KOP-8 - Boulder Islands Alt.
Boulder Beach

Form 8400-1
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC QUALITY FIELD INVENTORY

Date 03-24-04
District SCOP
Resource Area ~~Castle~~ Boulder Isle -
Scenic quality rating unit
Boulder Beach

1. Evaluators (name-1
Lynn Bowdidge Kelly Shook Jeff Geller

2. LANDSCAPE CHARACTER (Feature)

	a. LANDFORM/WATER	b. VEGETATION	c. STRUCTURE (General)
FORM	land - mtns. ii lake flat -	Russian thistle	flat - w/ gutting mtns
LINE	steady line background mtn broken by lake - yes focal point	"	"
COLOR	Dominant blue - w/ line of brown/gray mtn.	-	blending colors - lake provides dominant mtns - provide framing
TEXTURE	rough landform. smooth H_2O	"	mts - rugged - rocky Beach gravelly water smooth

3. Narrative
looking east toward Boulder Islands -
description of area

mtns to water

4. SCORE (Circle Appropriate Level)*

	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE
a. Landform	5	3	1	- mix of color/texture
b. Vegetation	5	3	1	invasives
c. Water	5	3	0	
d. Color	5	3	1	focal point to colored rock east of Boulder Isle
e. Adjacent Scenery	5	3	0	
f. Scarcity	5+	3	1	water indesert
g. Cultural Modification	2	0	[illegible]	Built up recreation
TOTALS	15 + 6 + 1 = Camparea - 22			

SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION
☑ A 19 or more
☐ B – 12–18
☐ C – 11 or less

(Instructions on reverse)
not distinct w/in 5 miles

sensitivity level is H

Kop 9 & 10 - Las Vegas Bay alt
Lakeshore Dr - ≈ 5 miles from Kop 9 by road

Form 8400-1
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC QUALITY FIELD INVENTORY

Date March 24, 2004
District Scop - Lakeshore Dr
Resource Area
Scenic quality rating unit

1. Evaluators (name-1
Lynn Bowdidge Kelly Shook Jeff Getler

2. LANDSCAPE CHARACTER (Feature)

	a. LANDFORM/WATER	b. VEGETATION	c. STRUCTURE (General)
FORM	Meandering road - Through slight rise/dips in elevation. Water through some of the area	sparce	Surrounding structure rolling hills - little variation
LINE	Steady line with little abrupt changes	Gray-green w/ little variation - Sparce	
COLOR	Gray-green - w/ lake to east	—	
TEXTURE	Smooth w/in background - Rock formations		

3. Narrative

4. SCORE (Circle Appropriate Level)*

	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE
a. Landform	5	3	1	Based on ~~scenic~~ uniqueness
b. Vegetation	5	3	1	very low veg
c. Water	5	3	0	Water seen from views
d. Color	5	3	1	Break in color is lake
e. Adjacent Scenery	5	3	0	Not unique
f. Scarcity	5+	3	1	Based on lake view
g. Cultural Modification	2	0	[illegible]	little mod. except roads
TOTALS	10 + 9 + 1			20

SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION

☐ A 19 or more
☐ B – 12–18
☐ C – 11 or less

(Instructions on reverse)

Sensitivity high.

KOP 11 - Las Vegas Bay alt -
Las Vegas Bay

Form 8400-1
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SCENIC QUALITY FIELD INVENTORY

Date 03-24-04
District SCOP
Resource Area Las Vegas Bay -
Scenic quality rating unit scenic overlook

1. Evaluators (name-1)

Lynn Burdidge Kelly Shook Jeff Geller

2. LANDSCAPE CHARACTER (Feature)

	a. LANDFORM/WATER	b. VEGETATION	c. STRUCTURE (General)
FORM	rolling hills - lake view	Mojave desert scrub - usual	rolling hills - fore mountain back
LINE	steady broken by water	—	
COLOR	brown to grey	gray/green	low w/ lake & visual line
TEXTURE	smooth to lucky	low canopy	

3. Narrative

area vista views - panoramic beauty -
But view from lookout is toward the exit portal -

4. SCORE (Circle Appropriate Level)*

	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE
a. Landform	5	3	1	4 based on quality
b. Vegetation	5	3	1	not unusual
c. Water	5	3	0	lake view
d. Color	5	3	1	lake is the draw not scenery
e. Adjacent Scenery	5	3	0	
f. Scarcity	5+	3	1	view of lake in desert
g. Cultural Modification	2	0	4	road/structures on shore
TOTALS	20	+0		20

SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION

- [x] A 19 or more
- [ ] B – 12–18
- [ ] C – 11 or less

(Instructions on reverse)

