

RECEIVED
Point Reyes
FEB 14 11:17
SUPT.
SCIENCE
SPEC. PK. USES
LAW ENFORCE.
NAT. RES.
RANGE CONS.
FIRE MGT.
INTERE.
CULT. RES.
MANE.
CONTRACTING
PERSONNEL
BUDGET
CENTRAL FILES

February 12, 2007
 POB 223
 Pt. Reyes Sta., CA 94956

Superintendent Don Neubacher
 Pt. Reyes Nat'l Seashore
 Pt. Reyes, CA 94956

Re: Gracomoni Wetlands

Dear Don,

- > Please record my support of [ALT.D without the bridge]
- > Thanks to the presence of the National Seashore in our area, we are abundant in opportunities for hiking, biking, dogwalking and horse riding.
- > More and wider trails at the south end of the wetland project - riparian at creek edge - disrupt and discourage bird, insect, plant and animal behaviors.
- > A wedge over Lagunitas creek will create a magnet for day-trip recreation.
- > I do support a plan for viewing platforms in established edge areas.
- > Lets watch the marsh develop with the least amount of presence and the most of our appreciation.

Thank you for recording my choice.

Sincerely,

Jan Langdon

Copy to: Jan Jarvis
 Regional dir.
 Nat'l Park Service



Ms. Janice Langdon
 P.O. Box 223
 Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

Superintendent
NPS & Giacomini

CENTRAL FILES	BUDGET	PERSONNEL	CONTRACTING	MAINT.	CULT. RES.	INT. RES.	FIRE MGMT.	RANGE & PLANS	NAT. RES.	LAW ENFORC.	SPEC. PK. USES	SCIENCE	SUPT.
---------------	--------	-----------	-------------	--------	------------	-----------	------------	---------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------	-------

FEB 14 1971

RECEIVED
Point Reyes
National Seashore

Dona Larkin
Community Pathway
Committee;
Sustainable West Marin
Project Coordinator
Box 33, PRS 94956

Dear Superintendent Neubacher,

I would first like to commend the park for its thorough study of the project and its willingness for public input in the process.

There are so many factors in the equation which makes it difficult to see clearly the best course of action, but weighing all considerations I can see why the park feels that ALT. C is the "preferred Alternative".

Alternative C offers excellent restoration to the wetlands while simultaneously focusing on the sustainability goals also foremost in the overall objectives of the park. The creation of a trail to White House pool via a bridge over Lagunitas Creek is an integral component of the alternative transportation goals in our community, and setting up the potential for the path to continue to Inverness park is crucial in this stage of development. Offering educational opportunities through expanded public access and interpretive exhibits will help to foster the environmentalists of our future.

Therefore overall I support ALT C with a few concerns:

- ① How will the Aquisition of the parcels along SFD in Inverness Park effect the outcome of either ALT C or ALT D ?
- ② Parking - the proposed limited parking at Mesa Road could be problematic due to its remoteness. A location closer to town would better serve the project and the community. Perhaps near the ranch buildings or the corner of Hwy on + B Street, which would link with the improved access near the green bridge.

The necessary ADA component could be moved to the White House Pool parking lot.

- ③ Currently the greatest non-ag use of the area between Hwy one and the Giacomini Ranch is by dog owners on their daily walks. There is an important need in our community for a location to exist where dogs can run freely. Historically this has been the location. A possible solution to have both protected wetlands and content pets & owners would be to fence an area perhaps at the edge of the project for off leash exercise of pets in town. Ideally pets would still be welcome on the trail as is currently the practice.
- ④ Siltation of the bay could become a serious threat to habitat and water quality with the extensive excavation in both Alt. C & Alt D. What safeguards will be in place to prevent this?
- ⑤ My study was in the executive summary and I saw no details of the bridge but heard many comments that the stature of the bridge was excessive. Any efforts possible to to scale it down somewhat would be well regarded, but the bridge is crucial.

* Please Note - while Alt C seems the best overall choice to me personally, I have also signed a document on behalf of the community pathway committee in collaboration with MCBC, the Sierra Club & Marin Access for Bikes. This group supports ALT D with the inclusion of the bridge & pathways of ALT C to Inv. Park & the Northern levee. Our support for D is contingent upon the inclusion of the bridge and pathway.

