

National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior

Water Resources Division  
Natural Resource Program Center

Technical Report NPS/NRWRD/NRTR-2005/xxx



**GOLDEN GATE  
NATIONAL RECREATION AREA**

**CALIFORNIA**

**WATER RESOURCES INFORMATION AND  
ISSUES OVERVIEW REPORT**

**DRAFT**

The National Park Service Water Resources Division is responsible for providing water resources management policy and guidelines, planning, technical assistance, training, and operational support to units of the National Park System. Program areas include water rights, water resources planning, regulatory guidance and review, hydrology, water quality, watershed management, watershed studies, and aquatic ecology.

### **Technical Reports**

The National Park Service disseminates the results of biological, physical, and social research through the Natural Resources Technical Report Series. Natural resources inventories and monitoring activities, scientific literature reviews, bibliographies, and proceedings of technical workshops and conferences are also disseminated through this series.

Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the National Park Service.

Copies of this report are available from the following:

National Park Service (970) 225-3500  
Water Resources Division  
1201 Oak Ridge Drive, Suite 250  
Fort Collins, CO 80525

National Park Service (303) 969-2130  
Technical Information Center  
Denver Service Center  
P.O. Box 25287  
Denver, CO 80225-0287

# Golden Gate National Recreation Area

## California

### Water Resources Information and Issues Overview Report

Don P. Weeks<sup>1</sup>

Technical Report NPS/NRWRD/NRTR- 2005/xxx

Month 2005

<sup>1</sup>Hydrologist, National Park Service, Water Resources Division, Denver, CO



United States Department of the Interior  
National Park Service



## CONTENTS

Contents.....	
List of Figures.....	
Executive Summary.....	
Introduction.....	
Water Resources Planning.....	
Location and Demography.....	
Description of Natural Resources.....	
Climate.....	
Physiography.....	
Geology.....	
Soils.....	
Hydrology.....	
Watersheds.....	
Surface Water.....	
Lakes and Ponds.....	
Rivers and Streams.....	
Wetlands.....	
Ground Water.....	
Coastal and Marine Water Resources.....	
Water Quality.....	
Air Quality.....	
Biological Resources.....	
Flora.....	
Threatened and Endangered Species.....	
Fauna.....	
Threatened and Endangered Species.....	
Fundamental Water Resources and Values.....	
Watershed Stakeholders.....	
Water Resources Legislation and Management.....	
Park Specific.....	
Federal.....	
State of California.....	
Water Rights.....	
Overview – NPS Policy and Authorities.....	
State Appropriative Water Rights.....	
Riparian Rights.....	
Federal Reserved Water Rights.....	
Freshwater Streams and Ponds.....	
Groundwater Aquifers and Springs.....	

Wetlands.....  
Coastal and Marine Water Resources.....  
Literature Cited .....

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1. The ‘new’ NPS framework for planning and decision making.....  
Figure 2. Location map for Golden Gate National Recreation Area.....  
Figure 3. San Francisco Bay Basin and Sub-Basins identified.....

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (see comments on revised document)

Comment [tlw1]: Don – consider pirating from the Fundamental Resources and Values draft document that I forwarded you

*This Water Resources Information and Issues Overview Report is one of several planning products offered by the NPS Water Resources Division that assist national park units with achieving or maintaining water resource integrity.*

Each park is encouraged to prepare a *Foundation for Park Planning and Management* report (Foundation report), which describes its purpose, significance, primary interpretive themes and special mandates, identifying and analyzing those resources and values determined to warrant primary consideration in park planning and management. The Foundation report may be developed as the first stage of a park's general management planning or independently of a General Management Plan (National Park Service, 2004a).

This Water Resource Information and Issues Overview report (WRIOs) is designed to support development of the Foundation report for Golden Gate National Recreation Area's (GOGA's) planning process and extend as a reference for the General Management Plan, which include Muir Woods National Monument (MUWO) and Ft. Point National Historic Site (FOPO). Discussions in this report include MUWO since fundamental water resources exist and warrant consideration in GOGA's planning process.

The primary objectives of this report are to 1) provide background for water resources and 2) identify and describe the fundamental water resources at GOGA and MUWO, along with the identification of stakeholders and laws and policies that apply to these fundamental water resources. Water is a fundamental resource at GOGA and MUWO. The park's water resources have been grouped into four categories, which include some overlap between categories (i.e., wetlands and coastal water resources). These four categories are:

1. Freshwater streams and ponds
2. Groundwater aquifer and springs
3. Wetlands
4. Coastal and Marine water resources

It should be noted that the contents of this report are limited to information acquired by the author during the time this report was prepared. As a result, descriptions of the GOGA's water resources vary in detail.

## INTRODUCTION

Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GOGA), established in 1972, comprises approximately 75,000 acres of primarily coastal lands in the San Francisco Bay Area. Water represents the lifeblood at GOGA, supporting natural processes, diverse habitats including federal and state-listed species, and recreation. Water resources range from freshwater streams and ponds to the San Francisco Bay estuary and the marine waters of the Pacific Ocean ~~and San Francisco Bay~~. Transitional environments also exist between freshwater and saltwater systems (including coastal lagoons) that support a diverse aquatic ecology.

The collision of geologic plates at the continent's edge has produced a complex assemblage of rock types and landforms along California's coast. This phenomenon, in combination with the unique geology, geography and climate of the Golden Gate, support an exceptional level of plant and animal diversity. GOGA protects this remnant coastal corridor of marine, estuarine, fluvial, and terrestrial ecosystems, which supports this biodiversity and serves as a refuge for one of the largest concentrations of rare, threatened and endangered species in the national park system. In recognition of this ecological significance, lands in GOGA ~~have~~ been designated as an International Biosphere by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and recognized by the Nature Conservancy as one of 25 global "hot spots" for species at risk of extinction. A fundamental resource essential toward the preservation of GOGA's biodiversity and threatened and endangered species is *WATER*.

In 2004, GOGA began the process to update their 1980 General Management Plan (GMP), which includes Muir Woods National Monument (MUWO, established in 1908) and Ft. Point National Historic Site (FOPO, established in 1970). A new GMP ~~was~~ needed to address some of the jurisdictional changes and new information and understanding about the park's resources. GOGA is following the new 2004 Park Planning Program Standards during this process, which begins with the development of a *Foundation for Park Planning and Management* report (Foundation report). The first two planning workshops (February and May, 2005) produced both the *Purpose Statements* for GOGA and MUWO and *Significan~~ce~~* Statements for Natural Resources:

### *Purpose Statements*

The purpose of Golden Gate National Recreation Area is to offer national park experiences to a large urban population while preserving and interpreting its outstanding natural, historic, scenic, and recreational values.

The purpose of Muir Woods National Monument is to preserve the primeval character and ecological integrity of the old growth redwood forest for scientific values and inspiration.

### Significance Statements for Natural Resources

Comment [tlw2]: Verify that these are the most current

Golden Gate National Recreation Area is located at the convergence of the San Andreas Fault, San Francisco Bay at the Golden Gate, and the California coastline that creates a dynamic landscape and environment of exceptional scientific value.

Golden Gate National Recreation Area protects an undeveloped remnant coastal corridor of marine, estuarine, **fluvial (added in this report for consideration)**, and terrestrial\* ecosystems that support exceptional native biodiversity and provide a refuge for one of the largest concentrations of rare, threatened, and endangered species in the national park system.

Comment [tlw3]: I like including this – maybe if we use the word “stream” for the Sig Stmt, or add it as a footnote to terrestrial in this document?

The **continuum** of lands and resources of Golden Gate National Recreation Area provides an abundant range of recreational and educational opportunities at the doorstep of the San Francisco Bay area.

Comment [tlw4]: There must be a better word, or a better way to incorporate the connectedness


Muir Woods provides the last remnant old growth forest close to an urban center that retains its primeval character and supports a relatively intact ecosystem, and Muir Woods is an important manifestation of early 20<sup>th</sup> Century conservation history.

This Water Resource **Foundation for Planning and Management report (Water Resources Foundation report) Information and Issues Overview report (WRIIO)** is designed to support development of the Foundation report for Golden Gate National Recreation Area’s (GOGA’s) planning process. The following section outlines in detail the individual elements of this new NPS planning framework and better describes how this report fits into the framework.

### **Water Resources Planning**

The NPS Water Resources Division initiated a program in 1991 that assists parks with their water resources planning needs. Recent changes in NPS general planning (new 2004 *Park Planning Program Standards*) and resources planning (draft *Director’s Order 2.1: Resource Stewardship Planning*) required programmatic revision to the existing NPS Water Resources Planning Program to assure that its products support the new NPS planning framework within which planning and decision-making are now accomplished. Within this new planning framework, six discrete elements of planning are in place that **are captured** in six planning-related documents (Figure 1).

**\* terrestrial ecosystems include fluvial, riparian and wetland ecosystems**



**Figure 1.** The ‘new’ NPS framework for planning and decision making (blue boxes). Green boxes represent WRD planning or assistance. RSP = Resource Stewardship Plan.

The *Foundation for Planning and Management* report defines the legal and policy requirements that mandate the park’s basic management responsibilities, and identifies and analyzes the resources and values that are fundamental to achieving the park’s purpose or otherwise important to park planning and management.

The *General Management Plan* uses information from the *Foundation for Planning and Management* to define broad direction for resource preservation and visitor use in a park, and serves as the basic foundation for park decision-making, including long-term direction for *desired conditions* of park resources and visitor experiences.

The *Program Management Plan* tiers off the *General Management Plan* identifying and recommending the best strategies for achieving the desired resource conditions and visitor experiences presented in the *General Management Plan*. Program planning serves as a bridge to translate the qualitative statements of *desired conditions* established in the *General Management Plan* into measurable or objective indicators that can be monitored to assess the degree to which the *desired conditions* are being achieved. Based on information obtained through this analysis, comprehensive strategies are developed to achieve the *desired conditions*. The *Program Management Plan* component for natural and cultural resources is the *Resource Stewardship Plan* (Figure 1).

**Comment [tlw5]:** Is this a single plan or more than one – Figure 1 says “Program Management Plans”

The *Strategic Plan* tiers off the *Program Management Plan* identifying the highest-priority strategies, including measurable goals that work toward maintaining and/or restoring the park’s *desired conditions* over the next 3 to 5 years.

*Implementation Plans* tier off the *Strategic Plan* describing in detail (including methods, cost estimates, and schedules) the high-priority actions that will be taken over the next several years to help achieve the *desired conditions* for the park.

Comment [tlw6]: What's the time horizon for these? It would be great to clarify the planning timeframes for each level

The *Annual Performance Plan and Report* measures the progress of projects from the *Implementation Plan* with objectives from the *Strategic Plan*.

The *Water Resources Information and Issues Overview* and the *Water Resources Stewardship Report* will support this new planning framework. The *Water Resources Information and Issues Overview* (Figure 1) addresses the needs of either the *Foundation for Planning and Management* report or phase one of the *General Management Plan*, but is flexible in design in order to serve other unique park needs, as warranted. The *Water Resources Stewardship Report* (Figure 1) is designed specifically to address the water resource needs in a park's *Resources Stewardship Plan*.

#### Water Resources Information and Issues Overview Objectives and Structure

This report is a *Water Resources Information and Issues Overview* (WRIIO) for GOGA. The primary objectives of this report are to 1) provide background for water resources and 2) identify and describe the fundamental water resources at GOGA, along with the identification of stakeholders and laws and policies that apply to these fundamental water resources. The water-related information contained in this report is designed to **better** assist GOGA with development of the Foundation report, which ultimately supports the preparation of a new park General Management Plan.

The report is divided into two major parts. The first part contains a description of the parks' natural resources, with emphasis on water resources. This section provides the reader with a brief overview of GOGA's diverse environments. The second part **presents** **summarizes** the fundamental water resources and values at GOGA. Watershed stakeholders who have an interest in the park's water resources and values are also identified, along with ~~the~~ water-related laws and policies.

Comment [tlw7]: Note that the list may not be exhaustive?

#### **Location and Demography**

The Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GOGA) is one of the largest urban national parks in the world. The park's 75,398 acres of land and water extend north of the Golden Gate Bridge to Tomales Bay in Marin County and south to San Mateo County, encompassing 59 miles of bay and ocean shoreline (Figure 2). These lands represent one of the nation's largest coastal preserves and attract 16 million visitors each year, making GOGA one of the National Park Service's most highly visited units (National Park Service, 2005a). Don, it may be worth mentioning that the Park only manages a small portion. I believe the GOGA FMP lists 31,000 acres with 18,000 managed by PORE and the balance in other public lands (e.g., State Parks, state lands lease).

Comment [tlw8]: Verify that this is the current number



**Figure 2.** Location map for Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

## DESCRIPTION OF NATURAL RESOURCES

### Climate

The climate of GOGA and the surrounding coastal region is characterized by hot, dry summers and rainy, mild winters typical of a moderate Mediterranean climate (National Park Service, 2004b). Most precipitation falls as rain, and about 90 percent of the rainfall occurs between November 1st and April 30<sup>th</sup> (Wahrhaftig and Lehre, 1974a). Mean annual precipitation ranges from 20 inches in San Francisco to 50 inches in Kentfield, although it varies greatly from year to year. Precipitation increases abruptly with altitude, especially on windward slopes (Figure XX-isohyet map). Fog drip can contribute 10 to 20 inches of moisture to vegetation. Mean annual temperatures (57° to 60° F) and summer maxima (65° to 85° F) depend greatly on exposure to fog (National Park Service, 1990a).

Comment [tlw9]: Clarify what this is – monthly maxima? Daily maxima?

### Physiography

The topographical relief of the park ranges from sea level to 2,300 feet above mean sea level (msl) near the top of Mt. Tamalpais. The long and narrow park is divided by the Golden Gate entrance to the San Francisco Bay, which separates the northern Marin County lands from the southern San Francisco and San Mateo County lands (National Park Service, 1990a). Hillslopes range from almost flat marine terraces and alluvial deposits to steep canyons along some creeks, and near vertical bluffs above some beaches (National Park Service, 1999a).

### Geology

Bedrock parent materials within the park are jumbled, as a result of grinding movement along the converging plate boundary and San Andreas Fault. Sandstone, pillow basalts, shale, chert, greenstone (basalt), serpentine, and metamorphic rocks are among the bedrock types present. These rocks belong to the San-Franciscan Assemblage and were originally deposited on the ocean floor 80 to 140 million years ago (National Park Service, 1999a). The rocks were greatly deformed and partly metamorphosed as the ocean floor (Pacific Plate) was thrust under the western edge of the North American Plate. This resulted in a landscape of easily eroded sandstone and shale, with occasional blocks of more resistant rock forming prominent outcrops (National Park Service, 1999a). The Marin Headlands contain some of these more resistant rocks, producing a prominent landscape of relatively high topographic relief.

Structurally, GOGA is located in a seismically active zone. The San Andreas Fault extends northwest from near Fort Funston, and runs through Bolinas Lagoon and Tomales Bay. The San Andreas is the major fault in the area, but smaller faults do exist. Movement of the San Andreas continues at an average of about 1 to 2 cm/year (National Park Service, 1999a). Earthquakes are associated with this movement along the length of the fault.

Comment [tlw10]: Consider pirating from draft Fundamental Resources and Values document for Geologic Resources

## Soils

~~The parent rock w~~Within the boundary of GOGA, the parent rock determines the type of soil that develops over it and affects characteristics such as landslide ~~and~~potential, erosion potential, ~~and availability of groundwater~~. Soils at the park are particularly susceptible to landslides (e.g., Franciscan Formation). Most have a high erosion potential; ~~hold very little groundwater, and make poor candidates for water supply wells. The soils west of the San Andreas Fault zone are very weathered and create the beaches, dunes, and steep topography.~~The county soil survey maps are available at the USDA/Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). These maps detail the soils for the counties, providing information on the characteristics, ~~problems, and best uses of~~ individual soil types (Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2005).

