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SECTION 1: PROJECT METHODOLOGY

Because the ultimate purpose of this study is to provide a basis for a Cultural Landscape Inventory (CLI) for the park, research was directed with the specific intention of fulfilling the requirements set forth for compiling CLIs in the National Park Service’s *Cultural Landscapes Inventory Professional Procedures Guide*. Much of the information contained in this study was obtained during the summer of 1999 at Fort Davis National Historic Site. This included surveying primary and secondary sources, such as historic maps and photographs, manuscripts, and reports, and other materials at the park archives. A field survey of the site also was conducted during this period, including a general inventory of existing conditions and photographic documentation. This included an on-site survey of archaeological resources with Rito Rivera, a member of the Fort Davis NHS staff.¹

It should be noted that although Fort Davis has never been studied through a cultural landscape approach before, the history of many elements of its landscape, particularly its historic structures, has been well documented by the National Park Service. Therefore, much of the information gathered for this report represents a synthesis of the findings obtained through previous historical research and documentation. It is particularly

---

indebted to the findings contained in Greene’s *Historic Resource Study* and Nelson’s vegetation study.²

Information was organized into periods of landscape development, and significant characteristics and features of the landscape were identified. These characteristics are defined in the *Cultural Landscapes Inventory Professional Procedures Guide*, and include the following: natural systems and features; spatial organization; land use; topography; vegetation; circulation; buildings and structures; cluster arrangement; views and vistas; constructed water features; and small-scale features. All of this information was then placed within a geographical and historic context. Based on this research and field survey, elements contributing to the significance and integrity of the Fort Davis cultural landscape were identified.

For the report’s documentation package, a list was compiled of historic features at the site with their corresponding Historic Building (HB) numbers, which are official identification numbers assigned by the National Park Service. These features and their numbers were obtained from Greene’s *Historic Resource Study*. A series of large-format site plans also were created for the time of the Army’s occupation as well as for the present. These plans were produced using AutoDesk AutoCAD™ R14 software and are based upon scans of the historic base maps contained in Greene. 3D models of the Fort Davis landscape were then produced using the 3D Analyst extension of ArcView™ 3.2

² Jerome A. Greene, *Fort Davis National Historic Site* (Denver, Colo.: GPO, 1986); James T. Nelson, “The Historical Vegetative Aspect of Fort Davis National Historic Site, Texas” (Typescript, Fort Davis National
Geographic Information Systems (GIS) software, which is produced by the Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI). These models were created by importing the AutoCAD™ site plans previously mentioned into ArcView™ using its CAD Reader extension.
SECTION 2: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Significant landscape patterns continue to exist today at Fort Davis National Historic Site from the U.S. Army’s occupation of the site during the period from 1854 to 1891, making it one of the most intact surviving examples of a latter 19th century military complex in the U.S. Southwest. These patterns, especially those related to the post-Civil War second fort, contribute greatly to the present-day interpretation of the development of Fort Davis over time and its role in protecting the San Antonio-El Paso Road. The ability to observe these patterns and attempt to imagine Fort Davis as it was during its period of historical significance also has been enhanced by the relatively small changes in the place’s setting. Urban development in the area has been quite limited, retaining the area’s remote and rural character.

More specifically, cultural remnants from the first fort period consist of building footprints and traces of the original San Antonio-El Paso Road. The structural outlines, which are demarcated either by original stone foundations or modern amended adobes or vertical wooden stakes, indicate three primary clusters of buildings within Hospital Canyon. Taken as a whole, these clusters provide a partial indication of the spatial arrangement of the fort during the period and their location reflects the original decision in 1854 to place the fort within the canyon for the protection that it afforded from harsh northerly winter winds. However, remains of interior roads, gardens, or other land uses from the period are no longer discernable.
Cultural remains that exist from the second fort include some largely intact structures, traces of roads and paths, remnants of irrigation and flood control ditches and berms, and evidence of former water supply features, such as wells, a spring, and pipeline routes. Evidence of various types of land uses also exists, including a cemetery and a garden. Comparison of historic and modern photographs also reveals the continued presence of trees from the period. The combination of these elements clearly shows the overall spatial arrangement of the second fort, which reflects the U.S. Army’s planning policies at that time for the design of forts.

By demonstrating the U.S. Army’s planning policies at the time for the design of forts as well as the adaptation of those policies to the local environment, the landscape meets National Register significance criterion C. It also holds national significance in its reflection of 19th century conflict between the United States and Native Americans as a result of the nation’s expansion of its territory and settlement during the period, and the nation’s systematic pursuit of the destruction of these indigenous inhabitants. It also holds social value as a place representative of the pursuit of opportunity and the suffering of hardships by U.S. citizens in the 19th century western frontier. Furthermore, it contains social value as a place where large numbers of African-American troops exercised their newly-acquired freedom and opportunity following the Civil War. In these ways, the landscape meets National Register significance criterion A.
SECTION 3: INTRODUCTION

In 1961, President John F. Kennedy signed into law legislation that authorized the creation of Fort Davis National Historic Site, Texas. The site is located in the rugged but majestic Davis Mountains in the area of west Texas known as the Trans-Pecos, which lies between the Pecos River and the Rio Grande. Fort Davis attained historical significance as a U.S. Army post from 1854 to 1891 that protected the transcontinental road going through west Texas on its way to California and the surrounding frontier from Apache, Comanche, and Kiowa incursions. This study examines the cultural landscape at Fort Davis National Historic Site, which represents the combined interactions between the military complex that existed there and the natural environment.

Although the concept of cultural landscapes was first used in the United States within the field of cultural geography in the 1920s, it has more recently been widely applied in the practice of the conservation of heritage resources. Until now, though, no study of Fort Davis has ever used a cultural landscape approach. Previous studies concerning the site have tended to emphasize static structures. Other isolated areas of land use, such as the post’s garden and cemetery, have been described, as has the site’s historic vegetation. However, a more comprehensive documentation and analysis connecting nature and culture has been lacking. As a result, the site’s conservation and interpretation has emphasized static buildings as well. By recognizing contextual complexities, a cultural landscape approach offers a broader understanding of the significance of heritage sites.
which in turn brings greater depth to the definition of what should be conserved and interpreted. This study aims to provide this type of holistic understanding so that the site’s future conservation and interpretation may better reflect the place known as Fort Davis as it was experienced during the 19th century and the forces that created it.
Figure 1.
Photograph, Second Fort Davis from north, ca. 1886 (Source: Fort Davis
NHS Archives, photo HG-4)
SECTION 4: LANDSCAPE HISTORY

GEOGRAPHIC CONTEXT

GEOMORPHOLOGY

Fort Davis National Historic Site is located at the eastern edge of the Davis Mountain region of western Texas that is located within the North American Basin and Range physiographic province (see Figure 2). The numerous basins and ranges of the province were produced by faulting that started in the Tertiary geologic period, which has been accompanied periodically into the present by volcanic activity and regional uplift. The Davis Mountains in particular were formed during volcanic eruptions approximately thirty-five million years ago that centered around two main points – the Buckhorn Caldera, northwest of Fort Davis, and the Paisano Volcano, located west of Alpine in Brewster County. These rugged mountains, comprised of horizontal strata of igneous rock, are surrounded by a relatively flat plain. Large parts of this plain, which are elevated around 4,000 feet above sea level, are basins filled with eroded debris from the surrounding mountains. Much of the main mass of mountains lies higher than 5,000 feet, making it Texas’s second highest range, including Mount Livermore, the state’s fifth highest peak at 8,378 feet. The region spans an area of approximately forty-five miles in length from north to south and about thirty-five miles wide from east to west.
While many peaks and ridges are separated by broad, level valleys, several intermittent streams that run through the area have created narrow, steep-walled canyons.³

Fort Davis National Historic Site in particular is situated around the entrance of just such a canyon (see Figure 3). Hospital Canyon has steep rock cliffs surrounding it on three sides, with Sleeping Lion Mountain to the south and the North Ridge to the north. The canyon floor slopes gently from west to east, where its mouth is situated at an elevation of around 4,900 feet. The tops of the surrounding ridges are approximately 300 feet higher.

The geologic makeup of the site and its vicinity is comprised of three distinct Tertiary geologic units, all of which were created through igneous processes. The lowest exposed unit is the upper part of a medium-gray andesite flow, which Everett notes “is commonly seen as rock fragments in the colluvium and alluvium at the mouth of Hospital Canyon and along the foot of the slopes of the canyon.” Overlying the andesite is rhyolite porphyry, which makes up most of the slopes and cliffs surrounding Hospital Canyon. This unit dominates the appearance of the landscape above the canyon floor when facing Hospital Canyon from the east. When freshly exposed, it is pale red purple to grayish

Figure 3. Aerial photograph. Fort Davis National Historic Site. 1985; Note: arrow points to site. (Source: National Park Service, Albuquerque GIS Support Center)
purple, and with oxidation its color turns to grayish red to moderate brown. Atop the rhyolite porphyry is an ignimbrite or volcanic ash flow tuff.⁴

CLIMATE

Although the surrounding plains of the Trans-Pecos are part of the Chihuahuan Desert, the higher elevation of the Davis Mountains causes the area to receive enough precipitation to be considered semiarid. This precipitation occurs as the mountains force air brought by westerly-prevailing winds to rise, causing the air to cool and the moisture that it contains to condense. Consequently, these mountains sometimes receive up to eighteen inches of yearly precipitation while the surrounding plains generally receive from six to twelve inches annually. The maximum precipitation occurs during the months of July, August, and September in the form of convectional thunderstorms. The mountain range also has significantly milder average temperatures than the surrounding plains due to its relatively higher elevation. This circumstance gives the area the reputation as a haven from the long, hot summers experienced by most of the rest of Texas. Extended periods with temperatures either below freezing or higher than 100°F are uncommon. Summer mean daily maximum temperatures average around 88°F while mean daily minimum winter temperatures average about 37°F.⁵

---

⁴ A. Gordon Everett, Geologic Reconnaissance of the Fort Davis National Historic Site, Texas, (Austin, Tex.: Texas Memorial Museum, 1967).
⁵ Nelson, p. 2; Texas State Historical Assoc., The Handbook of Texas Online, http://www.tsha.utexas.edu/handbook/online/index.html
VEGETATION

Vegetation patterns in the region at large generally reflect elevation levels. Lowlands ranging from 3,600 to 4,600 feet are generally occupied by desert grasslands interspersed with desert shrubs. At higher elevations, such as the Davis Mountains, desert grasslands become open woodlands, although grasses and desert shrubs are still present. Only the highest elevations of mountain ranges in the region have dense woodlands. Isolated areas of riparian vegetation along watercourses are spread across the region.⁶

In the area of Fort Davis in particular, tree species include oak, juniper, yellow pine, hackberry, and along streams, the Rio Grande cottonwood. Common shrubs include sumacs, catclaw, Texas mountain laurel, mormon tea, algarita, and honey mesquite. Beargrass is present on hills and sotol grows on rocky slopes. Several species of pricklypear cactus occur at most topographic locations, as well as the striking century plant (see Figure 4). The most common grass type is blue grama, although several other species occur, including alkali sacaton in creek bottoms.⁷

Figure 4. Photograph. Century plant. 1999 (Source: author)
HYDROLOGY

Turning to the region's hydrology, the Davis Mountains are situated between two major streams, the Rio Grande to the west and the Pecos River to the east (see Figure 2). The nearest stream to the study area is Limpia Creek, which flows from its headwaters on the northeastern slopes of Mount Livermore seventeen miles northwest of Fort Davis to pass just to the north and east of the study site. From there, the Limpia runs northeast for sixty-three miles where it meets Barrilla Draw in western Pecos County, which feeds into the Pecos River.\(^8\)

At Fort Davis National Historic Site, runoff from precipitation flows from the ridge tops into the canyon and through a shallow gully, also known as a draw, from the west end of the canyon to the east toward an open plain. The draw frequently overflows, even with light rains.

---

\(^8\) Texas State Historical Assoc., *The Handbook of Texas Online*, http://www.tsha.utexas.edu/handbook/online/index.html.
HISTORY OF LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT

PRE-EUROPEAN CONTACT PERIOD (Prior to 1583)

Historical Overview

The Paleo-Indian period (ca. 9,200 B.C. – 6,000 B.C.) is the earliest recognized era of human habitation of North America. Archaeologists discovered evidence of human activity approximately 40 to 45 miles from Fort Davis, along Alamito Creek near San Esteban at the site known as Painted Caves. But in general, the presence of Paleo-Indian remains is very limited in the area.⁹

A greater number of sites from the Archaic period (6,000 B.C. to 1,000 A.D.) have been discovered in the West Texas region. Remains include chipped stone tools and projectile points, grinding stones used for preparing plant materials, and rock paintings. Few sites from the period, however, have been identified in the Davis Mountains, indicating that there was only limited human activity at that time in the Davis Mountains area. Nevertheless, outstanding examples of rock art paintings from this period are located just fifteen miles west of Fort Davis, near Mount Livermore.¹⁰

The Late Prehistoric period spans from 1,000 A.D. to the point of European contact. Scholars believe that, in addition to the already existing hunter-gatherer, nomadic peoples in the region, Puebloan agriculturalists expanded southward down the Río Grande in the 11th century. Evidence of their influence is most visible at what was a large settlement at

---

⁹ Mary Williams, NPS Historian- Fort Davis NHS, review comments to author.
¹⁰ Wooster, p. 4.
La Junta de los Ríos, at the confluence of the Rio Grande and Rio Concho, south of the present-day border town of Presidio, Texas (see Figure 2). A significant concentration of Trans-Pecos natives who practiced farming, and to a lesser extent hunting-and-gathering, was located there.11

**Significant Landscape Characteristics of the Period**

**Archaeological Sites**

Known remnants of pre-European activity by Native Americans within the boundary of Fort Davis National Historic Site are few in number and essentially imperceptible to those unaware of their presence. Only one location within the site indicates a possibly extensive occupation prior to the arrival of Europeans. Wide-spread lithic scatter, burned rock, and stone tools were found at this open campsite, which indicates occupation from the Late Archaic through the Late Prehistoric periods, and possibly going back further to the Early Archaic. Other known Native American remnants are products of more isolated activity from the Late Archaic and Late Prehistoric periods. These include rock shelters, faded pictographs, stone tools, and a circular stone enclosure that possibly served as a hunting blind. Due to the sensitivity of these sites, they are not described in details in this work.12

---

12 Greene, p. 408.
POST-EUROPEAN CONTACT, PRE-FORT PERIOD (1583-1854)

**Historical Overview**

At the time of the arrival of the Spanish in 1535, who were the first Europeans to arrive in the Trans-Pecos region, several Native American peoples resided there. The people who the Spanish called the Jumanos were far-ranging nomads throughout West Texas but also were found concentrated in villages along the Río Concho near its meeting point with the Río Grande. Groups of the Suma people were encountered to the west of the future location of Fort Davis along the Río Grande, between El Paso and La Junta. Another sedentary tribe who occupied the Río Grande valley was the Patarabueyes. The Apaches were the predominant group on the Plains of the Trans-Pecos when the Spanish entered the region. The Spanish referred to the area controlled by these peoples as the *Gran Apachería*, which generally spanned north-south from present-day Wichita, Kansas, to Austin, Texas, and east-west from Austin to Tucson, Arizona. Among the Apaches, the Mescalero band inhabited West Texas and southern New Mexico. East of the Pecos River were the Lipan and Llanero bands, and the Faraones occupied the mountains of southern New Mexico between the Río Grande and the Pecos River.¹³

The first group of Spanish visitors to the Trans-Pecos region consisted of a small party that was led by Alvar Núñez Cabeza de Vaca. The group landed on the Gulf Coast of southern Texas after fleeing the failed 1528 expedition of Pánfilo de Narváez to Florida. After years of Native American slavery, the group debarked for Mexico, travelling through the Fort Davis area and visiting villages of the Jumanos at La Junta in 1535. The
party finally encountered a Spanish party seeking Indian labor in the spring of 1536 near the Sinaloa River.\textsuperscript{14}

Spain's coercion of the Native Americans of the region to provide labor for their mines and \textit{encomiendas} to the south laid the groundwork for the hostile responses of those peoples to Europeans and Americans in the future. The eventual result of this hostility was the U.S. Army's creation of Fort Davis in 1854.

Reports of gold and other precious minerals and gems by the Cabeza de Vaca party sparked the curiosity of the Spanish colonial government in Mexico City, leading to an overland expedition from Mexico in 1539 into present-day New Mexico led by Franciscan friar Marcos de Niza. Although much of Fray Marcos' party was killed in an encounter with the Zuni people, he returned to Mexico City claiming to have seen the grand city of Cibola, which he described as being larger than Mexico City.\textsuperscript{15}

Hoping to find riches approaching those found in Mexico, viceroy Mendoza in Mexico City sent a larger-scale expedition in 1540 directed by Francisco Vásquez de Coronado in search of Cibola. This party searched for two years, travelling from Mexico through the territory now covered by the states of Arizona, New Mexico, Texas, and Kansas.

\textsuperscript{13} Wooster, pp. 5-10. Stoddard, \textit{et al.}, eds., pp. 33-34.
\textsuperscript{14} W.W. Newcomb, Jr., \textit{The Indians of Texas: From Prehistoric to Modern Times} (Austin, Tex.: University of Texas Press, 1961).
\textsuperscript{15} Stoddard, \textit{et al.}, eds., p. 34.
Although the Coronado expedition failed to discover the wealth that had been hoped for, additional Spanish *entradas* continued to scour the region for riches.¹⁶

Antonio de Espejo led one such expedition that traveled through the area of the future site of Fort Davis in 1583. After venturing up the Rio Grande into present day New Mexico, Espejo and his party turned east down the Pecos River and then south down Toyah Creek. Thenceforth they passed through the Limpia Canyon in the proximity of present-day Fort Davis before continuing south to return to the Rio Grande. This presumably marked the first European contact in the Davis Mountains.

After the Espejo expedition, another party was sent from Santa Fe in 1650 by General Hernando de Ugarte y la Concha, Governor of New Mexico to explore north central Texas. This group was led by Captain Diego del Dastillo and Captain Hernán Martín, and its report of pearls on the Concho River (then known as the Nueces) soon led to the expedition led by Diego de Guadalajara. Guadalajara’s expedition left Santa Fe in 1654 and traveled to the Concho River with thirty soldiers and approximately 200 allied Indians. A scouting group from this party continued approximately 75 miles further east of the Concho, where it was attacked by Cuitao Indians. The Spanish party defeated the Indians, capturing around 200 of them, before meeting up with the main expedition party.

at the meeting point of the three branches of the Concho River, near present-day San Angelo, Texas. From there the expedition returned to Santa Fe.\textsuperscript{17}

The next noteworthy Spanish foray into the region was led by Juan Domínguez de Mendoza, who had been a member of the Guadalajara expedition. Domínguez de Mendoza was lieutenant general and \textit{maestre de campo} in New Mexico during the Pueblo revolt in 1680, after which the Spanish were forced to retreat from New Mexico to El Paso del Norte. In response to a request by a group of Native American chiefs to establish missions among the Jumanos, Domínguez de Mendoza and Fray Nicolás López were sent in 1683 on an expedition with that purpose, as well as to establish trade, to the region inhabited by the Jumanos. After leaving a party to establish a mission at the site of La Junta de los Ríos near present-day Presidio, Texas, the expedition continued on past the Pecos River to the Edwards Plateau, where the party spent six weeks and built a temporary fortification. Although the Domínguez de Mendoza and López party returned to El Paso del Norte with hopes of building missions as well as a colony among the Jumanos, this desire was never realized. The continuing Indian revolt in New Mexico as well as the landing of the French under the direction of La Salle at Matagorda Bay in early 1685 shifted Spanish attention elsewhere. The French incursion into the area in particular drew the Spanish interest in establishing missions to eastern Texas.\textsuperscript{18}

\textsuperscript{17} Texas State Historical Assoc., \textit{The Handbook of Texas Online}, http://www.tsha.utexas.edu/handbook/online/index.html.
\textsuperscript{18} Ibid.
After Spain’s attention shifted to other areas of the region, European contact in the area did not occur to any significant degree until the mid-nineteenth century with the incursion of explorers from the United States. Mescalero Apaches dominated the area in the meantime.19

After the conclusion of the Mexican War in 1848, the U.S. government quickly developed an interest in the region. Its newly acquired territory included the contemporary states of New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada, and California. In response to the discovery of gold in California in the same year, the federal government began to actively pursue an overland route through the Southwest to the Pacific.