Once again thank you for the opportunity for public input, it is a decision of critical importance.

Respectfully, Dona Larkin



"Kevin Lawson"
<yes@svn.net>
02/13/2007 09:36 AM
PST

To: <pore_planning@nps.gov>
cc:
Subject: Giacomini Wetlands Restoration Project

Don Neubacher,

To make this area really accessible and useful to the public, we need to be able to walk (or bike) from White House Pool to Point Reyes. A foot bridge across the creek where the dam used to be would be brilliant.

Thanks,
Kevin Lawson
Home: (415) 663-9210
Cell: (530) 545-2730
Fax: (415) 873-1949
P.O. Box 1293
Point Reyes, CA 94956

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes National Seashore	
FEB 15 2007	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES



"Vicki Leeds"
 <cabaline@svn.net>
 02/13/2007 11:23 PM
 PST
 Please respond to "Vicki
 Leeds"

To: <park_planning@nps.gov>
 cc: <jon_jarvis@nps.gov>
 Subject: Giacomini Ranch Property/Wetlands Restoration

To whom it may concern,
 My vote is for a creative combination of alternatives "C" & "D". I would like to see the wetlands restored as much as possible to pre dairy ranch conditions, as well as to have the lowest impact pathway available connecting Inverness Park with Pt. Reyes Station. It would be great if this pathway could be a safe alternative route for locals of all ages, whether they be walkers, bicyclists or equestrians. Thank you very much for your consideration on this very important matter.

Sincerely yours,
 Vicki Leeds

PO Box 398

Pt. Reyes Station, CA 94956

(Business owner in Pt. Reyes Station for 30 years, resident for 35 years, member of various local environmental organizations, & outdoor enthusiast)

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes	
National Seashore	
FEB 14 2007	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CON.S.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES



Ellen Lesli
 <elesli@yahoo.com>
 02/04/2007 01:33 PM
 PST

To: park_planning@nps.gov
 cc: jon_jarvis@nps.gov
 Subject: Attn: Giacomini Wetlands Restoration Plan

RECEIVED
 Point Reyes
 National Preserve
 FEB 6 - '07

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES

Ellen Lesli
 PO Box 306
 Inverness, CA 94937

Email : elesli@yahoo.com

Feb. 4, 2007

Park Planning – Jon Jarvis
 Re : Giacomini Wetlands Restoration Plan

I have been a resident of the Point Reyes area for over 32 years.
 In that time I have watched this area become more and more populated
 And built up.

I am in support of what is best for the bay; the preservation and restoration of the fragile
 ecological balance of the bay and the surrounding areas.

I strongly urge the park to support **ALTERNATIVE D** . We have to preserve this unique
 area. There are so few "wild" areas left on this planet.

The public has more than enough access to this 75,000 acre park.
 Any more access would be adding to the erosion of the very quality that attracts people
 to this area.

Thank You for listening,

Ellen Lesli

It's here! Your new message!
 Get [new email alerts](#) with the free [Yahoo! Toolbar](#).



"Ken Levin"
 <klevin@horizoncable.com>
 02/13/2007 08:35 AM
 PST

To: <park_planning@nps.gov>
 cc:
 Subject: (Giacomini) Tomales Bay Wetlands Restoration

This letter is in strong support of **Alternative D**. **Alternative D** is the plan most likely to restore the hydrologic and ecologic function of Tomales Bay and return the reclaimed cow pasture to a beautiful marsh.

The fewer "visitor serving" facilities or amendments included in the plan, the better. There are already enough in the area to provide access and views. In this particular situation nature must come first; it is important to give highest priority to restoration of the environment.

Particularly, no bridge over Lagunitas Creek. This would be a visual, environmental and financial disaster.

Ken Levin
 Point Reyes Station

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes National Seashore	
FEB 15 07	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES



Diane Levy
 <diane@dianelevy.com>
 >

To: park_planning@nps.gov
 cc: jon_jarvis@nps.gov
 Subject: Giacomini Wetlands Restoration Plan

02/04/2007 06:10 PM
 PST

Dear Superintendent Neubacher,

I am very concerned about the wetlands restoration plan in Point Reyes. Plan D is the least worrisome of the options presented. Please make this the priority.

