

## JEFF CAR 2015 Design Review Team S106 Meeting FINAL NOTES

Meeting #21: July 25, 2014 9 AM-10 PM Central

### I. Attendance

Role	Name	Participated
DRT Member	Tom Bradley	X
DRT Member	Maggie Hales	
DRT Member	Vern Remiger	X
DRT Member	Judith Deel	X
DRT Member	Bill Hart	X
DRT Member	Karen Bode Baxter	
DRT Member	Ann Honious	X
DRT Member	Mark Miles	X
Advisor: National Trust	Jennifer Sandy	
Advisor: National Trust	Betsy Merritt	
Facilitator	Margo Brooks	X
Facilitator	Greg Cody	
JEFF	Kathryn Thomas	X
JEFF	Janet Wilding	X
NPS	Susan Dolan	
NPS	Tim Schilling	X
CAR2015	Anna Leavey	X
MVVA	James Smith	X
Trivers	Chris Ching	X
Trivers	Amy Huff	X
Cooper Robertson	Tom Wittrock	

### II. Lighting of the Park-Over-The-Highway

The DRT was informed that an agreement was reached between the park and CAR2015 to move forward with the backup lighting plan because the moonlighting was too complex to implement at this time. However, prior to installing the light poles in the center of the park, the team will measure ambient light levels and determine whether or not the additional poles need to be installed. Slight changes in location plus the ambient city light may reduce the numbers of poles needed. The project will not come back to the DRT because it is expected that the project will either stay the same as previously reviewed, or be improved.

### III. Old Cathedral Parking Lot Changes

James Smith of MVVA reviewed some proposed changes to the configuration of the parking lot to accommodate bus drop offs. Three options were given for the wall treatment: 1. limestone veneer on the exterior and CIP concrete on the interior (similar to existing) 2. limestone veneer on both faces, 3. Concrete formliner on one or both faces.

#### Discussion

The DRT determined that although the wall was built in the 1960s, it would not reopen the potential eligibility of the wall and instead concentrate on the appropriateness of the wall treatment. It must not look like it is part of the original Old Cathedral building. The park preferred limestone on both faces of the wall

because of this location being an entrance for many park visitors and their first glimpse of the park. The rest of the DRT agreed. The less expensive formliner option was discarded because although it may be possible to almost replicate the look of stone, there was concern that the mortar could not be replicated.

#### DRT Comments

The DRT agreed that limestone veneer on both wall faces would be the preferred option. Archeological monitoring is recommended for the demolition of the old wall and installation of the new wall.

#### **IV. Old Courthouse Package 1**

The DRT has two Old Courthouse packages for review. The first came at the beginning of the month. This includes temporary restrooms, accessibility ramps indoors and outdoors and temporary exhibits. This package needs to be contracted so that the park can accommodate visitors during the construction of the new museum entrance and grounds. Package 2 came more recently and includes long term changes to the building, including the installation of an elevator, new restrooms and new mechanical systems. Package 1 was formally discussed during the meeting. Preliminary questions on Package 2 were collected and will be answered at the next DRT meeting after everyone has a chance to review the package in depth.

#### Discussion

There was a question about water and electrical hook ups and foundations for the temporary restrooms and how this project will be phased with other projects in and around the Old Courthouse. Some details will still need to be worked through. The area has been surveyed archeologically, but Tim Schilling will provide formal comments. Archeological monitoring may be necessary although he does not expect there to be many issues. The design team will keep Tim informed.

There was a question about whether the plantings that are meant to screen outdoor equipment are evergreen and will screen well in the winter. The design team will find out and take this comment into account.

The SHPO office asked if archeology had been done in the proposed elevator pit. Tim Schilling answered that because it is below the concrete foundation slab, he would prefer to wait until construction began and have the contract provide his team several weeks to excavate the area properly. The SHPO agreed that would work. The design team will need to work with Tim to make sure that that provision is entered into the specifications.

#### DRT Comments

The DRT approved package 1 with the condition that the design team work with Tim Schilling to ensure that archeological monitoring of the temporary visitor center restrooms can be done.

The DRT will formally review package 2 at the next DRT meeting.

#### **VIII. Next DRT Meeting**

The next DRT meeting is anticipated to be August 15, 2014 at 9 am Central. The Old Courthouse Package 2 will be discussed.