With the purpose of identifying a portion of such a route between the settlements of San Antonio and El Paso del Norte, lieutenants William H.C. Whiting and William F. Smith departed in February 1849 from San Antonio with a party of twelve men. El Paso del Norte, now known as Juarez, Mexico, was the location where the Río Grande intersected a major trading route between Santa Fe, the western terminus of the Santa Fe Trail, and Chihuahua, Mexico. From Chihuahua, the trail traveled south to Mexico City. The Whiting and Smith party passed through the Davis Mountains, then known as the Apache Mountains, in March. As the expedition traveled along a stream through the mountains, Whiting noted an abundance of wild roses. He gave the place the name “Wild Rose Pass” and called the stream “Limpia Creek.” Upon leaving the pass, the party camped

within a grove of tall cottonwoods where the Limpia formed a deep pool of water. The cottonwood trunks were marked with Native American pictographs, which inspired Whiting to name the location “Painted Comanche Camp.” This stopping point was located just to the northeast of where Fort Davis was eventually constructed. On their return trip from El Paso del Norte, the party passed through Painted Comanche Camp a second time. This time they noted that the grass there had been trampled by “the hoofs of hundreds of horses” and that “(m)ore than two hundred lodges had been placed around our camp fires” as evidenced by the remnants of their frames.20

At the same time as the Whiting-Smith expedition, another party led by Robert S. Neighbors and John S. “Rip” Ford sought out a more northerly route from San Antonio to El Paso del Norte. The trail that they established passed through the Guadalupe Mountains, a range northwest of the Davis Mountains. The Whiting-Smith route came to be known as the Lower Road and the Neighbors-Ford route was referred to as the Upper Road. Although both trails were traveled quite heavily in the following years, the Lower Road was not only slightly shorter, but it also provided more reliable supplies of water and wood. Consequentially, by the mid-1850s the Lower Road became the primary route connecting San Antonio and El Paso del Norte.21

21 Wooster, p. 29.
In June of 1849, within months of the Whiting-Smith expedition, a topographical reconnaissance party under the command of Bvt. Lt. Col. Joseph E. Johnston departed from San Antonio to map and improve the route that the earlier party traversed. Along Limpia Creek a short distance upstream from Painted Comanche Camp, members of the expedition noted the presence of a few Native American brush shelters as well as cultivated corn. Although no residents were detected there, they concluded that Apaches inhabited the settlement.\textsuperscript{22}

At the same time as Johnston’s expedition, a party under Lt. Francis T. Bryan reconnoitered a northern route between San Antonio and El Paso del Norte that passed through the Guadalupe Mountains. This route improved upon the path taken by the Neighbors-Ford expedition.\textsuperscript{23}

Mostly in response to the California Gold Rush, in 1849 around three thousand persons crossed the two routes through western Texas as well as through Chihuahua (see Figure 5). Many stopped at Painted Comanche Camp, where they took advantage of the shade, water, and grass for their animals. Between 1850 and 1854, the route also was used for many cattle drives from Texas to California. The creation of an American commercial hub to the north side of the Río Grande from El Paso del Norte, which would later be known as El Paso, additionally encouraged the participation of east Texas business interests in the thriving Santa Fe-Chihuahua trade. In addition, in 1851 the federal

\textsuperscript{22} Utley, \textit{Special Report on Fort Davis, Texas}, p. 12; Wooster, pp. 29, 31.
\textsuperscript{23} Wooster, p. 29.
government granted a contract to Henry Skillman to transport mail from San Antonio to El Paso, and he subsequently established stage stations in the area.\textsuperscript{24}

A significant threat to American traders, mail carriers, and emigrants travelling through west Texas during the period were the Mescalero Apaches, who saw western Texas and eastern New Mexico as their traditional hunting grounds. Travelers also encountered confrontations with Comanches, as the Great Comanche War Trail crossed the Lower Road enroute to Mexico. Based upon an extensive tour of west Texas in 1852, Col. Joseph K.F. Mansfield advocated the establishment of several military posts to protect American travelers along roads in west Texas and to encourage settlement in the area. Mansfield recommended that one of those posts be erected at the headwaters of Limpia Creek. Within two years, Mansfield’s proposal would be realized through the erection of a post near Painted Comanche Camp known as Fort Davis.\textsuperscript{25} The establishment of the San Antonio-El Paso Road during this period, though, marked the beginning of a non-Native American imprint on the site’s cultural landscape.


\textsuperscript{25} Wooster, pp. 32, 39.
Significant Landscape Characteristics of the Period

Archaeological Sites

The time of activity at some of the Native American archaeological sites discussed in the previous section concerning the pre-European period may also overlap into the Historic period, or since European contact in the region. Due to the sensitive nature of those sites, specific details concerning them are not dealt with in this work.26

Circulation

The Lower Road between San Antonio and El Paso, which was constructed toward the end of this period, traveled just to the east of the mouth of Hospital Canyon (see Figure 5). To the west it stopped at several springs on its way to El Paso, and then went through southern New Mexico and Arizona on its way to California. In the opposite direction, it went northeast to the Pecos River and then southward to San Antonio, 466 miles away. From there, the route was connected to destinations throughout the eastern United States. It should be noted that the road was significant not only as a transportation route, but also as a means of communication.27

A trail used by the Comanches to travel between the plains of Texas to the north and Mexico to the south also traversed approximately 60 miles to the east of Hospital Canyon. The Americans referred to this route as the Great Comanche War Trail.

26 Greene, p. 408.
27 Greene, pp. 89, 306.
Figure 5: Map of Lower and Upper roads between San Antonio and El Paso.
PERIOD OF THE FIRST FORT DAVIS (1854-1862)

**Historical Context**

In response to Mansfield's recommendation for a frontier defense system in west Texas, Bvt. Maj. Gen. Persifor F. Smith, Commander of the Department of Texas, responded by constructing a series of posts just ahead of the line of settlement in the state. In May 1854, Smith recommended a site for a new post near the head of Limpia Creek a few miles from Wild Rose Pass. In order to confirm the appropriateness of the site himself, Smith set out to inspect it, reaching Painted Comanche Camp in October of 1854. Smith was impressed by the location's strategic proximity to both the Lower Road to El Paso del Norte and the trail heading south to Presidio del Norte. This settlement of around 2,000 people was located approximately 100 miles to the southwest on the southern side of the Rio Grande in the area known as the Big Bend. The site also provided adequate supplies of water, grass and wood. The site that Smith chose was located approximately one-quarter mile south of Painted Comanche Camp. With the intention of sheltering the fort from cold northerly winds of the approaching winter, Smith chose a location within a box canyon surrounded on three sides by steep rock cliffs. Smith named the post "Fort Davis" in honor of then Secretary of War Jefferson Davis, who would later serve during the U.S. Civil War as president of the Confederate States of America.²⁸

During this site-selection process, six companies of the U.S. Eighth Infantry met Smith. These troops stayed on to make up most of the initial post garrison. Despite protests from Lt. Col. Washington Seawell, Commander of the Eighth Infantry, as well as several other
officers, that the site could be attacked too easily by unobserved Indians from the surrounding cliffs. Smith insisted on maintaining his choice of location. Eighth Infantry troops started work to construct the post in October of 1854. Smith negotiated a lease of the site that consisted of 640 acres from John James, a resident of San Antonio and large landholder in the Davis Mountains. These actions represented the beginning of the U.S. Army’s imposition of a military complex on the site, a key event in the development of the cultural landscape that exists there today.29

Like at other Texas posts of the period, the initial buildings of the fort were constructed as temporary structures out of local materials. Most of these structures consisted of wooden pickets or slabs driven vertically into the ground, with cracks in between filled with mud. Most of the buildings had thatched roofs. It should be noted that the use of local materials, especially wood, undoubtedly transformed the natural environment in the area.30 Inspection reports referred to these buildings as jacales (literally “huts” in the Spanish language of Mexico and the southwestern United States). A row of barracks was situated along the east side of a parade ground, with their fronts facing the San Antonio-El Paso Road, which was about 1,000 feet further east (see site plan for 1854-1862 period). The remaining structures were scattered to the north and west in Hospital

29 Greene, p. 19; Wooster, pp. 42-43.
29 Wooster, p. 43; Welsh, p. 4.
30 This phenomenon is discussed in the subsequent section concerning vegetation of the period.
Canyon, with some grouped in clusters. Soon after the post’s establishment, a stage and mail stop known as La Limpia also was constructed within one mile of the fort.\textsuperscript{31}

Although Fort Davis was deemed by the Department of Texas to be temporary, during the absence of commanding officer Lt. Col. Seawell in 1856, Capt. Arthur T. Lee ordered the construction of six stone barracks across the mouth of Hospital Canyon to replace the original wooden dwellings. This event represented the first step toward giving the post a more permanent foothold. By the mid-1850s, the number of military personnel at Fort Davis was around 400, making it one of the West's largest at the time. Despite this level of military presence, it still did not fully curtail attacks by Apaches and Comanches upon travelers through the area.\textsuperscript{32}

The U.S. Army’s occupation of the post during the early 1860s was short lived due to the coming of the Civil War. By 1860, the number of troops assigned to the garrison there was reduced from six to two companies as the U.S. Army reduced its number of troops in the West in anticipation of sectional conflict in the East. By that time, most of the fort’s non-masonry buildings were in poor condition. In January of 1861, the Texas legislature met to begin debate about secession from the Union in favor of joining the Confederate States of America. In March, Texas voted to join the Confederacy, and two months later,

\textsuperscript{31} Willard B. Robinson, \textit{American Forts: Architectural Form and Function} (Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1977), p. 147; Welsh, pp. 4-5.
\textsuperscript{32} Welsh, p. 4.
the federal troops at Fort Davis abandoned the post and departed for San Antonio, as all federal posts in Texas were evacuated.\textsuperscript{33}

**Significant Landscape Characteristics of the Period**

**Buildings and Structures**

During the initial construction of buildings at Fort Davis in the fall of 1854, records specifically mention the erection of six enlisted men's barracks, apparently twelve officers' quarters with adjacent kitchens, including a commanding officer’s quarters, and a sawmill. These structures were built hurriedly in order to provide shelter from the cold winds of the approaching winter. As a result, their construction was quite crude, primarily utilizing locally available materials. Other buildings could have been erected at the time without receiving specific mentioning.\textsuperscript{34}

The six barracks (HB-338 through HB-343) stretched linearly across the mouth of Hospital Canyon in a north-south orientation so that their east entrances faced the El Paso Road, which was approximately 1,000 feet away (see site plan for 1854-1862 period). Each of these structures was 56 feet long by 20 feet wide, and they appear to have been spaced approximately 30 yards apart from one another, with the exception that the two middle barracks (HB-340 and HB-341) were separated by a somewhat greater distance. The barracks were made of vertical wooden pickets that were forced into the ground and

\textsuperscript{33} ibid., p. 5.
\textsuperscript{34} Greene, pp. 53-54.
had thatch roofs. The pickets consisted of oak and cottonwood, which are commonly found in the area.\textsuperscript{35}

The officers’ quarters (HB-321 through HB-336) were built to the west and further within the canyon from the infantry barracks (see site plan for 1854-1862 period). Based upon Mansfield’s 1856 map, these buildings appear to have been loosely congregated in four clusters, three of which were in the southern part of the canyon (see Figure 6). The first cluster, consisting of two quarters, was adjacent to the canyon’s south wall, just west of the barracks. The second cluster, made up of three to four buildings, was further west in the canyon along the south wall, approximately midway between the barracks and where the hospital would later be located. The third cluster, consisting of two quarters, was situated between the second cluster and the later location of the hospital. The fourth cluster, comprised of the commanding officer’s quarters (HB-331) and three to four other quarters, was located across the parade ground from the north half of the barracks, and extended toward the northwest wall of Hospital Canyon. Adjacent to each of these buildings was a kitchen. All of these dwellings were built of pine slabs chinked with mud and implanted vertically into the ground, and were roofed with thatch as well as canvas. Inspection reports indicate that seven of the officers’ quarters measured 32 feet in length by 16 feet in width, with four others being 20 feet by 16 feet. The kitchens each measured 18 feet by 16 feet.\textsuperscript{36}

\textsuperscript{35} Ibid., pp. 54, 65-72; Note: for a list of official Historic Building (HB) designations for historic features at Fort Davis NHS, see “Part A” of the Documentation Package at the end of this work.

\textsuperscript{36} Greene, pp. 82-85.
Figure 6. Mansfield's map of first Fort Davis. 1856 (Source: Greene, p. 441.)
The mule-powered sawmill was located at the extreme west end of Hospital Canyon, being the structure located farthest to the rear of the barracks. It began operating soon after the fort’s establishment in October 1854, cutting logs brought by wagons into planks and slabs for building structures.\textsuperscript{37}

By the time of Mansfield’s inspection of the post in June 1856, several additional structures were present. It is not known, though, exactly when between the fort’s founding and that time that each of them was erected. In the western part of Hospital Canyon were a hospital, a wagon yard and corral, a stable, and laundresses’ quarters, although no references are made to a structure used as a laundry. The hospital (HB-317) originally consisted of a large tent and what Mansfield called a \textit{jacal}, or wooden hut. The laundresses’ quarters (HB-305 through HB-315) were spread somewhat isolated in the western part of the canyon, and tended to be situated toward its north side. They were essentially temporary huts built of pickets or pine slabs and had thatch roofs. The wagon yard and corral (HB-302) was a large fenced area situated near the western end of the canyon, just east of the sawmill. The stable also was located at the rear of the canyon, but just northeast of the sawmill. In later reports, it was described as a barn and measured approximately 50 feet by 14 feet.\textsuperscript{38}

In a cluster near the north wall of the canyon in the vicinity of the northernmost barrack were the quartermaster and commissary storehouses, a guardhouse, a bakery, a magazine,\textsuperscript{37, 38}

\textsuperscript{37} \textit{Ibid.}, p. 80.
\textsuperscript{38} \textit{Ibid.}, pp. 55, 78-79, 81, 86-87.
the sutler’s store, and a blacksmith shop. Mansfield indicated that the quartermaster and
commissary storehouses consisted of four structures (HB-354, HB-355, HB-356, and HB-
363), with each having a north-south alignment. Mansfield noted that the quartermaster
storehouses were made of stone covered with canvas. The guardhouse (HB-362) was a
jacal and tent. The original bakery also was a jacal with mud chinked pickets built on a
north-south alignment, and probably had a stone oven. The magazine (HB-364) was
made of adobe covered with canvas and was 20 feet by 18 feet. The blacksmith shop
(HB-359) was constructed of stone and had a thatch roof, with dimensions of 20 feet
square.39

Just south of this cluster, near the commanding officer’s quarters and the surrounding
cluster of officers’ quarters, was the adjutant’s office (HB-365). It had a north-south
orientation, was constructed of wooden pickets and thatch roof, and measured 28 feet by
16 feet.40

Later in 1856, work began to erect more sturdy barracks to provide increased protection
from the elements during the winter. Six new stone structures (HB-344 through HB-349)
were built in the same north-south linear arrangement as their predecessors, with each
barrack situated approximately ten yards to the east of one of the six initial barracks (see
site plan for 1854-1862 period). This configuration was meant to allow each of the
earlier wooden barracks to be converted into an easily accessible kitchen and mess room

39 ibid., p. 55, 73, 75-77, 86-87.
40 ibid., p. 78.
for the troops housed in the adjacent new barracks. Each of the stone structures had a thatch roof and was 60 feet in length and 20 feet in width. It is believed that the stone used to build these structures was locally quarried vitric tuff of ignimbrite, which was found to be easily workable.\footnote{Everett, pp. 8-9; Greene, pp. 65-72.}

By June 1857, a “rickety” picket building with a thatch roof that was 85 feet by 20 feet had replaced the temporary structures of the hospital. In addition, the former barracks had all been converted to kitchen and mess buildings. Thirteen married soldiers’ quarters were present as well, each made of pine slabs with thatch roofs and measuring 16 feet by 14 feet. Greene speculates that these dwellings likely were located in the western part of the canyon. The first bakery had been replaced by a stone structure (HB-358) with a thatch roof that measured 42 feet by 15 feet, with a second stone oven (HB-357) located outside.\footnote{Greene, pp. 57-59, 76-79, 84, 86.}

During the remainder of the first fort period, few additions were made in terms of the erection of new buildings. This situation stemmed largely from the fact that the number of troops at the post was reduced from six to two companies. Among the notable changes, a July 1859 post inspection indicates the presence of a new, two-story quartermaster and commissary storehouse (HB-352) located within the same cluster as the former storehouses. It was constructed with a shingle roof, was 100 feet by 20 feet, and had an east-west orientation. The northernmost barrack also had been converted to a
guardhouse and prison and the adjacent barrack, to the south, housed the regimental band.

In October 1860, Inspector General Mansfield returned to the fort for the last inspection prior to the Civil War. At this point in time, the hospital and kitchens behind the barracks were severely dilapidated, and Mansfield recommended that one of the stone barracks be taken down to construct a new hospital. The only other significant addition was the presence of a temporary saddlemaker's shop, although its location is unknown. 43

**Circulation**

**Roads and Trails**

The first fort was erected less than one-quarter mile to the west of the Lower Road between San Antonio and El Paso, which traveled just to the east of the mouth of Hospital Canyon. Obviously, the road's path was instrumental in determining the location of the post, since one of the fort's primary purposes was to protect traffic on it. The road was the primary means by which the troops from the fort circulated to carry out their military exercises in the fort's sphere of influence in the surrounding region. As in the previous period, it also continued to serve as a significant transportation route and means of communication to other parts of Texas and the rest of the nation. 44

Another road, which was characterized as being "very rough," also led from the post to pineries "about twenty or twenty-five miles distant." Although the road's exact route is

---

not mentioned, the pineries were apparently located up Limpia Canyon. The road was noted as being so treacherous that it caused great damage to both wagons and mules.\textsuperscript{45}

The first fort also had several roads and walkways that ran within the reservation, providing for circulation from the Lower Road to the clusters of structures in the canyon. Due to discrepancies between the 1856 and 1860 maps from Mansfield’s post inspections, which provide the primary remaining clues about the fort’s configuration, it is difficult to ascertain exactly what the arrangement was. Both maps show a road leading from the Lower Road at the southeast part of the post to the west, along the south wall of Hospital Canyon, and running past the sawmill at the canyon’s extreme rear. Both maps also show another road emanating from the Lower Road, but at the fort’s northeastern extreme. This route also runs west, but along the north wall of Hospital Canyon, running between the guardhouse and the northernmost of the barracks and then in front of the sutler’s store. At that point, the more detailed 1856 map shows that the road split in two, with the more northerly section running in front of the series of buildings located adjacent to the north wall of Hospital Canyon, including the commissary stores, bakery, and magazine (see Figure 6). The southerly section passed in front of the adjutant officer’s quarters and just to the north of the parade ground, and then in front of a series of officers’ quarters and then laundresses’ quarters. The two sections then met in the far western part of Hospital Canyon, and continued as one route that

\textsuperscript{45} “Statement made by 2nd Lieut. Thomas M. Jones, Regt. Quar. Mas. 8\textsuperscript{th} Infy. relative to the establishment, resources, means of communication & c. c. of Fort Davis Texas, June 4th, 1857.” National Archives, RG 92. Microfilm Roll 63-172 (2082), p. 61; Note: Greene’s study states on p. 57 that the pinery was 70 to 75
joined the road that followed the south wall of the canyon to the west of the sawmill.

