12 CORDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBS/CORD</td>
<td>2458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Tons/Yr Wood Use:</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Emissions (Tons/Yr)</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood-Hearth Emission Factors (LBS/TON)</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>252.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions (Tons/Yr)</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Woodburning emissions were calculated based on emission factors derived from the URBEMIS2007 computer program and usage rates provided by NPS. CO2e expressed in metric tons/year.
## ELECTRICITY USAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SUMMER</th>
<th>WINTER</th>
<th>ANNUAL (Estimated)</th>
<th>Estimated PV Cell Capture</th>
<th>Est. Utility Demand</th>
<th>Estimated Annual Emissions (tens/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ken/hr</td>
<td>Ken/hr</td>
<td>Ken/hr-y</td>
<td>percent</td>
<td>Ken/hr-y</td>
<td>NOx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT 1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>35,950</td>
<td>35.95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT 2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>35,950</td>
<td>35.95</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT 3</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>9,854</td>
<td>9,854</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9,854</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on usage rates provided by NPS; Alt 3 assumes 90 percent of electricity usage would be provided by onsite PV cells.

Emission Factors (lb/ken)-hr:

- NOx: 0.01
- SOX: 1.15
- PM10: 0.04
- PM2.5: 0.01

Source: South Coast Air Quality Management District 1993 CEQA Air Quality Handbook
### Appendix F

**Detail Report for Annual Construction Mitigated Emissions (Tons/Year)**

**File Name:** C:\Documents and Settings\Bill\Desktop\Projects\Yosemite Institute Environmental Camp\YIEC.urb24

**Project Name:** Yosemite Environmental Education Center

**Project Location:** Mountain Counties Air Basin

**On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on:** Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

**Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on:** OFFROAD2007

### CONSTRUCTION EMISSION ESTIMATES (Annual Tons Per Year, Mitigated)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10 Dust</th>
<th>PM10 Exhaust</th>
<th>PM10 Total</th>
<th>PM2.5 Dust</th>
<th>PM2.5 Exhaust</th>
<th>PM2.5 Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Grading 05/03/2010-07/01/2010</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Grading Dust</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Grading Off Road Diesel</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Grading On Road Diesel</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Grading Worker Trips</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenching 07/01/2010-08/01/2010</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenching Off Road Diesel</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenching Worker Trips</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 08/01/2010-11/15/2010</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Off Road Diesel</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Vendor Trips</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Worker Trips</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt 06/01/2010-06/03/2010</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paving Off-Gas</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paving Off Road Diesel</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paving On Road Diesel</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paving Worker Trips</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating 11/01/2010-11/15/2010</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Coating</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating Worker Trips</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 04/01/2011-07/28/2011</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Off Road Diesel</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Vendor Trips</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Worker Trips</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating 07/01/2011-07/29/2011</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Construction Related Mitigation Measures

The following mitigation measures apply to Phase: Fine Grading 5/3/2010 - 7/1/2010 - All Sites fine grading
For Soil Stabilizing Measures, the Water exposed surfaces 2x daily watering mitigation reduces emissions by:
  PM10: 55% PM2.5: 55%
For Unpaved Roads Measures, the Reduce speed on unpaved roads to less than 15 mph mitigation reduces emissions by:
  PM10: 44% PM2.5: 44%
For Unpaved Roads Measures, the Manage haul road dust 2x daily watering mitigation reduces emissions by:
  PM10: 55% PM2.5: 55%

Phase Assumptions

Phase: Fine Grading 5/3/2010 - 7/1/2010 - All Sites fine grading
Total Acres Disturbed: 3.77
Maximum Daily Acreage Disturbed: 0.5
Fugitive Dust Level of Detail: Default
  20 lbs per acre-day
On Road Truck Travel (VMT): 0
Off-Road Equipment:
  1 Graders (174 hp) operating at a 0.61 load factor for 6 hours per day
  1 Rubber Tired Dozers (357 hp) operating at a 0.59 load factor for 6 hours per day
  1 Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes (108 hp) operating at a 0.55 load factor for 7 hours per day
  1 Water Trucks (188 hp) operating at a 0.5 load factor for 8 hours per day

Phase: Trenching 7/1/2010 - 8/1/2010 - Trenching for Utilities
Off-Road Equipment:
  2 Excavators (106 hp) operating at a 0.57 load factor for 8 hours per day
  1 Other General Industrial Equipment (238 hp) operating at a 0.51 load factor for 8 hours per day
  1 Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes (108 hp) operating at a 0.55 load factor for 7 hours per day

Phase: Paving 9/1/2010 - 9/6/2010 - Paving and foundations
Acres to be Paved: 0.94
Off-Road Equipment:
  4 Cement and Mortar Mixers (10 hp) operating at a 0.55 load factor for 6 hours per day
  1 Pavers (100 hp) operating at a 0.62 load factor for 7 hours per day
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1 Rollers (95 hp) operating at a 0.55 load factor for 7 hours per day
1 Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes (105 hp) operating at a 0.55 load factor for 7 hours per day


Off-Road Equipment:
1 Cranes (999 hp) operating at a 0.43 load factor for 4 hours per day
2 Forklifts (145 hp) operating at a 0.3 load factor for 8 hours per day
1 Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes (105 hp) operating at a 0.55 load factor for 8 hours per day


Off-Road Equipment:
1 Cranes (999 hp) operating at a 0.43 load factor for 4 hours per day
2 Forklifts (145 hp) operating at a 0.3 load factor for 8 hours per day
1 Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes (105 hp) operating at a 0.55 load factor for 8 hours per day

Phase: Architectural Coating 11/1/2010 - 11/15/2010 - Building coatings/paint/finish

Rule: Residential Interior Coatings begins 1/1/2005 ends 12/31/2040 specifies a VOC of 250
Rule: Residential Exterior Coatings begins 1/1/2005 ends 12/31/2040 specifies a VOC of 250
Rule: Nonresidential Interior Coatings begins 1/1/2005 ends 12/31/2040 specifies a VOC of 250
Rule: Nonresidential Exterior Coatings begins 1/1/2005 ends 12/31/2040 specifies a VOC of 250


Rule: Residential Interior Coatings begins 1/1/2005 ends 12/31/2040 specifies a VOC of 250
Rule: Residential Exterior Coatings begins 1/1/2005 ends 12/31/2040 specifies a VOC of 250
Rule: Nonresidential Interior Coatings begins 1/1/2005 ends 12/31/2040 specifies a VOC of 250
Rule: Nonresidential Exterior Coatings begins 1/1/2005 ends 12/31/2040 specifies a VOC of 250
### Air Quality Impact

**Detail Report for Annual Operational Unmitigated Emissions (Tons/Year)**

**File Name:** C:\Documents and Settings\kimilea\Desktop\Projects\Yosemite Institute Environmental Camp\YEIC Area and Operational.urb024

**Project Name:** Yosemite Environmental Education Center

**Project Location:** Mountain Counties Air Basin

**On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on:** Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

**Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on:** OFFROAD2007

### Operational Emission Estimates (Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOX</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Education Center</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>53.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.23</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.12</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.02</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.10</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.02</strong></td>
<td><strong>53.20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Does not include correction for passby trips*

*Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips*

**Analysis Year:** 2011 **Season:** Annual

**Emfac Version:** Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

### Summary of Land Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use Type</th>
<th>Acreage</th>
<th>Trip Rate</th>
<th>Unit Type</th>
<th>No. Units</th>
<th>Total Trips</th>
<th>Total VMT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Education Center</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1000 sq ft</td>
<td>82.20</td>
<td>41.10</td>
<td>303.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41.10</td>
<td>303.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vehicle Fleet Mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Percent Type</th>
<th>Non-Catalyst</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Diesel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light Auto</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Truck &lt; 3750 lbs</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Residential and Commercial Travel Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Home-Work</td>
<td>Home-Shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Trip Length (miles)</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Trip Length (miles)</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trip speeds (mph)</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Trips - Residential</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)