## Hydrology

### Watersheds

According to the NPS Management Policies, the NPS will manage watersheds as complete hydrologic systems, and will minimize human disturbance to the natural upland processes that deliver water, sediment, and wood debris to streams (National Park Service 2001). Watersheds are delineated by the U.S. Geological Survey using a nationwide system based on surface hydrologic features. This system divides the country into 21 regions, 222 subregions, 352 accounting units, and 2,262 cataloguing units. A hierarchical hydrologic unit code (HUC) consisting of 2 digits for each level in the hydrologic unit system is used to identify any hydrologic area. The 6-digit accounting units and the 8-digit cataloguing units are generally referred to as basin and sub-basin, respectively. HUC is defined as the Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) and generally serves as the backbone for the country's hydrologic delineation. GOGA is located within the San Francisco Bay Basin (180500). The 8-digit cataloguing sub-basin units that include the park are San Pablo Bay (18050002), [redacted] (10850004), Tomales-Drake Bays (18050005), and San Francisco Coastal South (18050006) located in the San Francisco Bay watershed basin (180500) (see Figure 3; U.S. Geological Survey 2005).

Most watersheds within these sub-basins are less than one square mile in area, and flow through narrow V-shaped stream beds cut through bedrock (National Park Service, 1999a?). Seven significant watersheds are located within the park. They are, from north to south: Lagunitas Creek, Olema Creek, Redwood Creek, Elk Creek, Rodeo Creek, Lobos Creek and the San Francisco watershed lands in San Mateo County. San Pedro Creek, a San Mateo County Park is within the GOGA authorized boundary and is noted because it is a significant creek with a steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) migration occurring annually (National Park Service, 1990a)

Comment [tlw11]: Not in reference section

Comment [tlw12]: I'm not sure what the USGS name is for this unit – RWQCB calls it South Bay Basin


Comment [tlw13]: The San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) uses different, more descriptive, names for the same sub-basins California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995

Comment [tlw14]: TLW to update, including lands acquired since 1990



**Figure 3.** San Francisco Bay Basin with Sub-Basins identified. (modified after U.S. Geological Survey, 2005)

[Figure 2 Average annual precipitation for the San Francisco Bay Area Network](#)



## Surface Water

In GOGA, most runoff occurs during the 50 to 70 days of winter storms. Maximum flows occur during and immediately after winter storms. Flashy stormflow is caused by three factors: the basins are steep, promoting rapid runoff; soils are shallow; and after the first rains of the season, precipitation falls on nearly saturated ground and drains quickly. After the last significant rain of the season (around April), streamflow steadily declines. Dry season baseflow is low and some streams dry up entirely in the summer (National Park Service, 1990a). Lobos Creek is a notable exception, being fed by groundwater from an extensive sandy aquifer.

## *Lakes and Ponds*

~~Several small impoundments exist in GOGA, as streams have been dammed at several locations in GOGA.~~ Mountain Lake, one of the last remaining natural lakes in San Francisco, is the only natural lake managed by GOGA. Enhancement of a Mountain Lake Restoration Plan will be a future priority for park management is being implemented by the Presidio Trust (National Park Service, 1999a). ~~There are several small dammed impoundments in GOGA.~~

## *Rivers and Streams*

Five ~~large~~ moderately-sized drainages in GOGA are contained in the northern lands of Marin County. These drainages from the northern boundary of the park are: Lagunitas Creek, Olema Creek, Redwood Creek, Elk Creek (in Tennessee Valley) and Rodeo Creek. Historically, Redwood and Lagunitas creeks had significant salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and steelhead runs (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), which are now a fraction of their former magnitude (National Park Service, 1990a). ~~Footnote- I deleted scientific names.~~ In addition to coho and steelhead, Lagunitas has 5 species of salmonids including king, chum and pink. Lagunitas Creek, which flows into Tomales Bay, provides habitat for several rare species (e.g., California freshwater shrimp (*Syncaris pacifica*), tidewater goby (*Eucyclogorius newberryi*)). Olema Creek is a major tributary to Lagunitas Creek, flowing north up Olema Valley into Lagunitas Creek. Many small creeks (Copper Mine Gulch, Wilkins Gulch, Pike County Gulch, Morses Gulch, McKinnan Gulch, Stinson Gulch, and Easkoot Creek) exist on Bolinas Ridge and flow into Bolinas Lagoon. Redwood Creek flows down the south side of Mount Tamalpais, through Muir Woods, to Muir Beach where it empties into the Pacific Ocean. The creek once emptied into Big Lagoon, but due to alterations, Big Lagoon is greatly diminished in the wet seasons, and in dry seasons it is no longer present size (National Park Service, 1990a). Elk Creek travels down Tennessee Valley and empties into a manmade pond before entering the Pacific Ocean. Rodeo Creek has two major tributaries, one flowing through Rodeo Valley and one through the Gerbode Valley. The creek flows into Rodeo

**Comment [tlw15]:** This statement is true, but Pike County Gulch drainage is outside all our boundaries

Pond, which was artificially created by a dam and weir, and then to Rodeo Lagoon before entering the Pacific Ocean (National Park Service, 1990a).

Very few free-flowing streams still exist in San Francisco County. Lobos Creek flows through the Presidio of San Francisco. The creek empties into the Pacific Ocean at Baker Beach in GOGA. Much of the water is diverted ~~at near~~ the mouth, treated, and used for potable water supply as the water source for the Presidio (~~National Park Service, 1990a~~). This spring-fed creek has the highest summer-fall baseflow within GOGA (approximately 2 MGD or 3 cfs). Also on the Presidio, Tennessee Hollow watershed and Dragonfly Creek which flow to Crissy Marsh and the San Francisco Bay, respectively, are undergoing riparian restoration.

In San Mateo County, an unnamed intermittent stream flows through the GOGA lands at Milagra Ridge, ~~providing habitat for the federally listed San Francisco garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*).~~ San Pedro Creek, a San Mateo County park, is within the GOGA boundary and has a steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) migration (National Park Service, 1990a). Sanchez Creek, a heavily altered urban stream flows along the Park boundary at Mori Point, and supports both native and introduced fish, as well as amphibians. Drainages in Phleger Estates are tributary to San Francisquito Creek which flows to San Francisco Bay, and supports steelhead.

Stream channel gradients in GOGA range from 3% in Elk Creek to 35% in steep tributaries on Bolinas Ridge (National Park Service, 1999a).

### *Wetlands*

Wetlands represent transitional environments between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the water table is at or near the surface or the land is covered by shallow water (Cowardin et al., 1979). Flora within these wetland systems exhibit extreme spatial variability, triggered by very slight changes in elevation. Temporal variability is also great because the surface water depth is highly influenced by changes in precipitation, evaporation and/or infiltration. Cowardin and colleagues (1979) developed a wetland classification system that is now the standard in the federal government. In this system, a wetland must have one or more of the following attributes: (1) at least periodically, the land supports predominately hydrophytes; (2) the substrate is predominately undrained hydric soil; and (3) the substrate is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by shallow water at some time during the growing season of each year. There are four federal government agencies responsible for identifying and delineating wetlands: the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Protection Agency, Fish and Wildlife Service, and Natural Resources Conservation Service.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service developed wetlands data through the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) program. NWI maps that included lands in GOGA are available in a digital format, but these maps typically have less accuracy than on-site delineation and often miss smaller wetlands completely (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,

2005). Because of this, the Park has initiated field wetland mapping at high priority sites and has developed a park-wide wetland map based on a park-wide vegetation survey.

The goals of the California Wetlands Conservation policy include ensuring “no overall net loss”, achieving a “long-term net gain in the quantity, quality, and permanence of wetlands acreage and values...” (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

There are numerous wetlands throughout GOGA, including wetlands located at Crissy Field, Stinson Beach, Mountain Lake, Easkoot Creek, Nike Swale, Sweeney Ridge, Mori Point, Tennessee Valley, Rodeo Valley, and Big Lagoon, to name a few. These wetlands provide important habitats in the park. For example, the seasonal Sweeney Ridge south meadow wetland-upland complex at Mori Point is a seasonal wetland that provides suitable habitat for the federally-listed San Francisco garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*). The park is engaged in several wetlands restoration projects to address impacts to these important environments. One of the larger and more visible wetlands restoration projects is located at Crissy Field, where wetlands and marsh existed prior to being filled by the military as an airfield. GOGA has since created Crissy Marsh within the footprint restored part of this historic wetland marsh system, and is exploring opportunities for expanding and enhancing the marsh, but additional restoration work is needed to increase the viability as a functioning intertidal system.

#### Ground Water

Tamara isn't the groundwater basin in SF important, particularly for Lobos Creek. It has the highest summer baseflow of all our creeks.

The geology and shallow soils in GOGA do not support widespread produce minimal groundwater resources, but local aquifers are important for water supply. Lobos Creek, a groundwater-fed stream, provides water for the Presidio. The City and County of San Francisco is evaluating use of the sand aquifer in the vicinity of Fort Funston. Some of the flat alluvial valleys in Marin County provide local contain the only sizable ground water supply outside the park. resources in GOGA (National Park Service, 1990a).

There are springs in several areas of the park. At least 13 springs exist in the southern Marin County lands of the park. springs. Many are located near trails where construction revealed the presence of a high water table. Seeps and springs in Tennessee Valley were developed for domestic and ranch use prior to the creation of the park. South of the Golden Gate Bridge in San Francisco County, there is a year-round spring at the Sutro Baths ruins. The spring was once tapped for a fresh water pool in the Sutro Baths. Several seeps exist in the Fort Scott and Lands End area, and along the bluffs at the Presidio. Watering of the local golf course may be the source or some level of influence of seeps at Lands End (National Park Service, 1990a). Many of the seeps and springs within the park support important wetland habitat.

**Comment [tlw16]:** Recent water quality analysis of these springs indicates that they are natural

Coastal and Marine Water Resources

-Tamara/Don, Anitra's draft document provides a pretty good overview of the values of these nearshore marine habitats and perhaps that should just be incorporated via reference, but for this document perhaps just include a map of habitats and a list of the habitat types. Here's anitra's list

Nearshore Marine Habitats

Subtidal Zone

Rocky reefs and kelp forests

Soft and sandy bottom

Intertidal Zone.....

Rocky intertidal

Estuaries

Seagrass Communities

Tidal Flats

Salt Marshes

Freshwater Resources

Streams

Lakes and Ponds

Freshwater Wetlands

Upland Habitats

Sandy Beaches

Coastal Dunes

Grasslands

Coastal Scrub

Riparian Woodland

Hardwood Forest

Douglas-fir/Coast Redwood Forest

Bishop Pine Forest


Additional Unique Habitats

Cliffs and Headlands

Island Habitats

Urban Island Habitats

Comment [tlw17]: Pirate text from the FRV draft document prepared by GOGA staff




**Figure B-5:** This generalized substrate map illustrates the high degree of sand and rock substrate along the PORE/GOGA coastlines (NCCOS 2003). The original data were compiled by the California Division of Mines and Geology, USGS, and California Coastal Commission to produce paper maps. Geologists from California State University-Monterey Bay digitized these maps in 1999 and further interpreted these data to develop boundaries of substrate types (Greene et al., 1999; Greene et al., 2002).

I think it would be important to note important marine habitats that we don't have— including dense seagrass and kelp beds—that characterize biologically rich marine areas such as Channel Isl. , PORE



**Figure B-6:** Aerial kelp harvester surveys provided kelp data for the central coast region for the period 1990-2002. MLPA Initiative staff will be making this information available as a GIS data layer, which will allow year-to-year comparisons of kelp distribution. Scientists have linked persistence with the size of kelp patches: larger kelp beds persist longer (Graham 2003). A recent model based on the aerial surveys just mentioned also makes it possible to determine the probability of recolonization vs. extinction of a kelp bed.



[Figure B-13. Coastal seagrass communities along the Point Reyes National Seashore and Golden Gate National Recreation Area coastline. Note that seagrass is concentrated in Tomales Bay and Drakes Estero. \(NEED TO LABEL AREAS\)](#)

Two large bays and two major lagoons are affected by GOGA management in Marin County. They include; San Francisco Bay, Tomales Bay, Bolinas Lagoon and Rodeo Lagoon. An additional lagoon, Big Lagoon, at the mouth of Redwood Creek was once a substantial resource but has been diked and filled to where it is virtually gone.

Tomales Bay is an estuary that is 12.6 miles long, approximately 12 feet deep, and varies from 0.5 to 1.5 miles wide covering approximately 10 square miles in surface area. Bolinas Lagoon is a natural lagoon of about 1,400 acres. It is not within the park boundaries but is fed by park waters and influenced by park management (National Park Service, 1990a). Rodeo Lagoon is located at Rodeo Beach and fed by Rodeo Creek. The lagoon provides habitat for the federally-listed tidewater goby (*Eucyclogorius newberri*).

The San Francisco Bay is a complex estuarine system consisting of several smaller bays. The area of the bay ranges from approximately 400 square miles at low tide to 460 miles at high tide (National Park Service, 1990a). This large body of water is relatively shallow, with a depth less than 18 feet for more than half of the bay. Fresh water flowing into the Bay dilutes the salt water of the ocean flowing into the Bay through the Golden Gate. The Bay waters thus provide a gradual change for the salt water of the ocean to the fresh water flows of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers. This delicate relationship between fresh and salt water helps to determine the ability of the Bay to support a variety of aquatic life and wildlife in and around the Bay (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998).

A major resource of the GOGA is the more than 24 miles of coastline [\(Probably need to verify with Craig Scott, FMP has different distance\)](#). The intertidal and subtidal zones of the littoral environment are some of the most diverse and productive ecosystems in the world. Ocean Beach stretches 3.3 miles along the west coast of San Francisco, where a highway parallels the foredune system for the entire length of the beach. Problems with erosion from wave attack and wind-blown sand have persisted since development of the area (National Park Service, 1990a). The park encompasses 0.6 miles of Stinson Beach, a 2.7-mile-long sand spit about 10 miles north of San Francisco Bay. Considerable wave-induced erosion can occur on the beach deposits during major winter storms. Smaller beaches also exist with GOGA's boundary: Tennessee Cove, Rodeo Beach and the beaches of Bonita and Kirby Coves. None of the beaches in GOGA are being naturally replenished with any sizeable quantity of sand from local streams or long-shore deposits, thus erosion of sand is not likely to be replaced in sufficient quantities (National Park Service, 1990a).

### **Water Quality**

The pollution of surface waters and ground waters by point and non-point sources can impair the natural function of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems and diminish the utility of park waters for visitor use and enjoyment. Water pollution is a continuing threat to

NPS units located close to large urban areas. According to the NPS Management Policies, the NPS will determine the quality of GOGA water resources and avoid, whenever possible, the pollution of park waters by human activities occurring within and outside park boundaries (National Park Service, 2001).

The NPS Water Resources Division is completing a comprehensive summary of existing surface water quality data for GOGA, the *Baseline Water Quality Inventory and Analysis, Golden Gate National Recreation Area* (National Park Service, 2005b). This document presents the results of surface water quality data retrievals for GOGA from six of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) national databases: (1) Storage and Retrieval (STORET) water quality database management system; (2) River Reach File (RF3); (3) Industrial Facilities Discharge (IFD); (4) Drinking Water Supplies (DRINKS); (5) Water Gages (GAGES); and (6) Water Impoundments (DAMS).

The results of the GOGA water quality criteria screen found 21 groups of parameters that exceeded screening criteria at least once within the study area. Dissolved oxygen, pH, chloride, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, and zinc exceeded their respective EPA criteria for the protection of freshwater aquatic life. Dissolved oxygen, pH, chlorine, cadmium, copper, mercury and zinc exceeded their respective EPA criteria for the protection of marine aquatic life. Chloride, fluoride, sulfate, nitrate, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, nickel, and dibromochloropropane (DBCP) exceeded EPA drinking water criteria. Fecal-indicator bacteria concentrations (total coliform, fecal coliform, E.coli, and enterococci) and turbidity exceeded the WRD screening limits for freshwater and marine water bathing and aquatic life, respectively (National Park Service, 2005b).

## Air Quality

The NPS is responsible to preserve, protect and enhance air quality and air quality related values of the National Park System units under both the Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1, 1a-1) and the Clean Air Act (National Park Service, 2001).

Air quality is linked to many natural processes (i.e., soil and water nutrients, photosynthesis, acidification of lakes and streams). Pinnacles National Monument (PINN) and Point Reyes National Seashore (PORE) are rated as Class 1 areas by the Clean Air Act and are protected by strict air quality regulations. The rest of the parks in the San Francisco Bay Network (SFAN) are Class 2 areas and pollution regulations are less strict. However, in some instances federal land managers apply the "precautionary principle" and treat Class 2 areas with the same standards as Class 1 Areas. Within NPS, a majority of parks show improvements in visibility on clear days and in the concentration of sulfates present in precipitation. Nearly all parks show degradation or no change in nitrate levels in precipitation. Almost half of the parks show significant degradation in ozone levels, with only few showing an improvement (National Park Service, 2004b).