Information was not found concerning the physical nature of these roads, such as their widths or surface materials.46

Land Use

Cemetery

Although the exact location of the first fort’s cemetery is not known, Greene states that it was located in Hospital Canyon “behind the new fort’s officers’ quarters and beyond the temporary hospital,” and indicates that it was not enclosed. At the time of the first fort, this location would have been behind the enlisted men’s barracks. An 1872 report by Acting Assistant Surgeon Daniel Weisel indicates that both soldiers and civilians were buried at this location and that it “contained about a dozen graves.” Records of the post surgeons preceding the Civil War, however, record twenty-one soldier deaths at Fort Davis from 1854 and 1861. The discrepancy between Weisel’s accounting of graves and the surgeon’s records of the number of deaths poses the questions of, first, whether Weisel’s figure of twelve graves was accurate, and secondly, whether burials took place at other unknown locations.47

miles distant, but, upon close analysis, the original document appears to read 20 to 25 miles; Greene, pp. 89-90, 93.
46 Map. “Fort Davis canon of the Limpias. 1856” (Copy at Fort Davis NHS Archives); Map, “Fort Davis. 29th Oct. 1860” (Copy at Fort Davis NHS Archives); Greene, pp. 89-90.
Garden

In his report concerning the status of the fort in June 1856, Inspector General J.K.F. Mansfield stated that Col. Seawell had established a large garden. Although Mansfield does not state its specific location, his accompanying map shows an arrow pointing to the southeast with accompanying text indicating that the garden and spring were one-quarter mile distant (see Figure 6). The arrow is placed so that it intersects the San Antonio-El Paso Road, near the mouth of Hospital Canyon. Based upon this evidence, it appears likely that the first fort garden was located near the spring on the southeastern part of the reservation, around the same location as what was referred to as the "Hospital Garden" of the second fort (see site plan for 1854-1862 period). In 1860, it is believed that the garden (or at least part of it) was located on the northern end of the 640 acres leased from John James that constituted the military reservation of Fort Davis. The size of the gardens during the first fort period is not known.48

Parade Ground

The parade ground of the first fort was located in Hospital Canyon, immediately to the west of the middle and northerly company barracks (see site plan for 1854-1862 period). It was used primarily for drilling troops and for more formal military parades. Specific details concerning its size and surface are not known.49

Stone Quarry

During the fall of 1856, work began under the direction of Capt. Arthur T. Lee on the construction of stone barracks at the mouth of Hospital Canyon. During an inspection of the post in June of 1857, Second Lt. Thomas M. Jones, Regimental Quartermaster of the Eighth Infantry, noted that the stone had been obtained “within a mile of the Post” where it was blasted from a quarry. No other details were given as to the quarry’s location. Everett notes that the type of building stone used in construction at both the first and second forts was vitric tuff of ignimbrite, and that two abandoned quarry sites exist at the northeast end of Dolores Mountain on the southeast edge of the town of Fort Davis.50

Trash Dumps

During the archaeological survey of Fort Davis National Historic Site conducted in the mid-1980s, eight trash dumps were located that are believed to be affiliated with the first fort (HB-301, HB-311, HB-312, HB-316, HB-324, HB-328, HB-329, and HB-330). All of those sites are located in Hospital Canyon. It is believed that the site (HB-301) furthest to the rear of the canyon was likely associated with the sawmill. HB-316 is believed to be associated with the hospital complex. It is likely that site HB-324 was connected with a surrounding cluster of four officer’s quarters and their accompanying kitchens and outhouses (which includes sites HB-321 to HB-326). Site HB-329 was apparently

49 Map, “Fort Davis canon of the Limpia. 1856” (Copy at Fort Davis NHS Archives).
related to the officer’s quarters to the west known as “Charlie’s House” (HB-333), while site HB-330 is believed to be associated with two officer’s quarters to the north (HB-360 and HB-361).\textsuperscript{51}

Wood Yard

According to Mansfield’s June 1856 map, a wood yard was located directly east of the first fort’s guardhouse and northernmost barracks, near the intersection of the San Antonio-El Paso Road and the road entering the post from the northeast (see Figure 6). The map depicts the wood yard being comprised of three parts adjacent to one another. No wood yard is shown on Mansfield’s 1860 inspection map.\textsuperscript{52}

Small-Scale Features

The first fort’s flagstaff (HB-350) appears to have been located on the parade ground of the time, to the west of barracks (and later kitchens and messrooms) HB-339 and HB-340. This location was directly south of the building cluster centered around the commissary storehouse.\textsuperscript{53}

\textsuperscript{51} Greene, pp. 399-402, and accompanying “Fort Davis National Historic Site Historical Base Map, First Fort, 1854-1862.”
\textsuperscript{52} Greene, pp. 88, 440-443.
\textsuperscript{53} Ibid., p. 403.
Spatial Organization and Cluster Arrangement

During the period of the first fort, the military complex was entirely situated within Hospital Canyon, where Bvt. Maj. Gen. Persifor F. Smith had located the post to protect it from northerly winter winds. Thus, the fort’s outlay was defined by the extents of the canyon floor, generally giving the complex an east-west spatial arrangement, which became wider toward the canyon’s mouth (see site plan for 1854-1862 period and Figure 6). The roads running through the post from the San Antonio-El Paso Road also generally ran east-west. The line of enlisted men’s barracks, and kitchens to their rear, stretching across the canyon’s mouth essentially represented the easternmost extent of the post’s buildings. The barracks of the first Fort Davis were aligned to true north so that their fronts faced the San Antonio-El Paso Road, which traversed around 1,000 feet to the east. Within these boundaries, the remaining structures of the post were essentially scattered throughout the canyon, but in several building clusters.

Space within the complex was generally divided into functional areas. To the far east toward the San Antonio-El Paso Road were the enlisted men’s quarters, officers’ quarters, and adjutant’s office, all centered around the parade ground. This arrangement reflected the focus of the troops and officers’ on the protection of the main road. The placement of the barracks at the eastern extreme also provided for their defense of the fort from Native Americans approaching from the plain to the east.
The cluster of service buildings just north of the parade ground was placed where it could readily serve the needs of the officers and troops. As previously mentioned, these structures included the commissary, quartermaster, bakery, blacksmith, sutler, and magazine.

To the west of the officers' quarters and further into the canyon were other service structures, including the hospital and laundresses' quarters. The buildings were likely placed within the shelter of the canyon so as to shield them from attack as well as from severe north winds.54

At the very rear of the canyon was situated a final grouping of service uses, including the corral, wagon yard, sawmill, and stable. It is probable that livestock were placed at the extreme rear of the canyon to protect them from Native American raiders and keep their odors away from the living quarters. During times of rain, a small waterfall and stream also run in this area today. Perhaps this provided a source of water for the livestock then. It is also possible that the sawmill was isolated there because of noise associated with it, and to provide water for its operation as well.

Isolated to the southeast of the post was the first garden. It was located at the site of a spring, which served as a regular source of water. The garden was later located to the northern edge of the reservation on Limpia Creek.
table lands, to miniature lakes, and lofty mountain peaks, that lost their
summits in the clouds.”

Although this account is relevant, it should not be considered literally for documenting site
vegetation.

In Nelson’s “The Historical Vegetative Aspect of Fort Davis National Historic Site,” he
identifies vegetative types present in Lee’s paintings and sketches, which were composed
at Fort Davis during the 1850s (see Figure 7). From these sources, Nelson notes the
presence of cottonwood trees along Limpia Creek, patches of brush and grass on slopes
and hills, shrubs and trees amid rocks and cliffs, and what he characterizes as a “very
definite oak savannah” in the Hospital Canyon area. Nelson adds that it is difficult to
ascertain the accuracy of these sources because Lee may have taken a good degree of
artistic license, but Nelson does state that the patterns mentioned tend to correspond with
information in historic photographs from the period of the second fort.

According to a recollection by Zenas R. Bliss, a second lieutenant at the post in 1855,
“the live oak trees which were permitted to stand furnished a delightful shade, and almost
concealed the officers’ houses from view.” This account implies that a significant
number of trees in Hospital Canyon were cut down within the first two years that the post
was established.

---

Infantry (New York: 1871, vol. II), pp. 36-367, and quoted in W. Stephen Thomas, Fort Davis and the
Texas Frontier: Paintings by Captain Arthur T. Lee, Eighth U.S. Infantry (College Station, Tex.: Texas A
57 Nelson, p. 65. Note: Lee’s paintings and sketches are published in Thomas (cited in preceding footnote).
With regard to cultivated species grown at the post garden, the available information is also sketchy. It is known that in the 1850s Bvt. Maj. Larkin Smith obtained a number of experimental seeds from Washington, D.C. Under his direction, Wooster states that the garden, then located near the spring, produced "hard-to-get items such as cabbages, celery, and sugarcane."59

*Views and Vistas*

At the mouth of Hospital Canyon, the barracks of the first fort were generally situated to view the San-Antonio-El Paso Road, which was located around 1,000 feet to the east, and the open plain and Arkansas Mesa beyond. From this location, one also could view the valley of Limpia Creek and the continuation of the overland trail toward San Antonio to the northeast. More distant views could be gained from the vantage point of the two ridges overlooking Hospital Canyon. From further within Hospital Canyon, aside from the dominating presence of the canyon walls to the north, south, and west, viewers would have seen the back of the barracks and the open plain to the east. Atop Sleeping Lion Mountain, one could view the continuation of the overland road in the direction of El Paso. To the southeast, the valley of Chihuahua Creek, Dolores Mountain, and Mitre Peak were visible in the distance. To the east and northeast it offered an even more distant view of what was described from the barracks location. To the north, one could see the fort and Hospital Canyon from above and the top of the North Ridge. From the
top of the North Ridge, one could see Limpia Creek from above and its valley to the
north. To the south, one could see the fort and Hospital Canyon as well as the top of
Sleeping Lion Mountain. The views to the east, northeast, and southeast were essentially
the same as those described from Sleeping Lion Mountain.

39 Wooster, p. 120.
CIVIL WAR AND SUBSEQUENT ABANDONMENT PERIOD (1861-1867)

Historical Overview

Soon after the departure of federal troops from Fort Davis in April 1861, Confederate troops occupied the post, consisting of one company of the Second Regiment of Texas Mounted Rifles. Like their predecessors, they intended to use the fort to defend the San Antonio-El Paso Road. Their numbers were soon reduced, though, as in July of the same year, all but 25 of the Confederate personnel there departed to counter Union forces in New Mexico and Arizona. Over the next year, troop strength at the post fluctuated between 25 and around 63. This relative reduction in forces compared to the federal strengths prior to the Civil War resulted in increased Indian raiding in the area, especially by the Mescalero Apaches. 60

In February 1862, Confederate forces defeated Union troops at Valverde, New Mexico, and captured both Albuquerque and Santa Fe. However, their loss at Glorieta Pass the next month signaled the end of rebel hopes to secure New Mexico. During these conflicts to the west, Fort Davis served as a Confederate medical receiving station. As rebel troops retreated from pursuing Union forces after their defeat in New Mexico, they stopped at Fort Davis in August 1862. Later that month, the Confederates abandoned the post, as well as other forts that they maintained in the area. 61

60 Greene, pp. 25-29.
61 Ibid., pp. 29-30.
Following on the heels of the departing Confederate forces was the Union First California Cavalry. Under the direction of Capt. Edmond D. Shirland, these forces reclaimed Fort Davis in late August of 1862 and hoisted the United States flag at the parade ground. Shirland’s party departed three days later. Soon thereafter, Apaches pillaged the post. For the next five years, the fort remained unoccupied, except for the presence of stagecoach drivers, and Apaches and Comanches reclaimed their dominance over the area.62

**Significant Landscape Characteristics of the Period**

**Buildings and Structures**

Three sources of information exist concerning the status of the fort’s buildings and structures during the period between the post’s abandonment by the U.S. Army in April 1861 and its reestablishment six years later. The first of these is a drawing made in June 1862 during the Confederate occupation of the post (see Figure 8). This sketch provides scant information, except showing the six stone barracks buildings (one of which had been converted to a guardhouse) standing and still roofed across the mouth of Hospital Canyon, as well as two structures in the area of the quartermaster storehouse.63

---

62 Ibid., pp. 30-32.
The second, and most complete, documentary source from the period is a report made by Capt. Shirland in 1862 during the brief reoccupation of the post. Based on this source, in August 1862 the five stone barracks and one stone guardhouse (a converted barrack) still stood at the mouth of Hospital Canyon, although the roofs, doors and windows of one of the barracks and the guardhouse had been burned. The two-story stone quartermaster storehouse also remained standing to the northwest of the barracks, although its roof, doors, and windows had also been entirely destroyed. Several small buildings also surrounded the quartermaster storehouse, presumably the same ones mentioned as being in a cluster in that area during the period of the first fort. Also present were the adjutant’s office and commanding officer’s quarters, with a kitchen and several small buildings around it. Shirland’s report mentions four other structures of indeterminate uses and locations, all made of wood except one stone and mud house. In addition, it notes the presence of eight outhouses. It should be added that Shirland’s inventory did not account for about half of the structures described in building reports between 1857 and 1859, including the hospital and the stone blacksmith shop and bakehouse. It is not known whether those unrecorded structures were no longer standing or they merely were overlooked. Information is not available either concerning vegetation, gardens, or the condition of roads during the period.\textsuperscript{64}

During the five years after Shirland’s visit to the post, it presumably lay abandoned. The only available evidence to determine the state of the buildings and structures during that

period is a sketch of the post made in October 1867, when the construction of the second fort began (see Figure 9). The identifiable first fort structures in that drawing included four of the six stone barracks, three of which were roofless with the fourth only having a partial roof. Also depicted are the stone quartermaster warehouse, also roofless, and the magazine. Visible as well are the blacksmith shop, also roofless, and ruins of the adjutant office, bakery, and sutler’s store. In addition, three freestanding chimneys appear. These may have been the remains of the commanding officer’s quarters and two other officers’ quarters.65

65 Drawing (Artist unknown), “Fort Davis, Texas, Octobre [sic] 1867” (copy at Fort Davis NHS archive and in Greene, pp. 456-457); Greene, pp. 76-78, 85.
PERIOD OF THE SECOND FORT DAVIS (1867-1891)

Historical Overview

After the conclusion of the Civil War in 1865, the Army reopened Fort Davis two years later to counter the sharp increase in Indian raiding along the San Antonio-El Paso Road. The post would continue to operate through the heated Indian wars that persisted in the West through the 1870s and 1880s. During this time, all four of the Army’s African-American units were stationed at Fort Davis (the 9th and 10th Calvary and the 24th and 25th Infantry). In general, the Army’s development of the site during the period was much more intensive than had occurred at the first Fort Davis. These activities impressed an even greater complexity of cultural features on the site’s landscape and transformed the natural environment of the area even further.

Lt. Col. Wesley Merritt, a Civil War hero, commanded the post at the time of its reestablishment. After finding little remaining of the first fort’s buildings, Merritt instituted a new configuration for the second fort that was typical of the one used for all Texas forts and a majority of the U.S. Army forts of the period. The second fort was situated on the flat plain just outside the mouth of Hospital Canyon and had a formal arrangement of buildings around a large parade ground, with a row of officers’ quarters along the long side to the west and a line of barracks on the opposite side (see site plan for 1867-1873 period). Although most of the buildings were made of adobe, some of the officers’ quarters were constructed of locally quarried stone.

---

66 Welsh, pp. 6-7.
67 Robinson, p. 154; Williams, review comments to author.
During the 1870s, the number of structures at the fort continued to grow as increased American commerce and settlement in the area caused an increase in conflicts with Native American tribes in the region. Aside from sending out parties to engage Indians, the troops at Fort Davis additionally were involved in defending the stage and mail stations and coaches, performing construction work at the post and on military roads, and stringing telegraph wire.68

During the second fort period, Fort Davis forces fought their most significant encounter in 1880 against the Warm Springs, Mescalero and Chiracahua Apaches and their chief Victorio. In 1877, the federal Indian Bureau had decided to move the Warm Springs Apaches to the San Carlos Reservation in Arizona. A few months after they were moved, their leader Victorio led approximately 300 of his people away from the reservation, which was hated by the band. After staying out of the grasp of the army for the next two years, they were finally forced to surrender near Fort Wingate, New Mexico. They were then temporarily returned to their beloved Ojo Caliente, before being sent back to San Carlos in 1879. Victorio and about 80 warriors, with women and children, again refused to suffer the ill conditions in Arizona and fled to the mountains. In June of 1879, Victorio and his cohorts arrived at the Mescalero Reservation and asked that they be permitted to stay there. While awaiting approval of this request, they were now permitted to receive rations. Becoming disillusioned, Victorio, along with some Mescalero and Chiracahuas as well as the Warm Springs band, fled the reservation.

68 Welsh, pp. 6-7.
On July 30 of that year, U.S. troops under the leadership of Col. Benjamin Grierson, later the commander at Fort Davis, engaged Victorio’s band at the Battle of Tinaja de las Palmas near the Río Grande to the southwest of El Paso. After another skirmish between the two sides at Rattlesnake Springs, Victorio retreated into Mexico, where he was killed by the Mexican army.⁶⁹

Within four months of Victorio’s death, Fort Davis troops were involved in two other significant confrontations. One involved Apaches and troops of the Tenth U.S. Cavalry in October 1880 at Ojo Caliente, Texas. The other occurred in January of 1881 in the Diablo Mountains, and involved Apaches and Texas Rangers. Afterward, the Trans-Pecos experienced no further significant confrontations with the Apaches.⁷⁰

Under Grierson, who served as post commander from November of 1882 until April 1, 1885, the number of personnel grew. In the spring of 1884 it hit its peak with over 680 officers and enlisted men being assigned to the post. This number is somewhat misleading, however, as of this number only approximately two-thirds were actually in garrison. The remaining one-third of the troops was away from the post on detached service at the Pinery and at other locations. The maximum number of men at any one time to actually be in garrison at Fort Davis was 517. This occurred in January of 1885.

