

JEFF CAR 2015 S106 Final Meeting Notes #5, JULY 10, 2012

Topic: Continuation of Project Review Process & Discussion of Revised Draft PA

Call Information: 9 AM Central Time Call #: 866-712-4580 Passcode: 8485149#

Participants:

<i>Invited</i>	<i>Organization</i>	<i>Email</i>	<i>Participated</i>
Peggy Casey	FHWA	peggy.casey@dot.gov	
Greg Budd	FHWA	gregory.budd@dot.gov	
Louise Brodnitz	ACHP	lbrodnitz@achp.gov	X
Najah Duvall-Gabriel	ACHP	ngabriel@achp.gov	
Alan Edmonson	USCAE, St. Louis Regulatory Branch	Alan.R.Edmondson@usace.army.mil	
Matthew Mangan	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Marion IL Suboffice	Matthew_Mangan@fws.gov	
Charlie Scott	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Columbia Ecological Services Field Office	columbiaES@fws.gov	
Justin S. Coder	Sector Upper Mississippi River Command Center, Eighth District U.S. Coast Guard	Justin.S.Coder@uscg.mil	
Susan Trautman	Great River Greenway	strauman@grgstl.org	
Janet Wilding	Great River Greenway	jwilding@grgstl.org	
Judith Deel	MO Historic Preservation Office	Judith.deel@dnr.mo.gov	X
Bob Reeder	MO Department of Transportation	Robert.reeder@modot.mo.gov	X
Anne Haaker	IL Historic Preservation Agency	anne.haaker@illinois.gov	
Betsy Bradley	St. Louis Planning and Urban Design Agency	BradleyB@stlouiscity.com	
Walter L. Metcalfe, Jr.	CityArchRiver 2015 Foundation	wlmetcalfe@BryanCave.com	
Maggie Hales	CityArchRiver2015 Foundation	Maggie.hales@cityarchriver.org	X
Jenny Nixon	Metro Business Enterprises	jnixon@metrostlouis.org	X
Andrea Hunter	Osage Nation-Tribal Historic Preservation Officer	ahunter@osage-tribe.org	X
James Munkres	Osage Nation	jmunkres@osage-tribe.org	X
Thomas Gamble	Miami Tribe of Oklahoma	tgamble@miamination.com	
George Strack	Miami Tribe of Oklahoma	gstrack@miamination.com	
John Froman	Peoria Tribe of	jfroman@peoriatribe.com	

	Oklahoma		
Frank Hecksher	Peoria Tribe of Oklahoma	fhecksher@peoriatribes.com	
Jeff Durbin	NPS Washington Office	Jeffrey_Durbin@nps.gov	
Nick Chevance	NPS Midwest Region	Nicholas_Chevance@NPS.gov	
Kathy Schneider	NPS Midwest Region	Kathy_Schneider@nps.gov	
Don Stevens	NPS Midwest Region	Don_Stevens@nps.gov	X
Karin Roberts	NPS Midwest Region	Karin_Roberts@nps.gov	X
Dawn Bringelson	NPS Midwest Region	Dawn_Bringelson@nps.gov	X
Tim Schilling	NPS Midwest Region	Tim_Schilling@nps.gov	X
Mark Lynott	NPS Midwest Region	Mark_Lynott@nps.gov	
Tom Bradley	NPS Jefferson National Expansion Memorial	Tom_Bradley@nps.gov	
Frank Mares	NPS Jefferson National Expansion Memorial	Frank_Mares@NPS.gov	
Ann Honious	NPS Jefferson National Expansion Memorial	Ann_Honious@nps.gov	X
Kathryn Thomas	NPS Jefferson National Expansion Memorial	Kathryn_Thomas@nps.gov	X
Dan Niosi	NPS Environmental Quality Division	Dan_Niosi@nps.gov	
Greg Cody	NPS Denver Service Center	Greg_Cody@nps.gov	X
Margo Brooks	NPS Denver Service Center	Margo_Brooks@nps.gov	X
Ron Shields	NPS Denver Service Center	Ron_Shields@nps.gov	
Rich Kagiyama	NPS Denver Service Center	Rich_Kagiyama@nps.gov	
Chris Lewis	NPS Denver Service Center	Christopher_lewis@nps.gov	
Phil Lawrence	NPS Denver Service Center	Philip_lawrence@nps.gov	

I. Introductions

II. Review of Meeting #4 Draft Notes

- Draft notes from meeting #4 were accepted as final.