Comment [tlw18]: Pull summary from Anitra Pawley's document

Comment [tlw19]: Suggest deleting Air Quality section, since this doesn't really speak to GOGA water resources

## Biological Resources

Water resources are critical to the sustenance of GOGA's populations of flora and fauna. ~~Conversely,~~ biological resources are intimately linked to hydrological systems. GOGA is a place of great biological diversity, a result of the wide variety of environmental conditions found in the area. On the San Francisco Peninsula, conditions leading to high biological diversity and species with limited distributions (endemic species) include 1) the interaction of maritime weather and Mediterranean climate to produce localized climatic zones, 2) the development of diverse habitats and barriers to dispersal by mountains and bays, and 3) the presence of a variety of geologic and soil conditions, such as nutrient-poor sand dunes and serpentine-derived soils. This combination of conditions allowed for an adaptive flourishing of many closely related species (National Park Service, 2005c). As such, the park is rich in biological resources and home to 1,273 plant and animal species. With 80 sensitive, rare, threatened, or endangered species, the park has the fourth largest number (33) of federally protected or endangered species of all units in the National Park System (National Park Service, 2005a).

Since a comprehensive evaluation of biological resources extends beyond this report, the following two sections concentrate on park biological resources that are influenced by water resources, listed as endangered, threatened, candidate or special concern species under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) or listed as endangered, threatened, special concern or protected species under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). Along with providing some basic background information, the purpose of this section is to begin ~~highlighting~~~~exposing~~ some of the biological concerns where water resources are found to be an important habitat requirement.

### Flora

Many plant communities are associated with GOGA's water resources. Riparian plant communities found along the streams of the park are dominated by willows (*Salix sp.*) and alders (*Alnus sp.*) and a great mix of associated plant species. Freshwater ponds are dominated by cattails (*Typha sp.*) and water weed (*Elodea sp.*). Shallow wetlands are often dominated by Oenanthe (*Oenanthe sarmentosa*) or potentilla (*Potentilla ~~Egedii~~~~egedii~~*). Lagoon areas are dominated by *Salicornia ~~Virginia~~~~virginia~~* and cordgrass (*Spartina foliosa*) (National Park Service, 1990a). Estuarine and marine areas contain varying amounts of macroalgae (although kelp beds are largely absent) and vascular plants (*Zostera marina* and *Phyllospadix sp.*)

Dune communities are the subject of much visitor impact and native flora is suffering. However, a native dune grass (*Elymus mollis*) and many native herbs can still be observed (National Park Service, 1990a).

*Threatened and Endangered* *Special Status? Species*

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Candidate species for listing that are associated with park waters include: the Franciscan thistle (*Cirsium andrewsii*), which is associated with seeps and wetlands at Ft. Point and the Marin Headlands; salt marsh bird's beak (*Cordylanthus maritimus*) associated with salt water lagoons; and western leatherwood (*Dirca occidentalis*) associated with moist areas and known to exist at Devils Gulch (National Park Service, 1990a). Other federally-listed plant species of concern in the region, typically found in wet habitats (marsh, seeps, etc.) include; Mason's Lilacopsis (*Lilaeopsis masonii*) (state listed as "rare"), Point Reyes meadowfoam (*Limnanthes douglasii ssp. sulphurea*) (state listed as "endangered"), Marin knotweed (~~polygomon~~ *Polygonum marinese*), California beaked-rush (*Rhynchospora californica*), Thurber's reed grass (*Calamagrostis crassiglumis*), and Swamp harebell (*Campanula californica*) (Marin County, 2004).

## Fauna

### *Threatened and Endangered Species*

The Federally listed species associated with water resources in GOGA include: the California freshwater shrimp (*Syncaris pacifica*) located in Lagunitas Creek; the southern bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) that feed in the park waters (probably just vagrant); coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and steelhead trout- sh also present in other streams (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) present in Lagunitas Creek and Redwood Creek; Sacramento River winter-run chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*)- only in SF Bay portions of GOGA; California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*) present in wetlands in Marin and San Mateo Co. lands of GOGA, the Redwood Creek watershed and Easkoot Creek; tide water goby (*Eucyclogobius newberryi*) in Rodeo Lagoon and at a new locale in Giacomini Ranch; Stellar sea lion (*Eumetopias jubatus*) along the coast; and the San Francisco garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenis*); at wetland-upland complexes which is restricted to areas of year round water flow in San Mateo County (National Park Service, 1990a; National Park Service, 1999a; Marin County, 2004).

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Left

## FUNDAMENTAL WATER RESOURCES AND VALUES

It is important for NPS units to identify the fundamental resources and values critical to achieving the park's purpose and maintaining its significance. The reasons for identifying fundamental and other important resources and values are:

1. To define and understand the most important resources and values that support the park's purpose and significance. If these resources and values are degraded or eliminated, they then jeopardize the park's purpose and significance.
2. To ensure that the planning team and public understand the key elements that sustain the park's purpose and significance.
3. To help planning and management activities focus on larger issues and concerns regarding protection of the resources and values that support the park's purpose and significance.
4. To allow the planning team to test out alternatives and estimate how they will influence the fundamental resources and values of the park.
5. To become the building blocks in creating a future vision and management strategy for the park while being responsive to the park's needs.

Identifying the fundamental resources and values at GOGA helps ensure that all planning is focused on what is truly most significant about the park. The following sections follow a format provided by the NPS Denver Service Center (DSC) Planning Division. This includes six questions that are answered for water resources at the park. The first two questions are centered on water resources in general:

1. Who are the stakeholders who have an interest in GOGA's water resources and values?
2. Which laws and policies apply to GOGA's water resources and values, and what guidance do the laws and policies provide?

The remaining four questions focus on specific water resources at GOGA and MUWO, taking a look at four categories; 1) *freshwater streams and ponds*, 2) *groundwater aquifer and springs*, 3) *wetlands*, and 4) *coastal and marine water resources*, which includes some unavoidable overlap between categories (e.g., wetlands and coastal water resources). For each category, the following questions are answered from existing technical references provided to the author, forming the justifications as fundamental resources at GOGA:

3. What is the importance of these water resources and values?
4. What are the current state or conditions and the related trends of these water resources and values?
5. What are the potential threats to these water resources and values?
6. What are the issues that need to be addressed through the consideration of GMP alternatives?

Looking at the first two questions centered on GOGA's water resources in general:

**1. Who are the stakeholders who have an interest in GOGA's water resources and values?**

Comment [tlw20]: See FRV draft document developed after last workshop

Federal:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

U.S. Geological Survey

[NOAA-National Marine Fisheries Service \(listed fish\)](#)

[NOAA-National Marine Sanctuary Program \(Gulf of the Farallones NMS\)](#)

[U.S. Coast Guard \(NRDA, oil spill response\)](#)

State:

California Department of Water Resources

California Department of Fish and Game

California Department of Parks and Recreation

[Coastal Commission \(local implementation of federal coastal zone management act\)](#)

Regional:

San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

The Presidio Trust ([I believe this should be moved to federal](#))

Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy

Marin County Municipal Water District

Stinson Beach County Water District

Tomales Bay Watershed Council

Muir Beach Community Services District

Green Gulch Farm

[Marin County Health Department \(beach wq\)](#)

[San Francisco Public Utilities Commission \(SF watershed lands, beach wq monitoring\)](#)

[Marin-Sonoma Mosquito Abatement District](#)

[San Mateo Mosquito and Vector Control District](#)

**2. Which laws and policies apply to GOGA's water resources and values, and what guidance do the laws and policies provide?**

The management of GOGA's water resources is guided by many federal and state laws and policies.

Park-specific

Public Law 92-589: “**In order to preserve** for public use and enjoyment certain areas of Marin and San Francisco counties, California, **possessing outstanding natural, historic, scenic, and recreational values**, and in order to provide for the maintenance of needed recreational open space necessary to provide for the maintenance of needed recreational open space necessary to urban environment and planning, the Golden Gate National Recreation Area is hereby established.” (National Park Service, 1980).

The GMP Amendment for the Presidio (National Park Service, 1994) lays out the following water resource management objective: *Manage onsite water resources to protect ground and surface water resources and natural wetland and riparian values and to efficiently supply water to the Presidio community.*

[Don, I think we would also want to insert language here from our state lands lease which covers probably 75% of the submerged coastal lands in the parks. It states the rights reserved to the state \(including management of fisheries\). We're trying to get a copy and will send you one](#)

Federal

Management of GOGA’s water resources is also guided by many additional federal laws and NPS policies.

- The *National Park Service Organic Act* of 1916 created the NPS and includes a significant management provision stating that the NPS *shall promote and regulate the use of the federal areas known as national parks, monuments, and reservations by such means and measures as conform to the fundamental purpose of the said parks, monuments, and reservations, which purpose is to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for future generations.* The Organic Act also authorizes the NPS to *regulate the use of national parks and develop rules, regulations and detailed policies to implement the broad policies provided by Congress.* Rules and regulations for the national park system are described in the *Code of Federal Regulations* (Title 36).
- The *General Authorities Act* of 1970 strengthened the 1916 *Organic Act*, stating that lands in all NPS units, regardless of title or designation, shall have a common purpose of preservation. All water resources in the national park system, therefore, are equally protected by federal law. It is the primary duty of the NPS to protect those resources unless otherwise indicated by Congress.
- The *Redwood National Park Act* of 1978 amended the *General Authorities Act* of 1970, identifying the *high public value and integrity of the national park system* as reason to manage and protect all park system units. The act further stated that no activities should be allowed that will compromise the *values and purposes for which these various areas have been established*, except where specifically authorized by law or provided for by Congress.

- The *National Parks Omnibus Management Act* of 1998 outlines a strategy to improve the ability of the NPS to provide high-quality resource management, protection, interpretation and research in the national park system by:
  - Fostering the collection and application of the highest quality science and information to enhance management of units of the national park system;
  - Authorizing and initiating cooperative agreements with colleges and universities, including but not limited to land grant schools, along with creating partnerships with other Federal and State agencies, to construct cooperative study units that will coordinate multi-disciplinary research and develop integrated information products on the resources in national park system units and/or the larger region surrounding and including parks;
  - Designing and implementing an inventory and monitoring program of national park system resources to collect baseline information and to evaluate long-term trends on resource condition of the national park system, and;
  - Executing the necessary actions to fully and properly apply the results of scientific study to park management decisions. Additionally, all NPS actions that may cause a significant adverse effect on a park resource must conduct unit resource studies and administratively record how study results were considered in decision making. The trend in resource condition in the national park system shall be a critical element in evaluating the annual performance of the NPS.
  
- The 1972 *Federal Water Pollution Control Act*, also known as the *Clean Water Act*, strives to restore and maintain the integrity of U.S. waters. The Clean Water Act grants authority to the states to implement water quality protection through best management practices and water quality standards. Section 404 of the act requires that any dredged or fill materials discharged into U.S. waters, including wetlands, must be authorized through a permit issued by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, which administers the Section 404 permit program. Additionally, Section 402 of the act requires that pollutants from any point source discharged into U.S. waters must be authorized by a permit obtained from the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). All discharges and storm water runoff from major industrial and transportation activities, municipalities, and certain construction activities generally must be authorized by permit through the NPDES program. NPDES permitting authority typically is delegated to the state by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
  
- *Safe Drinking Water Act* (42 USC 3001 et seq.) applies to developed public drinking water supplies. It sets national minimum water quality standards and requires testing of drinking water.

2001 NPS Management Policies: The NPS will determine the quality of park surface and ground water resources and avoid, whenever possible, the pollution of park waters by human activities occurring within and outside of parks.

Comment [tlw21]: Add note that an update is in the works?

- ❖ Work with appropriate governmental bodies to ~~obtain the highest possible to~~ attain the highest possible standards available under the Clean Water Act for the protection for park waters.
- ❖ Take all necessary actions to maintain or restore the quality of surface waters and ground waters within the parks consistent with the Clean Water Act and all other applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations; and
- ❖ Enter into agreements with other agencies and governing bodies, as appropriate, to secure their cooperation in maintaining or restoring the quality of park water resources.

Comment [tlw22]: Check language – attain?

2001 NPS Management Policies: The NPS will manage watersheds as complete hydrologic systems, and will minimize human disturbance to the natural upland processes that deliver water, sediment, and woody debris to streams. The NPS will achieve the protection of watershed and stream features primarily by avoiding impacts to allow watershed processes to proceed unimpeded.

Comment [tlw23]: Something's missing from this sentence?

- *Executive Order 11990: Wetlands Protection* requires the NPS to 1) exhibit leadership and act to minimize the destruction, loss, or degradation of wetlands; 2) protect and improve wetlands and their natural and beneficial values; and 3) to refrain from direct or indirect assistance of new construction projects in wetlands unless there are no feasible alternative to such construction and the proposed action includes all feasible measures to minimize damage to wetlands.

NPS 2001 Management Policies: The NPS will manage wetlands in compliance with NPS mandates and the requirements of Executive Order 11990 (Wetland Protection), the Clean Water Act, and the Rivers and Harbors Appropriation Act of 1899, and the procedures described in Directors Order D-O-77-1. The service will 1) provide leadership and take action to prevent the destruction, loss, and degradation of wetlands; 2) preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands; and 3) avoid direct and indirect support of new construction in wetlands unless there are not practicable alternatives and the proposed action includes all practicable measures to minimize harm to wetlands. The NPS will implement a “no net loss of wetlands” policy.

- *Executive Order 11988: Floodplain Management* has a primary objective ...to avoid to the extent possible the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development wherever there is an practicable alternative. For non-recurring actions, the order requires that all proposed facilities must be located outside the boundary of the 100-year floodplain. Barring any feasible alternatives to construction within the floodplain, adverse impacts are to be minimized during the design phase of project planning.

*2001 NPS Management Policies:* In managing floodplains on park lands, the NPS will 1) manage for the preservation of floodplain values; 2) minimize potentially hazardous conditions associated with flooding; and 3) comply with the NPS Organic Act and all other federal laws and Executive orders related to the management of activities in flood-prone areas, including Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), NEPA, applicable provisions of the Clean Water Act, and the Rivers and Harbors Appropriation Act of 1899. Specifically the NPS will:

- ❖ Protect, preserve, and restore the natural resources and functions of floodplains;
- ❖ Avoid the long- and short-term environmental effects associated with the occupancy and modifications of floodplains; and
- ❖ Avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development and actions that could adversely affect the natural resources and functions of floodplains or increase flood risks.

*NPS 2001 Management Policies:* Natural shoreline processes (such as erosion, deposition, dune formation, shoreline migration) will be allowed to continue without interference. Where human activities have altered the nature or rate of natural shoreline processes, the NPS will, in consultation with appropriate state and federal agencies, investigate alternatives for mitigating the effects of such activities or structures and for restoring natural conditions. New developments will not be placed in areas subject to wave erosion or active shoreline processes unless 1) the development is required by law; or 2) the development is essential to meet the parks' purposes, as defined by its establishing act of proclamation, and

- ❖ No practicable alternative locations are available,
- ❖ The development will be reasonably assured by surviving during its planned life span, without the need for shoreline control measures, and
- ❖ Steps will be taken to minimize safety hazards and harm to property and natural resources.

National Park Service Ocean Stewardship Goals (Davis, 2004):

1. Preserve unimpaired ocean wildlife, natural processes, cultural resources, and recreational opportunities in the National Park System.
  2. Restore or rehabilitate impaired ocean wildlife, natural processes, cultural resources, and recreational opportunities in the National Park System.
  3. Increase National Park Service capacity for stewardship of ocean natural and cultural heritage.
  4. Improve National Park Service partnerships for stewardship of the nation's ocean-related natural and cultural heritage.
- The *Clean Air Act* of 1970 (as amended in 1990) regulates airborne emissions of a variety of pollutants from area, stationary, and mobile sources. The amendments to the act were added primarily to fill gaps in earlier regulations pertaining to acid rain, ground level ozone, stratospheric ozone depletion and air toxics, and also to identify 189 hazardous air pollutants. The act directs the U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency to study these pollutants, identify their sources, determine the need for emissions standards and develop and enforce appropriate regulations.