⁶⁹ Welsh, pp. 7-8; Williams, review comments to author.
⁷⁰ Welsh, pp. 7-8; Williams, review comments to author.
The extent of the fort’s facilities expanded significantly as well, including a piped water system supplied from a well near Limpia Creek.\textsuperscript{71}

But as conflict with Native Americans tapered off, the need of the post for defensive purposes also lessened. In its last years, the War Department cut funding for the fort, and the number of troops assigned there also fell. Other factors that worked against its continued operation included the fact that it was 20 miles distant from the nearest railroad at Marfa, the uncertainty of the post water supply from the Limpia Creek, both in terms of its quantity and quality, and that it was located on property that the Army did not own. As part of a large-scale realignment of defenses in the West, Fort Davis was deactivated in 1891, with the last troops there departing in June of that year.\textsuperscript{72}

**Significant Landscape Characteristics of the Period**

**Buildings and Structures**

**Bakery**

After initially using the bake house left from the first fort, a post bakery (HB-33) was constructed in 1871 near the spring in the southeast part of the post and just south of the old commissary storehouse (HB-32). It was made of adobe and measured 40 feet by 16 feet. In 1876, a new bakery (HB-31) located about 40 yards northeast of the guardhouse.

\textsuperscript{71} Welsh, pp. 9-10; Williams, review comments to author.  
\textsuperscript{72} Welsh, pp. 9-10.
(HB-30) replaced this structure. It also was made of adobe, had a tin roof, and measured 46 feet by 30 feet.\textsuperscript{73}

Chapel and School

In 1870, the post chapel and school were situated in an adobe building (HB-206) located about 240 yards north of barrack HB-23, which measured 50 feet by 25 feet. By sometime in either 1878 or 1879, a new post chapel and school (HB-28) was completed directly north of the parade ground. It was a one-story adobe building with a tin roof and measured 30 feet by 65 feet. By 1890, the school was relocated to one of the empty barracks.\textsuperscript{74}

Commissary Storehouse and Office and Commissary Sergeant’s Quarters

The first commissary storehouse and office (HB-32) of the second fort, which maintained the fort’s foodstuffs, was finished in 1869 and was located south of the cavalry corrals (HB-41) and about 175 feet east of the parade ground’s southeast corner. It was a one-story adobe structure with a shingled roof and measured 28½ feet by 104 feet. Sometime before 1873, a building was erected to the south of the old quartermaster storehouse (HB-34) and just east of the quartermaster sergeant’s quarters (HB-35) that served as the commissary sergeant’s quarters (HB-36). It was a one-story adobe structure that measured 17 feet by 30 feet and housed the commissary sergeant until the late 1880s. By

\textsuperscript{73} Greene, pp. 176-180.
\textsuperscript{74} Ibid., pp. 155-158, 160-161.
the late 1870s, growth in commissary operations caused the commissary office to be moved to the old bakery (HB-33). A new commissary (HB-37) had been built by 1885 just east of the new bakery (HB-31) and next to the west side of the El Paso Road. It also was a tin roofed, adobe structure that by 1889 measured 33 feet by 105 feet with a 60-feet extension.\textsuperscript{75}

**Corrals, Stables, and Forage House**

The quartermaster corral (HB-40) was completed in 1869 just to the east of where barracks HB-22 and HB-23 would be located. It measured 330 feet by 345 feet and had a perimeter wall primarily made of adobe, but underwent many changes up until 1890. At that time, it had adobe shops with tin roofs along the north and west sides. In addition, it had wooden stalls along the west side, along the west half of the south wall, and in a line extending into the corral from the east side, approximately 60 feet south of the north side.\textsuperscript{76}

The primary structure of the cavalry corral (HB-41) was erected in 1870, with additions and modifications made to it through 1886. It was located just south of the quartermaster corral. In 1890, its overall measurements were 496 feet by 334 feet (see Figure 10). An adobe wall formed the perimeter of the corral. It also had seven rows of stalls lined

\textsuperscript{75} Greene, pp. 181-186, 195.

\textsuperscript{76} National Park Service, “National Register of Historic Places Inventory – Nomination Form for Fort Davis, Texas,” 1978; Greene, pp. 200-206.
north-to-south, as well as adobe shops with tin or shingle roofs situated along the inside of most of the north wall and about one-third of the south wall.\textsuperscript{77}

By 1886, a forage house (HB-39) used to store feed for cavalry and quartermaster animals had been built parallel to and north of the new quartermaster storehouse (HB-38). It was 28 feet by 180 feet in area, was made of adobe, and had a shingle roof.\textsuperscript{78}

**Enlisted Men’s Barracks**

The enlisted men’s barracks of the second fort were oriented north-south in a line along the east side of the parade ground (see site plans for second fort period and Figure 10). Construction of the first four barracks apparently began after work was initiated on the first officers’ quarters in October 1867. Each barrack was to be one story, made of adobe plastered inside and out, and measuring 186 feet by 27 feet, with a rear extension of 86 feet by 27 feet. The structures were laid out 30 feet apart from one another, with the space between the two middle barracks being directly across the parade ground from the commanding officer’s quarters (HB-7).

\textsuperscript{77} National Park Service. “National Register of Historic Places Inventory – Nomination Form for Fort Davis, Texas,” 1978: Greene, pp. 207-212.  
\textsuperscript{78} Greene, pp. 197-199.
By September 1869, two of these structures (HB-20 and HB-21) were complete, having shingle roofs, and the two others (HB-22 and HB-23) only needed their roofs finished. Due to funding difficulties, the latter two structures were not completed until late 1875 or early 1876. When completed, these two northern barracks had tin roofs. Adobe sinks measuring 12 feet by 18 feet were located 200 feet east of each of these four barracks.  

By August 1882, two more barracks had been completed. HB-25, an infantry barrack, was located just to the northeast of the parade ground, and was a one-story structure made of adobe, and measured 328½ feet by 23½ feet, with two wings, 23 feet by 59 feet each. A band barrack (HB-24) was situated even further to the northeast. It was also an adobe, one-story building having a tin roof, with its main length 100 feet by 28 feet and a wing 23 feet by 49 feet. Between 1883 and 1885, the fort's final two barracks were constructed at right angles to each end of the barracks along the parade ground. HB-26 was situated just to the east of HB-23 and HB-27 to the east of HB-20. Both of these buildings, which initially housed cavalry troops, measured 28 feet by 188 feet and had one wing of 87 feet by 23 feet.  

**Guardhouse**

At the time of the reestablishment of Fort Davis, its guardhouse occupied the former guardhouse, as well as barrack, of the first fort (HB-349). By 1869, a new stone guardhouse (HB-299) measuring 54 feet by 22 feet was completed. It faced the south  

---

79 Greene, pp. 141-144.  
80 ibid., pp. 146-148.
side of the parade ground near it southeast corner, and was just north of the post trader’s complex. Finally, to relieve overcrowding, a new guardhouse (HB-30) was completed in 1882 north of barrack HB-23, adjacent to the northeast corner of the parade field. It was constructed of adobe, had one story, and measured 23 feet by 100 feet.\(^8\)

**Hospital Complex**

Initially, the hospital of the second fort was intended to be located about 130 yards north of the parade ground, just northwest of the adjutant’s office (see site plan for 1867-1873 period). Construction began on this building (HB-207) around 1869, although work on it never progressed beyond the point of laying stone foundations and partial walls.\(^9\)

In the meantime, a temporary hospital (HB-74) was constructed in Hospital Canyon about 170 yards behind officers’ row. It was a one-story, adobe structure, 50 feet by 19 feet, with an L-shaped addition of 14 feet by 26 feet. Both an office (14 feet by 28 feet) and a storeroom (12 feet by 16 feet) were constructed several yards to the south as well.\(^10\)

By 1875 (or by the mid-1870s), the temporary hospital had collapsed and material from the originally planned hospital north of the parade was being used to construct the foundations of a new hospital about 220 yards west of the front of officers’ row (see site plans for 1874-1891 period). The main part of this one-story, adobe structure measured 63 feet by 46 feet. In addition, a wing to the north was 41\(\frac{1}{2}\) feet by 27\(\frac{1}{2}\) feet while a

---

\(^8\) Greene, pp. 168-173.

\(^9\) ibid., pp. 222-223.
south wing was 19 feet by 17 feet. By the early 1880s, several outbuildings had been built around the hospital, including a storehouse (HB-50) and wood house (HB-51) to its west and a laundry (HB-47) and privy (HB-52) to its north. In 1883, a new ward (27 feet by 55 feet) was added to the south end of the hospital, and in 1887 a two-story, adobe hospital steward’s quarters (HB-48) measuring approximately 18½ feet by 23½ feet was raised directly south of the hospital, with a privy (HB-152) to its west.84

Laundry and Laundresses’ Quarters

Post floorplans dating from 1890 show what was known as the “Chinese laundry” that measured around 15 feet by 31 feet. It is not known exactly why the laundry was given that name. Although its exact location is unknown, Greene notes that residents of the town of Fort Davis “recalled that the laundry stood near the present street running from the southeast park boundary to the modern Anderson School.”85 With regard to laundresses’ housing, records from 1871 show that they lived in tents behind the barracks. Later, they lived in various small adobe buildings on the post. Around 1882, they lived in the structure that had been the Sergeant Major’s and Principal Musician’s Quarters (HB-54).86

81 Ibid., pp. 223, 226.
84 Ibid., pp. 229-232, 234, 238-239; Williams, review comments to author.
85 Greene, p. 252.
86 Ibid., p. 245.
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Lime Kiln

A lime kiln (HB-140) existed at the southeast corner of the post, to the southeast of the spring. Little is known about its construction, except that it was made of red brick.87

Magazine

The magazine (HB-49) for storing ammunition was completed in 1869 and was located approximately 112 yards west of where the post hospital (HB-48) was eventually erected. It was built of stone, had a shingle roof, and was 13 feet square. According to Greene, in 1873 there was a second adobe magazine measuring 16 feet square that “stood some distance north of the plane running between the hospital and the commanding officer’s stables.” However, this structure was used only temporarily.88

Married Men’s Quarters

Two small, adobe quarters for married enlisted men and their wives and families (HB-214 and HB-215) were built sometime prior to 1883, and were situated east of the new quartermaster storehouse (HB-38) and forage house (HB-39). Each quarter had dimensions of around 12 to 15 feet square. By 1889, there were five to six more adobe quarters (HB-200 through HB-205 and HB-298) interspersed along the water line at the base of the North Ridge, north of the garrison.89

87 National Park Service, “National Register of Historic Places Inventory – Nomination Form for Fort Davis, Texas,” 1978
88 Greene, p. 240; Williams, review comments to author.
89 Greene, pp. 247-248.
Officers' Quarters

Officers' row consisted of thirteen officers' quarters built in a north-south orientation linearly across the mouth of Hospital Canyon (see Figures 11 and 12). These structures were situated along the west side of the parade ground and were spaced approximately 24 feet apart from one another (see site plans for second fort period). Their fronts faced to the east where the company barracks lined the parade ground's east side.\textsuperscript{90}

Construction of the row began first on HB-5, HB-6, HB-7, and HB-8 at the time of the reestablishment of the post in October 1867. Each of these buildings was made of stone and had wooden porches. The commanding officers' quarters was 48 feet by 21 feet with a wing 41 feet by 18 feet. Each of the other three structures was 48 feet by 21 feet, with the exception that HB-5 had a later wing addition of 21\% feet by 18 feet. Adobe kitchens were erected behind all four of those structures, with privies further to the rear.\textsuperscript{91}

By 1871, six more officers' quarters had been completed along officers' row (HB-4, HB-9, HB-10, HB-11, HB-12, HB-13). HB-4 was constructed south of HB-5, while the other structures were built north of HB-8. Each of these structures was made of adobe and had wooden porches. They all measured 21 feet by 48 feet with HB-9 and HB-12 having wings of 18 feet by 21\% feet. The latter two structures were built as captain's quarters, while HB-4, HB-10, HB-11, and HB-13 were constructed as lieutenant's quarters. Each of these quarters had an adobe kitchen behind it. In addition, an adobe commanding

\textsuperscript{90} Ibid., p. 116.
\textsuperscript{91} Ibid., pp. 113, 120, 132
officers’ stable (HB-45) was built by 1871 about 115 yards west of the commanding
officer’s house (HB-7), to the west of the officers’ kitchens and privies.\textsuperscript{92}

The following year, one more structure (HB-4) was added at the south end of the row. It
was also constructed of adobe and measured 21 feet by 48 feet. At that time, two of the
stone barracks from the first fort remained to be used as officers’ kitchens, one (HB-346)
behind HB-4 and the second to its south (HB-345). Both of these structures were gone by
1873. Later, an adobe kitchen was built to the rear of HB-4.\textsuperscript{93}

By the summer of 1882, officers’ row was completed through the addition of three more
structures (HB-1, HB-2, and HB-3) to the south of HB-4. These buildings were all of
stone construction, and also measured 21 feet by 48 feet, with the exception that HB-2
had a wing addition of 18 feet by 21\frac{1}{2} feet. They all had wooden porches and adobe
kitchens to their rears.\textsuperscript{94}

After officers’ row’s buildings stretched across the entire mouth of the canyon, the
continuing need for officers’ housing necessitated their placement elsewhere. This
situation resulted in another line of officers’ quarters to the northeast of HB-13 along the
base of the North Ridge. This grouping was more loosely assembled and spread farther
apart than officers’ row. In 1882, the first structure of this group (HB-16) was completed.
It was a two-story, adobe building measuring 52 feet by 40 feet, with a rear wing

\textsuperscript{92} \textit{Ibid.}, pp. 115, 120, 220-221.
\textsuperscript{93} \textit{Ibid.}, pp. 115-116, 403.
measuring 31 feet by 27 feet. A privy was located at its west end and three wooden shed-type buildings were situated to its rear.\textsuperscript{95}

The positioning of the four other officers' quarters that were built in this line was likely related to the April 1883 plan for the construction of a water line to the post from the area of Limpia Creek. By 1885, three of these quarters were completed along the path of the water line around the base of the North Ridge on its way to officers' row. HB-17, situated to the northeast of HB-16, was made of vertical pine slabs, measured 12 feet by 18 to 20 feet, and had a privy to its north. It may have served as a servants' quarters for HB-18 after the servants' quarters attached to HB-18 was converted into a bathroom in the late 1880s. It also may have been used as a residence for a married soldier from 1889-1891. HB-14, built just northeast of officers' row, was a two-story adobe structure measuring 19 feet by 40 feet, with a one-story wing addition on its west side of 15½ feet by 13 feet. Between HB-14 and HB-16 was HB-15, also a two-story, adobe building measuring 36 feet by 40 feet and having a privy at its rear. Finally in 1886, the fort's last officers' quarter was completed. HB-18 was located just to the east of HB-17, and had two stories, was made of adobe, and measured 20 feet by 45 feet. It also had a kitchen and another outbuilding to its rear.\textsuperscript{96}

\textsuperscript{94} Ibid., p. 120.
\textsuperscript{95} Ibid., p. 123.
\textsuperscript{96} “Plan of the Reservation and Post of Fort Davis, Texas,” 1883, National Archives (Copy at Fort Davis NHS); Greene, pp. 123-129, 257, 259; Williams, review comments to author.
Oil House

The oil house (HB-61), which stored flammable materials such as kerosene and lard oil, was located to the east of the new quartermaster storehouse (HB-38). It was a one-story, adobe structure measuring about 12 feet by 13 feet. The date of its construction is unknown.97

Ordnance Sergeant's Quarters and Signal Office

The ordnance sergeant's quarters and signal office (HB-19) was located northeast of the parade ground, between barrack HB-24 and officers' quarter HB-18. This structure may have previously been the post chapel prior to 1879, and for a short time thereafter housed the chief musician. It was a one-story, adobe building with a tin roof that measured 28 feet by 53 feet, with a wing addition being added some time before 1885.98

Post Headquarters

The post headquarters (HB-29), which housed offices of the commanding officer, the adjutant, and the sergeant major, was located directly north-northeast of the parade ground and just east of the chapel (HB-28). It was first built in 1871, and then rebuilt in 1875 after a fire. It was a one-story, adobe structure measuring 19 feet by 45 feet.99

97 Greene, p. 248.
98 Ibid., pp. 137-139.
99 Ibid., pp. 165-167.
Figure 11. Photograph. Second Fort Davis officers' row from north. 1888 (Source: Fort Davis NHS archives, HG-19)
Post Trader’s Complex

During the early part of the second fort, several traders operated private stores in the vicinity of the reservation. By 1872, Daniel Murphy became the sole trader, and his store was established as the post store. This facility served throughout the rest of the fort’s operation as the core of the post trader’s complex (see Figure 10). This complex consisted of a cluster of buildings that were located directly south of the parade ground and were roughly aligned northwest to southeast. The northernmost building was the post trader’s residence (HB-43), the center structure was the post store (HB-42), and the telegraph office (HB-45) was the southernmost. The store was established first, sometime before 1870, and was made of adobe. By 1873, the store had two more outbuildings (HB-293 and HB-295) and an adobe wall around it. Around 1880, the telegraph office and sutler’s residence had been added, and they were also made of adobe. Around that time, HB-295 was torn down and privies were built for both the store (HB-294) and the residence (HB-292), with the latter also receiving a shed (HB-291). In addition, the adobe wall was extended around the entire complex.\textsuperscript{100}

Pump House

The second fort’s water supply system had a pump house located at a water well near Limpia Creek, approximately 800 yards north of the parade ground. This masonry structure housed the steam pump that forced water from the well to the post complex. It was completed in 1884 and measured approximately 44 feet by 21 feet.\textsuperscript{101}

\textsuperscript{100} Ibid., pp. 213-219.
\textsuperscript{101} Ibid., p. 246; Williams, review comments to author.
Quartermaster Storehouse and Quartermaster Sergeant’s Quarters

The second fort’s initial quartermaster storehouse (HB-34), which housed soldiers’ clothing and equipment, was built sometime before 1871 south of the first commissary storehouse (HB-32) and adjacent to the east side of the San-Antonio-El Paso Road. It was a one-story adobe building with a tin roof and, after several alterations, measured 90 feet by 137 feet. An adobe quartermaster sergeant’s quarters (HB-35) also was built sometime before 1873 to the south of the first quartermaster storehouse and just west of the commissary sergeant’s quarters (HB-36). After some modifications, its dimensions were 13 feet by 39 feet. By 1883, a new quartermaster storehouse (HB-38) had been built north of the quartermaster corrals (HB-40) and east of the San-Antonio-El Paso Road. It also was a one-story, adobe structure and measured 33 feet by 180 feet.\textsuperscript{102}

Sawmill

The second fort’s sawmill (HB-62) was completed sometime around 1886, and was probably located just east of the forage house (HB-39). It was a wooden frame structure built in the form of a shed and measured 21 feet by 32 feet.\textsuperscript{103}

\textsuperscript{102} Ibid., pp. 187-193.
\textsuperscript{103} Ibid., p. 247.
Circulation

Railroads

The transcontinental Southern Pacific Railroad ran south of Fort Davis in connecting San Antonio and El Paso. In January 1882, the section of the railroad was completed from the west through the town of Marfa, about twenty miles south of Fort Davis, where it intersected the road leading from the fort to Presidio del Norte. The Southern Pacific line did not reach Marfa from the east until January of 1883. A second line, the Texas and Pacific Railroad, passed north of the post, and connected to the Southern Pacific to the west at Sierra Blanca, Texas. It also was completed in 1882. Although both lines helped to connect the post to the region and the nation, and resultanty aided in communication and supply distribution, the fact that Fort Davis was not located directly on a railroad line aided in the post’s eventual closure.104

Roads and Paths

The second fort was located immediately adjacent to the San Antonio-El Paso Road. Not only was the road’s position instrumental in determining the location of the second fort, but it was the primary means by which the troops from the fort circulated to carry out their military exercises in the fort’s sphere of influence in the surrounding region. As mentioned previously, it also connected the post to El Paso to the west and San Antonio to the east, and from those points to other parts of Texas and the nation at large.105

104 "Military Map of Western Texas, 1884." National Archives. Cartographic Archives Division (copy in Greene, pp. 438-439); Wooster, pp. 302; 375-378; Williams, review comments to author.
Furthermore, the road's north-south orientation where it passed in front (to the east) of the mouth of Hospital Canyon appears to have been highly significant in determining the layout of the core of the second fort. This is evidenced by the north-south orientation of the long axis of the parade ground as well as the north-south oriented lines of officers' quarters and barracks along the parade ground's sides. Many other structures to the rear of officers' row and this line of barracks also conformed to this alignment. Once the second fort's buildings were fully laid out, the road bisected the post, traveling behind the barracks (HB-20 and HB-21), passing the west side of the cavalry and quartermaster corrals (HB-40 and HB-41), and running in front of the old quartermaster storehouse (HB-34).