- Environmental Education Center: 2.0
- Operational Changes to Defaults: 1.0
- Environmental Education Center: 67.0
### Air Quality Impact

#### Detail Report for Annual Area Source Unmitigated Emissions (Tons/Year)

- **File Name:** C:\Documents and Settings\Wmiller\Desktop\Projects\Yosemite Institute Environmental Camp\YEIC Area and Operational.urb924
- **Project Name:** Yosemite Environmental Education Center
- **Project Location:** Mountain Counties Air Basin
- **On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on:** Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006
- **Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on:** OFFROAD2007

#### AREA SOURCE EMISSION ESTIMATES (Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>CO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>120.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearth</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Products</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Coatings</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.11</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.10</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.22</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>120.26</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Area Source Changes to Defaults
APPENDIX G

Historic Resources
March 25, 2009

David V. Uberuaga
Acting Superintendent
Yosemite National Park
P.O. Box 577
Yosemite, CA 95389

Re: Determination of Eligibility for Four Buildings at Yosemite Institute Campus, Crane Flat, Yosemite National Park

Dear Mr. Uberuaga:

Thank you for your letter of 29 January 2007 requesting my comment and concurrence for the Determination of Eligibility for four buildings at the Yosemite Institute Campus at Crane Flat in Yosemite National Park. You are consulting with me in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470f), as amended, and its implementing regulation at 36 CFR Part 800.

The National Park Service (NPS) commissioned a Historic Resources Assessment (HRA) of these buildings at Crane Flat, which was completed by Environmental Science Associates and Architectural Resources Group in 2004. In this report, NPS concludes that these four buildings (Building numbers 6013, 6014, 6015, and 6017) are individually eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Resources (NRHP).

- Buildings 6013 and 6017 appear eligible under Criterion A for their association with the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) from 1934 to 1943, and with the Blister Rust camp at the same site from 1946 to 1967.

- Buildings 6014 and 6015 appear eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A for their association with the Blister Rust camp from 1946 to 1967.

- The HRA contends that all four buildings retain historic integrity.

I concur with the determination of eligibility for all four buildings. The significance of Buildings 6013 and 6017 through their construction and use by the CCC is clearly stated. I also concur that all four buildings are also significant for their use as the base camp for the NPS efforts to fight blister rust between 1946 and 1967.

However, the HRA could have made a stronger argument for this second period of significance by detailing the threat posed to the park and lumber industry by the blister rust as well as the importance of early efforts to eradicate the Ribes species (especially black currants) from the park as a method of controlling blister rust. This information would provide a better context for the role the buildings at Crane Flat played in the eradication efforts and why they are significant and eligible for the NRHP.
Thank you for seeking my comments and considering historic properties as part of your planning. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Mark Beason, Project Review Unit historian, at (916) 653-8902 or mbeason@parks.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Milford Wayne Donaldson, FAIA
State Historic Preservation Officer

MWD:mb
PRIMARY RECORDS

Yosemite Campus, Crane Flat
Historic Resources Assessment

26 October 2004
### Appendix G

#### Yosemite Environmental Education Center G-4
Final Environmental Impact Statement

#### Primary Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>1 of 3</th>
<th>Resource Name or #: (Assigned by recorder)</th>
<th>NPS Building 6013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1.</td>
<td>Other Identifier:</td>
<td>Crane Flat Warehouse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2.</td>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>□ Not for Publication □ Unrestricted a. County Tuolumne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(P2b and P2c or P2d. Attach a Location Map as necessary.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. USGS 7.5' Quad</td>
<td>Ackerson Mountain Date 1992 T R 1/4 of 1/4 of Sec B.M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Address:</td>
<td>City Zip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. UTM (Give more than one for large and linear resources)</td>
<td>11 253146 mE 41927839 nN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Other Locational Data (Enter Parcel #, legal description, directions to resource, elevation, etc., as appropriate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Yosemite Institute campus is located northeast of the intersection of the Big Oak Flat Road (Highway 120) and Tioga Road.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parcel No.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3.</td>
<td>Description (Describe resource and its major elements. Include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, setting, and boundaries)</td>
<td>Excluding the porches and addition, this one-story building has a long and narrow rectangular footprint. The walls of the wood-frame structure are vertical log construction. The foundation is not visible, and the logs extend to the ground; some deterioration is visible at the log ends. Like all of the buildings in the complex, this structure is painted brown. A steeply-pitched gabled roof covered in wood singles tops the building. Split logs form the bargeboards. Exposed rafter ends are visible along the eaves, and several vent pipes project through the roof. A shed-roof addition abuts the west end of the building. Vertical wood siding covers the addition. A door is located on the north and south faces of the addition, and a window is located above each of the doors and on the west elevation. A wood ramp leads to the south door, and a metal stairway to the north door. A small wooden shed sits against the western wall of the addition. There are three evenly-spaced windows on the north face of the building, and four irregularly-spaced windows on the south face. Cuts in the vertical log siding indicate some windows may have been relocated.</td>
<td>See continuation sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3a.</td>
<td>Resource Attributes:</td>
<td>HP35 - CCC/WPA property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4.</td>
<td>Resources Present</td>
<td>Building Structure Object Site District Element of District Other (Isolates, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5a.</td>
<td>Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects)</td>
<td></td>
<td>View looking northeast.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6.</td>
<td>Date Constructed/Age and Sources:</td>
<td>□ Prehistoric □ Historic □ Both</td>
<td>1934: Individual Building Data Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7.</td>
<td>Owner and Address</td>
<td>National Park Service Yosemite National Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8.</td>
<td>Recorded by:</td>
<td>Name, affiliation, and address</td>
<td>Architectural Resources Group Per 9. The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10.</td>
<td>Survey Type:</td>
<td>(Describe)</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Statement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Attachments

- NONE
- Continuation Sheet
- Location Map
- Building, Structure, and Object Record
- Sketch Map
- Archaeological Record
- District Record
- Linear Feature Record
- Milling Station Record
- Photograph Record
- Other: (List)
Historic Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building, Structure, and Object Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B1. Historic Name:</strong> CCC Warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B2. Common Name:</strong> Yosemite Institute Bath House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B3. Original Use:</strong> Warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B4. Present Use:</strong> Bath House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B5. Architectural Style:</strong> National Park Service Rustic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B6. Construction History:</strong> The building was constructed in 1934. Additions were added to the east and west ends of the structure and windows moved and replaced at an unknown date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B7. Moved?</strong> No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B9a. Original Location:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B9b. Builder:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B10. Significance: Theme:</strong> Civilian Conservation Corps and Blue Rust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B11. Additional Resource Attributes:</strong> (List attributes and codes) HP 35 - CCC/WPA property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B12. References:</strong> See bibliography in Architectural Resources Group, &quot;Historic Resources Assessment — Yosemite Institute Campus, Crane Flat, 29 January 2003.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B13. Remarks:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B14. Evaluator:</strong> Jody R Stock, SF, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of Evaluation:</strong> 1/23/2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Sketch Map with north arrow required.)
Appendix G

State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

CONTINUATION SHEET

Page 3 of 3 Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder) NPS Building 6013
Recorded by: Architectural Resources Group Date 1/23/2003 Continuation Update

P1. Description:

The east face of the building has a wood hollow-core door set off center. A shed-roofed porch supported by posts shelters the entrance.
There is a small addition on the eastern end of the north side of the building. The addition has a shed roof with a lower pitch than the main roof. The walls of this addition are covered in vertical board siding. Access to the interior is through a plywood door on the east side of the addition. The windows are a variety of types including sliding aluminum, divided-light, and fixed windows. The building sits close to the north end of the complex, near the center.

