KOP 9 & 10 - Las Vegas Bay Alt
Lakeshore Dr - ~5 miles from KOP 9 by road

Form 8400–1
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC QUALITY FIELD INVENTORY

Date: March 24, 2024
District: SCVP - Lakeshore Dr
Resource Area:
Scenic quality rating unit:

1. Evaluators (name): Lynn Bewdige Kelly Shook Jeff Getler

2. LANDSCAPE CHARACTER (Feature)

	a. LANDFORM/WATER	b. VEGETATION	c. STRUCTURE (General)
FORM	Meandering road - Through slight rise/dips in elevation. Water through some of the area	sparse	Surroundly structure rolling hills - little Variation
LINE	Steady line with little abrupt changes	Gray-green w/ little variation - Sparse	
COLOR	Gray-green - w/ lake to east		
TEXTURE	Smooth w/ rock background - Rock formations		

3. Narrative

4. SCORE (Circle Appropriate Level)*

	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE
a. Landform	5	(3)	1	Based on surrounding terrain
b. Vegetation	5	3	(1)	Very low veg
c. Water	(5)	3	0	Water seen from views
d. Color	5	(3)	1	Break in color is little
e. Adjacent Scenery	5	(3)	0	Not unique
f. Scarcity	(5+)	3	1	Based on lake view
g. Cultural Modification	2	(0)		little mod. except roads
TOTALS	10 + 9	+1		20

SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION

- ☐ A 19 or more
- ☐ B – 12–18
- ☐ C – 11 or less

(Instructions on reverse)

Sensitivity high.

KOP 11 - Las Vegas Bay alt - Las Vegas Bay

Form 8400–1
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC QUALITY FIELD INVENTORY

Date 03-24-04
District BCOP
Resource Area Las Vegas Bay
Scenic quality rating unit Scenic overlook

1. Evaluators (name-1)

Lynn Burdidge Kelly Shade Jeff Reller

2. LANDSCAPE CHARACTER (Feature)

	a. LANDFORM/WATER	b. VEGETATION	c. STRUCTURE (General)
FORM	rolling hills - lake view	Mojave desert scrub - usual	rolling hills - long mountain back
LINE	steady - broken by water	-	
COLOR	brown to grey	gray/green	long of lake & usual hue
TEXTURE	smooth to rocky	low canopy	

3. Narrative

Area vista views - Panoramic beauty - Best view from lookout is toward the exit portal -

4. SCORE (Circle Appropriate Level)*

	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE
a. Landform	(5)	3	1	typical quality
b. Vegetation	5	3	(1)	not unusual
c. Water	(5)	3	0	lake view
d. Color	(5)	3	1	lake is the only not scenery
e. Adjacent Scenery	5	3	(0)	
f. Scarcity	(5+)	3	1	view of lake or desert
g. Cultural Modification	2	(0)	~~1~~	road/structures
TOTALS	20	+0	should	20

SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION

- ☑ A 19 or more
- ☐ B – 12–18
- ☐ C – 11 or less

(Instructions on reverse)

Sensitivity Level is H

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area Kop-1
Activity (program) SCOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name: SCOP.
2. Key Observation Point: #1 @ DI. & Wash.
3. VRM Class: IV
4. Location
   Township
   Range
   Section
5. Location Sketch: Used KOP. 1-1-JPS. looking NW West toward CHV treatment plan

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	See form 8400.1 for existing conditions		
LINE			
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	Landscape/Form of H2O would be less flow	Cleared - disturbed.	Visible @ eye level for - no new structures @ this KOP.
LINE	Stream channel remain the same.	"	"
COLOR	No change.	"	"
TEXTURE	No change	"	"

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form		✓					✓			✓		
Line		✓					✓			✓		
Color		✓					✓			✓		
Texture		✓					✓			✓		

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side) VRM Class IV

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names: Lynn Bowdidge — Date: 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area KOP2.
Activity (program) SCOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCOP
2. Key Observation Point #2 L.V. Wash
3. VRM Class III
4. Location
Township
Range
Section
5. Location Sketch
Nature trail @ Duck Creek @ L.V. Wetlands Park
photos KOP 2.1 & 2.2.