By the late 1870s, several secondary roads connected Fort Davis to its local and regional surroundings. Most of these routes traveled to springs and other sources of water. One went northwest from the post's north side across Limpia Creek to Antelope Springs, about thirty miles away. These springs, which have been known as Oak Springs for over one hundred years, are located approximately 10 miles southeast of present-day Kent, Texas. At least four other roads split off from the San Antonio-El Paso Road near Fort Davis, heading to other springs to the south and east. Around one-quarter mile south of the post, a primary route forked off from the San Antonio-El Paso Road to the southeast toward Landrum's Ranch and continued to the southwest, paralleling the Chihuahua Trail to the Rio Grande. Later, a route was established that traveled more directly to the south, through what became the town of Marfa, and then to Presidio del Norte. This road was

105 Greene, p. 306.
significant to the post in providing supplies. Another road went west from Fort Davis into the mountains to pineries. In 1880, another route was begun that left the fort from the southeast to travel east to Peña Colorado, a subpost of Fort Davis, and continued on to San Antonio. This route diminished the distance between the fort and San Antonio to 390 miles.\textsuperscript{106}

Several roads and paths also crossed within the Fort Davis reservation to connect its various parts (see site plans for second fort period). Perhaps the most complete representation of the second fort's interior road network is represented by Pvt. Harrie F. Jordan's 1889 plan of the post. Company roads existed in front of officers' row along the west side of the parade ground and in front of the enlisted men's barracks to the parade's ground's east side (see Figures 10 and 11). Another pathway ran east-west across the middle of the parade ground, connecting the commanding officer's quarters (HB-7) to the barracks. During the period that the flagstaff was located at the center of the parade, this path formed a circle around it.\textsuperscript{107}

Greene additionally identifies five other internal roads based on Jordan's plan. The first of these, termed by Greene as "Road No. 1," forked off to the west from the San Antonio-El Paso Road at the western end of the granary (HB-39) and ran in front of the commissary storehouse (HB-37) to pass by the guard house (HB-30). It then skirted the

\textsuperscript{106} Military Map of Western Texas," Compiled by Captain W. R. Livermore, 1878. National Archives, RG 393. Cartographic Archives Division. Department of Texas 4 (copy in Greene, pp. 434-435); "Military Map of Western Texas, 1884." National Archives, Cartographic Archives Division (copy in Greene, pp. 438-439); Greene pp. 306-308; Williams, review comments to author.
north end of the parade ground, and, upon reaching its northwest corner, split. One part went on to join a road running to the southwest toward the hospital (HB-46). This road divided about 200 feet northeast of the hospital, with one part going west and then south behind that structure and the other part passing in front of the hospital. The other route, upon leaving the northwest corner of the parade ground, headed south along the western border of the parade ground and then ran southeast past the post trader’s complex (HB-42-44) to exit the post before rejoining the San Antonio-El Paso Road.108

The second road, which Greene calls “Road No. 2,” ran north and east from the northwest corner of the parade ground, running in front of officers’ quarters (HB-14 through 16) and between HB-16 and HB-18, and then cutting east and south beyond the signal office (HB-19). It then joined with another route from the north at the rear of barrack HB-25. From that point, it ran south between the new commissary storehouse (HB-37) and bakery (HB-31), continuing on to meet with “Road No. 1.”109

A third road, which Greene designates as “Road No. 3,” split off from “Road No. 2” just north of barrack HB-25 to go directly west. It passed in front of HB-18 and then traveled to the base of the North Ridge, where it ran south along the ridge. Finally, it began to

109 Greene, pp. 308-309.
turn west close to the mouth of Hospital Canyon, passing across the north drainage ditch to meet "Road No. 1" at the rear of the north end of officers' row.\textsuperscript{110}

Greene's "Road No. 4" split off from the Lower Road between the new quartermaster storehouse (HB-38) and the quartermaster corral (HB-40). From there, it went directly east, running to the south of the married soldiers' quarters. It then divided around the northeast corner of the corral, with one part leading to the south to run along the east side of the quartermaster and cavalry corrals (HB-40 and HB-41) and then by the spring at the post's southeast corner. It then continued southeastwardly out of the reservation. Another short road connected the Lower Road to "Road No. 4" by running between the corrals. Another short connecting road emanated from the Lower Road near the southwest corner of the cavalry corral, running southeast over the south drainage ditch and between the old quartermaster storehouse (HB-34) and the old bakery to join "Road No. 4" and then leave the fort complex.\textsuperscript{111}

The road that Greene refers to as "Road No. 5" split off from the hospital road at the rear of officers' quarters HB-12 to run due south behind officers' row. It then angled east around HB-1 to meet with "Road No. 1" around the southwest corner of the parade ground.\textsuperscript{112}

\textsuperscript{110} Ibid., p. 309.
\textsuperscript{111} Ibid., p. 309.
\textsuperscript{112} Ibid., p. 309.
In 1871, the fort’s internal road system was less complex. At that time, it simply consisted of somewhat modified versions of “Road No. 1” and “Road No. 5.” In addition, a short connector road ran from “Road No. 1” northeast of the post to “Road No. 4” at the corner of the quartermaster corral (HB-40).113

Constructed Water Features

Cisterns

The first mentioning of a cistern being constructed at Fort Davis was in 1867, when Col. Merritt caused one to be built near the hospital to collect non-alkaline rainwater. This action was taken in response to concerns at the time that the water supply in part had caused outbreaks of dissentery. A second cistern was built behind the commanding officers’ quarters (HB-7).114

Dams

Due to low water levels in Limpia Creek in 1886, a dam was constructed there in that year for the purpose of holding water for horses. The exact location of this structure is unknown.115

---

Piped Water Supply System

In addition to the spring located in the southeast part of the post, Limpia Creek was the primary source of water throughout the history of Fort Davis. A visit to the post in March 1883 by General Philip Sheridan precipitated the creation of a system for bringing water to the fort from the Limpia by pipe. The following month, a survey was conducted for the system and its construction was completed by April 1884 (see site plan for 1874-1891 period). Water for the system was obtained from a well located about 130 yards south of Limpia Creek. A steam-powered pump was located next to the well and forced water uphill in an iron pipe up to a 32,000-gallon cypress tank (HB-220) located up the slope of the North Ridge 130 yards further south of the well. The tank was approximately 25 feet in diameter and 8 feet high and rested on beams placed into sockets into the rock of the hillside. Water then flowed downhill from the tank by gravity toward the fort in iron pipes. The pipe ran across the top of a support embankment faced with stones (HB-91) across an arroyo located west of the Post Cemetery of the second fort (HB-92). The primary pipe went southwest into the post where two-inch distributing pipes sent water to hydrants at officers' row, the barracks, the hospital, the storehouses, and the corrals. In 1886, a second water tank (HB-221) was placed next to the first tank and was situated on two stone and Portland cement footings, one of which was constructed against the slope of the hill and the other built against a large boulder to the east. The tank was of the same approximate size as the first water tank and sat on a wooden platform atop the footings, which had three piers built between them to support the tank's center. By 1889,

115 Greene, p. 260.
the guardhouse (HB-30) to a pipe going south and east between the cavalry corrals (HB-41) and the old quartermaster storehouse (HB-32), where it presumably ended. Remains of such a drain at HB-26 consisted of flat, stone slabs that were square in cross section. A separate, smaller sewer pipe took wastewater from barracks HB-25 a short distance east where it probably filtered into the ground.118

Wells

Records indicate that by 1872, several wells had been dug “at the barracks” to contribute to the post’s water supply. Greene speculates that they were likely located behind those structures. At least one additional well was located behind officers’ row, and by the early 1880s another was located about 130 yards south of Limpia Creek to supply the post’s piped water system. A plan of the post from 1883 also indicates that a well had been dug at the rear of Hospital Canyon to a depth of 35 feet “without getting water.”119

Land Use

Cemeteries

Records indicate the presence of five cemeteries in connection with both the first and second forts. At the beginning of the second fort period, the post continued to use a

118 “Plan of the Reservation and Post of Fort Davis, Texas,” by Pvt. Harrie F. Jordan, 1889, National Archives (Copy at Fort Davis NHS); Greene, pp. 279, 399.
119 “Plan of the Reservation and Post of Fort Davis, Texas,” April, 1883. National Archives, RG 77. Cartographic Archives Division (copy in Greene, pp. 474-475); Greene, pp. 255, 258.
cemetery created during the period of the first fort. It was located up Hospital Canyon, behind the second fort's officer's quarters and past the temporary hospital. Records state that it contained both military and civilian graves.\textsuperscript{120}

The second cemetery of the period was established off the military reservation approximately one-half mile southwest of the fort, near the present Fort Davis Presbyterian Church. This cemetery was used by the post between July 1867 and October 1870, and contained the graves of twenty-eight enlisted men.\textsuperscript{121}

Another cemetery was established on the post in 1871 “about 150 yards over North of the Flagstaff” beyond the stone foundation of the second fort’s proposed, but never completed, hospital. The original intent behind this cemetery’s creation was to consolidate the remains of the fort’s military dead that were buried at the previously mentioned cemeteries. According to Greene, it ultimately contained twenty-eight graves within two rows in an east-west orientation, was unenclosed, had no trees or shrubs planted at it, and had no pathways.\textsuperscript{122}

By 1873, a “new cemetery” was established about one-quarter mile northeast of the flagstaff at what was described as “a beautiful site at the base of the mountain.” In the same year, the burial ground 150 yards north of the flagstaff was being referred to as the

\textsuperscript{120} Mary L. Williams, “Care of the Dead (and lack of it) at 19\textsuperscript{th} Century Posts,” \textit{Periodical}, Vol. XIII, No. 1, May 1984, pp. 17-18.

\textsuperscript{121} Williams: This source does not indicate the year in which bodies were moved from the off-post cemetery to the site one hundred fifty yards north of the flagstaff; Greene, p. 291.
“Old Grave Yard Northwest of the Post.” Reports between 1873 and 1879 indicate that it was not enclosed and that it was devoid of walkways, trees, or any other types of shrubs or plants.\textsuperscript{123}

Another cemetery, located in Hospital Canyon about 500 yards west of the flagstaff, received the bodies of seven dead Fort Davis personnel between February 1876 and September 1878. This location became known as “west cañon” cemetery.\textsuperscript{124}

In 1879, department headquarters in San Antonio ordered that the site one-quarter mile northeast of the flagstaff be designated as the official “Post Cemetery.” An 1883 plan of the fort locates it about 260 yards north of the parade ground. From that time until the fort was abandoned in 1891, it was the only burial ground used at the fort and received the bodies of enlisted men, their families, and civilians employed at the fort. Despite the designation of this site as the official post cemetery, an 1881 inspection report states that “(g)ravestones without enclosures are scattered in various parts of the reservation.” The official cemetery also appears to have been poorly maintained. For instance, a report from 1883 states that “the cemetery is not enclosed by any fence, and the graves are thereby exposed to loose stock wandering about the post.” Not all graves were marked, and those that were had wooden markers that eventually rotted. Not until after the departure of the Tenth Calvary in the spring of 1885, which reflected the end of the fort’s expansion, did cemetery care-taking receive much of a priority. During the late 1880s.

\textsuperscript{122} Greene, pp. 291-292.
\textsuperscript{123} Williams; Greene, pp. 292-293.
permanent stone markers were placed at graves, and an 1887 inspection report states that “the post cemetery is surrounded by a wire fence, and is in fair condition.” However, a report just two years later indicates that it was “in bad condition generally,” as “the fence was broken down,” and no trees, shrubs, or walkways were present. Finally, a year following the fort’s 1891 closure, the remains of those soldiers and their families buried at the post were removed and sent to be reburied at the San Antonio National Cemetery.125

Firing Ranges

The earliest location for target practice to improve the marksmanship of the troops at the second fort appears to have been southeast of the post at an indeterminate location. The primary firing-range location of the second fort, however, was in Hospital Canyon behind the hospital. This location was presumably convenient to ammunition stored at the magazine nearby. In 1884, there were several ranges located at this site. Post commander Col. Benjamin Grierson stated that “there are two ranges 1,000 yards in extent, two 600 yards and two 300 yards.” In 1889, the ranges were still “up the canyon.” In addition, another range used for skirmish practice was located on property east of the fort acquired from former post trader Daniel Murphy.126

124 Williams; Greene, pp. 292-293.
125 Williams, p.8; Greene, pp. 292-295.
Gardens

During the period of the second fort, gardening for the purpose of supplying fresh
vegetables took place at two primary locations. The first of these was established in 1868
in response to troops suffering from scurvy. It was a fenced, four-acre plot of land
located at the north end of the fort property along Limpia Creek, near the mouth of
Limpia Canyon. Although the post surgeon reported in March 1869 that “a piece of
ground near the post of about 3 acres has been enclosed and put under cultivation this
month for the post hospital,” it is believed that this site was actually on the military
reservation. Although its exact location is not indicated, one may speculate that this
garden was established adjacent to the spring located in the southeastern part of the
reservation, where the garden of the first fort also appears to have been located. Around
1870, the garden on the Limpia was given up in favor of a new one six to eight miles to
the southeast of the post at the Musquiz Ranch. By 1871, though, this garden was
abandoned, and the only garden appears to have been near the spring. A May 1872 report
indicates that it was about five acres in area and was well enclosed. Throughout the rest
of the decade of the 1870s, the post garden remained at the spring, with the exception of
its temporary relocation to Limpia Canyon in 1879. Four years later, a plan of the fort
shows two irrigated gardens, one located on the Limpia and the other at the spring. At the
latter location, the map shows that the spring was contained by a circular wall and had an
irrigation ditch leading directly east from it to the garden. Although records show that
there was no post garden in 1885, they indicate that there was more than one garden in
1886, and that “(t)hese are divided and are under the charge of the adjutant and the troop

126 Greene, pp. 298-300.
Parade Ground

The *Regulations Concerning Barracks and Quarters for the Army of the United States*, 1860, state that the flagstaff should be “[i]n the center of the parade ground.” An 1867 drawing of the fort from the time of its reestablishment after the Civil War shows the flagstaff to be in Hospital Canyon, north of the former barracks of the first fort (see Figure 9). Based upon this evidence, one may speculate that the army initially used the parade ground of the first fort as the first parade field of the second fort (see Figure 9). By 1871, though, the parade ground had been relocated to the prairie just to the east of the mouth of Hospital Canyon, where it was situated throughout the remainder of the existence of the second fort (see site plans for second fort period and Figures 1 and 12). Although its dimensions vary on historic plans of the post, the parade field was approximately 800 feet in length, running north-south, and about 400 feet in width, running east-west.\(^\text{129}\)

Stone Quarry

Stone for the construction of second fort buildings was obtained from at least three quarries. One located at Dolores Mountain, about one and one-half miles southeast of the post flagstaff, was used the most, while others were located up Hospital Canyon and near Musquiz Canyon. As already mentioned, Everett indicates that the type of building stone used in construction at both the first and second forts was vitric tuff of ignimbrite. He also notes that during the second fort period, buildings constructed of the tuff were
erected between 1867 and 1869, while later buildings were made of adobes laid over tuff foundations.\textsuperscript{130}

Trash and Manure Dumps

In order to provide for the health of the troops, both trash and animal wastes had to be properly disposed of. Information about the locations that served as dumping grounds is incomplete, though. Early on during the period of the second fort, refuse was dumped into several pits near the stables and commissary warehouse. When those holes became a problem when they began to overflow, post surgeon Weisel ordered that they be limed and filled. In 1871, Col. Carleton recommended that all animal waste from corrals and stables be deposited at a location in the valley floor below the spring in the southeast part of the reservation. This location was chosen, in part, so that drinking water at the spring would not become contaminated. In 1883, the post surgeon recorded that “the eastern approach to the Post and the low grounds in that vicinity” were used as a dumping ground for both manure and “offal” from the fort.\textsuperscript{131}

\textsuperscript{130} Regulations Concerning Barracks and Quarters for the Army of the United States, 1860 (Washington, D.C.: George W. Bowman, Printer, 1861), p. 7; Greene, pp. 249-250, 456-457, 462-477, 480-481; Williams, review comments to author.

\textsuperscript{131} Everett, pp. 8-9; Elvis Joe Ballew, “Supply Problems of Fort Davis, Texas, 1867-1880” (master’s thesis, Sul Ross State University, 1971), p. 96; Greene, pp. 93, 97; Williams, review comments to author.
Natural Systems

Hydrology

Hospital Canyon served as the drainage basin for waters falling on slopes of the overlying ridges to the north, south, and west. Despite the construction of earthworks, walls, and digging drainage ditches for the specific purpose of safely channeling water out of the canyon, accounts repeatedly describe the second fort being inundated when waters overwhelmed these flood control devices and rushed through Hospital Canyon during periods of heavy rain. The most vulnerable structures tended to be those on officers' row, which were usually directly in the path of floodwaters.\(^1\)

Although the site sometimes experienced times of heavy precipitation, especially during the summer, Limpia Creek’s flow levels lessened significantly in the late 1880s, apparently due to decreased precipitation. This factor strained the fort’s supply of drinking water, ultimately threatening the continued operation of the post.\(^2\)

Small Scale Features

Bridges

A number of small bridges (HB-249 through HB-253) were constructed during the period of the second fort to allow passage across drainage ditches. In 1871, one was built across

\(^1\) "Miscellaneous orders from the Department of Texas. February 2, 1871." National Archives, RG 159, Microfilm Roll 66-790 (7834); “Report of Post Surgeon William H. Gardner, September 30, 1883;” Greene, pp. 277-278.

\(^2\) Greene, pp. 106-107, 129-130, 152; Wooster, pp. 369, 371.

\(^3\) Greene, pp. 260-261.
the south ditch where the street in front of the barracks crossed the ditch and between barrack HB-20 and the old guard house (HB-299). It was later removed. Other bridges were constructed across the south ditch, including one west of the post trader’s complex (HB-42 through HB-44) where the road in front of officers’ row turned southeast. Two others were southwest of the cavalry corral (HB-41) where roads crossed the ditch, one being a foot bridge south of barrack HB-20, and another footbridge south of barrack HB-27. At the north drainage ditch, one road bridge was north of the rear of officers’ quarter HB-13 and another was situated between HB-14 and HB-15. A footbridge also spanned the ditch a few yards northeast of the post headquarters (HB-19). Other foot and wagon bridges, as well as culverts, were built over arroyos and other small drainage paths around the post.\textsuperscript{134}

**Fences and Walls**

By 1886, fences had been built around most of the officers’ quarters at the post to provide privacy to their inhabitants (see Figure 11). On officers’ row, there was a low rail extended across the entire front that by 1889 had a “rustic fence of sticks” built over it. A six-foot-high adobe wall with stone foundations also enclosed the back yards. In addition to its privacy purpose, the latter also was intended to divert floodwaters that periodically ran down Limpia Creek from inundating officers’ row.\textsuperscript{135}

\textsuperscript{134} Ibid., p. 253.
\textsuperscript{135} Ibid., pp. 127-128.
Another enclosing wall (HB-246) ran along the south end of the parade ground between the road across this end of the parade and the drainage ditch to the south. It was present in the late 1880s and appears to have been around four feet high.  