B10. Significance:

Crane Flat was reoccupied as a Blister Rust Camp in 1943 after extensive reconstruction; tent platforms and mess halls were constructed. The former CCC structures (Buildings 6017 and 6013) continued to be used as an Oil House/ Light Plant and Bath House. The crews stationed at the camp fought to control blister rust, a disease fatal to all white pine species including the sugar pine, western white pine, and white-tow pine. To combat the spread of the fungus, foresters developed the strategy of removing the Ribes plants (gooseberry and current bushes) that hosted the disease and facilitated the spread of blister rust. In 1954 the building functioned as a three-room storage structure and was valued at $2,100. Ribes eradication efforts continued to be based at the Crane Flat Camp through 1967, when that approach was replaced by monitoring and detection surveys. The camp was used as a National Park Service summer firefighters’ camp until the early 1970s.

Yosemite Institute, an environmental educational organization, obtained a special-use permit for the campus in 1973 and has occupied the complex since then. The building was converted into a bathhouse by the Yosemite Institute in the 1970s and continues to serve that function.

The building retains a good degree of integrity. The location, design, workmanship, feeling, and association appear to remain unchanged. The setting has been affected by the construction of modern buildings nearby. Additions have been built at the east and west ends of the building, and windows have been moved and their wood sash replaced with aluminum windows. The additions are easily removable. Despite these changes, the building has sufficient integrity to be eligible for the National and California Registers.

The building appears to be individually eligible for the National Register under Criterion A (and the California Register under Criterion 1) as a structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of history. In this case the building is significant for its association with the Civilian Conservation Corps and later the National Park Blister Rust Camps. Because the CCC and Blister Rust Camps were composed primarily of tents, structures such as Yosemite Institute Buildings 6017 and 6013 are significant and rare examples of these two phases. Building 4 and 8 represents the CCC influence in the National Parks. The two structures are two of only seven known remaining CCC camp buildings remaining in Yosemite National Park.
Historic Resources

Yosemite Environmental Education Center
Final Environmental Impact Statement
### Appendix G

**Yosemite Environmental Education Center G-8**  
**Final Environmental Impact Statement**

---

**BUILDING, STRUCTURE, AND OBJECT RECORD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>2 of 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resource Name or #: (Assigned by recorder)</td>
<td>3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRHP Status Code</td>
<td>NPS Building 6014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B1. Historic Name:</th>
<th>Blister Rust Camp Mess Hall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2. Common Name:</td>
<td>Yosemite Institute Kitchen/Dining Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3. Original Use:</td>
<td>Mess Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4. Present Use:</td>
<td>Dining Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5. Architectural Style:</td>
<td>Utilitarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6. Construction History: (Construction date, alterations, and date of alterations)</td>
<td>The building was constructed circa 1940 at an unknown location. It was moved in 1944 to the Ahwahnee Hotel in Yosemite. In 1945 it was cut into halves and relocated to the Blister Rust Camp at Crane Flat. The roof was changed to a steeper pitch in 1952.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7. Moved?</td>
<td>No Yes Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>1946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Location:</td>
<td>Ahwahnee Hotel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B8. Related Features:**

**B9a. Architect:**

**b. Builder:**

**B10. Significance: Theme:** Blister Rust Camp  
**Area:** Yosemite National Park  
**Period of Significance:** 1946-1967  
**Property Type:** Mess Hall  
**Applicable Criteria:** A  
(Discuss importance in terms of historical or architectural context as defined by theme, period and geographic scope. Also address integrity.)

NPS Building 6014 was an army field-type temporary building constructed circa 1940. The previous location of the structure is unknown. Several temporary structures were moved to the short-term Naval hospital set up at the Ahwahnee Hotel in Yosemite Valley during the World War II. At the hospital complex, the temporary buildings served as a recreation center for hospital patients during the summer of 1944. Activities at the center included bowling, flying, weaving, leatherworking, and bookbinding for patients. The hospital was decommissioned on December 15, 1945. Two of the temporary recreation buildings (Buildings 2 and 5) were moved to the Crane Flat Blister Rust Camp in 1946. In order to make the journey up the mountain, the buildings were cut in halves and loaded onto flatbed trucks. The bisected buildings were driven up the mountain through tunnels. Once at the Blister Rust Camp, the buildings were reassembled. Buildings 2 and 5 functioned as a two-room dormitory and a mess hall respectively. In 1952 the low-pitched roof was changed to a 12-12 pitch to better accommodate snow loads. In 1954 the building was valued at $6,500. Sometime before that year, the current addition at the northeast corner of the building, was constructed. In 1954 the addition housed a walk-in refrigerator.

The crews stationed at the camp fought to control blister rust, a disease fatal to all white pine species including the sugar pine, western white pine, and white-bark pine. To combat the spread of the fungus, foresters developed the strategy of removing the Ribes plants (gooseberry and current bushes) that hosted the disease and facilitated the spread of blister rust. Ribes eradication efforts continued to be based at the Crane Flat Camp through 1967, when that approach was replaced by monitoring and detection surveys. The camp was used as a National Park Service summer firefighters' camp until the early 1970s.

**See continuation sheet.**

**B11. Additional Resource Attributes: (List attributes and codes)**

**HP39 - Other**

**B12. References:**

See bibliography in Architectural Resources Group, "Historic Resources Assessment -- Yosemite Institute Campus, Crane Flat, 29 January 2003."

**B13. Remarks:**

**B14. Evaluator:** Judy R Stock, SF, CA  
**Date of Evaluation:** 1/23/2003
Historic Resources

State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

CONTINUATION SHEET

Page 3 of 3 Resource Name or #: (Assigned by recorder) NPS Building 6014
Recorded by: Architectural Resources Group Date 1/23/2003 ☐ Continuation ☐ Update

P3 Description:

The gable end of the west elevation is also covered with panels and battens in an "X" pattern, and the fenestration pattern is identical.

Two-by-four railings wrap around the wood porch. A wood ramp also leads to this entrance.

Seven evenly-spaced windows are located on the south elevation. The north elevation is similar, but the addition has covered two of the original openings. The addition has two windows on the north elevation, one on the west elevation, and a door on the south elevation.

Although the sizes of the window openings are regular on all faces of the building, the openings are fitted with a variety of window types including fixed pane, aluminum-sliding sash, and double hung.

The building sits close to the center of the complex. North of the building, there are two propane tanks and two small wooden structures.

B10. Significance:

Yosemite Institute, an environmental educational organization, obtained a special-use permit for the campus in 1973 and has occupied the complex since then. Throughout its use by Yosemite Institute, the building has continued to function as a dining hall/kitchen.

The building retains a good degree of integrity although the building was moved to its current location in 1946 and the pitch of the roof altered in 1952. These changes were made within the period of significance and are historic alterations. Therefore, the location, design, workmanship, feeling, and association appear to remain intact. The replacement of some of the wood sash windows with aluminum sash has somewhat altered the materials of the structure, and the setting has been affected by the construction of modern buildings nearby. Despite these changes, the building has sufficient integrity to be eligible for the National and California Registers.

The building appears to be individually eligible for the National Register under Criterion A (and the California Register under Criterion 1) as a structure associated with events or patterns of events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local or regional history. In this case the building is significant for its association with the National Park Blister Rust Camps. Because the camps were composed primarily of tents, structures such as Yosemite Institute Buildings 2 and 5 are significant and rare examples of the Blister Rust eradication campaigns, which took place throughout the country from the 1930s through 1967.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Name or # (Assigned by recorder)</th>
<th>NPS Building 6015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1. Other Identifier: Crane Flat Barnacle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2. Location:</td>
<td>Not for Publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. County</td>
<td>Tuolumne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. USGS 7.5' Quad</td>
<td>Ackerson Mountain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Address:</td>
<td>T 1/4 of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. UTM: (Give more than one for large and linear resources)</td>
<td>25305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Other Locational Data (Enter Parcel #, legal description, directions to resource, elevation, etc., as appropriate)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Yosemite Institute campus is located northeast of the intersection of the Big Oak Flat Road (Highway 120) and Tioga Road.