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		See form 8400-1	
LINE		for existing conditions	
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	no change - because project will not be visible from the		
LINE	Public Viewing area		
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form												
Line												
Color												
Texture												

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side)

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)
no change in viewshed

Evaluator's Names — Date
Lynn Bowdidge 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area KOP3
Activity (program) SCOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCOP.
2. Key Observation Point #3. Chattrail View
3. VRM Class IV
4. Location
Township
Range
Section
5. Location Sketch from Rainbow Gardens Rd. toward Wetlands Park -
KOP PHOTS 3.1, 3.2, 3.3

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		See form 8400-1	
LINE		for existing conditions -	
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	no visible water land form would not change -	Veg - no chg. w/be covered by veg -	no proposed structures
LINE	chat trail would not be visible from this point -		
COLOR	no chge!		
TEXTURE	"		

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1)				VEGETATION (2)				STRUCTURES (3)			
	Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
ELEMENTS: Form												
Line												
Color												
Texture												

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side) VRM II
3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names — Date
Lynn Bowdidge 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area Terminus Area
Activity (program) SCOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCOP
2. Key Observation Point KOP 4
3. VRM Class IV

4. Location
Township
Range
Section

5. Location Sketch
EI - terminus site

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		See form 8400-1	
LINE		for existing conditions	
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	no change	previously cleared of vegetation	minimal change - low lying structure
LINE	break of line where building/wall		Building forms horizontal/ vertical line - Does not affect Background view
COLOR	blends with surrounding area		blends with surroundig
TEXTURE	change from broken/ dirt to smooth of stucco		

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form				✓			✓			✓		
Line				✓			✓			✓		
Color			✓				✓				✓	
Texture			✓				✓				✓	

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side) ✓ Rm IV

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names: Lynn Bowdidge
Date: 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area C-1 channel
Activity (program) SCOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCOP
2. Key Observation Point #5
3. VRM Class IV
4. Location
Township
Range
Section
5. Location Sketch Las Vegas Wash / C-1 Channel

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		See form 8400-1	
LINE		for existing	conditions
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	channel lined - bridge does not affect the channel	spurce	adds elevation to lined channel
LINE	no change	"	curved bridge adds vertical interest to channel path
COLOR	no change	"	blends w/ channel
TEXTURE	no change	"	no change

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form			✓				✓				✓	
Line			✓				✓				✓	
Color			✓				✓				✓	
Texture			✓				✓				✓	

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side) VRM IV

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names — Date

Lynn Bowdidge 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-07
District
Resource Area Lake Mead Dr. @ 3 Kids Mine
Activity (program) SCOP.

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCOP
2. Key Observation Point 6
3. VRM Class IV
4. Location
   Township
   Range
   Section
5. Location Sketch Lake Mead Dr - near 3 Kids Mine/ Magic Way -

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		see form 8400-1	
LINE		for existing conditions	
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	no water - No change to form.	Some additional veg w/ be disturbed	N/A -
LINE	Scar from trenching & some - would be visible -		N/A - none visible from KOP
COLOR	no change -		
TEXTURE	broken	broken -	

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☐ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form												
Line												
Color												
Texture												

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side) Class IV

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names — Date

Lynn Bowdidge 4-16-07

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area SCOP - KQP7
Activity (program)

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name: SCOP
2. Key Observation Point: #7 - CCWRD
3. VRM Class: IV

4. Location
Township
Range
Section

5. Location Sketch: north side of wash @ Telephone Line Rd - @ CCWRD discharge point photo: 7.1

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	See form 8400-1 for existing conditions		
LINE			
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	water is below line of sight	except for wash - the area is clear of veg -	structure would be below line of sight from Telephone Line Rd.
LINE			
COLOR	brown - cleared area.		
TEXTURE	rough -		

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☐ ~~LONG~~ TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1)				VEGETATION (2)				STRUCTURES (3)			
	Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
ELEMENTS: Form												
Line												
Color												
Texture												

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☒ ~~No~~ Yes ☐ No
(Explain on reverse side) Class IV

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended
☐ Yes ☒ ~~No~~ (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names — Date
Lynn Bowdidge 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area Boulder Beach
Activity (program) SCUP Construction

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCUP
2. Key Observation Point #8 - Boulder Beach
3. VRM Class II

4. Location
Township
Range
Section

5. Location Sketch
Boulder Beach campground
KOP photos - 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		see form 8400-1 for existing conditions -	
LINE			
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION - Construction impacts -

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	water dominate feature - landform changed @ point of dredging -	N/A	Permanent structure = none barge will be visible from beach
LINE	line of sight would be drawn to barge & dredging equipment		silt screen would NOT be visably intrusive
COLOR	turbidity may change H_2O color to brown.		
TEXTURE	from smooth to broken		

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☑ SHORT TERM ☐ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form		✓								✓		
Line		✓								✓		
Color	✓									✓		
Texture		✓								✓		

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☐ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side) Partially - BLM discretion to allow

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended
☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names: Lynn Bowdidge
Date: 4-16-04