- The *National Environmental Policy Act* (NEPA) of 1969 requires that any action proposed by a federal agency that may have significant environmental impacts shall *utilize a systematic, interdisciplinary approach which will insure the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts in planning and in decision making which may have an impact on man's environment.*
- The *Endangered Species Act* of 1973 requires the NPS to identify all federally listed endangered, threatened and candidate species that occur within each park unit and promote their conservation and recovery. The act requires that any activity funded by federal monies that has the potential to impact endangered biota must be consulted through the Secretary of Interior. It requires agencies to protect designated critical habitats upon which endangered and threatened species depend. Although not required by law, it also is NPS policy to identify, preserve and restore state and locally listed species of concern and their habitats.
- *Executive Order 13112*: enhances and furthers the existing authority of the federal government to assist in preventing and controlling the spread of invasive species.

#### State of California

*Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act*: activities and factors which may affect the quality of the waters of the state shall be regulated to attain the highest water quality which is reasonable, considering all demands being made and to be made on those waters and the total values involved, beneficial and detrimental, economic and social, tangible and intangible. The state board and each regional board shall be the principal state agencies with primary responsibility for the coordination and control of water quality.

The California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and nine Regional Water Quality Control Boards (RWQCB) are responsible for protecting and enhancing California's water resources under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act. The San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board regulates GOGA. Each RWQCB adopts Basin Plans, which contain beneficial use designations, water quality objectives and implementation programs. Under sections 305(b) and 303(d) of the Clean Water Act, California must assess overall health of the state's waters and identify waters that are not attaining water quality standards. The State must compile water quality limited waters in a 303(d) list and initiate a process to bring listed waters back into compliance. GOGA contains three streams and one bay that park waters flow into on the 303(d) list 2002:

- ❖ *Lagunitas Creek*: tributary to Tomales Bay. nutrients, pathogens, sedimentation/siltation (agriculture, urban runoff/sewers).
- ❖ *Rodeo Creek*: diazinon (urban runoff/sewers)

- ❖ *San Francisquito Creek*: diazinon (urban runoff/storm sewers), sedimentation (nonpoint source).
- ❖ *Tomales Bay*: mercury (mine tailings), nutrients (agriculture), pathogens (intensive animal feeding operations), sedimentation/siltation (agriculture, upstream impoundment)

Comment [tlw24]: Update based on Anitra's report. The 303(d) list is under revision. Maybe just mention that.

The State also has authority to designate waters as Outstanding Natural Resource Waters - the highest level of protection afforded to a water body. GOGA does not have any Outstanding Natural Resource Waters, but national park waters can be strong candidates for this designation.

The laws and regulations governing California's water quality can be retrieved at ([http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/water\\_laws/index.html](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/water_laws/index.html)). Many entities, including federal, state and local agencies and private landowners, have an interest, mandated or otherwise, in GOGA's water resources. Effective protection of water resources requires these entities to understand the various policy, regulatory and management designations associated with the parks' waters in order to foster coordination and cooperation in GOGA.

Both federal and state agencies have authority for the enforcement of appropriate regulations. Water laws and regulations at the state and local level are often patterned after federal laws, or serve in response to federal directives.

*Water Quality Control Policy*: The "State Policy for Water Quality Control" declares the State Board's intent to protect water quality through the implementation of water resources management programs. It serves as the general basis for subsequent water quality control policies (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

California Water Code Section 13142.5 states, "Highest priority shall be given to improving or eliminating discharges that adversely affect...wetlands, estuaries, and other biologically sensitive sites." (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

The goals of the California Wetlands Conservation policy include ensuring "no overall net loss", achieving a "long-term net gain in the quantity, quality, and permanence of wetlands acreage and values..." (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 28 states, "It is the intent of the legislature to preserve, protect, restore, and enhance California's wetlands and multiple resources which depend on them for the benefit of the people of the state." (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995)

*Coastal Zone Management Act* (16 USC 1451 et seq.) requires permits for work in the coastal zone and also mandates that consistency determinations be carried out for projects and activities that affect the coastal zone. This program is administered by the California Coastal ~~Zone~~ Commission.

*Bays and Estuaries Policy:* The “Water Quality Control Policy for the Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California” will provide water quality principles and guidelines for the prevention of water quality degradation and the protection of beneficial uses of waters. (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

*Ocean Plan:* The “Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California” establishes beneficial uses and water quality objectives for waters of the Pacific Ocean adjacent to the California coast outside of enclosed bays, estuaries, and coastal lagoons (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

Don. wasn't sure what policies would apply to GOGA for wastewater. There was a regional office memo asking parks to defer to county and state standards (e.g., setbacks for leach fields etc). Would it helpful to have a table of typical NPS actions and then reference to appropriate policy guidance?

Formatted: Left

### *State of California Water Rights*

(NPS Water Resources Division, Water Rights Branch, McGlothlin, 2005)

#### Overview – NPS Policy and Authorities

Water rights, whether federal or state law-based, are needed by the park to meet the water needs of park personnel and visitors, and to protect the parks' water or its water-dependent resources.

Legislative authorities for NPS water rights in California include California Water Commission Act, NPS Organic Act, Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, and various park-specific enabling acts. The NPS will obtain and use water in accordance with these legal authorities. The NPS will consider authorities under California and federal law on a case-by-case basis and will pursue those that are most appropriate to accomplish the purposes and protect water-related resources at GOGA. While preserving its legal remedies, the NPS will work with state water administrators to protect park resources and, if conflicts amongst multiple water users arise, will seek their resolution through good faith negotiations.

Authority for the determination and adjudication of water rights under California law is given to the California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), which issues water rights for surface water and ground water flowing in known and definite channels, pursuant to the Water Commission Act or the Water Code, initiated on December 19, 1914. California law also recognizes ~~other~~ water rights to use surface waters other than rights initiated under the water code, including rights initiated before December 19, 1914, and riparian water rights. All rights, regardless of type, are limited to reasonable use (California Constitution, Article X, Section 2). The Water Code allows SWRCB to adjudicate all rights to the use of surface waters within a given stream system, regardless of origin. The NPS can hold each of these different types of California water rights or Federal reserved water rights. These types of water rights are described below.

#### State Appropriative Water Rights

A State appropriative water right allows a specific amount of water from a specific water source, such as a river or subterranean streams flowing through known and definite channels, to be diverted, impounded, or withdrawn for a specific use. The California Water Commission Act governs the acquisition and exercise of rights to reasonable and beneficial use of surface streams, other surface bodies of water, or ground water flowing in known and definite channels by priority of appropriation. When a water right is granted, it becomes appurtenant to the land where the water is being used for as long as the water is being used. If the land is sold, the water right transfers with the land to the new owner, unless the conveyance document excludes water rights from the conveyance. The State uses the Prior Appropriation Doctrine to allocate water. This doctrine establishes a right to the use of water based upon "first in time, first in right." Water rights are given priority based upon the date of application. There are two forms of state appropriative rights – rights obtained pursuant to the Water Code, and so-called "pre-1914 rights". Post-1914 rights are governed by a licensing system. Prior to the Water

Code, appropriative water rights could be acquired by simply taking and beneficially using water. Successful assertion of an appropriative right, which was initiated prior to December 19, 1914, requires evidence of both the original appropriation and the maintenance of the right by continuous and diligent application of water to beneficial use. Pre-1914 rights may be documented in a Statement of Water Diversion and Use filed with the SWRCB.

### Riparian Rights

The owner of land abutting natural water sources (stream, lake, spring) has the right to take water from that source for “reasonable use”. These rights do not require compliance with the Water Code, but are, rather, confirmed through the courts. All return flows must be returned to the original water source. Riparian rights apply only to lands adjacent to and within the same watershed as a water body and the water must be used upon riparian land. Under a claim of riparian right, water cannot be generally stored and withheld for a deferred use, although storage of water in tanks for later use pursuant to a riparian right is allowed. A riparian owner shares the water supply co-equally with other riparian owners. If a water shortage exists, the burden is shared by all riparian users.

The United States has the same right as any other riparian landowner (California State Water Resources Control Board, 1990: *in re: Water of Hallett Creek Stream System*, 1988, 243 Cal. Rptr. 887). Although they are very poorly defined, NPS has riparian rights for consumptive water uses in the parks; state law does not recognize a riparian right for instream flow purposes. Riparian rights may have been acquired by the United States with the purchase of private lands and are also associated with lands within the parks that were formerly public domain.

### Federal Reserved Water Rights

The federal reserved water right is a judicially-created water right – the result of a series of United States Supreme Court opinions dating back to 1907. The United States Supreme Court has held that where water is needed to fulfill the purposes of a reservation of federal land, Congress intended (often impliedly) to reserve that amount of water needed to fulfill the purpose of the reservation. Such reservations of water have been recognized for national forests, national parks, and national recreation areas. A reservation of water is implied to meet only the “primary” purposes of the reservation; water needed for “secondary” purposes should be obtained through a state’s appropriative system.

In order to fully assess the existence and nature of a federal reserved water right associated with GOGA, an examination of the legislation creating GOGA would be necessary. If needed to fulfill the purposes of the federal reservation, a federal reserved right may be either for consumptive purposes (e.g., involving diversion of water from the stream) or for non-consumptive purposes (e.g., involving instream uses of water). A federal reserved right associated with these purposes would be limited to that amount needed to accomplish those purposes. The effective date of a federal reserved water right

is the date the reservation was made. While a federal reserved water right ordinarily comes into existence upon the reservation of federal land for a specific purpose, its existence can be confirmed, and its exact contours (i.e., purpose, amount, timing, source) ascertained, only through adjudication. Until such adjudication, the existence and contours of a federal reserved right are a matter of estimation.

In 1952, Congress passed the McCarran Amendment, which consented to the joining of the United States in state suits determining the water rights of all users in a watershed. This allows federal reserved water rights to be determined in conjunction with state water rights. Once adjudicated, federal reserved rights are recognized and may be administered through the state's water rights administrative system.

### GOGA Water Rights

NPS 2001 Management Policies: Water for the preservation and management of the NPS will be obtained and used in accordance with legal authorities. All rights to the use of water diverted from or used on federal lands within the national park system by the United States or its concessionaires, lessors, or permittees will be perfected in the name of the United States. Park waters—either surface waters or groundwater—will be withdrawn for consumptive use only when such withdrawal is absolutely necessary for the use and management of the park (National Park Service, 2001).

Water rights issues are a concern at [Lobos Creek](#), Redwood Creek, Lagunitas Creek, Stinson Gulch, Easkoot Creek and McKinnan Gulch. The potential for continued water rights conflicts exists and threatens to continually decrease the amount of water available to the park's natural resources. Areas in GOGA from which fresh water is diverted include Lagunitas Creek, Olema Creek, Redwood Creek, Tennessee Valley, and Lobos Creek (National Park Service, 1999). No comprehensive inventory of water rights, uses, and requirements has been completed for GOGA (National Park Service, 1990a)—~~however, NPS water rights evaluations have been~~ [investigation completed for certain waterbodies \(e.g., Lobos and Redwood Creeks\).](#)

Objectives from GOGA's [Draft Aquatic/Water Resources Management Plan](#) (National Park Service, 1990a) included: 1) Document and filing, where applicable, Federal reserved and State appropriative and riparian water rights and 2) Developing or allocating water resources in such a way as to avoid over commitments, so that in the long term, there will be no continuous shortages or significant continuous water quality impairment.

Comment [tlw25]: Consider deleting reference to this draft document?

Strategies for maintaining adequate flows and protecting natural hydrologic regimes include inventorying water rights, protecting groundwater, removing diversion structures, water conservation, and enforcing water rights (National Park Service, 1999a).

Mortality of juvenile steelhead in October 1999 associated with water withdrawals from Easkoot Creek has resulted in modification of operations to protect instream flows for fish (National Park Service, no date).

GOGA has requested technical assistance for continued help/assistance with water rights at Redwood Creek and Stinson Beach.

Comment [tlw26]: GOGA's Environmental division is preparing Water Conservation Guidelines for the park – should this be mentioned here?

## Specific Fundamental Water Resources

Finally, in looking at the specific fundamental water resources divided into four categories, we answer four questions that provide the justifications for why these resources are fundamental to GOGA and MUWO, along with the issues that threaten these important park resources.

### Freshwater streams and ponds

#### 1. *What is the importance of these water resources and values?*

##### General

GOGA and MUWO have many unique aquatic resources that are significant in an ecological and economic context. The streams in GOGA and MUWO have many beneficial uses including: municipal water supply, agricultural supply, fresh water replenishment, water contact and no-water contact recreation, warm migration and fish spawning (National Park Service, 1999a). These freshwater systems within GOGA have a direct impact on several indicators including: marine water quality, federal and state-listed species, riparian habitat, and wetlands (National Park Service, 2004a). For example, adequate freshwater flows and water quality are important in the preservation of rare and endangered species such as the California ~~f~~Freshwater Shrimp (*Syncaris pacifica*) at Lagunitas Creek ~~and the San Francisco~~ Garter Snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*) ~~in San Mateo County~~ and federally-listed salmonids (National Park Service, 1990a).

GOGA and MUWO are located within the Golden Gate Biosphere Reserve, one of 411 reserves designated by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization's (UNESCO) Man and the Biosphere Program to provide a global network representing the world's major ecosystem types (Redwood Creek Watershed Vision Statement, 2003).

GOGA's 1980 General Management Plan included the following key management objective: "To maintain and restore the character of natural environment lands by maintaining the diversity of native park plant and animal life, identifying and protecting threatened and endangered plant and animal species, marine mammals, and other sensitive natural resources" (National Park Service, 1980).

Redwood Creek is one example of the important freshwater streams in both GOGA and MUWO. "The Redwood Creek watershed exists as an intact natural ecosystem that offers opportunities for people to learn about, experience and protect a rich blend of nature,

rural character, and cultural history in an urbanized area” (Redwood Creek Watershed Vision Statement, 2003). Although the creek’s watershed encompasses less than nine square miles, it harbors an incredibly diverse ecosystem and rich assemblages of plant and animal species. [\(Don, I think the hotspot refers to coastal CA \(see Conservation International website\) in total and changing this scale may be a bit confusing\)](#)The watershed is part of one of 25 global biodiversity “hot spots” recognized by The Nature Conservancy and targeted by the global conservation community as key to preserving the world’s ecosystems. Hot spots are areas that are both at the greatest risk and shelter the largest concentration of species found nowhere else on the planet (Redwood Creek Watershed Vision Statement, 2003). This creek is one of the more productive and restorable anadromous fish streams within Marin County. The watershed is one of four major streams in the county that currently support native populations of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), both listed as federally threatened under the federal Endangered Species Act (Pacific Watershed Associates, 2002).

Vital signs are being identified and ranked, as part of a national effort in the NPS to identify the natural drivers and anthropogenic stressors that are linked to key resources and natural processes of interest. Vital signs indicators are a subset of physical, chemical, and biological elements and processes of ecosystems, selected to represent the condition of natural resources, effects of stressors, or elements that have important management values. Vital signs for the NPS San Francisco Bay Area Network were prioritized, taking into account ecological significance, management significance, cost and feasibility, and legal mandates. From the 2004 San Francisco Bay Area Network Vital Signs Monitoring Plan, the following lists the ranked water-related vital signs (National Park Service, 2004a):

[Don, added a few more and the top 18 are intended to be worked on in the foreseeable future.](#)

[1 Weather and Climate](#)

[2 Invasive Plant Species \(terrestrial & aquatic\)](#)

~~4. Climatic Variability~~

3. Freshwater Quality

4. Ozone levels, wet and dry deposition, visibility and particulate matter, air contaminants

[5 Stream T&E Species & Fish Assemblages \(Salmonids\)](#)

[6 Rare, Threatened, and Endangered \(T&E\) Plant Species](#)

[8 T&E Amphibians and Reptiles](#)

[9 Western Snowy Plover](#)

[10 Pinnipeds](#)

[15 Wetlands](#)

14. Freshwater Dynamics (stream hydrology)

16. Riparian Habitat

[19 Coastal Dynamics](#)

20. Erosion and Deposition

[21 Physical Oceanography](#)

[24 Birds-Shorebirds](#)

[25 Birds-Seabirds](#)

[26 Birds-Waterbirds](#)  
[28 Marine and Estuarine Fish](#)  
[32 Rocky Intertidal Community](#)  
[33 Marine Water Quality](#)

### 31. Stream Channel and Watershed Characterization

[42 Groundwater Dynamics](#)  
[44 Subtidal Monitoring](#)  
[49 Resilience Monitoring – Flood](#)  
[59 Sandy Intertidal Community](#)  
[60 Cetaceans](#)

### 61. Aquatic Invertebrates.

## Water Supply

The watersheds of GOGA and MUWO support important municipal water supplies in the area. Lagunitas Creek is used by the Marin Municipal Water District to fill behind several dams as part of the municipal water supply for Marin County. Redwood Creek [surface and ground](#) water supports the Muir Beach Community, Marin Municipal Water District and [several](#) state park residences along the creek. Elk Creek supplies [the Miwok three residences stable facility and the Parks Conservancy native plant nursery](#). Lobos Creek is used to supply the Presidio of San Francisco. The [headwaters of surface and/or groundwaters of Easkoot, Stinson Gulch Creek and Black Rock Creeks](#) are a source of potable water for the Stinson Beach County Water District (National Park Service, (no date)). San Francisco watershed lands [provide supply and storage for water diverted from inland areas, including Yosemite National Park, as well as local runoff, and serve as](#) the major source of domestic water for San Francisco and San Mateo Counties. The [reservoirs water sources](#) include: Crystal Springs Lakes, Pilarcitos Creek, Pilarcitos Lake and San Andreas Lake (National Park Service, 1990a). Each of these freshwater systems must meet drinking water objectives set by the State of California (Urban Watershed Project, 2001).