Flagstaff

When the post was first reestablished in 1867, the flagstaff may have been located at the same point as it was during the first fort, near where the adjutant’s office had been (see Figure 9). By 1871, the flagstaff (HB-240) was driven into the ground at the approximated center of the second fort’s parade field, where it served as the visual focal point of the fort (see site plans 1867-1873 period). Following a storm in the mid-1880s, the flagstaff was relocated to a site (HB-234) at the center of the north end of the parade ground (see site plan for 1874-1891 period). The flag remained at this place until the fort’s closure. 

Gas Lampposts

Historic photographs from the 1880s show the presence of gas lampposts around all sides of the parade ground (see Figure 11). In addition, one appears to the north of the parade, between HB-16 and HB-18. 

---

136 Ibid., p. 396.
137 Greene, pp. 249-250; Williams, review comments to author.
Spatial Organization and Cluster Arrangement

The initial placement of the second fort and the spatial arrangement of its structures and roads was generally based upon the specifications called for in the manual, Regulations Concerning Barracks and Quarters for the Army of the United States, 1860. With regard to fort placement, these regulations provided only generally that “healthy localities” be chosen that provide proper drainage and ventilation. With respect to the layout of buildings and roads, the regulations stated the following:

Officers’ quarters, chaplain’s quarters, and officers’ mess on one line, facing a parade ground open at both ends and varying in breadth at different posts, according to the ground and other circumstances, from 250 to 400 feet; all other buildings on the other side from the officers’ line . . .

These regulations further provided specific ground plans for building and road placement to allow for future growth of the complex. However, they ultimately provided flexibility to the commanding officers in charge of construction to respond to factors such as limits on the availability of materials and, to a certain degree, expediency of the particular situation.139

In the case of the selection of the site of the second Fort Davis, the post was originally situated just east of the first fort, essentially extending from the mouth of Hospital Canyon onto the open plain to the east. This was the general location where Lt. Col. Washington Seawell had recommended placement of the first fort. This site also

138 Ibid., pp. 525, 539, 545.
139 Regulations Concerning Barracks and Quarters for the Army of the United States, 1860 (Washington, D.C.: George W. Bowman, Printer, 1861), pp. 7-8, Plate XVI.
provided that the San Antonio-El Paso Road ran through the garrison, rather than being located adjacently as was the case with the first fort.

In terms of the spatial arrangement of the fort complex, it was organized along a north-south axis, with the parade ground serving as its core (see site plans for second fort period). Officers’ row was lined along its west side, with the commanding officer’s quarters (HB-7) at its center, and four barracks stretched along its east side. The San Antonio-El Paso Road ran directly behind the barracks. A secondary east-west axis was formed by a road that bisected the parade ground, stretching from the commanding officers’ quarters to the center of the four barracks to the east. During most of the second fort period, the flagstaff of the post was located at the center of the parade field and at the midpoint of this road. The flag served as the visual focus point of the post complex. The structures of the various supplying departments, such as the quartermaster and commissary, were situated to the east and southeast of the barracks, which was just on the other side of the San Antonio-El Paso Road. Eventually, additional barracks were built both in a continuation of the line along the parade, but north of the parade field, as well as behind and at right angles to those along the parade. The new structures of the supplying departments followed suit, also continuing to be built to the north and behind the new barracks.

Turning specifically to officers’ row, this line stretched across the mouth of Hospital Canyon in the same general location as the line of barracks of the first fort. The northern
part of officers' row, however, extended further to the east compared to the line of the old barracks because officers' row was oriented toward magnetic north while the first fort's barracks were oriented toward true north. Just to the rear of officers' row sprang up a series of kitchens, privies, and fenced yards. Eventually, along the front of the row a line of trees was planted and a low-lying fence was built (see Figure 11).

Early on during the second fort period, another cluster of buildings comprising the post sutler's facilities was erected at the south end of the parade field. Just to the east of this complex existed another cluster of features comprised of the spring encircled by a masonry wall, a garden, irrigation ditches running from the former to the latter, and a grove of cottonwood trees. At the north of the parade field were erected the post headquarters and chapel.

After the completion of all thirteen quarters on officers' row, the physical constraints posed by the canyon walls produced the need to find another location for the continued construction of officers' quarters. After 1880, a line of new officers', and unofficial "make-shift" dwellings that were used as married soldiers' quarters, was begun to the northeast of HB-13 along the base of the North Ridge. Many of these structures were situated along the path of the piped water system, which was surveyed in April 1883. This system stretched from a pump house and well near Limpia Creek to run south to water tanks on the North Ridge, and then followed the base of the ridge to enter the core
of the garrison near the northern end of officers' row. Directly downhill and to the east from the water tanks was the official post cemetery.\textsuperscript{140}

In Hospital Canyon to the west of officers' row, a cluster of several buildings had emerged by the mid-1880s around the new post hospital. In addition to the main hospital building, which faced the parade ground; these structures included a hospital steward's quarters, a laundry, a storehouse, a wood house, and a privy. Drainage ditches also ran along the north and south walls of Hospital Canyon, skirting around the north and south end of officers' row. Earthworks were constructed behind officers' row as well for the purpose of diverting floodwaters rushing down the canyon toward the drainage ditches just mentioned.

In addition to the San Antonio-El Paso Road, which ran through the reservation, other roads ran through the post complex for the purpose of interior circulation. Although more specific details are provided in the previous section concerning the second fort's circulation, these roads generally connected the various clusters of structures just described, with all networks eventually working back to the San Antonio-El Paso Road. Avenues also ran entirely around, as well as bisected, the parade ground.

\textsuperscript{140} Williams, review comments to author.
Topography

Drainage Ditches and Earthworks

In order to mitigate the chronic flooding of the post from waters rushing down Hospital Canyon, in the summer of 1880, Post Commander Napoleon B. McLaughlen ordered the excavation of a large drainage ditch to direct floodwaters out of the fort. Based upon an April 1883 plan of the reservation, this ditch appears to have run from the rear of Hospital Canyon along the base of the North Ridge, leaving the mouth of the canyon just north of officers’ row, continuing north of the chapel (HB-28) and ending between the guard house (HB-30) and barrack HB-25 (see site plans for 1874-1891 period).\(^{141}\)

Due to continued flooding, in the spring of 1883, Col. Grierson instructed civil engineer William H. Owen to design a more comprehensive system of drainage ditches and earthworks. Owen suggested that:

the present ditch, on the north side, be made wider through the post, shallow enough to be sightly and offer no obstruction to crossing with wagons, and paved with large flat stones, set on edge transversely to the axis of the ditch, and well buried in the earth. [Also,] \ldots\) that two other smaller ditches be formed, one near the center, the other at the south side of canon. These ditches to commence a little west of rear of line of officers’ quarters & extend a little east of line of Barracks, \ldots\) [to pass beneath the?] parade ground with an occasional iron grating to admit surface water \ldots\) and be open and paved like ditch No. 1 at upper and lower ends \ldots\) Low banks of earth to be made at upper ends to form wings to these culverts, to direct the water into them.\(^{142}\)

\(^{141}\) "Plan of the Reservation and Post of Fort Davis, Texas," April 1883. National Archives, RG 77. Cartographic Archives Division (copy in Greene, pp. 474-475); Wooster, p. 369.

\(^{142}\) Owen to Chief Quartermaster, Department of Texas, May 2, 1883. National Archives, RG 92. Microfilm Roll 65-855 (104271)1 (quote taken from Greene, p. 279).
Work on the plan that Owen devised was finished soon after completion of the post’s piped water supply system. Owen’s recommendations were altered substantially in that the previously existing ditches along the north canyon wall and at the rear of officers’ row were widened. These additions provided for the connection of the ditch behind the officers’ quarters to one running along the canyon’s south wall.\textsuperscript{143}

After a severe flood at the post in July 1888, Post Commander Cochran described the ditch system as follows:

Ditch A [north ditch] is situated so high that it does not receive any storm water from the grounds in front of the Hospital . . . Ditch “B” [south ditch] is not wide or deep enough to receive all the water that flows down the southerly side of the Canyon during a heavy rain. Ditch . . . “C” [behind officers’ quarters] does not have sufficient fall to empty itself into Ditch “B” . . . I would recommend that all the above ditches . . . be widened and deepened and that a new ditch be extended along the foot of the southerly cliff . . . \textsuperscript{144}

The work that Cochran recommended was completed in the fall of 1888. After continued flooding, intermittent maintenance continued on the ditch system up until the post’s closure in 1891.\textsuperscript{145}

\textsuperscript{143} Greene, p. 279.
\textsuperscript{144} Cochran to AAG, Department of Texas, July 19, 1888. National Archives, RG 393. Microfilm Roll 66-783 (76752), p. 181 (quote taken from Greene, p. 280).
\textsuperscript{145} Greene, p. 281. Note: for a comparison of the work proposed by Owen in 1883 to the way the drainage system appeared in 1889, see “Plan of the Reservation and Post of Fort Davis, Texas,” April 1883, National
Vegetation

Vegetation patterns at Fort Davis during the period of the second fort receive comprehensive treatment in Nelson’s 1981 study. In formulating a picture of site vegetation during that period, he consulted historic photographs, written accounts, and examined old-growth vegetation still present today. Nelson concluded that during the early 1870s, flatlands to the east of the mouth of Hospital Canyon were dominated by beargrass with “a low grama sod underneath” (see Figure 12). By the mid-1880s, the beargrass was gone from this area, leaving only a grass cover. In all photographs from this period, the grass shows a closely grazed condition, probably by many cavalry horses. Nealley, who visited the fort in 1887, mentioned an abundance of Johnsongrass, an introduced species.¹⁴⁶

Around the parade ground, an 1871 photograph shows newly planted trees in front of officers’ row. By the 1880s, these trees had become mature. Nelson states that “many cottonwoods were present here in the 1880’s period.” These trees, which require a steady source of water, were likely watered by hoses connected to the post’s piped water system. Records indicate that this system also was intended to irrigate the parade ground. In addition, Havard specifically mentioned desert willow growing around the parade ground in the mid-1880s.¹⁴⁷

Figure 12. Photograph. Second Fort Davis from north showing bear grass, 1871
(Source: Fort Davis NHS archives, HG-10)
Among the cliffs and slopes of surrounding ridges, vegetation generally consisted of trees and brush, with some grassland present. Identifiable tree types included oaks and junipers. In historic photographs, brush appears to have been of light density over most of the slopes and ridges. The species that Havard identified in the mid-1880s among the fort’s cliffs and canyons include *frijolillo* (known also as Texas mountain laurel), madroone, chokecherry, cliff fenderbush, thyrroleaf, littleleaf and skunkbush sumac, catclaw mimosa, and Gregg’s acacia. Yucca and prickly pear cactus also appear in these areas in photos. In 1887, Nealley mentioned many goats browsing in these areas of the reservation.\(^{148}\)

On the floor of Hospital Canyon, Greene states that there was “grazed grass with an open oak overstory (oak savannah). Trees appear evenly spread across the entire width of the canyon.” Photographs from 1887 depict 10 to 12 oaks and what Nelson identifies as “closely grazed grama grazes [sic]” between officers’ row and the hospital (HB-46). Dendrochronological analysis indicates that existing trees that were living during the period of the second fort include gray and emory oaks.\(^{149}\)

In addition to their presence in front of officers’ row, cottonwoods appear to have grown at naturally well-watered locations. In the 1880s, a mature stand of cottonwoods was present at the spring in the southeast part of the post, and large cottonwoods were also

\(^{148}\) Nelson, pp. 65-71; Historic photograph AB-4, AB-16, Fort Davis NHS archives.

\(^{149}\) Nelson, pp. 48-52, 63-71, 90; Historic photographs HG-21, HC-27, Fort Davis NHS archives.
well documented along Limpia Creek. In 1885, Havard also reported that groves of oak, willow, and hackberry trees were growing along Limpia Creek.\textsuperscript{150}

Post records also mention some of the various types of vegetables and fruits grown at the gardens. These crops included corn, beans, Irish and sweet potatoes, cabbage, beets carrots, cucumbers, lettuce, onions, turnips, peas, squash, tomatoes, and melons.\textsuperscript{151}

\textit{Views and Vistas}

Views and vistas of the second fort period were generally the same as those mentioned from the period of the first fort. However, the view described from the first fort's barracks applied in the latter period to officers' row. From atop Sleeping Lion Mountain, one also could see the newly constructed road heading south to Marfa and Presidio as well as the developing town of Fort Davis (also known during that period as Chihuahua and Murphysville).

\textsuperscript{150} Nelson, pp. 15, 16, 36-37, 70; Historic photograph AB-11, Fort Davis NHS archives.

\textsuperscript{151} Greene, pp. 301-305.
PERIOD BETWEEN ARMY ABANDONMENT AND HISTORIC SITE CREATION (1891-1961)

Historical Overview

Following abandonment by the army, the site was used for grazing for many years. Local families and newcomers regularly rented and occupied fort buildings. In the early 1900s, all of the quarters along officers' row had people living in them. Many quarters still were occupied in the 1920s and 30s.\textsuperscript{152}

Local festivities also continued to be held at the old fort, as they had during occupation. Fourth of July celebrations were held at the old hospital building, and members of the local Mexican community came to the site to celebrate Mexican Independence Day, or \textit{las Fiestas Patrias}, and \textit{Cinco de Mayo}, the commemoration of the Mexican army's defeat of French occupational forces at the city of Puebla, Mexico, on May 5, 1862. In addition, plays were sometimes held at the cottonwood grove around the spring.

After the U.S. Army established a post at nearby Marfa in 1917, later to be known as Fort D.A. Russell, the Army over the next 20 years regularly sent troops from there as well as forts Clark, Bliss, and Brown, to old Fort Davis for training there.\textsuperscript{153}

In 1929, Hollywood western movie star Jack Hoxie arrived in Fort Davis with plans to turn the old fort into a movie set and resort, including "a half mile track, a polo field, golf

\textsuperscript{152} Williams, review comments to author.
\textsuperscript{153} Greene, pp. 313-316; Welsh, pp. 23-26.
course, baseball diamond, a big swimming pool and a rodeo arena.” His plans for movie production included the restoration of old buildings. Hoxie persuaded a small group of area residents to back him financially, and proceeded to renovate HB-1 and HB-2 on officers’ row as well as construct a large swimming pool. The most noteworthy event that Hoxie held at the site was a rodeo in March 1930 that attracted over 2,000 onlookers. Although Hoxie’s plans fell apart by the spring of 1931 with the onset of the national economic depression, they spurred interest in the historic fort. Afterward, the old fort buildings continued to deteriorate, with some collapsing while others were subject to vandalism.\textsuperscript{154}

After the Hoxie episode, a group of local residents hoped to turn the site into a state or federal historical park. However, the James family’s asking price for the property was deemed to be too high. In 1935, the historical board of the Texas Centennial Commission approved commemorative markers for both the fort ruins and for the purported gravesite of Indian Emily at the second fort’s official post cemetery. According to local legend, Indian Emily was a young Apache woman who warned personnel at the fort of an impending Apache attack out of her love for an officer there, only to be killed by a sentry’s bullet while she was approaching the fort’s perimeter.\textsuperscript{155}

In 1945, the property finally was sold to Mack H. Sproul, who in turn sold 453.9 acres of the 640-acre site the following year to David A. Simmons, a prominent Houston attorney.

\textsuperscript{154} Greene, p. 316; Welsh, pp. 32-33.
\textsuperscript{155} Greene, pp. 316-317; Welsh, p. 44.
Simmons motivation in purchasing the property was to restore the buildings to create a privately-operated historic site and to provide vacation homes. Through the assistance of locally-hired help, Simmons proceeded to build a fence around the property, erect a gate at the entrance, fill abandoned wells, and construct a trading post and information center on the foundation of an old fort barrack. Furthermore, Simmons remodeled HB-1, HB-2, and HB-3 on officers’ row and rented them as vacation cottages. In 1948, the Fort Davis Boys Camp also was erected at the rear of Hospital Canyon. By the next year, Simmons reported that he had refurbished eight structures at the site, which was being operated as a local historical attraction. Simmons unexpectedly died of a heart attack in 1951.\footnote{Greene, p. 317; Welsh, pp. 56-59.}

After Simmons’s death, his heirs leased the site to Malcolm and Sally Tweedy, who moved into one of the restored officers’ quarters and operated a gift shop, museum, and, for a brief time, a restaurant. The Tweedys, along with other local residents, soon organized the Fort Davis Historical Society for the purpose of preserving the site. In 1954, a well-attended celebration took place at the old post to commemorate the 100th anniversary of the establishment of Fort Davis. By the mid-1950s, the Tweedys left their tenure at old Fort Davis and vandalism at the site increased. However, local efforts to promote the creation of a national monument there helped persuade the National Park Service to include Fort Davis, in addition to forts Union and Bowie, in a 1953 study entitled “Frontier Military Posts of the Southwest” that considered the possibility of adding the sites to the national park system. Of the three former posts, the report recommended only Fort Union. One factor working against the addition of new parks at
the time was the conservative fiscal environment in Congress since World War II, which severely limited the Park Service’s historical research mandated in 1935 by the Historic Site Survey.¹⁵⁷

¹⁵⁷ Welsh, pp. 59-60, 73, 77, 79, 84.
Figure 13. Photograph. Abandoned Fort Davis, ca. 1930-1940, taken from east
(Source: Greene, p. 585)
Significant Landscape Characteristics of the Period

As the federal government did not occupy the site of the fort during the period between its abandonment and its acquisition by the Park Service, the amount of detailed information concerning the landscape features present during the period is quite limited. The most extensive site documentation during this time is contained in Park Service historian Robert M. Utley’s *Special Report on Fort Davis, Texas*, of 1960 that was completed just prior to the arrival of the Park Service. Other significant information from the period is in the form of photographic documentation by Aubrey Nasham, NPS Regional Historian, in April of 1941, as well as by George Grant in September of 1953, the latter of which accompanied NPS Historian John Littleton’s report entitled *Frontier Military Posts of the Southwest, November, 1953*.

The following description of features is not comprehensive, but primarily reflects Utley’s emphasis on buildings and structures. With the exception of cases where the Park Service has attempted to restore features to their past appearance, it may be assumed that those features that are documented in the subsequent section concerning the period of Park Service occupation were present during this period as well.

**Buildings and Structures**

During the period between the Army’s abandonment of the post and its acquisition by the National Park Service, the buildings and structures deteriorated greatly, with some being
lost entirely (see Figure 13). It should be noted as well that the remains of buildings and structures from the first fort period no longer existed above the surface of the ground. Except where otherwise noted, the following descriptions of buildings and structures are from 1960, when Utley surveyed the site.