P3. Description (Describe resources and its major elements, include design, materials, condition, alterations, size, etc., and boundaries)

Excluding the porches, this 1,200 square-foot one-story building has a long and narrow rectangular footprint. The building's foundation is hidden by a plywood skirt. The exterior walls of the wood-frame structure are Celotex (fiberglass) panels with vertical boards (battens) covering the seams. Like all of the buildings in the complex, this structure is painted brown. A steeply-pitched gabled roof covered in a modern corrugated metal roofing tops the building. A vent is located on the ridge line.

The gable end of the east elevation is covered with panels and battens arranged in an "X" pattern. The fenestration pattern of this elevation is symmetrical; small windows flank a vertical-plain door, and a window and vent are located overhead. An off-center, shed-roofed porch covers the entrance. The porch roof is supported by simple wood posts and shelters a wooden ramp. Two-by-four railings wrap around the porch. The east and west elevations of the building are mirror images. Seven evenly-spaced windows are located on the north and south elevations. Although the size of the window openings is regular on all faces of the building, the openings are fitted with a variety of window types including fixed pane, aluminum-sliding sash, and double hung. The building sits close to the north end of the complex, near the center.

P3b. Resource Attributes: (List attributes and codes)

HP39 - Other

P4. Resources Present

- Building
- Structure
- Object
- Site
- District

P5a. Photograph or Drawing (Photograph required for buildings, structures, and objects)

View looking northwest

c. 1940: moved to Ahwahnee Hotel in 1944.

F7. Owner and Address

National Park Service
Yosemite National Park

P8. Recorded by: (Name, affiliation, and address)

Architectural Resources Group
Per 9. The Embankment
San Francisco, CA 94111


P10. Survey Type: (Describe)

Environmental Impact Statement
Historic Resources

State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

BUILDING, STRUCTURE, AND OBJECT RECORD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page 2 of 3</th>
<th>Resource Name or #: (Assigned by recorder)</th>
<th>NRHP Status Code</th>
<th>HRI #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1. Historic Name:</td>
<td>Blister Rust Camp Barracks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2. Common Name:</td>
<td>Yosemite Institute Dormitory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3. Original Use:</td>
<td>Barracks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4. Present Use:</td>
<td>Dormitory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5. Architectural Style:</td>
<td>Utilitarian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6. Construction History: (Construction date, alterations, and date of alterations)</td>
<td>The building was constructed circa 1940 at an unknown location. It was moved in 1944 to the Ahwahnee Hotel in Yosemite. In 1945 it was cut into halves and relocated to the Blister Rust Camp at Crane Flat. The roof was changed to a steeper pitch in 1952.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7. Moved?</td>
<td>☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ Unknown Date: 1946</td>
<td>Original Location:</td>
<td>Ahwahnee Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8. Related Features:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B9a. Architect: | b. Builder: |

B10. Significance: Theme: Blister Rust Camp | Property Type: Barracks |
| Period of Significance: 1946-1967 | Area: Yosemite National Park |

NPS Building 6016 or 6015 was an army field-type temporary building constructed circa 1940. The previous location of the structure is unknown. Several temporary structures were moved to the short-term Naval hospital set up at the Ahwahnee Hotel in Yosemite Valley during the World War II. At the hospital complex, the temporary buildings served as a recreation center for hospital patients during the summer of 1944. Activities at the center included bowling, fly tying, weaving, leather work, and bookbinding for patients. The hospital was decommissioned on December 15, 1945. Two of the temporary recreation buildings (NPS Buildings 6016 or 6015 and 6013) were moved to the Crane Flat Blister Rust Camp in 1945. In order to make the journey up the mountain, the buildings were cut in halves and loaded onto flatbed trucks. The bisected buildings were driven up the mountain through tunnels. Once at the Blister Rust Camp, the buildings were reassembled. NPS Buildings 6016 or 6015 or 6013 functioned as a two-room dormitory and a mess hall. In 1952 the low-pitched roof was changed to a 12-12 pitch to better accommodate snow loads. In 1954 the building was valued at $6,500.

The crews stationed at the camp fought to control blister rust, a disease fatal to all white pine species including the sugar pine, western white pine, and white-bark pine. To combat the spread of the fungus, foresters developed the strategy of removing the Ribes plants (gooseberry and currant bushes) that hosted the disease and facilitated the spread of blister rust. Ribes eradication efforts continued to be based at the Crane Flat Camp through 1967, when that approach was replaced by monitoring and detection surveys. The camp was then used as a National Park Service summer firefighters' camp until the early 1970s.

See continuation sheet.

B11. Additional Resource Attributes: (List attributes and codes) HP39 - Other

B12. References:
See bibliography in Architectural Resources Group, "Historic Resources Assessment -- Yosemite Institute Campus, Crane Flat, 29 January 2003."

B13. Remarks:

B14. Evaluator: Jody R. Stock, SF, CA
Date of Evaluation: 1/23/2003

(This space reserved for official comments.)

Yosemite Environmental Education Center
Final Environmental Impact Statement
G-11
Yosemite Institute, an environmental educational organization, obtained a special-use permit for the campus and has occupied the complex since then. Throughout its use by Yosemite Institute, the building has continued to function as a dormitory. A sign on the side of the building indicates the structure is currently called "Bighorn."

The building retains a good degree of integrity although the building was moved to its current location in 1946 and the pitch of the roof altered in 1952. These changes were made within the period of significance and are historic alterations. Therefore, the location, design, workmanship, feeling, and association appear to remain intact. The replacement of some of the wood sash windows with aluminum sash has somewhat altered the materials of the structure, and the setting has been affected by the construction of modern buildings nearby. Despite these changes, the building has sufficient integrity to be eligible for the National and California Registers.

The building appears to be individually eligible for the National Register under Criterion A (and the California Register under Criterion 1) as a structure associated with events or patterns of events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of local or regional history. In this case the building is significant for its association with the National Park Blister Rust Campaign. Because the camps were composed primarily of tents, structures such as Yosemite Institute Buildings 2 and 8 are significant and rare examples of the Blister Rust eradicaton campaigns, which took place throughout the country from the 1930s through 1967.
Historic Resources

Yosemite Environmental Education Center G-13
Final Environmental Impact Statement

---

P1. Other Identifier: Crane Flat Oil House & Light Plant

P2. Location:
   - County: Tuolumne
   - USGS 7.5' Quad: Ahwahnee Mountain
   - Date: 1992
   - B.M.: 1/4 of 1/4 of Sec.

P3. Description: This 123 square-foot building is one-story and has a rectangular footprint. The building appears to sit directly on the ground. The walls of the wood-frame structure are clad primarily in vertical log siding, but on the north elevation the walls are covered with plywood panels on hinges. Like all other buildings within the complex, the building is painted brown. A gabled roof covered in composition shingles tops the building. Exposed rafters are visible along the eaves. At the north end of the structure, there is a shed-roof porch supported by simple square posts. The porch floor is concrete. On the north elevation there is a single, divided-light window and a modern vertical-plank door. Another door and divided-light window are located on the south face of the building. NPS Building 6017 is located close to the western edge of the complex.