## Biological Resources

Riparian habitat is closely tied to the health of both wetlands and streams, influencing stream fish assemblages. Characteristics of riparian habitat structure such as the ratio of edge to interior, the degree of canopy complexity within riparian strata (e.g., herb/forbs, shrubs, sub-canopy tree, and overstory tree), and the degree of fragmentation is highly associated with amount and type of wildlife use (National Park Service, 2004a).

The streams of GOGA and MUWO that provide [migration routes rearing and spawning habitat](#) for the federally-listed coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and/or steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) include: Lagunitas Creek [and tributaries](#), Redwood Creek, [tributaries into Bolinas Lagoon](#) (including all fresh water surface water inflows), [Olema Creek, Tomales Bay, Crystal Springs Lake](#), San Pedro Creek and Pilarcitos Creek.

~~Spawning occurs in Bolinas Lagoon (including all fresh water inflows), Lagunitas Creek, Olema Creek, Redwood Creek, Rodeo Creek, Tomales Bay, and in San Mateo County in Crystal Springs Lakes, Pillarcitos Creek, Pillarcitos Lake, San Andreas Lake and San Pedro Creek (National Park Service, 1990a).~~

- 2. What is the current state or conditions and the related trends of these water resources and values?*

#### Water Quality

Water quality at the Presidio has been affected by past activities, such as creating landfills, installing of USTs, and using herbicides, fungicides and insecticides, while the U.S. Army managed the Presidio. Nonpoint-source runoff from roads and parking lots affects water quality by introducing organic chemicals and heavy metals (National Park Service and Presidio Trust, 2003). For example, in the San Francisco Bay region, the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) has found consistently high levels of hydrocarbons in urban runoff (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

Fresh water contamination was identified in a survey conducted by the USGS at eight stations in GOGA fresh water streams from 1986 to 1988. Bacterial contamination of water and unusually high values of iron, copper, lead, phosphorus, cadmium, and pH were noted at several sites (Medej, 1989).

The results of the GOGA water quality criteria screen found 21 groups of parameters that exceeded screening criteria at least once within the study area. Dissolved oxygen, pH, chloride, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, and zinc exceeded their respective EPA criteria for the protection of freshwater aquatic life. Dissolved oxygen, pH, chlorine, cadmium, copper, mercury and zinc exceeded their respective EPA criteria for the protection of marine aquatic life. Chloride, fluoride, sulfate, nitrate, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, nickel, and DBCP exceeded EPA drinking water criteria. Fecal-indicator bacteria concentrations (total coliform, fecal coliform, E.coli, and enterococci) and turbidity exceeded the WRD screening limits for freshwater and marine water bathing and aquatic life, respectively (National Park Service, 2005b).

Sporadic water quality testing immediately downstream from the Presidio Riding Club Stables indicated that fecal matter was entering waterways at this site. GOGA committed itself to a comprehensive water quality monitoring program in the winter of 1998-1999. Results from the monitoring indicate that fecal wastes, nutrients and copper are still being input on-site (National Park Service, 1999b).

High fecal coliform counts were verified in the Gerbode Valley, Eskoot Creek, Green Gulch and Redwood Creek. High copper levels were identified in Redwood Creek. High iron levels in the Elk Creek and Gerbod drainages would require treatment if ever used as a potable water source. High phosphorus concentrations were noted at all GOGA stations, which can lead to eutrophication and the detriment of aquatic life (National Park Service, 1990a). Significant impacts of wastewater occurred in Easkoot Creek, which showed

consistent high levels of fecal contamination (Todd Engineers and Questa Engineering, 1998).

In wet weather, nutrient and coliform bacteria levels in Redwood Creek increase downstream of the Banducci Farm, but are generally highest near Muir Beach. Stormwater samples from Green Gulch Creek and the ditch draining Tinker's Stables and hillside pastures showed extremely high levels of nitrogen (1.3 to 1.6 mg/L), phosphorus (0.15 to 4.8 mg/L), and coliform (>2400 counts/100 ml). Dry-weather (summer) fecal coliform counts are below 50 counts/100 ml upstream of Banducci, increasing to about 300 counts/100 ml below Banducci and 1900 counts/100 ml at Pacific Way, well above the standards for contact recreation. There was no surface runoff from areas used by horses during this period, and this increase is probably due to leakage from domestic septic leach fields on the creek floodplain between Pacific Way and Highway 1 (Schanz, et al., 1995).

The State must compile impaired waters in a 303(d) list and initiate a process to bring listed waters back into compliance. GOGA contains three streams on the 303(d) list 2002 (San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2002):

Tamara- aren't all SF streams such as Lobos Crk listed for diazinon?

- ❖ *Lagunitas Creek*: tributary to Tomales Bay. nutrients, pathogens, sedimentation/siltation (agriculture, urban runoff/sewers).
- ❖ *Rodeo Creek*: diazinon (urban runoff/sewers)
- ❖ *San Francisquito Creek*: diazinon (urban runoff/storm sewers), sedimentation (nonpoint source).

Grazing historically occurred on many areas of the park; cattle currently graze on nearly 30% of the land within the park. Most of this area is in the park's Northern District and is administered by Point Reyes National Seashore staff, who have prepared Range Management Guidelines to guide management in these areas. Several areas administered by GOGA are grazed by horses Don, we have stables and exercise paddocks, but there are no grazing sites anymore. The lack of management presence has resulted in adverse impacts to the land. Grazing is no longer allowed in the Rodeo, Gerbode and Tennessee valleys (National Park Service, 1999a).

Nutrient levels in runoff may increase periodically near landscaped areas where fertilization and irrigation are necessary to establish a new plant cover or maintain manicured gardens and landscapes (National Park Service, 1980) - or through unforeseen failures with sewer and water delivery system adjacent to waterways (we've had occasional sewer lift station failures during winter storms and power outages and waterline breaks that released chlorinated drinking water). Probably some issues with location of sewer lines near waterways (have had infiltration problems in the past)

Comment [tlw27]: Replace this section with summary of Anitra's document

## Hydrology

Flows in the region are highly seasonal, with more than 90 percent of the annual runoff occurring during the winter rainy season between November and April (California

Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995). Runoff has changed substantially, but mostly as a result of land use changes rather than natural causes. It has decreased in some areas and has increased in others (Goals Project, 1999). Human activities over the past 200 years, especially introducing logging, overgrazing, and the construction of roads and trails, have greatly accelerated erosion in the north Coast Ranges of California, to the extent that the vigor of the vegetation cover is impaired (Wahrhaftig and Lehre, 1974b).

The total amount of shallow ponds in the baylands and in the adjacent grasslands historically ranged from 16,000 acres to 22,000 acres depending on the amount of rainfall. Now there are between 63,000 and 92,000 acres, depending on rainfall and water management practices. The increase is primarily due to ponding in diked baylands (Goals Project, 1999).

The GOGA trail system is largely an inherited system of former ranch and military roads. Many of these trails are steep, constructed on fine soils that are erodible when wet, and because of their original construction, they capture and concentrate rainfall, gully, and erode heavily in winter. ~~Annual grading of these problem trails is required to make them safe for users~~ (National Park Service, 1990a).

Between MUWO and Banducci Farms, the channel morphology of Redwood Creek is relatively unaltered by direct human activity. In the reaches above MUWO, along Banducci Farms and below the bridge at Pelican Inn the natural channel has been altered through a number of historic engineering projects (channelization, rip-rap, etc.) (Pacific Watershed Associates, 2002). Stream and riparian restoration has begun in the Banducci reach.

Don- statement is probably ok- did a restoration project recently that improved pool conditions and woody material. The current Easkoot Creek profile is ~~absenee-absent~~ of large pools and characterized by low sinuosity (1.2), flat gradient (1.2% slope), artificial confinement, a gravel/cobble bed, and mixed riparian (exotic invasive and native) vegetation. Park development consists of roads, picnic area, bridges, landscaping, and parking lots, while the town development consists of streets and buildings. Maintenance dredging has occurred in the last few decades over the entire reach to maintain channel capacity. Dredged materials were placed along the top of the bank to form levies that prevent access to floodplain and wetlands (National Park Service, (no date)). There is a distinct lack of woody material in the Easkoot Creek channel, likely the result of post-flood channel clearing activities. Thus, a reduction in aquatic habitat exists (lack of pools and woody debris) (National Park Service, (no date)).

The majority of the sediment from the Redwood Creek watershed is derived from the steep hillsides. Of the identified land-use related sediment sources, the hillside pastures (196 acres) contribute the largest sediment load. Sediment loads from the Banducci floodplain fields (29 acres), Green Gulch fields (22 acres), and lowland horse pastures (21 acres) are much smaller due to the low slopes in these areas. Sediment loads from these land uses are significantly higher than they would be under natural conditions, but

are still small relative to the total sediment load from the Redwood Creek Watershed (Schanz et al., 1995).

Redwood Creek drains the 7.46 square mile watershed that begins on the southern slopes of Mt. Tamalpais and includes Fern Creek, Kent Canyon, and Franks Valley. Redwood Creek has largely recovered from historical grazing activities in the watershed, and now supports sustainable populations of federally-listed coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), and red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*), along with special status species such as the northwestern pond turtle (*Clemmys Emys marmorata*)-changed genus (Schanz et al., 1995).

Comment [tlw28]: Carolyn is looking at this section

#### Water Supply (municipal systems)

Water for all developments in the San Francisco mainland units is provided by existing municipal water sources that supply the city of San Francisco and the former U.S. Army water system serving Baker Beach, Crissy Field, and Ft. Pointthe Presidio. These services are adequate to meet present and projected water demands without significantly affecting either local or regional water supplies. This assessment was made in 1980, which determined that no new development of water sources will be required (National Park Service, 1980).

Comment [tlw29]: Update based on CCSF's latest projections I'll provide a link

### 3. What are the potential future threats to these water resources and values.

#### Water Quality

Several rainstorm-water outfalls, which include pollution from local sewers during storm flows, empty into the park including at 1) one large outfall at Lobos Creek, 2) 19 at Crissy field, 3) 2-3 at Ocean Beach, 4) 2 at Stinson Beach, and 5) 10 at Fort Point, and Fort Baker. AThe large outfall at Baker Beach also includes sewage effluent during storm periods (National Park Service, 1990a).

~~Increase in backcountry hiking and camping will increase the potential for contamination of water resources by human wastes (National Park Service, 1980). Horse use will not only increase sedimentation from erosion, but could also introduce fecal material into the waters of the Marin County areas of the park. Horse waste along trails will contaminate surface water to some extent in the Marin Headlands, Mount Tamalpais, and Olema Valley. Watersheds providing municipal water for the towns of Stinson Beach and Muir Beach are within the park and will continue to be affected by horse use. (National Park Service, 1980). The Presidio Riding Club stables occupy a north facing subwatershed within the Rodeo Valley. These stables have been identified as a potential contamination source to areas downstream (National Park Service, 1999b).~~

Current and past land uses in and adjacent to GOGA have the potential to contribute to fresh water contamination. Recreational uses, including hiking, biking, dog-walking and equestrian use can increase sediment from erosion and can also introduce human and animal fecal material. Agricultural practices, including farming, ranching and stable

operations, have caused sedimentation, and organic waste and **potential** pesticide problems. Poorly constructed and poorly maintained roads, inherited from prior land owners, concentrate water, causing gullies that carry sediment into the water resources (National Park Service, 1999a). Waste disposal sites from past uses may release contaminants to park waters. Surface disturbances and chemical releases from ongoing park management and maintenance activities have the potential to degrade water quality.

Algal blooms in Rodeo Lagoon contribute to odor problems and may be associated with altered nutrient regimes. Fish kills associated with these blooms have occurred on several occasions in the last decade.

## Hydrology

Many roads constructed prior to park establishment were improperly constructed. The result is inadequate drainage leading to concentrations of water, increasing sediment yields to creeks impairing water quality and aquatic habitat. Grazing increases erosion by decreasing the amount of vegetation available to capture water, compacting the soil which deters infiltration. This then increases runoff which carries sediments into the creeks. Trampling by off-road vehicles, hand gliding, bicycling, horseback riding and other visitor use has created denuded areas with compacted soils (National Park Service, 1990a). Many of the landslides in GOGA are along roads and appear to have been activated by cutting on the slope side, overloading with fill on downslope side, or saturating the ground with culvert discharge and storm runoff (Wahrhaftig and Lehre, 1974a). The Marin Headlands Erosion Rehabilitation Plan identified those trails and former roads which show erosion problems and those which show few or no erosional impacts under current use. The Marin Trail Use Designation Plan (1992) provides trail designations for the southern Marin areas of the park (National Park Service, 1990b).

Undersized road crossings and near-channel developments force the clearance of woody materials and vegetation, impairing many creeks' ability to support aquatic life. In the reaches above Muir Woods National Monument, along Banducci Farms and below the bridge at Pelican Inn, the natural channel has been altered through a number of historic engineering projects (channelization, rip-rap, etc.) (Pacific Watershed Associates, 2002). Decreases in water quantity due to continued water diversions are partially responsible for the decrease in wetland and lagoon habitats, and for the decrease in rare anadromous fish populations (National Park Service, 1999a). The Redwood Creek Watershed Group was concerned that road and trail maintenance, unsuccessful erosion control, and anthropogenic sedimentation were causing serious disturbance to aquatic habitats (Pacific Watershed Associates, 2002).

## Site Specific Water Quality and Hydrologic Threats

Redwood Creek Watershed crosses jurisdictional boundaries of California State Parks, Marin Municipal Water District, the Muir Beach Community and Green Gulch Farm, in addition to GOGA (National Park Service, 1999a). Some key issues in the watershed include: overcrowding in the park, traffic congestion on roads throughout the watershed

and in the surrounding region, diversion of water from Redwood Creek and its tributaries for residential and agricultural uses, invasion by non-native plant and animal species that displace and prey on native species, increased fuel loading and alteration of native plant communities due to fire suppression, and flooding of local roads (Redwood Creek Watershed Vision Statement, 2003).

Threats to the Lobos Creek area include: water diversion management, water quality impacts from surrounding urban area, visitor recreation impacts, non-native plant invasion, past land use practices (tree plantings, dredging of the creek, construction of a ball field and a road and sewer management) (National Park Service, 1999a).

Pollutants and sources that threaten Lobos Creek watershed include coliform bacteria, nitrate, trihalomethane, heavy metals, sewage leaks, trash, debris and household chemical bottles, volatile organic compounds, petroleum hydrocarbons, USTs (MTBE source?), fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, stormwater runoff pollutants and sources, hazardous material spills, erosion and slope failure of creek banks and structures (bank failure, roadway failure, sewer line failure) (Urban Watershed Project, 2001).

High concentrations of dissolved copper have been noted in Redwood Creek which may be due to pesticide use (Madej, 1989). Along with water diversions (levees, parking lot), irrigated agriculture may add to streamflow depletions. High fecal coliform levels have been documented in Redwood Creek in the Muir Beach area (Madej, 1989). Possible sources include runoff from grazed lands and sewage from leach fields and septic systems. Cadmium and lead were found to exceed criteria for the protection of saltwater and freshwater aquatic life in Rodeo Lagoon and Rodeo Creek (National Park Service, 1990a).