The origin of the plan was to restore hydrologic and ecologic function of the bay. Plan D accomplishes this in the most cost effective and ecological manner.

In regards to human access to the area: There are already established alternative sites that provide parking, trails, and observation points at White House Pool, Martinelli Open Space and Olema Marsh. It is unnecessary that further financial output be used to create more access and it does not serve the original plan of restoration. In the long term more human access could create future problems for the ecology of the site and require greater financial burdens for maintenance and upkeep to the area.

Short sighted decision making has created the current overpopulation of non-native deer species. Curtailing the culling of the herds now presents the park with a public relations nightmare. This is not the time for another decision made for the present, without regard for the future impact. Rather than with the deer, when more intervention would have been better, in this case, less is best!!!

Please use Plan D, perhaps with a bridge across Papermill Creek as a concession to access, but no more than that. Plan D is the best option for the intended goals of the restoration project.

Thank you,
 Diane Levy

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes	
MAR 1 2007	
FEB 6 2007	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PLANNING
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGE.



John Levy
 <johnlevy@cs.stanford.edu>

To: park_planning@nps.gov
 cc: jon_jarvis@nps.gov
 Subject: Giacomini Wetlands Restoration Plan comment

02/04/2007 04:33 PM
 PST

Superintendent, Pt. Reyes National Seashore:

Regarding the proposals for restoration of the Giacomini Wetlands, I would like to note my strong preference for Alternative D.

The restoration of the wetlands is an important step in furthering the ecosystem represented by the National Seashore. In Alternative C, the inclusion of a bridge over Lagunitas Creek and the improvement of trails to ADA compliance level would add to the intensity of public use in the immediate area of the wetlands, threatening the effectiveness of the restoration in the most popular areas.

While we in the community surrounding the Point Reyes National Seashore welcome the seasonal arrival of millions of visitors to the park and to our community, I believe we must anticipate a steady increase in the pressure of visitors to the area and therefore minimize the number of access points in this very sensitive area. As a result, Alternative D is the best choice.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely yours,

--John V. Levy, Ph.D.
 Inverness Park, CA

John Levy
 415 663-0611

P.O. Box 1012
 Inverness, CA 94907

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes National Seashore	
FEB 6 - 07	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES



Barry Linder
<barrylinda@earthlink.net>

To: pore_planning@nps.gov
cc:
Subject: Tomales Bay restoration

02/09/2007 07:16 PM
PST

Lets have plan D. Lets have bridge over creek so we can use the path to PRS. Thanks Linda Linder

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes National Seashore	
FEB 12 '07	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE COMS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES

Michael Linvill
1317 Lincoln Avenue, #15
San Rafael, CA 94901
(415) 453-4093
mikelinvill@yahoo.com

February 11, 2007

Don Neubacher, Superintendent
Point Reyes National Seashore
Point Reyes, CA 94956

Regarding: Giacomini Wetlands Restoration Project

Dear Mr. Neubacher:

I first moved to Marin County from San Francisco when I was 10 years old in 1971. My parents came here in large part because of Marin's extraordinary scenery and unique balance of nature and people. I believe that it is a priority to not only preserve what is left of Marin's natural heritage, but also to restore as much of it as possible.

Thank you for your support of wetlands restoration at the south end of Tomales Bay. The restoration will help to sustain numerous threatened wildlife species, particularly Coho salmon which come to spawn in the Olema and Lagunitas creeks. However, I am concerned about the park's support of Alternative C, which will allow too much public access at the expense of wildlife.

Please reconsider your position and support Alternative D, the environmentally preferred alternative, because it provides for the most extensive restoration of the wetlands and will benefit wildlife the most. In addition, please provide for a bridge over Lagunitas Creek and the trail connection between Green Bridge and White House Pool. Thank you.