Among the officers' quarters, all of the structures remained standing except for the pine slab building (HB-17) that stood to the northeast of officers' row. The roofs of the other quarters were essentially wrecked, with the exception that the three southernmost quarters on officers' row (HB-1, HB-2, and HB-3) as well as three kitchens behind the row were remodeled during the 1940s for their use as rental cottages.¹⁵⁸

In Hospital Canyon, much of the main hospital building's (HB-46) walls still stood but its roof was wrecked. Extensive ruins also existed of the hospital steward's quarters (HB-48) and the storehouse (HB-47). The magazine's (HB-49) walls also still stood, but its roof was gone.¹⁵⁹

Of the barracks, during the late 1940s the decaying walls of barrack HB-23 were torn down and a new structure was erected on the foundations of half of the barrack to serve as a trading post and museum of the Fort Davis Historical Society. By 1960, the two southernmost barracks facing the parade (HB-20 and HB-21) had standing walls

¹⁵⁸ Utley, Special Report on Fort Davis, Texas, p. 97.
¹⁵⁹ Ibid., pp. 98, 100.
remaining in 1960, but their roofs had fallen in. Of the remaining barracks, essentially only foundations remained, except portions of walls of one of them.\textsuperscript{160}

Behind the barracks, the office part of the new commissary office and storehouse (HB-37) was in remarkably good condition with its roof still intact, although only the foundations remained of the storehouse. At the quartermaster and cavalry corrals, only foundations remained at the cavalry corral (HB-41) except for one of the adobe shops still present. A horse riding concession operated at the location of the old quartermaster corral (HB-40), which otherwise consisted of foundations.\textsuperscript{161}

To the parade ground's north and northeast, the post headquarters (HB-29) only had foundations remaining and the chapel's (HB-28) north wall still stood. The guardhouse (HB-30) and bakery (HB-31) only had foundations remaining as well. From the second fort's water system, stone ruins of a reservoir and pump house (HB-53) were present near Limpia Creek on the south side of Texas Highway 118. In addition, the foundation of the second water tank (HB-221) remained directly to the south on the slope of the North Ridge.\textsuperscript{162}

\textsuperscript{160} Utley, \textit{Special Report on Fort Davis, Texas}, p. 97; Greene, p. 317; Welsh, p. 57.

\textsuperscript{161} Utley, \textit{Special Report on Fort Davis, Texas}, pp. 99-100.

\textsuperscript{162} Utley, \textit{Special Report on Fort Davis, Texas}, p. 98, 100; Greene, p. 394.
To the south and southeast of the parade ground, essentially nothing remained of the
sutler’s complex (HB-42 through HB-44). The old commissary office and storehouse
(HB-32) south of the corrals also had no remains existing above ground.\(^{163}\)

Additions of new structures to the site during the period included the construction in 1930
of a 50 feet by 80 feet swimming pool. Around the same time, a camp house for the Fort
Davis Boys Camp also was constructed at the rear of Hospital Canyon.\(^{164}\)

**Circulation**

Greene notes the following changes with regard to the old San Antonio-El Paso Road
during this period:

> Between 1910 and 1915 the route across Fort Davis was built up and
> bridges constructed to carry the highway over the drainage ditches of the
> fort. By the 1950s the highway had been diverted to its present route.

The new path of the road mentioned lastly was approximately 1,100 feet to the east of the
location of the old road as it passed behind the barracks.\(^{165}\)

**Constructed Water Features**

Historic photographs from the early 1900s show the presence of a windmill and water
tank in the middle of the parade ground. These features were associated with a well at
that location.\(^{166}\)

---


\(^{164}\) Utley, *Special Report on Fort Davis, Texas*, p. 98; Greene, p. 316; Welsh, p. 57.
Land Use

After the Army’s abandonment of the post, much of the property was leased for grazing by local ranchers. From 1917 until late in the 1930s, the old fort’s parade ground was used as well for Army training exercises by troops from Fort D.A. Russell at Marfa, as well as other forts in south Texas.167

Small-Scale Features

Historic photographs from the early 1900s show a bridge crossing the drainage ditch that was south of the parade ground as well as a low fence around the parade ground. Greene also states that the “Rainbow Bridge” (HB 144), which lies in the northeast part of the site, was erected in 1915 as part of the road improvements of the time mentioned previously. At the location of the second fort’s Post cemetery, the Texas Centennial Commission placed a commemorative marker to denote the alleged gravesite of Indian Emily, the subject of a local legend. No factual evidence exists to confirm the truth of this story.168

165 Greene, p. 391.
166 Ibid., pp. 568-569, 580-581.
167 Greene, p. 315; Welsh, pp. 26-27.
168 Greene, pp. 319, 568-569; Welsh, p. 44.
Vegetation

Historic photographs from the early part of the period show that trees continued to grow in front of what was officers' row, and that one large tree was located in front of barrack HB-21 (see Figure 13). By the 1950s, trees were apparent in front of only the south half of the row, where the structures continued to be occupied by overseers and guests. Cattle and horse grazing on the premises during the period also encouraged the growth of mesquite throughout the flatter areas of the site.¹⁶⁹

¹⁶⁹ Greene, pp. 568-569, 588-589; Welsh, p. 168.
NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE PERIOD (1961 to Present)

**Historical Overview**

In the late 1950s, the Administration of President Dwight D. Eisenhower pushed a new Park Service initiative known as MISSION 66, which aimed to increase facilities at parks and recreation areas to serve 80 million visitors by 1966, the 50th anniversary of the founding of the National Park Service. This initiative resulted in a significant increase in the agency’s historical programs and sites, including the reinstitution of the Historic Site Survey.\(^{170}\)

Based upon the recommendations of a resulting theme study of “Military and Indian Affairs,” in 1959 the Park Service’s Advisory Board on National Parks, Historic Sites, Buildings, and Monuments recommended to the Secretary of Interior that Fort Davis be studied for possible addition to the National Park System. In February of the following year, Senator Ralph W. Yarborough and Representative J.T. Rutherford, both of Texas, introduced legislation in both houses of Congress to authorize a national historic site at the old fort. In response to the introduction of these two bills, Park Service historian Robert M. Utley prepared two reports concerning the site, one a detailed historical report and the other a suitability and feasibility report. These two studies were completed in June 1960. Through the support of Vice President Lyndon B. Johnson, also a Texas native, Senator Yarborough’s version of the legislation was approved in the next

\(^{170}\) Welsh, pp. 76-77.
Congress, and was signed into law by President John F. Kennedy on September 8, 1961, as Public Law 87-213.\textsuperscript{171}

After the enactment of legislation creating Fort Davis National Historic Site and the acquisition of the site property the following year, the park was open to visitors in January of 1963 with the arrival of the first superintendent. By the end of January a small maintenance staff had been hired and work began on cleaning up the grounds. Over the next five years, the National Park Service carried out an extensive program of planning and implementation. This effort focused especially on preserving, rehabilitating, restoring, and reconstructing historic buildings. Other tasks were carried out as well, including the removal of structures that had been erected after the army’s abandonment of the fort, landscaping, and construction of a trails system. A museum, auditorium, visitor center, and park offices were housed in a restored enlisted men’s barracks (HB-20 and 21) (see Figure 16). During the mid-to-late 1960s, other structures that received similar exterior reconstruction and restoration treatment included the post hospital (HB-46), two-story officers’ quarters (HB-14 and HB-18), the kitchen to HB-18 (HB-151), the southern portion of the post commissary (HB-37), the forage house, which is more commonly referred to as the granary (HB-39), and the magazine (HB-49).\textsuperscript{172}


\textsuperscript{172} National Park Service, “National Register of Historic Places Inventory – Nomination Form for Fort Davis, Texas,” 1978; Pamphlet; Southwest Parks and Monuments Assoc., Guide to Buildings and Ruins: Fort Davis National Historic Site (Tucson, Ariz.: Southwest Parks and Monuments Assoc., 1990); Welsh. pp. 93, 100, 176; Williams, review comments to author.
On April 4, 1966, First Lady Lady Bird Johnson presided over the dedication ceremony and was joined by other dignitaries including Texas Governor John Connally, Secretary of the Interior Stewart Udall, and National Park Service director George Hartzog.

Beginning in the early 1970s interior restoration of some of the quarters was begun. By the mid-1970s, the second officer’s quarters was refurbished as a captain’s quarters (it is now furnished as a shared lieutenants’ quarters), and the issue room and commissary sergeant’s office located in the post commissary was refurbished. In addition the two rooms of the officer's kitchen and servant’s quarters (HB-277) located behind officer’s quarters 12 were refurbished. The non-historic cement porch of the post commissary was replaced with a more historic looking wooden porch in 1977. The interior of the commanding officer’s quarters was restored in 1980-81 with the official opening of the refurnished structure occurring in May of 1981.\(^{173}\)

In 1983 the hospital storeroom (HB-47) was partially reconstructed through the partial addition of walls and a new roof. Interior restoration work began on the north wing of an enlisted men’s barracks (HB-21) in the mid-1980s. The refurnished wing was officially open to the public in February of 1988. In the summer of 1994, porch decking (which replaced gravel walkways) was added to this structure.\(^{174}\)

\(^{173}\) Williams, review comments to author.
\(^{174}\) Ibid.
In terms of the management of other landscape features, buildings and structures have been maintained, footprints of ruins have been marked with either wooden stakes or soil cement adobes (for first fort buildings) and soil cement adobes (for second fort buildings) (see Figures 14 and 19). Trees have been both planted and maintained at the cottonwood grove near the spring and in front of officers’ row (see Figures 15, 18, and 21). A trail for visitors also was built on Sleeping Lion Mountain in the 1970s.\footnote{Welsh, p. 140.}

In 1999, the Park Service acquired additional property totaling nearly 14 acres at the south end of Sleeping Lion Mountain, just south of the second fort’s sutler’s complex (HB-291 through HB-294). This acquisition was made to prevent the development of the property by private economic interests, a prospect which was deemed to be threatening to the site’s interpretive and historic values.

**Significant Landscape Characteristics of the Period**

*Archaeological Sites*

During the Park Service’s tenure at the site, archaeological investigations have identified remains of both pre-European habitation and activity from the first fort period. A description of the latter is provided in the previous section entitled “Pre-European Contact Period.” All remains of first fort structures consist of either stone foundations, stone hearths, or remnants of wooden pickets of jacales. The park has excavated and prepared for presentation the line of stone foundations of what were the first fort’s...
barracks (HB-344 through HB-348) and their kitchens (HB-340 through HB-343) to the rear of the second fort's officers' row. It has done the same with two other clusters of first fort foundations. The first of these, which is just to the northwest of the north end of first fort barrack foundations, includes the first fort's sutler's store (HB-351), commissary and quartermaster warehouse (HB-352), bakery (HB-358), and blacksmith shop (HB-359). The second is located directly behind the second fort's hospital, and includes the foundations of what were two first fort officer's quarters (HB-360 and HB-361).

Through archaeological investigations, remnants were also located but have not been excavated for presentation. These include foundations of the first guardhouse (HB-362) just to the north of the excavated row of barrack foundations. In the same area as the previously mentioned excavated foundations of the sutler's store, commissary and quartermaster warehouse, and blacksmith shop were found foundations of the temporary commissary and quartermaster warehouses (HB-354 through HB-356). Toward the rear of Hospital Canyon, a cluster of laundress houses (HB-307, HB-309, HB-310) were also located. At the very rear of Hospital Canyon, adobe wall traces also were found from the first fort's stable (HB-301) and corrals and wagon yard (HB-302). Probable sites of other first fort structures have been found through the location of concentrations of mid-19th century glass, nails, ceramics, trash, and other remains.\textsuperscript{176}
Buildings and Structures

As the remnants of the first fort's buildings and structures are considered by this study as archaeological sites, the only historic buildings and structures described in the national historic site period are affiliated with the second fort. Since the park's authorization in 1961, the most concentrated restoration efforts have been applied to second fort buildings located around the parade ground. All 13 of the structures comprising officers' row (HB-1 through HB-13) have been restored to varying extents, including the addition of new roofs and porches at them all. In particular, the commanding officer's quarter (HB-7) has been fully restored to the period when Col. Benjamin Grierson and his family lived there (1882-1885). Officer's quarter HB-2 and the officer's kitchen and servant's quarters behind HB-12, which is the only one still standing behind officers' row, also have been restored to that time period. HB-4 and HB-9 through HB-13 have received exterior stucco coatings. Behind the row, the outline of the foundations of three other kitchens (HB-281, HB-282, and HB-288) have been stabilized as well (see Figure 18).

176 Greene, pp. 66-71, 399-404.
Figure 14.
Photograph, First fort foundation footprint, 1999 (Source: author)
Evidence of much of the extension of officers’ quarters running to the northeast of officers’ row also exists. Of these, both HB-14 and HB-18 have been partially restored, including the construction of new roofs and porches. The kitchen behind HB-18 (HB-151) has been partially restored as well. Of the other officers’ quarters in this area, ruins exist of HB-15 while only the foundations of HB-16 remain.177

On the east side of the parade ground, a line of structures remains consisting of second fort barracks. Of these, the exteriors of barracks HB-20 and HB-21 have been restored, including walls, roofs, and porches (see Figure 16). HB-20 houses the park’s offices, museum, auditorium, and visitor center. Only the foundations remain of the other barracks, except that HB-26 has ruins of part of the sergeant’s room at one end and of the bathhouse at the other. During the mid-1960s, the Park Service also demolished the private museum situated on the foundation of barrack HB-23 that had been operated by the Fort Davis Historical Society.178

On the north end of the parade ground the north wall of the post chapel (HB-28) still remains, as well as foundations of the post headquarters (HB-29). Further to the north, the foundations remain of what was the ordnance sergeant’s quarters and signal office (HB-19).

Remains of many of the service structures to the east and north of the barracks also exist. Northeast of the barracks, two offices and the issue room of the 1883 commissary (HB-37) have been restored with one office and the issue room also being refurnished. In the other office exhibits referencing the daily ration, the commissary sergeant, and supply problems are displayed. The storehouse portion of the building to the rear remains as a ruin. A roof has been added to what was the forage house (HB-39) as well, which the park uses as a storage facility. At the cavalry stables and corrals (HB-41) behind the barracks, only part of an adobe shop on the north end remains beyond the foundations. Essentially only foundations remain as well of what was the new quartermaster storehouse (HB-38), the oil house, the quartermaster stables and corrals (HB-40), and the old commissary and quartermaster offices and storehouses (HB-32 through HB 35). 179

Among the structures in Hospital Canyon, remnants of the hospital complex are quite visible (see Figure 135). Among these, the post hospital (HB-46) and the hospital storehouse (HB-47) have been partially restored. Additions to the hospital in particular have included a new roof and exterior porch and the reconstruction of portions of exterior walls. The other two structures have received new roofs. Ruins also remain of the hospital steward's quarters (HB-48) and the hospital privy (HB-52), while the foundations remain of the hospital laundry (HB-50). Behind the hospital, the magazine has been partially restored as well. 180

179 Welsh, p. 106.
180 Ibid., pp. 176-177.
An additional cluster of second fort structures remains at the park’s north boundary. This is comprised of the pump house (HB-53) and foundation of the second water tank (HB-221), which were both affiliated with the piped water system.

Modern buildings erected by the Park Service are concentrated in a complex on the eastern edge of the park, near where the second fort’s sawmill (HB-213) was located (see site plans for NPS period). These structures include housing for park personnel and a maintenance shop.

_Circulation_

_Roads_

Clearly visible evidence of the San Antonio-El Paso Road continues to exist within the National Park Service boundary today. Although the first fort was erected within 1,000 feet of the road, the second fort was created immediately adjacent to it. To the contemporary visitor to the site, one sees the well-defined path of this dirt road running between remnants of the second fort’s quartermaster and cavalry corrals (HB-40 and HB-41) and quartermaster storehouse (HB-34), to the east, and the enlisted men’s barracks (HB-20 and HB-21), to the west (see figure 16). Signs of the road running to the north and east from this area in the form of depressions are fainter, but still visible (see Figure
3). The Park Service recognizes the road’s existence to the public through signage and on maps in pamphlets and other publications.\textsuperscript{181}

Traces of other historic roads exist in the form of depressions as well, most noticeably portions of the second fort roads that Greene identified as “Road No. 1,” “Road No. 2,” and “Road No. 5,” which are described in the second fort period’s discussion of circulation systems (see Figure 3). The contemporary presence of these roads is marked with hatched lines on the historical base maps included with Greene’s \textit{Historic Resource Study}.

A modern asphalt road constructed by the Park Service also runs from the entrance gate at the park’s east boundary on Texas Highway 17/118 to the visitor parking lot, which is south of the visitor center and offices located in HB-20 (see site plans for NPS period and Figure 16). A spur off of this road also travels to the modern building complex on the eastern edge of the site that contains park personnel housing and a maintenance shop.

\textbf{Trails}

In the 1960s, the Park Service built a series of trails at the site for visitors to observe wildlife and to view the park from higher elevations (see site plans for NPS period). One such trail, known as the “Tall Grass Nature Trail,” leads from the northeast corner of officers’ row and then to the northeast along the eastern slope of the base of the North

Ridge. Eventually, the trail winds higher to the top of the North Ridge where it meets a second trail called the “North Ridge Trail.” This trail traverses back to the southwest along the top of the ridge to a scenic overlook above the north end of officers’ row. From there, the trail leads along the top of the ridge to the west above Hospital Canyon. Toward the rear of the canyon, it is met by a third trail that runs down the slope of the ridge into the canyon known as the “Hospital Canyon Trail.” This trail leads from there toward the front of Hospital Canyon to its starting point to the rear of the second fort’s hospital (HB-46). A fourth trail known as the “Cemetery Trail” runs north from the northern end of the parade ground to what was the Post Cemetery of the second fort (HB-92). Finally, a fifth path, which was built in the 1970s, travels from the visitor parking lot to a scenic overlook on the slope of Sleeping Lion Mountain, directly south of officers’ row.\(^{182}\)

**Constructed Water Features**

On the south side of Texas Highway 118 and just south of Limpia Creek, remnants from the second fort’s piped water system exist in the form of a circular masonry wall built around the former well and the masonry walls of the former pump house (HB-53). To the south of this site on the path of the old water line, a metal pipe runs vertically out of the ground at the masonry foundation of the second water tank (HB-221). Further south on this path, remnants exist as well of the earthen and masonry embankment (HB-91) that

\(^{182}\) Welsh, p. 117.
once supported the water line as it ran across an arroyo just west of the second fort’s official post cemetery.

In the park’s southeast corner, other remains from the second fort period include a circular masonry wall around the spring (HB-141) at the cottonwood grove (see Figure 21) and the remains of irrigation ditches (HB-142) that ran from the spring directly east to the garden. The ditches are presently lined with large cottonwood trees. At the rear of the commanding officers’ quarters (HB-7) remains a masonry cistern as well.183

Land Use
At the focal point of the park, the Park Service has attempted to restore the parade ground to its appearance during the first period of the second fort, with the flag staff at its center. This has been carried out through the planting of grass as well as regular watering and mowing.

To the north of the parade ground, remains still exist as well of the Post cemetery of the second fort (HB-92). This location is marked by Park Service signage, and Greene notes that “the remains of embankments can be seen in the area which may have been low adobe dividing walls or plot enclosures.”

183 Greene, pp. 389-390.
As mentioned in the discussion concerning constructed water features, the presence of the second fort’s garden near the spring is denoted by the remains of irrigation ditches (HB-142) within the cottonwood grove.