Comment [tlw30]: Asking Carolyn to update

[Posted warning signs based on bacteriological sampling to inform visitors to avoid contact with water have occurred frequently throughout the year at Muir Beach and Horseshoe Cove \(Marin Co.\) while infrequent postings at other San Francisco and Marin beaches have occurred during the winter and spring.](#)

4. *What are the issues that need to be addressed through the consideration of the GMP alternatives?*

#### Watershed

Strategies for protecting and improving water quality monitoring and management include: 1) establishing special protection zones within watersheds; 2) identifying non-point source pollution; 3) developing sustainable stables management practices; 4) providing educational and interpretative programs focusing on watershed themes; 5) conducting beach cleanup programs; and 6) reducing the potential for pollution of aquatic systems (National Park Service, 1999a).

The quality of the riparian (near-stream) ecosystems associated with floodplains is directly related to the condition of adjacent uplands within their watersheds. The degradation of forest streams and their associated watersheds is often the result of non-point sources such as past timber harvesting, roads, fire suppression, and catastrophic wildfires (Hunsaker and Eagen 2003). [Urban and rural development near and adjacent to GOGA lands also affect the quality of the watersheds within the park.](#)

Even though most of the Redwood Creek watershed is protected in public ownership, resources in the watershed are threatened by encroaching landuses, water diversion, non-point source pollution, fire suppression, erosion and sedimentation, and other stressors. In 2002-03, public agencies in the watershed collaborated to create a vision for the watershed's future. The proposed watershed assessment (PMIS 100326: Redwood Creek Watershed Assessment) will forward that vision by providing a scientific foundation upon which to base resource decision-making. The watershed is one of the most important resource management areas in GOGA. The watershed supports a rich mosaic of habitat types, including old growth redwood forest, grassland, coastal chaparral, mixed hardwood and conifer forests, riparian woodland, seasonal and estuarine wetlands, and in-channel aquatic habitats. The watershed supports four federally listed threatened vertebrate species: coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*), and the northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*).

Rodeo Lagoon watershed is the only estuarine resource which has its watershed completely within the park boundary. A comprehensive restoration project for the lake, lagoon, and watershed is necessary. Actions to support watershed management decisions include: 1) monitor lagoon fish community; 2) investigate the effects of poor water quality on ecology of Rodeo Lagoon; 3) monitor and mitigate water quality and erosion; 4) inventory and monitor other sensitive species; and 4) restore habitat and correct wildlife disturbance problems (National Park Service, 1999a).

Lobos Creek provides water to the Presidio. The creek is to be restored as a naturally flowing stream and the valley preserved as a wild area (National Park Service, 1999a). The majority of the Presidio's water needs are met with on-site resources, specifically Lobos Creek. In order to protect the natural resource values along Lobos Creek (one of the last free-flowing creeks in San Francisco), a minimum creek flow of 0.5 mgd is maintained (The Presidio Trust, 2002). Watershed goals for the Lobos Creek Valley developed by the NPS and Urban Watershed Project (Urban Watershed Project, 2001):

1. enhance, preserve and protect water quality in Lobos Creek.
2. balance instream flow requirements for habitat and wildlife with efficient water supplies to the Presidio community.
3. facilitate natural physical, hydrologic, and biological processes to reestablish the native aquatic and riparian habitats of Lobos Creek. Human management interventions should be low-impact (avoid use of heavy machinery) within the Lobos Creek riparian corridor.

4. provide a framework for Lobos Creek watershed educational and interpretive opportunities to the public.
5. develop broad community understanding, stewardship and protection of Lobos Creek natural resources, water quality and riparian habitat.

A systematic restoration of an entire Tennessee Hollow watershed is needed that begins near its divide and finishes near the mouth, generating channel profiles, soil test pits and monitoring well networks in potential riparian and wetland restoration sites, develop sediment and erosion control plan, and evaluate Eucalyptus removal (hydrological changes) (Pranger et al., 2003). GOGA has requested technical assistance for this effort. This major watershed-scale restoration project within the Presidio represents a collaborative venture with three partners: The GOGA, the Presidio Trust and the Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy (Parks Conservancy). Formal Agreements document this in the form of a Memorandum of Agreement between the GOGA and the Presidio Trust, a Cooperative Agreement between the GOGA and The Parks Conservancy, a Cooperative Agreement between the Presidio Trust and the Parks Conservancy, and a Letter of Agreement among all three partners. The NEPA process is underway for this restoration plan

#### Water Quality

GOGA ~~needs to adequately~~ is developing an eqmanage equestrian plan for services in the Marin County portion of the park (Rodeo Valley, Tennessee Valley, and Muir Beach). ~~... developing a comprehensive plan for equestrian use (PMIS 87766: Project Manage Planning the Marin Equestrian Commercial Services). For example the Presidio Riding Club stables occupy a north facing subwatershed within Rodeo Valley.~~ GOGA management objectives include confining horses farther away from water courses within this watershed, revegetated these impacted areas to provide adequate buffer strips to filter contaminated water and provide suitable riparian corridors and habitat, and cover areas where horse use is high in order to prevent clean water from mixing with contaminated water (National Park Service, 1999b).

A reduction in livestock waste contamination of watersheds should occur in response to ceasing of grazing within GOGA areas where grazing levels are lowered to prevent overgrazing. Contamination of runoff in important watersheds such as Bolinas Lagoon, Stinson Beach, and Muir Beach watersheds, which are used as municipal water sources, and in other critical watersheds, such as Tomales Bay, ~~Drakes Estero, and Limantour Estero, will probably be reduced if numbers of livestock are reduced~~. In addition, sediment introduction into watercourses will decrease as erosion problems are reduced and previously denuded areas near stock water supplies and trails are revegetated (National Park Service, 1980).

GOGA ~~is needs to~~ developing a parkwide stormwater management plan. ~~(PMIS 82239) to obtain a NPDES Phase II permit for Small Municipal Separate Stormwater Sewer Systems in 2003.~~

Reconstruction of deteriorated sewage systems will improve water quality in Rodeo Valley, where ~~a pastan-existing~~ sewage treatment problem resulting from an overflowing septic tank ~~has will-been~~ corrected by installation of a new central collections system and treatment outside the park (National Park Service, 1980). [This is a bit outdated, all sewerage is pumped out of the valley for treatment at a municipal system. However, see previous statements about pump station problems](#)

## Hydrology

[The park should continue to restore the natural hydrologic functions and processes throughout the park. For example, bB](#)Between MUWO and Banducci Farms, the channel morphology is relatively unaltered by direct human activity. In the reaches above MUWO, along Banducci Farms and below the bridge at Pelican Inn, the natural channel has been altered through a number of historic engineering projects (channelization, rip-rap, etc.). There are feasibility studies underway at several sites to determine whether or not channel and lagoon restoration projects in the lower watershed would be beneficial to the aquatic ecosystem. These projects should involve site-specific upland erosion control work as part of any larger scale channel restoration plan for these reaches (Pacific Watershed Associates, 2002).

~~There is a need to rehabilitate West Bunker and Mitchell roads located in the Marin Headlands (PMIS 88834). The project would encompass rehabilitation/rebuilding 0.5 miles of Mitchell Road, and 2.8 miles of West Bunker Road including; surface, shoulders, curbs, gutters, drainage, and water control structures.~~

## Biological Resources

Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) [are anadromous where life stage requirements](#) demand year-round, high quality cold water, [continuous-functional](#) riparian cover, and complex habitat and structure to accommodate development from egg to smolt stage. Because the salmonids live for more than a year in freshwater, and the conditions required to support them are highly restrictive, they are susceptible to anthropogenic impacts to the stream and riparian systems, and are therefore effective indicators of stream and aquatic health. Monitoring of these species at multiple life stages is valuable to the understanding of aquatic conditions and health of the watershed (National Park Service, 2004b). Based on the current understanding, two important elements needed for long-term restoration of salmon habitat and the eventual recovery of salmonid populations in the Redwood Creek watershed are: 1) the reduction of accelerated erosion and sediment delivery to the stream channel system and 2) improving estuarine rearing habitat (Pacific Watershed Associates, 2002). The California freshwater shrimp (*Syncaris pacifica*) could also be an effective biological indicator since they are highly sensitive to water quality and changes to habitat (National Park Service, 2004b).

GOGA is seeking technical assistance for a Redwood Creek Tri-Party Agreement to facilitate Section 7 consultation to protect coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*).

#### Climate

Key reasons for monitoring weather and climate in network parks are: (1) weather/climate is the primary driver of the short and long-term distribution and abundance of plant and animal populations, (2) weather/climate has effects on air and water quality, and (3) weather/climate has effects on drought and flood cycles, fires, mass wasting and other catastrophic events. Long-term weather data can contribute to the understanding of global climate change and its effects on GOGA's ecosystems (National Park Service, 2004b).

#### Other

Water resources questions generated from the 2004 Vital Signs Monitoring Plan for the San Francisco Bay Area Network (GOGA, MUWO) include (National Park Service, 2004b):

1. What is the level of compliance with the designated beneficial uses for water resources?
2. What are the long-term trends and ranges of core parameters (water quality, water quantity, geomorphic, biological index, etc)?
3. What are the pollution sources within the watersheds?
4. Are management actions reducing pollutant loads?
5. Are the effects of ranching degrading surrounding ecosystems?
6. What are the effects of farming on surrounding ecosystems?

Water resource objectives from GOGA's 1990 Aquatic/Water Resources Management Plan include (National Park Service, 1990a):

1. Provide adequate sewage treatment and disposal for all facilities.
2. Control erosion induced by land use activities.
3. Prevent direct pollution of natural waters by livestock
4. Regulating fuel-burning watercraft.
5. Establish cooperative Agreements with other agencies or governing bodies to prevent water pollution.
6. Establish consistent and comprehensive programs to monitor water quantity, water quality, and aquatic resources.
7. Assessing floodplain and other hazards and minimizing the flood hazard to facilities and to the public.

Project statements from GOGA's 1990 Aquatic/Water Resources Management Plan include (National Park Service, 1990a):

1. Planning for the Redwood Creek Watershed.
2. Locate the sources of contaminants which exceed the State of California water quality objectives for designated beneficial water uses in the Marin Headlands.
3. Research and write a protection plan for the California Fresh Water Shrimp.
4. Research and write a protection plan for the Endangered San Francisco Garter Snake.
5. Survey and mitigate erosion throughout the GOGA.
6. Develop Riparian and Aquatic Zone Management Guidelines.
7. Inventory and Monitoring of Aquatic Resources.
8. Develop a water resources atlas for the park.

The Mountain Lake Restoration Plan will be a future priority since it is the only natural lake managed by GOGA (National Park Service, 1999a).

In 2002, the NPS Geologic Resources Division (GRD) visited nine sites that either need to be restored or stabilized: 1) Stabilization of Marincello Road, 2) Reclamation/Stabilization of Capehart Quarry, 3) Restoration of Hollis Pond, 4) Stabilization and Waste Removal at Five Baker Beach Bluff Sites, 5) Slumping, relocation and restoration of upper Fishermans Trail, 6) Gravel deposition on Oakwood Valley Trail, 7) Fishery enhancement of Lower Easkoot Creek, 8) Wetland enhancement near the south Stinson Beach Picnic Area, and 9) Removal and Restoration of the Hypress Pond Site (Pranger and Steensen, 2002).

At MUWO, 0.5 miles of trail are scheduled to be mulched, including two acres of riparian restoration and four salmon spawning surveys. In the Marin Headlands, 12 acres of exotic control will be completed (PMIS 81054: Restoration of Habitat at Muir Woods and Marin Headlands).

### **Groundwater aquifer and springs**

1. *What is the importance of these water resources and values?*

#### General

Groundwater is an important component of the hydrologic system in the San Francisco Bay region. Groundwater provides excellent natural storage, distribution, and treatment systems. Groundwater also supplies high quality water for drinking, irrigation, and industrial processing and service. As an important source of freshwater replenishment, groundwater may also discharge to surface streams, wetlands, and San Francisco Bay (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

The groundwater in GOGA has many beneficial uses including; municipal water supply, agricultural supply, and fresh water replenishment (National Park Service, 1999a).

Key management objectives from the 1980 GMP: To maintain and restore the character of natural environment lands by maintaining the diversity of native park plant and animal

life, identifying and protecting threatened and endangered plant and animal species, marine mammals, and other sensitive natural resources (National Park Service, 1980).

Vital signs for the San Francisco Bay Area Network were prioritized, taking into account ecological significance, management significance, cost and feasibility, and legal mandates. From the 2004 San Francisco Bay Area Network Vital Signs Monitoring Plan, “Groundwater Dynamics” was listed 42<sup>nd</sup> in the ranked vital signs (National Park Service, 2004a):

2. *What is the current state or conditions and the related trends of these water resources and values?*

#### Water Quality

The chemical character of ground water in San Francisco is affected by recharge from rainfall, irrigation-return flow, and leakage from water and sewer pipes. Ground water quality is also affected by chemical interaction with sediments in the aquifer system. Water from municipal water pipes tends to dilute the major constituents found in ground water, with the exception of fluoride. Concentrations of dissolved solids, sodium, and chloride tend to decrease with depth, indicating a shallow source, such as sea spray, sewer leakage, or irrigation-return flow. Nitrate concentrations exceeded the EPA recommendation limit for drinking water (10 mg/L) in 41% of the samples. The most likely sources of nitrate are seepage from sewers and irrigation return flow. Except for high nitrate concentrations, ground water is generally of good quality and is a calcium magnesium bicarbonate type (Yates et al., 1990).

The five drainages in Marin County (Lagunitas Creek, Olema Creek, Redwood Creek, Elk Creek and Rodeo Creek) have flat alluvial valleys near their mouths. These alleviated valleys have high water tables and contain the only sizable ground water resources in GOGA. Due to their accessibility and development, these areas are subject to pollution from failing septic systems, animal wastes and runoff, and debris from roads. The shallow and poorly drained soils have caused problems with sewage disposal and leach fields (National Park Service, 1990a).

Landfills and localized hazardous waste contamination related to past activities have affected the natural resources by changing the soil, vegetation and wildlife habitats. Groundwater is affected and can carry contaminants to freshwater resources and eventually to the bay or ocean. The presidio of San Francisco has undergone a thorough review of such areas in an attempt to mitigate them (National Park Service, 1999a).

Nutrient levels in runoff may increase periodically near landscaped areas where fertilization and irrigation are necessary to establish a new plant cover or maintain manicured gardens and landscapes (National Park Service, 1980). Nitrate loading calculations demonstrate that nitrate in groundwater is the result of wastewater disposal, and that landscape irrigation is a minor factor. Wastewater disposal effects on groundwater in the Seadrift, Calles, and Patios areas do not pose a threat to human health,

and appear to have only a small influence on beneficial uses in Bolinas Lagoon. Accordingly, no technical basis exists to support a building moratorium (Todd Engineers and Questa Engineering, 1998).

### Hydrogeology

Groundwater in the western part of San Francisco is used chiefly for irrigation in Golden Gate Park and in the Lake Merced area. Available water-quality and storage data indicate the potential for further development of this resource. The ground-water basin underlies a 39-square-mile area extending south from Lincoln Park through Daly City and terminating near San Bruno. It is bounded on the west by the San Andreas fault and on the east by relatively impermeable rocks of the Franciscan Complex and the Great Valley sequence (Yates et al., 1990).

The water budgets and water-level trends indicate that pumpage could be increased in Golden Gate Park without adversely affecting the ground-water system. In contrast, ground water in the Lake Merced area is in a state of overdraft, and any increases in pumpage probably would increase the rate of long-term water-level decline in the lake (Yates et al., 1990).

Available information does not indicate long-term water-level declines at wells in Golden Gate Park, with the possible exception of the Alvord well. However, there is evidence of long-term water-level declines in the shallow aquifer in the Lake Merced area (Yates et al., 1990). Pumpage from the deep part of the aquifer system at local golf courses and in Daly City, coupled with the presence of the confining layer, has produced a steep downward and southward ground-water-level gradient near the south end of Lake Merced. Vertical gradients in ground water appear to be much smaller in other areas in the western part of San Francisco. Ground-water flow is generally westward with local areas of radial flow toward production wells (Yates et al., 1990).

