



IN REPLY REFER TO:

# United States Department of the Interior

## OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR

San Francisco Field Office  
1111 Jackson Street, Suite 735  
Oakland, California 94607

COPY

RECEIVED  
Point Reyes  
National Seashore

MAR 5 '04

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ASST. SOLIC.
<input type="checkbox"/>	SPEC. PR. COUN.
<input type="checkbox"/>	LAW ENFORC.
<input type="checkbox"/>	RES./SCIENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	RANGE CON.
<input type="checkbox"/>	FIRE MGT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CULT. RES.
<input type="checkbox"/>	MAINT.
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONTRACTING
<input type="checkbox"/>	PERSONNEL
<input type="checkbox"/>	BUDGET
<input type="checkbox"/>	CENTRAL STAFF

February 26, 2004

To: Superintendent  
Point Reyes National Seashore

From: Field Solicitor  
San Francisco Field Office

Re: Point Reyes Wilderness Act

As requested, this memorandum opinion reviews the Point Reyes wilderness situation as it related to the Johnson Oyster Company 40-year Reservation of Use and Occupancy which expires in 2011, or might be terminated sooner for cause or other processes. The Wilderness Act of 1964, and the Point Reyes Wilderness Act of 1976, provide the guidance for implementation of wilderness within the Seashore and are the basis for NPS's obligations to manage the subject land and waters toward conversion of the potential wilderness areas to wilderness status.

In conjunction with the Seashore authorization Act of 1962, the State of California, by 1965 legislation (copy attached), conveyed to the United States all of the right, title and interest of the State in lands one-quarter mile seaward of the mean high tide. More precisely the State granted "all the tide and submerged lands or other lands beneath navigable waters situated within the boundaries of the Point Reyes National Seashore . . ." to the United States. Excepted from this grant and reserved to the State were the "right to fish upon, and all oil, gas and other hydrocarbons in the lands . . . together with the right to explore or prospect . . ." within the tidal and submerged lands. However, these reserved rights were not to be "exercised in such manner as to cause . . . unnecessary pollution of the coastal waters", and no "well or drilling operations of any kind shall be conducted upon the surface of such lands."

On October 18, 1976, the Point Reyes Wilderness Act designated 25,370 acres as wilderness, and 8003 acres as potential wilderness. Public Law 94-544, Oct. 18, 1976. The area designated as potential wilderness (2811 acres) for area 2 of three areas

included the waters of the Drakes Estero and the adjoining inter-tidal land and upon which Johnson Oyster Farm operates a commercial oyster business.<sup>1</sup> (map attached)

This Congressional designation of the wilderness and potential wilderness (see the House and Senate discussions of the legislation in the Congressional Record -copy attached) was made notwithstanding a September 8, 1976 letter written by John Kyl, Assistant Secretary of the Interior, to James A. Haley, Chairman of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs wherein he stated the Department's position on the Point Reyes Wilderness Act. While DOI was largely supportive of the Act, Mr. Kyl's letter said that the Department did not recommend the inclusion of the tidelands extending one-quarter mile offshore within the boundaries of Point Reyes, as granted by the State of California as potential wilderness. According to the Kyl's letter, the State's retention of mineral and fishing rights rendered this area "inconsistent with wilderness." This letter is the only record in the legislative history that raises this point in the area's wilderness and potential wilderness designation. After review of the 1965 State Act, the Wilderness Act, Point Reyes Wilderness Act, case law and present day NPS Directors' orders and Management Policies, it is the view of this office that the remarks in the Kyl letter are not only inaccurate but overridden by the Congressional action, as explained below.

The 1965 State Act is very limited in its two reservations of rights, i.e., public right to fish and severely restricted mineral exploration access, i.e., no surface disturbance of any kind. Both reservations would not conflict with the Secretary converting the potential water area and shore land wilderness acres into designated wilderness. Further, notwithstanding the Departments' letter, the Congress ultimately designated 25,370 wilderness and 8000 potential wilderness acres which exceeded the acreage recommended by the Administration. This reflects that Congress did not heed Mr. Kyl's recommendation and conclusions and enacted its preferred wilderness act.

Addressing the potential wilderness lands and water, the House Report 94-1680, accompanying the eventually enacted Bill (HR 8002) states that it was its intent that there be "efforts to steadily continue to remove all obstacles to the eventual conversion of these lands and waters to wilderness status." (copy attached) The designations are implemented by the Park Service's 2001 Management Policies on wilderness which state that "[I]n the process of determining suitability, lands will not be excluded solely because of existing rights or privileges (e.g., mineral exploration and development, *commercial*

---

1. It is noted that the State continues to issue to Johnson Oyster Company commercial allotments in Drakes Estero which seem to be in conflict with the 1965 State legislative grant and 1976 Congressional mandate to convert the bays of the Estero into wilderness status. On the other hand, the continued public fishing in the Estero is consistent with the State legislative grant and the conversion to wilderness status.

Further, since the United States owns the tide and submerged lands in Drakes Estero, it clearly follows that permission of NPS is appropriate for commercial activities taking place on those granted lands.

operations...")<sup>2</sup> Further, the Park Service's Management Policies clearly state that the Park Service must make decisions regarding the management of potential wilderness even though some activities may temporarily detract from its wilderness character. The Park Service is to manage potential wilderness as wilderness to the extent that existing non-conforming conditions allow. The Park Service is also required to actively seek to remove from potential wilderness the temporary, non-conforming conditions that preclude wilderness designation. 6.3.1. Wilderness Resource Management, General Policy. (selected excerpts attached)

Hence, the Park Service is mandated by the Wilderness Act, the Point Reyes Wilderness Act and its Management Policies to convert potential wilderness, i.e., the Johnson Oyster Company tract and the adjoining Estero, to wilderness status as soon as the non conforming use can be eliminated.<sup>3</sup>



Ralph G. Mihan

---

2. See the District Court ruling that past commercial activities, in this case timber harvesting, do not preclude an area's wilderness designation. Minnesota Public Interest Research Group v. Butz, 401 F. Supp. 1276, 1329 (1975)

3. The status of the Johnson Oyster Company will be addressed in a separate document.