P3b. Resource Attributes: HP35 - CCC/WPA property

---

P6. Date Recorded: 1/23/2003

---

P11. Report Citation: Yosemite Institute Campus Crane Flat EIS

---

San Buena Ventura Research Associates
Appendix G

Yosemite Environmental Education Center
Final Environmental Impact Statement

State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

BUILDING, STRUCTURE, AND OBJECT RECORD

Page 2 of 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Name or #: (Assigned by recorder)</th>
<th>NRHP Status Code</th>
<th>Primary #</th>
<th>HRI #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCC Oil House &amp; Light Plant</td>
<td>3S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Institute Storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil House &amp; Light Plant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service Rustic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The building was constructed in 1934. Plywood panels were added to the east elevation at an unknown date.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B7. Moved? □ No □ Yes □ Unknown Date: Original Location:

B8. Related Features:

B9a. Architect: b. Builder:

B10. Significance: Theme: Civilian Conservation Corp and Bister Rust 
Area: Yosemite National Park 
Period of Significance: 1934-1967 
Property Type: Utilities Building 
Applicable Criteria: A and (Discuss importance in terms of historical or architectural context as defined by theme, period and geographic scope. Also address integrity.)

NPS Buildings 6017 and 6013 were constructed in 1934 as part of the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) Crane Flat Camp. Like most of the early CCC camps, the Crane Flat camp housed its enrollees in tents and used a few permanent buildings for storage. It is not clear whether the crews built the two permanent structures at Crane Flat, an Oil House/Office and Warehouse (Buildings 4 and 8). However, it is possible; the CCC built small structures at various camps. Building 4 was constructed for $340.

The CCC camp at Crane Flat was established in 1933, the first year of the program. Crane Flat was the permanent CCC summer camp in the park and was occupied from approximately May through October. The camp was activated more years than most other camps; crews were stationed there from 1933 to 1941, with the exception of 1937. The enrollee tasks included cleaning sequoia groves of debris, road and trail maintenance, and reconstruction, specifically in the Hitch Hethby, Miguel Meadows, and Grand Canyon of the Tuolumne areas. The camp also had a fire suppression crew and bioter rust control crew.

In addition camp workers constructed the Crane Flat fire lookout, and in 1940 the Crane Flat Company carried out fire hazard reduction work at Carl Inn. The Crane Flat Camp remained in operation through 1942. All CCC camps ceased operation by 1943.

See continuation sheet.

B11. Additional Resource Attributes: (List attributes and codes)

HP35 - CCC/WPA property

B12. References:
See bibliography in Architectural Resources Group, "Historic Resources Assessment — Yosemite Institute Campus, Crane Flat, 29 January 2003."

B13. Remarks:

B14. Evaluator: Jody R Stock, SF, CA
Date of Evaluation: 1/23/2003

(Sketch Map with north arrow required.)

San Juan Avenue Research Associates
Crane Flat was reoccupied as a Blister Rust Camp in 1942 after extensive reconstruction; tent platforms and mess halls were constructed. The former CCC structures (NPS Buildings 6017 and 6013) continued to be used as an Oil House/ Light Plant and Bath House. The crews stationed at the camp fought to control blister rust, a disease fatal to all white pine species including the sugar pine, western white pine, and white-bark pine. To combat the spread of the fungus, foresters developed the strategy of removing the Ribes plants (gooseberry and current bushes) that hosted the disease and facilitated the spread of blister rust. Ribes eradication efforts continued to be based at the Crane Flat Camp through 1967, when that approach was replaced by monitoring and detection surveys.

The camp was used as a National Park Service summer firefighters’ camp until the early 1970s.

Yosemite Institute, an environmental educational organization, obtained a special-use permit for the campus in 1973 and has occupied the complex since then. From 1975 through today, the building has been used as a wood or storage shed. The building is currently called Honnnessay Hall.

The building retains a good degree of integrity. The location, design, workmanship, feeling, and association appear to remain unchanged. The setting has been affected by the construction of modern buildings nearby. Most of the materials are intact, but plywood panels have replaced the vertical log construction of the east wall of the building. Despite these changes, the building has sufficient integrity to be eligible for the National and California Registers.

The building appears to be individually eligible for the National Register under Criterion A (and the California Register under Criterion 1) as a structure associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of history. In this case the building is significant for its association with the Civilian Conservation Corps and later the National Park Blister Rust Camps. Because the CCC and Blister Rust Camps were composed primarily of tents, structures such as Yosemite Institute Buildings 4 and 8 are significant and rare examples of these two phases. NPS Buildings 6017 and 6013 represent the CCC influence in the National Parks. The two structures are two of only seven known remaining CCC camp buildings remaining in Yosemite National Park.
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INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared to present the results of a traffic impact analysis report (TIAR) performed by OMNI-MEANS for a proposed construction of an educational campus in or near the Yosemite National Park. This TIAR acts as a supporting document for the Yosemite Institute Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants. Two sites (Henness Ridge and Crane Flat) have been identified for the location of the educational facility. The term “project”, as used in this report, refers to the proposed construction of an educational facility and support buildings. The project vicinity map is shown on Figure 1.

The project sites, including the existing Crane Flat campus and the proposed Henness Ridge site, are generally located near the western edge of Yosemite National Park. The Crane Flat campus is located approximately 15 miles from Yosemite Valley and approximately one mile from the Tuolumne Grove of Giant Sequoias. The Crane Flat campus currently has approximately 80 overnight accommodations in the National Park Service facility operated by Yosemite Institute. The Crane Flat campus site is located northwest of Yosemite Valley near the intersection of Tioga Road and Big Oak Flat Road (State Route 120) and is situated in a mixed fir forest at an elevation of approximately 6,200 feet.

The Henness Ridge site is located just west of the intersection of Wawona Road (State Route 41) and Henness Ridge Road at approximately 6,000 feet elevation. The site is also near the western park boundary and is located near the Mariposa County community of Yosemite West and is surrounded by private forested lands to the north. The site is mostly undeveloped and is used to support National Park Service road maintenance activities. The site was historically logged and includes a railroad grade and remnants of the old Wawona Road. The existing dirt roads currently provide informal access to park features such as a historic fire tower and nearby forest. The site provides a winter wilderness experience, secluded from high-use visitor areas, as well as a high-country experience to all visiting students. Henness Ridge is located near Eleven-mile Meadow, Monroe Meadow and Dear Camp Trailhead.

Yosemite Institute proposes the construction of an environmental education campus with 224 student beds and associated support facilities. The new campus would be designed to house students for multi-night visits in a location separated from the other types of existing development but within walking distance of the natural and cultural resources that define the Park.

In this report the analysis of the project was assumed to occur at each site. For example, under “Project” conditions, the proposed project was assumed to take place at the Crane Flat campus and at the Henness Ridge site even though that will not be the case because only one project location will be developed. These two sites are far enough apart in distance that development of one site would have minimal impacts on the other site. The purpose of providing the “Project” scenarios together was done to consolidate the two alternatives and prepare only one report for the same project.
EXISTING ROADWAY SYSTEM

Roadways that provide primary circulation in the vicinity of the project sites include Big Oak Flat Road, Glacier Point Road, Henness Ridge Road, Tioga Road and Wawona Road. Explanations of each road segment are listed below.

**Big Oak Flat Road** is a regional two-lane State Highway that provides east-west travel within Yosemite National Park. Outside the park, this road is State Route 120. Big Oak Flat Road is about 18 miles long. It leads from the Big Oak Flat Entrance Station through Crane Flat and intersects the El Portal Road (State Route 140) one mile downstream from Pohono Bridge on the Valley Floor (the Big Oak Flat Road also provides access to the Valley from the Tioga Pass Entrance). Big Oak Flat Road is used as a through route in conjunction with other major park roads and is maintained for year-round access. The topography changes from mountainous on the east end of the road to rolling at the west end. The paved roadway width ranges from 26 to 30 feet.

**Glacier Point Road** is a winding two-lane roadway that can only be accessed from Wawona Road. The roadway extends east from Wawona Road, past Badger Pass Ski Area, to Glacier Point. Glacier Point is best easily-accessed, high-elevation viewpoint of the Yosemite Valley. The park facilities are reached by a paved, 16-mile road that forks west from State Highway 41 at Chinquapin Junction, 8 miles south of the Wawona Tunnel entrance to Yosemite Valley, and 18 miles north of the southern entrance to the national park at Mariposa Grove. The surrounding land is generally quite densely forested with ponderosa pine but also has scattered grassy meadows, small lakes, streams and granite outcrops.