A study was conducted to determine whether pumping groundwater from Muir Beach Community Services District Supply Well would cause depletion of streamflow in Redwood Creek. It was determined that the alluvial aquifer in Frank Valley is a heterogeneous aquifer that is hydrologically interconnected with surface flow in Redwood Creek. Pumping water from Muir Beach Community Services District Supply Well induces infiltration from Redwood Creek to the alluvial aquifer. Streamflow depletion was estimated to be 70-80% of the pumping rate of the well (Martin, 2000).

Analysis of groundwater levels and flow revealed that the ocean and Bolinas Lagoon are the receiving surface water bodies for groundwater in Stinson Beach. Specifically, groundwater flow from the Oceanside portions of Stinson Beach, Old Town, and Highlands areas generally flow toward the ocean, while flow from the remaining portions of Seadrift, Calles, and Patios is toward Bolinas Lagoon. Easkoot Creek intercepts groundwater and conveys it to Bolinas Lagoon (Todd Engineers and Questa Engineering, 1998).

### Water Supply (Municipal Systems)

Water for all developments in the San Francisco mainland units is provided by existing municipal water sources that supply the city of San Francisco and the U.S. Army water system serving Baker Beach, Crissy Field, and Ft. Point. These services are adequate to meet present and projected water demands without significantly affecting either local or regional water supplies. This assessment was made in 1980, which determined that no new development of water sources will be required (National Park Service, 1980).

Park areas in Marin County are presently supplied by municipal systems and local water developments. Very few local water sources have been developed in the Marin Headlands area with the exception of low-flow springs. Groundwater use has been limited, but extensive testing has been undertaken. According to the National Park Service (1980), soil boring information suggests that sufficient groundwater is available to meet the visitor needs in this area.

The existing water supply in Olema Valley comes from local wells and diversions. Water demand in the valley will be greatly increased as a result of the plan. Although the potential yield of existing wells is not known, test wells in the area yield up to 85,000 gpd, more than twice the required peak water demand of 41,000 gpd. Most of the water demand will be generated in the Five Brooks area, the initiation point of most of the activity in the valley (National Park Service, 1980).

Groundwater in Seadrift, Calles, and Patios areas is not a viable drinking water supply because of the influence of seawater (Todd Engineers and Questa Engineering, 1998). [The Stinson Beach County Water District withdraws groundwater from the Stinson Gulch aquifer.](#)

*3. What are the potential future threats to these water resources and values.*

#### Water Quality

A variety of historical and ongoing industrial, urban, and agricultural activities and their associated discharges degrade the groundwater quality, including industrial and agricultural chemical spills, underground and above-ground storage tank failures, and chemical seepage via shallow drainage wells and abandoned wells. In addition, salt water intrusion directly attributed to over-pumping has degraded the purity of some groundwater aquifers (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

Wastewater disposal is influencing groundwater quality in the Seadrift, Calles, and Patios areas, as indicated by the detection of ammonia and methylene blue active substances (MBAS) in most of the monitoring wells sampled during this study. Detections of fecal coliform were confined to two wells, suggesting that fecal contamination of groundwater is limited and localized (Todd Engineers and Questa Engineering, 1998).

*4. What are the issues that need to be addressed through the consideration of the GMP alternatives?*

## Water Quality

Several potential disposal, landfill, and industrial sites require environmental investigation in GOGA. A project statement has been prepared by GOGA to address this need (GOGA Parkwide CERCLA Preliminary Assessment at 23 sites in all park areas: PMIS 100621). Project objectives are to identify any violations of environmental regulations, reduce potential NPS liability for cleanup of conditions discovered, and promote an expedient identification and remediation, if necessary, of hazardous substances in the environment.

A reduction in livestock waste contamination of watersheds will occur in areas where grazing levels are lowered to prevent overgrazing. Contamination of runoff in important watersheds such as Bolinas Lagoon, Stinson Beach, and Muir Beach watersheds, which are used as municipal water sources, and in other critical watersheds, such as Tomales Bay, Drakes Estero, and Limantour Estero, will probably be reduced if numbers of livestock are reduced. In addition, sediment introduction into watercourses will decrease as erosion problems are reduced and previously denuded areas near stock water supplies and trails are revegetated. (National Park Service, 1980).

Reconstruction of deteriorated sewage systems will improve water quality in Rodeo Valley, where an existing sewage treatment problem resulting from an overflowing septic tank will be corrected by installation of a new central collections system and treatment outside the park. (National Park Service, 1980).

Additional USTs and transformers still remain on site at the Former Mill Valley Air Force Station (Mount Tamalpais) elevating the potential environmental contamination in the immediate area. A Phase II Environmental Assessment project statement has been prepared by GOGA to address this issue (PMIS 100275).

The need to replace non-compliant septic systems at Point Bonita (Marin Headlands) exists for three separate living units servicing 14 residents. A project statement has been prepared by GOGA to replace the sewage system for these three housing units (PMIS 78727).

## Hydrogeology

Impacts of groundwater pumping on Redwood Creek streamflow can be minimized by restricting pumping to nighttime hours when streamflow is not being further depleted by evapotranspiration. Water conservation measures by the Muir Beach community will reduce the water demand, lessening the impact of groundwater pumping. Relocating the supply well to a location further downstream would transfer the impact of streamflow depletion about 3000 feet downstream (Martin, 2000). [The Muir Beach Community Services District has recently adopted an Adaptive Management Plan \(2005\) that is intended to reduce the impact of their operations on streamflow and fishery resources.](#)

A water resource question generated from the 2004 Vital Signs Monitoring Plan for the San Francisco Bay area Network (including GOGA and MUWO) was (National Park Service, 2004b): Are water storage levels in existing aquifers decreasing?

#### Biological Resources

The relative impacts of water losses on Redwood Creek fish habitat are seasonal, and are greatest in the late summer of drought years when flows naturally drop below 0.5 cfs. The short-term flow losses that occur during pumping can have serious impacts on both the fish populations using the shallow habitats and on the insect populations that provide essential food for fish in deeper pools. The impacts of pumping can be reduced by bringing in an outside water supply from the Marin Municipal Water District, water conservation/demand reduction, moving the wells 50 to 100 feet from the creek, and/or ensuring that the Banducci Flower & Bulb Farm and Muir Beach CSD wells pumping periods do not overlap (Schanz et al., 1995).—[Don, the Banducci Farm is no longer pumping and the CSD well has recently been moved. The State Board, NPS, and others came up with a list of measures for the CSD to investigate to reduce pumping during critical periods—it is part of the SWRCB conditional water rights permit for the CSD.](#)

[Stinson Beach also developed a management plan intending to reduce impacts on fish.](#)

A water resource question generated from the 2004 Vital Signs Monitoring Plan for the San Francisco Bay area Network (GOGA, MUWO) was (National Park Service, 2004b): Are there groundwater impacts on riparian habitat and wildlife?

#### Other

Water resources questions generated from the 2004 Vital Signs Monitoring Plan that apply to groundwater for the San Francisco Bay Area Network (GOGA, MUWO) include (National Park Service, 2004b):

1. What is the level of compliance with the designated beneficial uses for water resources?
2. What are the long-term trends and ranges of core parameters (water quality, water quantity, geomorphic, biological index, etc)?
3. What are the pollution sources within the watersheds?
4. Are management actions reducing pollutant loads?
5. Are the effects of ranching degrading surrounding ecosystems?
6. What are the effects of farming on surrounding ecosystems?

#### **Wetlands**

1. *What is the importance of these water resources and values?*

Wetlands are keystone ecosystems in the San Francisco Bay Area. Some ecologists call wetlands "the kidneys of the landscape" as they provide water quality protection, flood and drought mitigation, erosion control, and groundwater recharge functions. Wetlands support complex food webs, housing a rich biodiversity of wetland-endemic species, and providing habitat functions for many aquatic and terrestrial species. An estimated 46% of US endangered and threatened species and 50% of all bird species require wetland habitat. Wetland habitats are vulnerable to alteration due to global climate change and associated potential temperature, hydrology, and salinity regime changes. Understanding the condition of wetlands in GOGA may be a good proxy for understanding the condition of many taxa of concern in the region (National Park Service, 2004b)

Key management objectives from the 1980 GMP: To maintain and restore the character of natural environment lands by maintaining the diversity of native park plant and animal life, identifying and protecting threatened and endangered plant and animal species, marine mammals, and other sensitive natural resources (National Park Service, 1980)

Vital signs for the San Francisco Bay Area Network were prioritized, taking into account ecological significance, management significance, cost and feasibility, and legal mandates. From the 2004 San Francisco Bay Area Network Vital Signs Monitoring Plan, the following lists the ranked water-related vital signs (National Park Service, 2004b):

3. Freshwater Quality

15. Wetlands

16. Riparian Habitat

20. Erosion and Deposition

31. Stream Channel and Watershed Characterization

42. Groundwater Dynamics

61. Aquatic Invertebrates.

2. *What is the current state or conditions and the related trends of these water resources and values?*

Wetland systems are among the most productive and threatened habitats in the park (National Park Service, 1999a).

Wetland inventory work in the area by PORE found that the existing NWI maps missed 33% of the wetlands identified by Park-wide vegetation mapping and subsequent field work (National Park Service, no date).

Historic and current alterations to wetlands and aquatic sites have led to a decrease in functions and species abundance and diversity within the park. Historic fill in wetland and aquatic sites, such as Ft. Baker and Crissy Field, has resulted in long-term loss of habitat (National Park Service, 1999a). [Landuse activities have also led to conversion of wetland habitat types. In Rodeo Lagoon watershed, investigation of historic maps found development of incised channels in areas where diffuse, discontinuous channels across a wetland plain, expanding deltas in the lagoon from sediment deposition, amongst others \(San Francisco Estuary Inst. 2004-will send this to you\)](#)

3. *Identify the potential future threats to these resources and values.*

Nike Swale is a wetland and upland mosaic located in the Battery Caulfield area. The site contains pockets of contaminated fill. Landfill 8, is scheduled for a larger scale remediation (contaminated soils). Observations indicate that the water table is very near ground surface in the area (Wagner and Inglis, 2004).

The northern area of the Easkoot Creek project site is a groundwater depression wetland. The area likely served as a floodplain for the creek; however there is a raised berm of fill material separating the creek from the wetland site (National Park Service, no date).

[One of the threats lies with formalizing of human uses above known historic wetland sites, several of which include important cultural resources \(e.g., Fort Baker facilities on top of a backdune wetland, parking lot at Stinson Beach on top of historic 2.5 acres backdune pond and marsh, buildings and facilities still above historic Crissy wetland\)](#)

4. *What are the issues that need to be addressed through the consideration of the GMP alternatives?*

“There should be no additional loss of wetlands within the baylands ecosystem. Furthermore, as filled or developed areas within the baylands become available, their potential for restoration to fish and wildlife habitat should be fully considered.” (Goals Project, 1999).

A sizeable amount of the park’s historic wetland and aquatic sites has been altered. Two major wetland and aquatic restoration projects are Crissy Field and Mountain Lake (National Park Service, 1999a). The Crissy Field Marsh needs to be enlarged to increase its viability as a functioning intertidal system. A project statement has been prepared by GOGA to address this need (PMIS 82196: Crissy Field Marsh Expansion). Results would be a study report with options and alternatives for location and configuration of potential marsh expansion.

A restoration plan for Poison Pond and its wetland at Stinson Beach is needed. Data collection necessary to develop an adequate design would include defining the: 1) extent and quality of fill; 2) seasonal water table; and 3) hydrologic budget (Pranger et al., 2003). GOGA has submitted a technical assistance request to follow up with this restoration planning effort.

A preliminary enhancement plan has been developed that would restore and enhance over 16 acres of wetland and open water habitat at Big Lagoon at the mouth of Redwood Creek (Schanz et al., 1995).

Consistent with the California Wetlands Conservation Policy, the Regional Board is participating in the preparation of a Regional Wetlands Management Plan (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

## Marine and Coastal Water Resources

### 1. *What is the importance of these water resources and values?*

The water in GOGA has many beneficial uses including: water contact and no-water contact recreation, commercial and sport ocean fishing, warm migration and fish spawning, and shellfish harvesting (National Park Service, 1999a). The intertidal and subtidal zones of the littoral environment are some of the most diverse and productive ecosystems in the world (National Park Service, 1990a).

San Francisco Bay is the largest estuary along California's long coastline. It is an essential resting place, feeding area, and wintering ground for millions of birds on the Pacific Flyway. Nearly 100 species of fish are also supported by the estuarine environment that includes marshlands, mudflats, salt production lands, and open water (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998).

Human benefit from the fish and wildlife of the Bay include food, economic gain, recreation, scientific research, education, and an environment for living (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998).

Coastal habitats are important for the preservation of several rare and endangered species including the ~~Tide Water Goby~~[tidewater goby](#) (*Eucyclogoriscus newberryi*) at Rodeo Lagoon ~~and Giacomini Ranch in Tomales Bay~~ and ~~Salt-salt Marsh-marsh Bird's-bird's~~[Beak-beak](#) (*Cordylanthus maritimus ssp. palustris*) at Bolinas Lagoon (National Park Service, 1990a).

Tomales Bay is an estuary that has significant habitat value: 1) excellent and varied bird habitat supporting at least 109 species of water birds; 2) A number of the Tomales Bay tributary creeks support anadromous fish; 3) An active, commercial oyster fishery has been present in Tomales Bay since 1875; 4) "On the basis of the information at hand, it would appear that Tomales Bay is as close to a pristine condition as any bay or estuary in California" (National Park Service, 1990a).

[See changes to similar paragraph above](#)The streams of GOGA that provide migration routes for ~~Silver-silver~~[Salmon-salmon](#) (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and Steelhead Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) include Bolinas Lagoon (including all fresh water surface water inflows) and Tomales Bay. Spawning occurs in Bolinas Lagoon (including all fresh water inflows), and Tomales Bay (National Park Service, 1990a).

Vital signs for the San Francisco Bay Area Network were prioritized, taking into account ecological significance, management significance, cost and feasibility, and legal mandates. From the 2004 San Francisco Bay Area Network Vital Signs Monitoring Plan, the following lists the ranked water-related vital signs (National Park Service, 2004b):

[See list inserted above](#)

20. Erosion and Deposition
21. Physical Oceanography

### 33. Marine Water Quality

Key management objectives from the 1980 GMP: To maintain and restore the character of natural environment lands by maintaining the diversity of native plant and animal life, identifying and protecting threatened and endangered plant and animal species, marine mammals, and other sensitive natural resources (National Park Service, 1980).

#### *2. What is the current state or conditions and the related trends of these water resources and values?*

The San Francisco Bay –Delta estuary is one of the most modified estuaries in the United States (Goals Project, 1999).

The major sources of water for the baylands are the tides and freshwater runoff from watersheds. The characteristics of these sources have changed significantly over time. The tides have changed naturally throughout the estuary for centuries as a result of sea level rise. Runoff has also changed substantially, but mostly as a result of land use changes rather than natural causes. It has decreased in some areas and has increased in others (Goals Project, 1999).

San Francisco Bay-Estuary depends on freshwater inflows from the delta. The bay now receives less than 50 percent of its historical freshwater inflows. The biological communities of the Bay-Estuary are altered by the disruption of natural flow patterns (National Park Service, 1999a). The Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, which enter the Bay system through the Delta at the eastern end of Suisun Bay, contribute almost all the freshwater inflow to the Bay. Many small rivers and streams also convey fresh water to the Bay system. The rate and timing of these freshwater flows are among the most important factors influencing physical, chemical, and biological conditions in the Estuary (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1995).

There use to be 174,000 acres of shallow bay and 100,000 acres of deep bay. About 16,000 acres of deep bay have become shallow and 18,000 acres of shallow bay have become tidal, diked, or filled baylands. There use to be 190,000 acres of tidal marsh, now there are 40,000 acres. Only 16,000 acres of the original tidal marsh remain, the rest has naturally evolved from tidal flat, been restored from diked baylands, or muted by water control structures. 137,000 acres of baylands have been diked and 50,000 acres of baylands have been filled (Goals Project, 1999).

About 40 percent of the original surface of the Bay has been diked off or filled in since 1850. Because this has involved some of the most effective oxygenation areas, the ability of the Bay to take up oxygen has been sharply reduced (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998).