Outside the bounds of the park, scars of an abandoned quarry may be seen on the northeast slope of Dolores Mountain as one approaches the town of Fort Davis on Texas Highway 118. This site appears to the left when passing over the last hill before entering town.\(^{184}\)

Turning to modern land uses, during a portion of the year the Park Service uses an area toward the rear of Hospital Canyon to manufacture soil cement adobes that are used for the restoration of structures and the demarcation of the footprints of foundations. Furthermore, the park has established a picnic area with wooden tables at the cottonwood grove near the spring.

**Small-Scale Features**

Very few remains of small-scale features from either the first or second fort exist on the Fort Davis landscape today. The most noteworthy remnants of original features are in the form of concrete and stone abutments in several locations along the south drainage ditch (HB-249 through HB-253) as well at one site (HB-136) behind the hospital that supported wagon and foot bridges from the period of the second fort.
Figure 17. Photograph, Fort Davis, Texas. 1867-1880. (Source: Author.)
The Park Service has added several additional features. In an effort to restore the appearance of the second fort’s parade ground, it has erected a flagstaff at center, where the flagstaff (HB-240) was situated between circa 1870 and 1884. In terms of modern features, the park has placed interpretive signs at the location of most historic structures, ruins, and sites for the purposes of identification and explanation. Additional interpretive signs have been placed along all of the park’s trails and scenic overlooks, with those along the former identifying and describing natural vegetation. Benches have also been placed for the comfort of visitors on the front porches of several structures, including two of the barracks (HB-20 and HB-21) and the hospital (HB-46).\textsuperscript{185}

\textit{Spatial Organization and Cluster Arrangement}

The spatial organization of the site today is comprised of landscape features from five of the six historic periods since European contact in the area, with the Civil War period being the only exception. Features from the period of the second fort are most prominent, as this was the period of greatest fort development and the most recent period of historic significance. The Park Service has concentrated its restoration and preservation efforts on these features, especially those around the parade ground, in attempting to create a visual representation of the fort as it existed in the 1880s (see Figures 16, 17, and 18).

As was the case during that period, the site today is focused around what was the second fort’s rectangular parade ground, with a flagstaff at its center and the park’s most

\textsuperscript{185} Greene, pp. 390, 395-396; Williams, review comments to author.
prominent cluster of historic structures surrounding it. The parade ground is bordered to the west by the partially restored and reconstructed officers’ row. Just behind these structures is a line of foundations of the barracks of the first fort that were uncovered through archaeological excavations. To the parade ground’s east are the remains of a row the former second fort barracks. While two of these structures (HB-20 and HB-21) look similar to how they would have originally appeared through their partial restoration and reconstruction, the other former barracks consist solely of foundation outlines. The southernmost former barrack (HB-20) is a center of activity at the park today, as it houses park offices, the visitor center, museum and auditorium and public restrooms. The parade ground is bordered to the north and south by the remains of two other historic company streets. The former company road bisecting the parade ground between the commanding officers’ quarters to the barracks have been restored by the Park Service as well.

Just behind this line of former barracks and to the east of the main cluster of features, are traces of the San Antonio-El Paso Road, which was built during the period immediately preceding the erection of the first fort. Just east of this road is another cluster of foundations, including those of the quartermaster and cavalry corrals and stables and quartermaster storehouse (HB-38), as well as the partially restored forage house (HB-39) (see Figure 16).

Leading from the park’s main entrance gate at Texas Highway 17/118 and then between what were the cavalry corrals and the cottonwood grove is the modern asphalt entrance
road, which takes visitors to a modern parking lot directly southeast of the visitor center (see Figure 16). A modern sidewalk and bridge lead from the parking lot to the visitor center. A trail built by the Park Service also leads to the southwest from the parking lot up the slope of Sleeping Lion Mountain to a scenic overlook directly south of officers' row. Just inside the entrance gate, a spur off the entrance road leads to a cluster of modern park housing and maintenance facilities, which have been shielded from the historic core of the park by evergreen trees.

In the area of the spring and historic cottonwoods, remnants from the second fort period include irrigation ditches and a circular masonry wall around what was the spring (see Figure 21). The Park Service has added modern picnic benches to this cluster of features.

To the north of the parade ground are remnants representing essentially the same spatial arrangement as that described during the period of the second fort (see site plan for NPS period). The ruins of the post chapel and foundation of the post headquarters lie together at the northeast corner of the parade field. A row of remains of two-story officers' quarters, officer kitchen, servants' quarters, and married enlisted men's quarters runs northeast from the north end of officers' row, including three partially reconstructed structures (HB-14, HB-18, and HB-151) and several foundations.
Figure 18. Photograph. First and second fort alignments. 1999 (Source: Author)
Leading from this area to the north is the modern “Cemetery Trail,” which runs to the location of the Post Cemetery of the second fort. The modern “Tall Grass Nature Trail” also runs from the north end of officers’ row to the northeast along the slope of the base of the North Ridge. Traces of what were married soldiers’ quarters in the area of this trail have essentially disappeared. Through close inspection in this area, though, one may discern what was the path of the second fort’s piped water system traversing northeast and then north up the slope of the northeastern extreme of the North Ridge to what was the masonry foundation of the second water tank (HB-221) (see site plan for NPS period). Although the former path of the water pipe down the north side of the North Ridge toward Limpia Creek is virtually indiscernible, its former endpoint is visible just to the south of Texas Highway 118 at a cluster of features that includes the remains of what was the water system’s steam pump house (HB-53) and well.

The floor of Hospital Canyon contains a large concentration of footprints of first fort structures that have been largely represented by the Park Service through the placement of either soil cement adobes or vertical wooden stakes (see Figures 14, 18, and 19). These footprints are found in two clusters along the foothill of the North Ridge, one being to the west and northwest of the second fort’s hospital complex and the second situated to the north and somewhat east of that complex. These footprints represent first fort foundations excavated by the Park Service in 1968. Many other first fort structures were in Hospital Canyon, but to date their foundations have not been excavated.
The remnants of the hospital complex represent the other cluster of structures within the canyon, including the partially restored hospital building (HB-46) and storeroom (HB-47). Also located in the canyon are the remains of drainage ditches and earthen berms, which were described in the previous section concerning the topography of the second fort period (see Figures 18 and 20). In addition, traces of a series of historic roads are principally located to the rear of officers’ row and running along the north and south canyon walls. As the road that runs along the south canyon wall approaches the rear of the canyon, it passes an area where the Park Service periodically produces unamended and soil cement adobes. Further to the northwest the road approaches the front of a structure that once housed the Fort Davis Boys Camp. Also running along the foothill of the North Ridge within the canyon is the “Hospital Canyon Trail,” which was constructed by the Park Service to be used by the public. This trail winds to the top of the North Ridge where it joins the “North Ridge Trail,” which leads to another scenic overlook above officers’ row. The trail also leads down the slope of the ridge to the north end of officers’ row.

**Topography**

Each of the drainage ditches built at the time of the second fort, which are described in the “Topography” section affiliated with that period, remains today, although they apparently have been filled somewhat with sediment (see Figures 18 and 20). The
earthen embankment built to the rear of officers’ row during the same period also remains but not to the height it was during the period of the second fort (see Figure 20).

Vegetation
In comparing the condition of vegetation between the period of the second fort and the present, Nelson’s 1981 vegetation study states that “[t]he overall pattern of vegetation types postulated for the 1880-1900 period appears very similar to that of today.” However, the general differences are that areas dominated by grasses have diminished today, while those covered with desert plants, shrubs, and juniper trees have increased. He attributes these changes to both decreases in annual precipitation since the 19th century and the absence of large numbers of grazing animals, including goats on ridge slopes.\(^\text{186}\)

Looking at specific zones of the site, the flat plain that represents the core area of the second fort, including the parade ground and the area where most structures are located, is covered generally with grama grass today. In some parts of this zone, the presence of mormon tea, yucca, and mesquite have increased significantly since the 1880s. Although grass is regularly mowed today on the parade ground and around the core area of historic structures, it provides greater ground cover today compared to its well-grazed condition in historic photographs that date to the period of the Army’s occupation (compare Figures

---

\(^{186}\) Nelson, pp. 67, 72-73.
1 and 11 to Figure 15). On officers’ row, one historic tree remained as of July 1988 (in front of HB-2), and other trees (cottonwood and desert willow) have been planted and maintained in front of other buildings there since the establishment of the park (see Figures 15, 17, and 18). The park also has adopted a policy to attempt to limit the size of the trees in front of officers’ row to the approximate size of trees there in the 1880s.187

The cottonwood trees near the spring in the southeast part of the site are generally remnants of the period of the Army’s occupation of the site, although the number of trees in the grove appears to have decreased considerably (see Figure 21). When the park was established, the grove was overgrown with brush, which park personnel removed in the 1960s.188

In Hospital Canyon, the oak savannah continues to persist (see Figure 20), but the numbers of oak trees has decreased significantly since the second fort period, especially between officers’ row and the hospital. Some areas formerly covered with grass in this zone have also been taken over by brush. The park has attempted to decrease the amount of brush there in order to better approximate its historic appearance.189

The slopes and tops of Sleeping Lion Mountain and the North Ridge also have become covered with a much heavier density of brush, especially catclaw. This was not the case

188 Historic Tree Management Plan, p. 5; Welsh, p. 168.
during the second fort period, mainly due to the fact that goats browsed these areas then (compare Figure 1 to Figure 17 and Figure 10 to Figure 16). The numbers of trees in these areas have increased somewhat as well.\textsuperscript{190}

Furthermore, the Park Service has planted evergreen trees around the sides of the complex of park housing and maintenance facilities that face the parade ground in order to shield those modern structures from the view of visitors.

\textit{Views and Vistas}

In addition to the views of the natural landscape described in the section concerning the first fort period, it should be noted that the Park Service has established two scenic overlook locations. One is located on the lower slope of the south end of Sleeping Lion Mountain, just above where the second fort’s sutler’s complex (HB-291 through HB-294) was located. It provides a view of almost all of the buildings, ruins, and other features of the site, with the exception of those in the northern part. The second scenic overlook is situated atop the North Ridge on the “North Ridge Trail,” more than 200 feet above officers’ row. Due to its location and elevation, one may see from it an even greater part of the site than provided by the other overlook, including areas even further north. The park has created one additional viewpoint at the north end of the North Ridge where one

\textsuperscript{190} Nelson, p. 71.
may see the remains of the pump house and well associated with the second fort’s water
system as well as Limpia Creek.
SECTION 5: STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

The Fort Davis cultural landscape is significant for the period from 1854 to 1891 in that it contains one of the most intact surviving examples of a latter 19th century military complex in the U.S. Southwest. In this respect, the still largely-surviving spatial arrangement of the second fort, and to a lesser extent the first fort, reflects the U.S. Army's planning policies at that time for the design of forts. It also demonstrates the Army's adaptation of these policies to the local environment though remnants of irrigation and drainage ditches and a steam-powered water supply system. The environmental factors leading to this adaptation are still largely exhibited at the site due to the relatively small change that has occurred in the environmental setting there since the fort's occupation. In these ways, the landscape meets National Register significance criterion C.

The remnants of this military landscape also retain national significance because they fundamentally reflect 19th century conflict between the United States and Native Americans as the nation's territory and ensuing settlement expanded westward. The landscape holds social value as a place representative of the opportunity sought and hardships experienced by U.S. citizens in general in the 19th century western frontier. It also contains social value as a place where a large number of African-American troops exercised their newly-acquired freedom and opportunity following the Emancipation Proclamation of 1863. Equally important is the social value that resides there as a place
representing the nation's dark past in its systematic pursuit of the destruction of Native American inhabitants. In these ways, the site meets National Register significance criterion A.

In 1848, the United States gained the territories now comprised of New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada, and California through the Mexican-American War. Fort Davis was established in 1854 for the purpose of protecting the San Antonio to El Paso segment of a transcontinental road to those territories and for defending the west Texas frontier from Native American attacks. The fort was strategically located near the road's intersection by the Great Comanche War Trail and routes used by Mescalero Apache parties in raiding settlements in Mexico. Its placement was also influenced by the nearby water source at Limpia Creek and shelter provided from harsh northerly winds by the cliffs of Hospital Canyon. Remnants of the El Paso Road as well as the natural factors that affected the fort's placement remain at the site today. Although the Army initially built the fort as a relatively loose configuration of temporary structures, after the Civil War they established a more formally planned and permanent military complex based upon Army regulations of the period. The Army responded to the natural environment during the evolution of the second fort by creating irrigation ditches that watered the post gardens, drainage ditches and earthworks used for flood control, and a steam-powered water supply system. This second fort was mainly occupied by four regiments of African-American troops and was involved in a number of offensive operations directed at Native Americans. The most notable of these operations was carried out against Apaches under the leadership of
Victorio during 1879 and 1880. In 1891, the Army abandoned the fort as a result of the end of the threat posed by Native Americans in the region.
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SECTION 6: DOCUMENTATION PACKAGE

Part A: List of Historic Features

The following list identifies historic features at Fort Davis National Historic Site and indicates the Historic Building (HB) numbers that have been assigned to each of them by the National Park Service. These numbers should be used to read the site plans that accompany this work. (Source: Greene, pp. 385-404)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HB#</th>
<th>Historic feature description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lieutenant’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Captain’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lieutenant’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lieutenant’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Captain’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lieutenant’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Commanding Officer’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lieutenant’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Captain’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lieutenant’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lieutenant’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Captain’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Lieutenant’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Officers’ or Noncommissioned Officer’s Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Officers’ or NCOs’ Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>NCOs’ Quarters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

155
Married Men’s Quarters
Officers’ or NCOs’ Quarters
Quarters and Office
Barracks
Barracks
Barracks
Band Barracks
Infantry Barracks
Barracks
Barracks
Chapel
Post Headquarters
Guardhouse 2
Bakery
Old Commissary Storehouse; Ordnance Storehouse
Commissary Office
Quartermaster’s Office and Storehouse
Quartermaster Sergeant’s Residence
Ordnance Shed; Commissary Sergeant’s Quarters
New Commissary Storehouse
New Quartermaster’s Storehouse
39  Forage House; Hay and Wood Yard
40  Quartermaster Corral
41  Cavalry Corral; Cavalry Saddle Shop; Cavalry Stables
42  Sutler’s Store
43  Telegraph Office
44  Sutler’s Residence
45  Commanding Officer’s Stables
46  Hospital
47  Hospital Storehouse; Hospital Laundry
48  Hospital Steward’s Quarters
49  Magazine
50  Hospital Laundry; Hospital Storehouse
51  Hospital Woodhouse
52  Hospital Privy
53  Steam Pumphouse
61  Oil House
62  Sawmill Shed
72  Unidentified Ruin
73  Unidentified Ruin
74  Temporary Second Fort Hospital
90  Waterpipe Line
91  Masonry Water Pipe Support Embankment
92  Cemetery
125  Drainage Ditch and Dike System
130  Historic Manhole
131  Historic Manhole
133  Runoff Channel
135  Stables
136  Portable Footbridge Abutment
139  Hearth Closet
140  Kiln
141  Spring
142  Irrigation Ditch
143  Old Bridge Crossing
144  “Rainbow” Bridge
150  Privy for HB-18
151  Kitchen for HB-18
152  Privy for HB-48, Hospital Steward’s Quarters
200  Married Men’s Quarters
201  Married Men’s Quarters
202  Married Men’s Quarters
203  Married Men’s Quarters
204  Married Men’s Quarters
205  Married Men’s Quarters
206 First Post Chapel and Schoolhouse
207 Unfinished Hospital
210 Unidentified Structure
213 Hay and Wood Yard
217 Kitchen for HB-7
218 Privy for HB-22, HB-23
220 First Water Tank
221 Second Water Tank
224 Privy for HB-202
228 Privy for HB-16
229 Privy for HB-15
230 Privy for HB-14
231 Privy for HB-13
232 Privy for HB-24
233 Privy for HB-25
234 Flagstaff Site, 1885-1891
235 Privy for HB-9, ca. 1870
236 Privy for HB-7, ca. 1870
237 Privy for HB-7, ca. 1870
238 Privy for HB-6, ca. 1870
239 Privy for HB-5, ca. 1870
240 Flagstaff Site, ca. 1875-1884
241  Privy for HB-23
242  Privy for HB-22
243  Privy for HB-21
244  Privy for HB-20
246  Enclosing Wall
249  Bridge
251  Bridge
252  Bridge
253  Bridge
254  Privy for HB-12, after 1881
255  Privy for HB-11, after 1881
256  Privy for HB-10
257  Double Privy for HB-9, after 1881
258  Double Privy for HB-8, after 1881
259  Privy for HB-7, after 1881
260  Privy for HB-6, after 1881
261  Privy for HB-5, after 1881
263  Privy for HB-3, after 1881
264  Privy for HB-2, after 1881
265  Privy for HB-1, after 1881
266  Privy for HB-1
267  Kitchen for HB-1, after 1880
268 Kitchen for HB-2, after 1880
269 Kitchen for HB-3, after 1880
270 Kitchen for HB-4, after 1880
271 Kitchen for HB-5, after 1880
272 Kitchen for HB-6, after 1880
273 Kitchen for HB-8, after 1880
274 Kitchen for HB-9, after 1880
275 Kitchen for HB-10, after 1880
276 Kitchen for HB-11, after 1880
277 Kitchen for HB-12, after 1880
278 Servant's Room for HB-12
279 Kitchen for HB-13, after 1880
280 Privy for HB-13, after 1881
281 Kitchen for HB-13, 1870-1890
283 Kitchen for HB-10, 1870-1890
284 Kitchen for HB-9, 1870-1875
285 Kitchen for HB-8, 1870-1875
286 Kitchen or Servant's Quarters for HB-7, 1870-1875
287 Kitchen or Servant's Quarters for HB-7, 1870-1875
288 Kitchen for HB-6, 1870-1890
289 Kitchen for Officer's Mess, 1867-1870
299 First Guard House
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>Trash Dump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>Corrals and Wagon Yard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>Barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>Laundress House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>Laundress House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>Laundress House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>Laundress House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>Laundress House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>Laundress House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>Trash Dump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>Trash Dump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>Laundress House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314</td>
<td>Laundress House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>&quot;Maggie's&quot; House and Kitchen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316</td>
<td>Trash Dump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321</td>
<td>Officer's Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322</td>
<td>Officer's Quarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>Officer's Kitchen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>Trash Dump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>Officer's Quarters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Barracks, 1856-1861

Barracks, 1856-1861; Guardhouse, 1860-1861

Flagstaff

Sutler’s Store

Commissary and Quartermaster’s Warehouse

Unidentified Building

Temporary Commissary Warehouse

Temporary Quartermaster Storehouse

Temporary Quartermaster Storehouse

Bakery Second Oven, 1857-1861

Bakery, 1854-1862

Blacksmith Shop, 1854-1860

Officer’s Quarters

Officer’s Quarters

First Guardhouse, 1854-ca. 1858

Temporary Quartermaster Storehouse

Magazine

Adjutant’s Office
Part B: Site Plans

See the accompanying site plans for the following time periods:

- First Fort Period (1854-1862)
- Second Fort Period (1867-1873)
- Second Fort Period (1874-1891)
- National Park Service Period (1961-Present)
Part C: 3D-Landscape Models

See the accompanying 3D-landscape models produced using ESRI ArcView™ 3.2 Geographic Information System (GIS) for the following time periods:

- First Fort Period (1854-1862)
- Second Fort Period (1867-1873)
- Second Fort Period (1874-1891)
- National Park Service Period (1961-Present)

Please refer to site plans for each period to identify features.