Sincerely,


Michael Linvill

RECEIVED
Point Reyes National Seashore
FEB 13 07
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/> SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/> LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NATL RES.
<input type="checkbox"/> RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/> FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/> CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/> MGMT.
<input type="checkbox"/> CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/> PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/> BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CENTRAL FILES

Ruth Linvill
100 Thorndale, #106
San Rafael, CA 94903
ruthlinvill@aol.com

February 10, 2007

Don Neubacher, Superintendent
Point Reyes National Seashore
Point Reyes, CA 94956

Dear Mr. Neubacher:

I am writing to you because I support Alternative D as the plan that should be implemented in restoring the wetlands at Giacomini. Although the plan supported by your office is a good one, it doesn't go far enough in reducing human intrusion on the wetlands. I also support a bridge over Lagunitas creek and the trail connection between Green Bridge and Whitehouse Pool. Thank you for hearing my comments.

Ruth Linvill
+
Ruth Linvill

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes	
National Seashore	
FEB 15 2007	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PR. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MAL. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONDS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES



Dewey Livingston
 <dlive@svn.net>
 02/14/2007 10:51 AM
 PST

To: Park_Planning@nps.gov
 cc:
 Subject: Giacomini Marsh

✓	CENTRAL FILES
	BUDGET
	PERSONNEL
	CONTRACTING
	MAINT.
	CULT. RES.
	INTERP.
	FIRE MGT.
	RANGELANDS
	NAT. RES.
	LAW ENFORC.
	SPEC. PK. USES
	SCIENCE
✓	SUPT.

RECEIVED
 Point Reyes
 FEB 14 '07

February 14, 2007

Superintendent Don Neubacher
 Point Reyes National Seashore
 Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

Re: Comments on the Draft EIR, Giacomini Wetlands Restoration

Dear Don:

I appreciate the chance to comment on the EIR, as this is a very important and historic undertaking. For the most part, the document appears to be very good and thorough.

I support a combination of Alternatives B through D, with the following comments:

1. The proposed bridge would sit like a sore thumb in a critical section of the restoration project with its over-engineered abutments and approaches; the needed berm/levee to support a multi-use trail on either side would be a hindrance to proper restoration; and it would be too costly. As an alternative, we would propose a seasonal bridge that could be lifted into place with a crane in the spring and removed in the late fall, connecting a small, seasonal trail. This should be less costly, but I realize it probably wouldn't pass muster with all the regulations of this over-regulated society.

2. The whole project is impeded by the existence of the Levee Road: it is like leaving a piece of rotten meat in your newly cleaned refrigerator. Yes, another impossibility: replace most of the road with an elevated roadway. At the least, provide more than one new break in the road, possibly three to five; otherwise the project, especially involving Olema Marsh, will be compromised. Why such a big compromise when so much money and energy is being poured into this project?

3. A small trail along the east side railroad grade should be included: not a developed, wide, multi-use trail, but limited access (no bikes, pets, etc.). At the least, there should be no barriers of access to the area, as we doubt that individuals using a minor, unmarked and unpublicized trail have the potential to cause harm.

4. I am concerned about the fate of the original Inverness Park Store building. I acknowledge that it is in terrible condition and may not meet the criteria for the National Register, but it is a unique old structure being the only surviving historic commercial building in IP. I suggest that its shell be rehabbed into a small, unmanned visitor center/museum that could be opened by a passing ranger in the morning and closed up in the evening (seeing how dozens of park staff pass the place daily, and

many of them stop at Perry's!).

5. I am also concerned about creating a trailhead in Point Reyes Station without adequate parking.

As an aside, did you know that about 100 years ago, there was an elevated boardwalk along the creek at the road bend at the bottom of Balboa Road? A structural precedent for a trail to IP!

Thank you for this opportunity to comment, and good luck.

Dewey Livingston
P.O. Box 296
Inverness, CA 94937
415-669-7706
dlive@svn.net



"Jack Long"
 <jack@creeksidebirds.com>

To: <park_planning@nps.gov>
 cc:
 Subject: You have received photos from Adobe Photoshop Album

02/14/2007 05:38 PM
 PST

LETS STAY WITH ALTERNATIVE D WE DO NOT NEED MORE CARS OR PARKED CARS ON THE LEVEE ROAD I WOULD FEEL SAFER ON A FREEWAY THAN WALKING ON THE LEVEE ROAD SIR FRANCIS DRAKE BLVD. DOCTORS TELL US TO WALK MORE , IN THIS CASE WALKING COULD KILL YOU . THIS PICTURE TELLS IT ALL 35 YEARS LIVING HERE I HAVE SEEN IT ALL IF ALTERNATIVE D IS THE BEST CHOICE THEN STAY WITH IT JACK LONG

Download Photoshop(R) Album 2.0 Starter Edition free to create your own photo slideshows!