**Henness Ridge Road** is a winding two-lane local road that is accessed from Wawona Road. The roadway extends from Wawona Road to the community of Yosemite West, some 16 miles to the west. The roadway ranges between 22 and 26 feet wide and is provides primary access for residential lots, logging, campsites and park operations. The Henness Ridge campus site is proposed to be accessed from Henness Ridge Road, approximately 500 feet west of Wawona Road.

**Tioga Road (State Route 120)** is a two-lane regional highway that accesses east-west travel through Yosemite National Park. The roadway varies between 28 and 34 feet wide and is considered a Class 1 park road. Tioga Road terminates at State Route 49 west of Stanislaus National Forest and continues east to State Route 395 near Mono Lake. Primarily access from Tioga Road within the Park consists of rural communities, logging, campsites and park activities. Tioga Road provides the only access to the park from the east and accommodates trans-Sierra traffic while it is open during the summer and early fall months. No access is available during the winter season. The road extends from the Tioga Pass Entrance on the east to the intersection with Big Oak Flat Road at Crane Flat on the west. The road provides direct access to the high Sierra Nevada, Tuolumne Meadows, White Wolf, Crane Flat, and the rest of the park via connections with other roads. The road is characterized by rolling subalpine highlands, with sections of mountainous terrain, valley flats, and subalpine meadows. At 9,945 feet above sea level, Tioga Pass is the highest elevation traversed by any road in the park.

**Wawona Road (State Route 41)** is approximately 27 miles long within the park. At the south park boundary, this road connects to State Highway 41. Wawona Road is the principal access to Wawona, Mariposa Grove, Badger Pass Ski Area, Glacier Point, and Yosemite.
Valley and is maintained for year-round access. Throughout its length, the 24-foot-wide road travels over mountainous terrain with steep grades and is surrounded by moderate to dense forest. The Henness Ridge campus site is proposed to be accessed from Henness Ridge Road, approximately 500 feet west of Wawona Road.

EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Based upon conversations with project partners, four intersections were identified as critical intersections and were studied for the EIS. Traffic data was obtained from turning movement counts conducted in June of 2008. Future data was developed based upon historical data on neighboring state highways and is described in a subsequent section of this report. The four intersections were studied to determine the peak AM and PM turning movements. The following intersections were identified as a critical for this study:

- Tioga Road/Tuolumne Grove
- Tioga Road/Big Oak Flat Road
- Wawona Road/Glacier Point Road
- Wawona Road/Hennes Ridge Road
- Project Driveways

At the study intersections, existing weekday AM and PM peak-hour traffic volume counts were conducted by Metro Traffic Data, Inc., on June 17, 2008, during peak visitor period (i.e., during summer with all roads open). The AM peak hour is defined as one-hour of peak traffic flow counted between 7:00 AM and 9:00 AM and the PM peak hour is defined as one-hour of peak traffic flow counted between 4:00 PM and 6:00 PM. These peak periods were chosen due to anticipated arrivals and departures of the proposed educational facility. Figure 2 shows the existing AM and PM peak hour intersection traffic volumes and Figure 3 identifies existing lane geometrics and control at the study intersections.
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LEVEL OF SERVICE METHODOLOGY

Traffic operations have been quantified through the determination of "Level of Service" (LOS). LOS is a qualitative measure of traffic operating conditions, whereby a letter grade "A" through "F" is assigned to an intersection or roadway segment representing progressively worsening traffic conditions. LOS was calculated for different intersection control types using the methods documented in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual. LOS definitions for different types of intersection controls are outlined in Table 1.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has designated LOS "C" as the minimum acceptable LOS standard on federal facilities in general1. However, discussions with the FHWA indicated that LOS standards vary by facility type, i.e., urban freeways, mountainous roads, etc. In this report, a peak-hour LOS of "C" is taken as the threshold for acceptable traffic operations at the study intersections. All intersection turning movement volumes and LOS worksheets are contained in the Appendix.

To determine whether "significance" should be associated with unsignalized intersection LOS, a supplemental traffic signal warrant analysis was also performed. The signal warrant criteria employed for this study are presented in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). Specifically, this study utilized the Peak Hour Volume Warrant 3 for Rural Areas. Though utilization of this warrant may indicate that signalization would be required, the final decision to provide this improvement should be based upon further studies utilizing the additional warrants presented in the MUTCD.

Actual peak hour factors (PHF) were calculated using the peak hour count data. The analysis of LOS is based upon peak rates of flow occurring within the peak hour because substantial short-term fluctuations typically occur during an hour. Common practice is to use a peak 15-minute rate of flow. Flow rates are usually expressed in vehicles per hour, not vehicles per 15 minutes. The relationship between the peak 15-minute flow rate and the full hourly volume is given by the PHF as shown in the following equation:

\[ \text{PHF} = \frac{\text{Hourly volume}}{\text{Peak rate of flow within the hour}} \]

When 15-minute periods are used, the PHF is computed as:

\[ \text{PHF} = \frac{V}{4 \times V_{15}} \]

Where:

- \( V \) = peak-hour volume (vph)
- \( V_{15} \) = volume during the peak 15 minutes of flow (veh/15 minutes)

Typical peak-hour factors for freeways range between 0.80 and 0.95. Lower factors are more typical for rural freeways or off-peak conditions. Higher factors are typical of urban and suburban peak-hour conditions. At the study intersections in Yosemite National Park, the AM PHF ranged between 0.72 and 0.80 and the PM PHF ranged between 0.81 and 0.95.

Heavy vehicle percentages were also applied to the capacity analysis. AM and PM heavy vehicle percentages were developed based upon data collected during the peak hour analysis. Heavy vehicles include large trucks and motor homes. AM peak hour heavy vehicle percentages ranged between 4 and 9 while the PM peak hour heavy vehicle percentages ranged between 3 and 7.

1 Maiser Kaled, Director National Program – FHWA California Division
## Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Service</th>
<th>Type of Flow</th>
<th>Delay</th>
<th>Maneuverability</th>
<th>Stopped Delay/Vehicles/Min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Stable Flow</td>
<td>Very slight delay. Progression is very favorable, with minor vehicles entering the green phase not stopping at all.</td>
<td>Turning movements are easily made, and drivers find freedom of operation.</td>
<td>Signalized: ≤ 10.0 Unsignalized: ≤ 10.0 All-Way Stop: ≤ 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Stable Flow</td>
<td>Good progression under short cycle lengths. Most vehicles stop at the LCA, causing higher levels of average delay.</td>
<td>Vehicle queues are formed. Many drivers begin to feel somewhat restricted within groups of vehicles.</td>
<td>Signalized: &gt;10 and ≤ 20.0 Unsignalized: &gt;10 and ≤ 15.0 All-Way Stop: &gt;10 and ≤ 15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Stable Flow</td>
<td>Higher delays resulting from poor progressions and/or longer cycle lengths. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many still pass through the intersection without stopping.</td>
<td>Back-ups may develop behind turning vehicles. Most drivers feel somewhat restricted.</td>
<td>Signalized: &gt;20 and ≤ 35.0 Unsignalized: &gt;15 and ≤ 25.0 All-Way Stop: &gt;15 and ≤ 25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Approaching Unstable Flow</td>
<td>The influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays result from some combination of unfavorable progressions, long cycle lengths, or high volume-to-capacity ratios. Many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopped declines. Individual cycle failures are noticeable.</td>
<td>Maneuverability is severely limited during short periods due to temporary back-ups.</td>
<td>Signalized: &gt;35 and ≤ 50.0 Unsignalized: &gt;25 and ≤ 35.0 All-Way Stop: &gt;25 and ≤ 35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Unstable Flow</td>
<td>Generally considered to be the limit of acceptable delay. Indications of poor progressions, long cycle lengths, and high volume-to-capacity ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent occurrences.</td>
<td>There are typically long queues of vehicles waiting upstream of the intersection.</td>
<td>Signalized: &gt;50.0 Unsignalized: &gt;35 and ≤ 50.0 All-Way Stop: &gt;35 and ≤ 50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Unstable Flow</td>
<td>Generally considered to be unacceptable to most drivers. Often occurs with over saturation. May also occur at high volume-to-capacity ratios. There are many individual cycle failures. Poor progressions and long cycle lengths may also be major contributing factors.</td>
<td>Formed conditions. Back-ups from other locations restrict or prevent movement. Volumes may vary widely depending primarily on the downstream conditions.</td>
<td>Signalized: &gt;80.0 Unsignalized: &gt;50.0 All-Way Stop: &gt;50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXISTING TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