III. Review of Draft PA

- The revised draft was presented and discussed. Some topics of discussion and possible places where people may want to focus comments included:
 - Adding a section on archeological research and reporting under the mitigation section.
 - Adding more detail on archeological research process in general and who must be consulted during the development of scopes and reports.
 - Deleting the requirement in the inadvertent discoveries section (IX) that says all work will stop within 90 yards if something is found. We may want to include a stipulation that requires development of an archeological research design plan that can use initial core and geophysical research to help make a determination of when work stops

and what happens. Knowledge is too preliminary to put an arbitrary number on this now.

- o Adding a process to be sure that we have captured all the concerns that the public may bring up. We will be sure to explicitly ask this question when the draft PA goes to the public in order to try to capture and include in the PA any outstanding concerns.
- o Who the signatories will be (FHWA=probably & MODoT=probably not)
- o Tribal monitoring plan
- o Responses to comments on design & the process of incorporating new information into the design.
- o APE: Should it include the North Riverfront Industrial Historic District?

IV. Project Design Review Process & Effect Determinations/Mitigation Continued

- The group discussed the design process as laid out in the revised PA.
 - o The process could take up to 60 days for each package under review.
 - o Shortening the review for consulting parties is possible, but not desirable.
 - o Shortening the review for the public may be desirable so that parties can see what the public has to say prior to finishing their comments.
 - o Occasional extensions for review would be nice to build in.
 - o In general, the parties thought this process could work, but will review it in more depth.

V. Public Communication

- In general communication with the public via PEPC was thought to be a good idea. Public meeting can happen, but it will be left to Great River Greenways, CAR 2015 and the park to decide when these may make sense and will not be required.

VI. Introduction to PEPC

- Great River Greenways has a 20% schematic design package for Leonor K. Sullivan Blvd ready for early review. This will be used as a test case for organizing reviews by consulting parties.
- The design package should go up on PEPC within the next week or two. When it does, people will receive notification that it is available along with instructions on how to get to the file and comment on it.
- Those who have not yet read the training PDFs that were sent by Greg Cody last week and contacted Nicholas Chevance for access to PEPC should do so. Questions on using PEPC can be directed to Greg Cody, Margo Brooks or Nick Chevance.

VII. Cumulative/Connected Project Discussion (continued)

- Not discussed.

VIII. List of Meeting Agenda Topics (subject to change)

#	Date	Topic
1	06/08/12	PA Development Introductory Meeting & Optional Web Ex
2	6/19/12	Definition of Undertaking & APE
3	6/26/12	Review of new Undertaking Description and Continuation of APE Discussion/Identification of Historic Properties Discussion
4	7/3/12	Review of Outstanding Issues & Project Review Process & Effect

		Determination/Mitigation
5	7/10/12	Continuation of Project Review Process & Discussion of Revised Draft PA
6	7/24/12	Discussion of Final Draft Document

IX. Other Issues/Confirmation of Next Meeting Topic

- Next steps for PA Development
 - People are asked to get comments on the 7-5-12 draft of the PA to Greg Cody and Margo Brooks by July 17 (preferably in the morning). Comments may be in track changes, in the margins, or just typed separately.
 - Greg and Margo will consolidate comments into a new draft to send out by the end of the week for us to discuss on June 25.
 - This should get us close to a draft for public review sometime in August.
- Archeology
 - MWAC will share scope of work currently under development with SHPO, ACHP and Osage Nation
 - Work contemplated for the summer or fall is concentrated in the West entrance project area, which is likely to be first built
 - Osage Nation particularly interested in the garage area, but testing there will take place at a later time.

X. Next Meeting Date: July 24 @ 9 Central