Sensitivity Level is H

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area Kop-1
Activity (program) SCOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCOP.
2. Key Observation Point #1 @ D.I. & Wash.
3. VRM Class IV
4. Location
Township
Range
Section
5. Location Sketch used Kok. 1.1 JPS. looking no west toward CLV treatment plan

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	See form 8400.1 for existing conditions		
LINE			
COLOR			
TEX-TURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	Land same/ Form of H_2O would be less flow	Cleared-/disturbed.	visible @ eye level for no new structures @ this kop.
LINE	Stream channel remain the same.	"	
COLOR	no change.	"	"
TEX-TURE	No change	"	"

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form		✓					✓			✓		
Line		✓					✓			✓		
Color		✓					✓			✓		
Texture		✓					✓			✓		

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side) VRM Class IV

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names: Lynn Bowdidge
Date: 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area KOP 2.
Activity (program) SCOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCOP
2. Key Observation Point #2 L.V. Wash
3. VRM Class III
4. Location
Township
Range
Section
5. Location Sketch Nature trail @ Duck Creek @ L.V. Wetlands Park photos KOP 2.1 ; 2.2.

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		See form 8400-1	
LINE		for existing conditions	
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	no change - because project will not be visible from the		
LINE	Public Viewing area		
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form												
Line												
Color												
Texture												

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side)

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)
no change in viewshed

Evaluator's Names — Date

Lynn Bowdidge 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area KOP3
Activity (program) SCOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCOP.
2. Key Observation Point #3. Chat trail View
3. VRM Class IV
4. Location
Township
Range
Section
5. Location Sketch from Rainbow Gardens Rd. toward Wetlands Park -
kopphots 3.1, 3.2, 3.3

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		See form 8400-1 for existing conditions.	
LINE			
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	no visible water land form would not change - veg - no chg. w/be covered by veg -		no proposed structures
LINE	chat trail would not be visible from this point -		
COLOR	no chge!		
TEXTURE	"		

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form												
Line												
Color												
Texture												

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☐ Yes ☒ No (Explain on reverse side) VRM II
3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names — Date
Lynn Bowdidge 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area Terminus Area
Activity (program) SOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SOP
2. Key Observation Point FG
3. VRM Class IV

4. Location
Township
Range
Section

5. Location Sketch
EI - terminus site

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		See form 8400-1	
LINE		for existing conditions	
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	no change	previously cleared of vegetation	minimal change - low lying structure
LINE	break of line where building/wall		Building forms horizontal/ vertical line - Does not affect Background view
COLOR	blends with surrounding area		blends with surroundig
TEXTURE	change from broken/ dirt to smooth of stucco		

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

	FEATURES											
	LAND/WATER BODY (1)				VEGETATION (2)				STRUCTURES (3)			
ELEMENTS	Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form				✓			✓			✓		
Line				✓			✓			✓		
Color			✓				✓				✓	
Texture			✓				✓				✓	

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side) √ Rm IV

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names: Lynn Bowdidge
Date: 4-16-04

Form 8400-4
(September 1985)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

VISUAL CONTRAST RATING WORKSHEET

Date 4-16-04
District
Resource Area C-1 channel
Activity (program) SCOP

SECTION A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name SCOP
2. Key Observation Point ~~2~~ 5
3. VRM Class IV

4. Location
Township
Range
Section

5. Location Sketch Las Vegas Wash / C-1 Channel

SECTION B. CHARACTERISTIC LANDSCAPE DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM		See form 8400-1	
LINE		for existing	conditions
COLOR			
TEXTURE			

SECTION C. PROPOSED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

	1. LAND/WATER	2. VEGETATION	3. STRUCTURES
FORM	channel lined - bridge does not affect the channel	spurce	adds elevation to lined channel
LINE	no change	"	curved bridge adds vertical interest to channel path
COLOR	no change	"	blends w/ channel
TEXTURE	no change	"	no change

SECTION D. CONTRAST RATING ☐ SHORT TERM ☑ LONG TERM

1. DEGREE OF CONTRAST

ELEMENTS	FEATURES: LAND/WATER BODY (1) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	VEGETATION (2) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None	STRUCTURES (3) Strong	Moderate	Weak	None
Form			✓				✓				✓	
Line			✓				✓				✓	
Color			✓				✓				✓	
Texture			✓				✓				✓	

2. Does project design meet visual resource management objectives? ☑ Yes ☐ No (Explain on reverse side) VRM IV

3. Additional mitigating measures recommended ☐ Yes ☑ No (Explain on reverse side)

Evaluator's Names — Date

Lynn Bowdidge 4-16-04