Existing peak-hour intersection traffic operations were quantified applying existing traffic volumes and existing intersection lane geometrics and control identified in Figures 2 and 3. Table 2 presents the existing AM and PM peak hour intersection LOS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Control Type</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delay (sec/veh)</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Tuolumne Grove</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Big Oak Flat Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wawona Road/Glacier Point Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Henness Ridge Road/Wawona Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:  
TWSC = Two-Try-Stop Control  
Average Delay = Worst-Case Intersection Movement Delays for TWSC Intersections  
LOS = Prais-Casino Movement Level-of-Service for TWSC Intersections  
Warrant = MUTCD Peak-Hour Warrant

As indicated in Table 2, all of the study intersections are currently operating at LOS “B” or better conditions during both AM and PM peak hour periods.

APPROVED/PENDING PROJECTS DESCRIPTION & TRIP GENERATION

Within the vicinity of the project, two projects have either been approved or are pending approval to be developed. Yosemite West development is an approved/pending project that includes 119 residential houses and condos that are located on Henness Ridge Road west of the proposed Henness Ridge Campus. Total project trip generation is equal to 74 peak hour trips with a potential daily total of 838 trips. The average trip rate of 4.96 per unit was used with 52% entering and 48% exiting. The second approved/pending project includes 84 recreational homes with associated services located on the Big Oak Flat Road about five miles east of the proposed Crane Flat campus. The entire development is estimated to generate 596 daily trips, including 42 AM peak hour trips and 53 PM peak hour trips. The average weekday rate of 36.6 was used with varying in/out percentages.2

APPROVED/PENDING PROJECTS TRIP NATURE, DISTRIBUTION, AND ASSIGNMENT

The approved/pending projects are expected to "generate" trips to the traffic network or from other locations within and beyond Yosemite National Park. Directional trip distribution for approved/pending projects generated trips were estimated based upon use of historical trip distribution patterns, existing traffic flow patterns, geographic location of the project sites and location of other similar destinations. The following identifies approved/pending projects trip distribution for the proposed Yosemite Cascades development:

- 30% to/from Tioga Road north of Big Oak Flat Road
- 40% to/from Big Oak Flat Road west of Tioga Road
- 30% to/from Big Oak Flat Road east of Tioga Road

---

2 Traffic Impact Analysis of Proposed Yosemite Cascades Development in Mariposa County (TRM Transportation Consultants – October 25, 2005).
The following identifies approved/pending projects trip distribution for the proposed Yosemite West development:

- 35% to/from Wawona Road north of Henness Ridge Road
- 40% to/from Wawona Road south of Henness Ridge Road
- 20% to/from Glacier Point Road north of Wawona Road
- 5% to/from Henness Ridge Road east of Wawona Road

Trip path assignments were developed based upon origin and destination of trips, location of intersections and driveways, access restrictions at the study intersections and driveways, and on-site circulation patterns.

EXISTING PLUS APPROVED/PENDING PROJECTS CONDITIONS

Applying TRAFFIX 7.9 computer software, "Existing plus Approved/Pending Projects" peak hour traffic conditions were simulated by superimposing new trips generated by the "Approved/Pending Projects", as identified in Table 3, over "Existing" base traffic volumes at the study intersections. No improvements to the roadway system were assumed. The resulting "Existing plus Approved/Pending Projects" peak hour intersection traffic volumes are shown on Figure 4. Table 4 presents the resulting peak hour intersection LOS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Control Type</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delay (sec/veh)</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Tuolumne Grove</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Big Oak Flat Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wawona Road/Glacier Point Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Henness Ridge Road/Wawona Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- TWSC = Two-Way Stop Control
- Average Delay = Worst-Case Intersection Movement Delays for TWSC Intersections
- LOS = Worst-Case Movement Level-of-Service for TWSC Intersections
- Warrant = MUTCD Peak Hour Warrant

As indicated in Table 4, all study intersections are forecasted to operate at LOS "B" or better under "Existing plus Approved/Pending Projects" conditions during both AM and PM peak hour periods.
PROJECT TRIP GENERATION

Project trip generation was developed based upon discussions with the Yosemite Institute and National Park Service. Several assumptions and factors were considered while developing peak hour trip generation. For purposes of this analysis, OMNI-MEANS developed the worst case peak hour trip generation from the proposed project and applied it to the actual AM and PM peak hour traffic counts. Below is a summary of anticipated trip generators, including students, instructors, employees, and deliveries. Table 5 identifies peak hour trip generation for the proposed education facility.

At either site, the educational campus will house 250 students. According to sources, the summer months (June through August) average 2,250 students a month and the remainder of the year (September through May) average 1,500 students per month. School buses with a capacity of 50 students are used to transport the students to/from the Yosemite Valley. Therefore, five bus trips in and five bus trips out would account for student trips. In the busier summer months, students would typically switch sites between the educational facility and the Yosemite Valley on Wednesdays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trip Generator</th>
<th>Peak Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trips In</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students (50/bus)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructors/employees</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliveries</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Trips</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is anticipated that 16 instructors/employees would arrive/depart on the Wednesday that the students switch facilities. The instructors stay overnight at the facility with the students. Assuming two to three persons per vehicle (carpooling), five AM peak hour trips would arrive and five PM peak hour trips would depart.

In addition to student and instructor/employee trips, the educational facility receives deliveries during the week and the trips are consolidated mid-late morning between 9:30 AM and 3:00 PM. OMNI-MEANS has assumed a busy day with four deliveries at the educational facility. Again, these four deliveries per day were assumed to occur at the same time of the other trips in order to assess the worst case peak hour scenario.

PROJECT TRIP NATURE, DISTRIBUTION, AND ASSIGNMENT

The proposed project is expected to "produce" trips primarily on roadways within the Yosemite National Park (particularly from Yosemite Valley) and nearby unincorporated areas of Mariposa and Tuolumne Counties. Directional trip distribution for the two project sites were estimated based upon discussions with the Yosemite Institute and Yosemite National Park, existing traffic flow patterns, geographical location of the project site, capacity of adjacent roadways and alternative routes to the site, etc. All project driveways are proposed to allow for assigned trips into and out of the proposed project site. All four intersections are stop controlled "T" intersections.

Given the location of the project sites within Yosemite National Park, trip distribution was estimated for several directions, or gateways, including those on Big Oak Flat Road, Tioga Pass Road, Hennes Ridge Road, Glacier Point Road and Wawona Road.