<http://www.adobe.com/getstarteredition> scan001001_edited.jpg

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes National Seashore	
FEB 15 '07	
<input type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES





"John Lopez \(\hm ofc\)"
 <mecoak@hotmail.com>
 >

To: <park_planning@nps.gov>
 cc: <Jon_Jarvis@nps.gov>
 Subject: Gacomini wetlands Alternative D w/bridge & change of parking

02/12/2007 04:56 PM
 PST

To Planning committee:

With discussions with my family (Three children who use trails) our neighbors and community members there is debate of how best to give input for our National Park. We in the community do understand that the whole nation is paying for our beautiful backyard. I would like to put my vote for the limited access to the new wetlands but with a multiuse trail for pedestrian, equestrians and bicyclist (of course some info for use and right of way). With a bridge put at the point of the old Gacomini seasonal dam. This bridge would produce a continuous link to/from the park and Point Reyes Station and Inverness Park.

So while I would approve Alternative C because of the bridge, I would like to vote for Alternate D with the addition of bridge. I would also like to suggest changing the parking from near the Green Barn(Red Barn) current housing area to Park owned land in the current Gacomini dairy ranch area.

Thank you so much for allowing for our input.

John Lopez
 11190 Sir Francis Drake (Levee Rd.)
 Point Reyes Station
 415-663-8801

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes	
National Park	
FEB 13 '07	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERF.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES



"Ruth Kantor Lopez"
 <Bookworm@svn.net>
 02/12/2007 03:17 AM
 PST

To: <park_planning@nps.gov>
 cc: <Jon_Jarvis@nps.gov>
 Subject: wetlands restoration plans

After reviewing plans C and D for the wetlands restoration at Point Reyes National Seashore, I would like to urge the park to adopt plan D with the addition of the bridge over the creek. The bridge is much needed by the community, but the other access components of plan C I fear will cause undesirable development and related problems.

Thank you for your consideration,

Ruth Kantor Lopez
 Levee road resident, Point Reyes Station

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes National Seashore	
FEB 12 '07	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES

Point Reyes National Seashore
Giacomini Wetland Restoration Project Meeting
January 25, 2007



Please add any additional issues that were not brought up at this meeting:

Alt C

Public Access - connecting all the way to Inverness rather than stopping at Inverness Park, with a shared-use path should be included in the scope when it comes time to work with the County on this project.

Like Alt C's public Access,

LISA LUZZI

PO Box 526 PRS.

Good Job

[D would be good
with C's Access]

• lisa.luzzi@gmail.com



"Melissa Lyckberg"
<gratitude@saber.net>

02/07/2007 08:24 AM
PST

To: <park_planning@nps.gov>
cc:
Subject: FW: no on plan C

Hello - I want plan D not plan C.

Bruce Lyckberg
663-1988

RECEIVED Point Reyes National Seashore
FEB 7 - '07
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/> SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/> LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/> RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/> FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/> CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/> MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/> CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/> PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/> BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CENTRAL FILES



"Melissa Lyckberg"
<gratitude@saber.net>
02/07/2007 08:23 AM
PST

To: <park_planning@nps.gov>
cc:
Subject: support of plan D

I support plan D. I live on Los Reyes Drive, near Mesa Road in Point Reyes Station.

I DO NOT WANT PLAN C!

Melissa Lyckberg
Frank Howard Allen Realtors
511 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard
Greenbrae, CA 94904
415-925-3262 direct
415-309-5799 mobile
www.MoveToMarin.com

RECEIVED	
Point Reyes National Seashore	
FEB 7 - '07	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUPT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PK. USES
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NAT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CONS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL FILES