For the Hennes Ridge campus alternative, which is on Hennes Ridge Road just west of Wawona Road, the following assumptions were made for directional trip distribution:

---

3 Moose Mudlow, Yosemite Institute; Ann Roberts, National Park Service (April 3, 2008).
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- 80% to/from Wawona Road north of Henness Ridge Road
- 10% to/from Wawona Road south of Henness Ridge Road
- 5% to/from Glacier Point Road east of Wawona Road
- 5% to/from Henness Ridge Road west of Wawona Road

For the Crane Flat campus, near the intersection of Big Oak Flat Road and Tioga Pass Road, the following assumptions were made for directional trip distribution:

- 80% to/from Big Oak Flat Road east of Tioga Pass Road
- 10% to/from Tioga Pass Road north of Big Oak Flat Road
- 10% to/from Big Oak Flat Road west of Tioga Pass Road

EXISTING PLUS APPROVED/PENDING PROJECTS PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

It should be noted that the project driveways were assumed to have shared lanes into and out of the project site, i.e., left-turn only lanes on all approaches. Applying TRAFFIC 7.9 computer software, “Existing plus Approved/Pending Projects plus Project” peak hour traffic conditions were simulated by superimposing new trips generated by the proposed project over existing base traffic at the study intersections and lane geometries and controls as shown in Figures 2 and 3. The resulting “Existing plus Approved/Pending Projects plus Project” peak hour condition intersection traffic volumes and lane geometries and control are shown on Figures 5 and 6. Table 5 presents the resulting peak hour condition LOS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Control Type</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Twoolume Grove</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Big Oak Flat Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wawona Road/Glacier Point Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Henness Ridge Road/Wawona Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Project Driveway #1/Big Oak Flat Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Project Driveway #2</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Project Driveway #3/Henness Ridge Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: TWSC = Two-Way Stop Controlled. Average Delay = Worst Case Intersection Movement Delays for TWSC Intersections. LOS = Worst Case Movement Level of Service for TWSC Intersections. Warranty = MUTCD Peak Hour Warranty.

As shown in Table 5, under “Existing plus Approved/Pending Projects plus Project” conditions, all of the study intersections are projected to operate at LOS “B” conditions or better during both the AM and PM peak hour periods.
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FUTURE CONDITIONS

GENERAL
The year 2030 is used as the “cumulative analysis year” in this study. Generally, future model traffic forecasts are developed with use of a county or group of counties regional travel demand forecast model. However, because Yosemite National Park is owned by the federal government, traffic models are typically not maintained by regional transportation planning agencies (RTPAs). Instead, federal lands are generally represented by “gateways,” which are areas outside of the RTPA’s planning area. The gateways are shown as a “node” and the numbers are hard coded into the job script. As such neither the Mariposa nor Mariposa County traffic models were utilized for this report. Instead, Year 2030 traffic volumes were forecasted utilizing a two percent growth rate derived from existing and historic traffic counts. This rate was compounded continuously and represents a 56% increase over base conditions, which is a conservative estimate for this analysis.

YEAR 2030 BASE CONDITIONS

“Year 2030 Base” peak-hour intersection traffic operations were quantified applying “Year 2030 Base” traffic volumes plus intersection lane geometries and control (shown on Figures 7 and 8). Table 6 presents the “Year 2030 Base” peak hour intersection LOS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Control Type</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delay (sec/veh)</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Twodome Grove</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Big Oak Flat Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wawona Road/Glacier Point Rd</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Henness Ridge Rd/Wawona Rd</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: TWSC = Two-Way Stop-Control.
Average Delay = Worst-Case Intersection Movement Delays for TWSC Intersections.
LOS = Worst-Case Movement Level-of-Service for TWSC Intersections.
Warrant = MUTCD Peak-Hour Warrants.

As shown in Table 6, all study intersections are forecasted to operate at peak hour LOS “C” conditions or better under both “Year 2030 Base” AM and PM peak hour periods.
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YEAR 2030 BASE PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

“Year 2030 Base plus Project” peak-hour intersection traffic operations were quantified applying “Year 2030 Base plus Project” traffic volumes shown in Figure 9 and “Year 2030 Base plus Project” intersection lane geometrics and control shown in Figure 10. Table 7 presents the “Year 2030 Base plus Project” peak hour intersection LOS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Control Type</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delay (sec/veh)</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>Warrant Met?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Tuolumne Grove</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Big Oak Flat Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wawona Road/Glacier Point Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Henness Ridge Road/Wawona Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Project Driveway #1/Big Oak Flat Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tioga Road/Project Driveway #2</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Project Driveway #3/Henness Ridge Road</td>
<td>TWSC</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


As shown in Table 7, all of the study intersections are anticipated to operate at LOS “C” conditions or better under both “Year 2030 Base plus Project” AM and PM peak hour periods. In addition, none of the study intersections is forecast to meet the peak hour warrant under this scenario.
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RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES

All of the study intersections are projected to operate at acceptable LOS “C” or better conditions through Year 2030. Unacceptable intersection LOS conditions were not observed under exiting or future conditions without or with the project. Therefore, recommendations are identified only for conditions with the project.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Under the “Existing” conditions scenario, no mitigation measures are recommended.

EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

Under “Existing plus Project” conditions, the following mitigation measures have been identified:

Project Driveways: It is assumed throughout this analysis that all project driveways will have stop controlled intersections as they approach the public roadway. Analysis in the report indicates that shared movements are acceptable for traffic operations. However, should separate turn channelization (i.e., turn lanes) be desired, they should be built to conform to National Park, Mariposa County or Tuolumne County road improvement standards. Such improvement work shall be done in accordance with the applicable sections of the road improvement standards and such other special provisions prepared by the project engineer and approved by County Officials and/or Yosemite National Park.

Although the LOS software did not find an LOS deficiency on the turning movements, the National Park Service may wish to consider providing left and right-turn channelization where feasible. The urban LOS threshold would fail with more than 300 peak-hour turning movements. There is no rural LOS threshold for turning movements. If the right and left-turn channelization is going to be constructed a minimum of 50 feet for each movement is recommended.

YEAR 2030 BASE CONDITIONS

Under “Year 2030 Base” conditions, no mitigation measures were identified to be needed.

YEAR 2030 BASE PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

Under “Year 2030 Base plus Project Conditions” conditions, mitigation measures recommended under “Existing plus Approved/Pending Projects plus Project” conditions are assumed to be in place. Therefore, no mitigation measures are recommended under this scenario.

CONCLUSION

Based upon the analysis presented in this report, traffic impacts that are anticipated from the project would have a less than significant impact on transportation and circulation at either the Crane Flat or Henness Ridge sites.
APPENDIX I

List of Agencies and Organizations
Receiving this Document
(This page intentionally left blank.)
### FINAL EIS LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency/Organization Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Alpine Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioscience &amp; Natural Resources Library, UC Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Air Resources Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Fish &amp; Game</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Clearinghouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Library, Sacramento</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Water Resources Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caltrans Central Reg Env Analysis Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sierra Environmental Resource Ctr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Center Library, San Rafael</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware North Corporation, Yosemite NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of the Interior, Regional Solicitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Portal Town Planning Adv Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exec. Dir., Sierra Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of the River/American Rivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of Yosemite Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Information Shields Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groveland Community Services Dist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Subcomm. on National Parks &amp; Public Lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inyo National Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madera County Board of Supervisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa County Board of Supervisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa County Chamber of Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa County Dept of Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa County Fire Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa County Planning Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa County Visitors Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa Public Utility District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposans for Environmental Responsible Growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced County Assn of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mono County Board of Supervisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service - DSC Technical Info Ctr (TIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service- CCSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service- DSC-PDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service- Pacific West Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park Service- Water Resources Div</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Parks Conservation Assoc National Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Parks Conservation Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS, Denver Service Center - Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakhurst Public Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Assemblyman Dave Cogdill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento County Public Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salazar Library, Sonoma State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco City Public Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Planning Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequoia &amp; Kings Canyon National Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Club Yosemite Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma County Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University Green Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanislaus Council of Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuolumne County Board of Supervisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuolumne County Visitor Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCLA Maps &amp; Govt Information Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Attorney's Office, Fresno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Library Tech Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Army Corp of Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Army Corps of Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US EPA / Region IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDIOI Office of Env Policy &amp; Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USFS Groveland Ranger District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Lakes Pack Outfit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wawona Area Property Owners Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wawona Town Plan Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite Environmental Education Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yosemite West Homeowners Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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