None of the beaches in the GOGA are being supplied with any sizeable quantity of stream-carried sand, and the rate of erosion of coastal cliffs does not seem to be fast enough to maintain them. Any sand removed is not likely to be replenished.

The Estuary's population of fish and wildlife has changed markedly in the past century and a half. This is a result of a variety of natural and human-induced factors, including over-harvest, habitat loss and degradation, introduced species, pollutants, and modification of freshwater flows. Declines in fish and wildlife populations have caused obvious economic losses through declines in sport and commercial hunting and fishing. The losses of bayland habitats have caused declines in aesthetics, pollution control, flood control, erosion control, and navigation, all of which have a price tag. These economic losses are just beginning to be considered as part of the rationale for baylands restoration (Goals Project, 1999).

The State must compile impaired waters in a 303(d) list and initiate a process to bring listed waters back into compliance. GOGA streams flow into Tomales Bay, which is on the 303(d) list 2002 (San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2002):

- ❖ ~~Famales-Tomales~~ Bay - mercury (mine tailings), nutrients (agriculture), pathogens (intensive animal feeding operations), sedimentation/siltation (agriculture, upstream impoundment)

\*San Francisco Bay (central)- chlordane, ddt, diazinon, dieldrin, dioxins, copper, exotic species, mercury, nickel, PCB's, furan compounds

(<http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb2/TMDL/303dlist/2002reg2303dlist.pdf>).

Marin County announced a fish consumption advisory for ~~Famales-Tomales~~ Bay due to mercury bioaccumulation associated with an abandoned mercury mine in the Walker Creek watershed. (National Park Service, 2004b)

Evidence of contamination of Rodeo Lagoon due to high lead and cadmium levels as well as a high pH is a concern for aquatic life. (National Park Service, 1990a)

### *3. Identify the potential future threats to these water resources and values.*

#### Water Quality

Unnatural sources of fresh water include storm drains and the discharge pipes from sewage treatment facilities. Fresh water affects salinity conditions and many physical and biological processes throughout much of the Estuary (Goals Project, 1999). Several rain water outfalls, which include pollution from local sewers during storm flows, empty into the park including 1) one large outfall at Lobos Creek 2) 19 at Crissy field, 3) 2-3 at Ocean Beach, 4) 2 at Stinson Beach and 5) 10 at Fort Point. The large outfall at Baker Beach also includes sewage effluent during storm periods (National Park Service, 1990a).

Much of the freshwater inflow into the Bay is trapped upstream by the dams, canals, and reservoirs of California's water diversion projects, which provide vital water to industries, farms, homes, and businesses throughout the state. This freshwater diversion has sparked statewide controversy over possible adverse effects on the Estuary's water quality, fisheries, and ecosystem (California Regional Water Quality Board, 1995). Fresh water flowing into the Bay dilutes the salt water of the ocean flowing into the Bay through the Golden Gate. The gradual change in salt content of the Bay appears

necessary for the survival of anadromous fish. The fresh water flows from Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers into the Delta and the Bay have been reduced in the past by diversions of federal, state, and local governments for agricultural, industrial and domestic uses. Additional diversions are being sought, and further substantial diversions could change the salt content of Bay water and thereby adversely affect the ability of the Bay to support a great variety of aquatic life (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998).

Oil spills occur frequently in the bay and ocean, with some of the most recent affecting GOGA coastal resources in 1971, 1976, 1980, 1986, and 1989. Seven oil refineries are located in the Bay Area, and oil accounts for 75% of the tonnage entering the bay. Past frequencies of oil spills are likely to continue due to the continual pressure to open nearby outer continental shelf leases for oil exploration and development, and due to the existence of refineries here. Resource losses also result from the oil cleanup procedure. Mechanical graders used to clean up the oil remove the top six inches of sand along with the oil. This top six inches is where most sand-dwelling species occur (National Park Service, 1999a).

Radioactive wastes dumped in the Gulf of the Farallones National Sanctuary (between 1946-1970) are potentially environmental hazards. Approximately 25% of them, more than 47,500 barrels of radioactive waste, have imploded (Dyer, 1975). Plutonium and cesium are leaking into the sanctuary and threaten to contaminate the Pacific herring, Dover sole, rockfish, sablefish and Dungeness crab that are commercially fished in the area (San Mateo Times, 1990; National Park Service, 1999a).

Bay and marine water contamination from toxins, sewage and sediments threaten many park resources. The use of extremely toxic boat chemicals in harbors has led to the contamination of waters around many Bay Area marinas, including the marina adjacent to Ft. Mason, and those in Sausalito and Richardson Bay (Citizens for a Better Environment, 1987). Studies have detected concentrations of silver, cadmium, selenium, DDE, and PCBs in Dungeness crab (National Park Service, 1999a). Cadmium and lead were found to exceed criteria for the protection of saltwater and freshwater aquatic life in Rodeo Lagoon and Rodeo Creek (National Park Service, 1990a).

Dog, horse, cattle and human waste may be a significant source of nearshore and lagoon contamination. A substudy of the San Francisco Sewage Management Plan determined that bacterial contamination of waters of Ocean Beach was significant due to dog fecal matter deposited along the shoreline (National Park Service, 1999a).

## Hydrology

Redwood Creek and Big Lagoon have been disturbed by developments including water diversions, levees, parking lots, agriculture, the creek and stream bank protection (gabions and riprap). Research has shown that aquatic resources, particularly anadromous fish populations, have been impacted by stream flow depletions (Snider 1984, Hofstra, 1989). Big Lagoon has virtually been eliminated. Levees block the flow of water and a

parking lot was built on part of the former lagoon site. The flow of water is diverted from its natural route and around the parking lot. In addition, stream flow depletions from Redwood Creek and Green Gulch have caused a decrease in delivery of water to what was once Big Lagoon (National Park Service, 1990a).

Comment [tlw31]: I'll have Carolyn Shoulders provide an updated paragraph on this

#### Sea level rise

If historic trends continue, global seas level should increase between four and five inches in the Bay in the next 50 years and could increase approximately 1.5 to 5 feet by the year 2100 depending on the rate of accelerated rise in sea level caused by the "greenhouse effect" (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998).

Rise in sea level over the next century will result from global warming (The Bay Watcher 1989). Some of the potential impacts that can be foreseen include: a reduction in primary productivity due to saltwater intrusion in the productive shallows of San Pablo and Suisun bays and the flooding of marshes and impacts of Pacific flyway and local waterfowl. This result could be a general decline in most bay species of fish, shellfish, marine mammals and birds (National Park Service, 1999a). NPS, in conjunction with USGS is currently completing an evaluation of the park's vulnerability to sea level rise.

#### Dredging

Present research indicates that filling a substantial part of the Bay --- as much as 25% --- would cause: 1) higher summertime temperatures and reduced rainfall in the Santa Clara Valley and the Carquinez Strait-Suisun Bay area; and 2) increase the frequency and thickness of both fog and smog in the Bay Area. Converting Bay surface to land would increase smog-producing temperature inversions in the Bay Area; in addition, the new land would probably be used for smog producing urban developments, including automobiles. To the greatest extent possible, the remaining water volume and surface area of the Bay should be maintained (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998).

Dredging materials are currently dumped 300 yards off Alcatraz Island, throughout the Golden Gate shipping channel and at San Francisco Bar. In 1989, DDE-contaminated sludge was dumped near Alcatraz. Dredging operations can modify or destroy benthic marine resources, which impacts intertidal resources (National Park Service, 1999a).

The City and County of San Francisco, USGS, and The Army Corps of Engineers are currently conducting studies of dredge disposal placement and effects on the San Francisco Bar and Ocean Beach.

4. *What are the issues that need to be addressed through the consideration of the GMP alternatives?*

To preserve the natural diversity of the baylands, tidal marshes must be restored along the salinity gradients of the Estuary, such that fresh and brackish species of plants and animals have someplace to go as the sea level rises and the estuary moves inland (Goals Project, 1999).

The overall goal for the “Central Bay – South Marin (Segment I)” region, which includes the main body of San Francisco Bay (and GOGA), is to restore and enhance tidal marsh wherever possible and restore high marsh near populations of rare and uncommon salt marsh plants to enable their expansion (Goals Project, 1999).

To the greatest extent feasible, the remaining marshes and mudflats around the Bay, the remaining water volume and surface area of the Bay, and adequate fresh water inflow into the Bay should be maintained (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998). The surface area of the Bay and the total volume of water should be kept as large as possible in order to maximize active oxygen interchange, vigorous circulation, and effective tidal action (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998).

The impact of diversions of fresh water inflow into the Bay should be monitored by the State Water Resources Control Board, which should set standards to restore historical levels (1922-1967) to fish and wildlife resources. The Bay Commission should cooperate with the State Board and others to ensure that adequate fresh water inflows to protect the Bay are made available (San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998).

#### Coastal Processes

The City and County of San Francisco, with the Corps of Engineers, are in the process of conducting a feasibility study for management of Ocean Beach, a dynamic and heavily used stretch of shoreline. GOGA requested technical assistance on this issue to ensure that the protection of natural resources and processes are appropriately incorporated into the evaluation. GOGA, with ongoing technical assistance, has been successful at keeping NPS concerns on the table in this process.

Comment [tlw32]: Refer to recent GOGA draft fundamental resources and values documents

~~Restoration work is needed at Baker Beach. Specifically, addressing Fill Sites 1, 2, 3, & 5 just above Baker Beach to reestablish natural functions and process. Hazardous material removal and stabilization of cultural resources (batteries) along the upper edges of these sites will be required (Pranger et al., 2003).~~

Other:

The only structures in the park that are documented as being subject to flooding are in coastal areas subject to tsunami flooding (National Park Service, 1980). Tsunami maps are currently being updated for the California coast.

Water resources questions generated from the 2004 Vital Signs Monitoring Plan for San Francisco Bay Area Network include (National Park Service, 2004b):

1. How are commercial and recreational fisheries affecting marine resources?
2. Are deposition patterns changing on park beaches? Is erosion increasing in development zones?

A water resources objective from GOGA's 1990 Draft Aquatic/Water Resources Management Plan (National Park Service, 1990a) is: The need to understand dynamics of sand movement and beach resources for management purposes. Project statements from this 1990 Plan include:

1. Inventory and Monitor Marine Resources.
2. Monitor coastal processes.
3. Rodeo Lagoon Restoration

Rodeo Lagoon Watershed is the only estuarine resource which has its watershed completely within the park boundary. A comprehensive restoration project for the lake, lagoon, and watershed is necessary. Actions should include; monitor lagoon fish community; investigate the effects of poor water quality on ecology of Rodeo Lagoon, monitor and mitigate water quality and erosion, inventory and monitor other sensitive species, restore habitat and correct wildlife disturbance problems (National Park Service, 1999a). GOGA has requested continued technical assistance on the Big Lagoon restoration planning/implementation.

Don, (b) (5)



## LITERATURE CITED

- California Regional Water Quality Board, 1995. Water Quality Control Plan. San Francisco Bay Basin (Region 2). Oakland, CA.
- Citizens for a Better Environment, 1987. Toxic Hot Spots in San Francisco Bay. Report No. 87686.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe, 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Davis, G.E., 2004. Maintaining Unimpaired Ocean Resources and Experiences, A National Park Service Ocean Stewardship Strategy. U.S. National Park Service. Washington, D.C. 18 pp.
- Dyer, R., 1975. A survey of Farallon Islands, 500 Fathoms, Radioactive Waste Disposal Site. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C.
- Goals Project, 1999. Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals. A report of habitat recommendation prepared by the San Francisco Bay Area Wetlands Ecosystem Goals Project. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, San Francisco, Calif./S.F. Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. Oakland, CA. 209 pp. + appendices.
- Hunsaker, C.T. and S.M. Eagan, 2003. Small stream ecosystem variability in the Sierra Nevada of California. The First Interagency Conference on Research in Watersheds, Oct. 27-30, 2003. Benson, AZ. 6 pp.
- Marin County, 2004. Marin County Watershed Management Plan (Administrative draft). [http://www.co.marin.ca.us/depts/CD/main/comdev/Watershed/WMP\\_Pt1.pdf](http://www.co.marin.ca.us/depts/CD/main/comdev/Watershed/WMP_Pt1.pdf)
- Martin, L., 2000. Hydrogeology of the Muir Beach Community Services District Well Site, Frank Valley, Redwood Creek, California, Golden Gate National Recreation Area. Tech. Report NPS/NRWRD/NRTR-2000/265. Ft. Collins, CO. 24 pp.
- McGlothlin, D. 2005. Personal Communication. Supervisory Hydrologist. NPS Water Resources Division, Water Rights Branch. Ft. Collins, CO.
- Medej, M.A. 1989. A summary of GGNRA water quality data collected by the U.S. Geological Survey, in-house report.
- National Park Service, 1980. Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Point Reyes National Seashore, General Management Plan/Environmental Analysis. 279 pp.
- National Park Service, 1990a. Aquatic/Water Resources Management Plan (Draft), Golden Gate National Recreation Area. San Francisco, CA. 109 pp.

Comment [tw33]: Consider deleting reference to this draft

- National Park Service, 1990b. Environmental Assessment. Marin Trail Use Designation, Golden Gate National Recreation Area. San Francisco, CA. 28 pp. + figures & appendices.
- National Park Service, 1994. General Management Plan Amendment for the Presidio. San Francisco, CA.
- National Park Service, 1999a. Natural Resources Section of the Resources Management Plan. Golden Gate National Recreation Area. San Francisco, CA. 89 pp. + appendices
- National Park Service, 1999b. Water Quality Mitigation Actions at GOGA Stables. September 20, 1999.
- National Park Service, 2001. Management Policies 2001. U.S. Department of the Interior. Washington D.C. 137 pp.
- National Park Service, 2004a. NPS Park Planning Program Standards. <http://planning.nps.gov/document/aug9final%20standards%2Epdf>
- National Park Service, 2004b. Vital Signs Monitoring Plan, Phase III (draft). San Francisco Bay Area Network. 141 pp.
- National Park Service, 2005a. Internet homepage for Golden Gate National Recreation Area. <http://www.nps.gov/goga/>
- National Park Service, 2005b. (draft) Baseline Water Quality Data, Inventory and Analysis, Golden Gate National Recreation Area. National Park Service, Water Resources Division, Fort Collins, CO.
- National Park Service, 2005c. Presidio of San Francisco, Rare and Endangered Plants website, <http://www.nps.gov/prsf/nathist1/nathist/rare.htm>
- National Park Service, *no date*. Environmental Assessment, Easkoot Creek Restoration at Stinson Beach. San Francisco, CA. 79 pp.
- National Park Service and Presidio Trust, 2003. Presidio trail and Bikeways. Master Plan and Environmental Assessment. Golden Gate National Recreation Area. San Francisco, CA. 103 pp. + appendices.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2005. Status of soil surveys, California. <http://www.ca.nrcs.usda.gov/mlra02/ssstatus.html>
- Pacific Watershed Associates, 2002. 2000 S.B. 271 Watershed Assessment and Erosion Prevention Planning Project for the Redwood Creek Watershed, Marin County, California. Contract # P9985121. Arcata, CA 48 pp. + figures.

- Pranger, H., D. Greco, J. Wagner, M. Martin, R. Inglis, and G. Eckert, 2003. Trip Report: May 19-21, 2003 Site Visit – Evaluation of Restoration Sites at Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GOGA) and The Presidio (PRES). National Park Service, Denver, CO. 17 pp.
- Pranger, H. and D. Steensen, 2002. Trip Report: December 10-13, 2001 Site Visit – Technical Assessment and Recommendations for Disturbed Lands, Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GOGA). Denver, CO. 31 pp.
- Redwood Creek Watershed Vision Statement. 2003. Redwood Creek Watershed Vision Statement, January 14, 2003. 5 pp.
- San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, 1998. San Francisco Bay Plan. San Francisco Bay, CA. 61 pp. + maps.
- San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2002. 303d list. [http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/303d\\_lists2002.html](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/303d_lists2002.html)
- San Mateo Times, 1990. Study claims toxic waste is poisoning ocean preserve. San Mateo, CA.
- Schanz, R.W., J. Florsheim, and P.B. Williams, 1995. Analysis of land use impacts on water quality and quantity in Redwood Creek. Philip Williams & Associates, Ltd. San Francisco, CA. 33 pp. + figures and tables.
- The Bay Watcher, 1989. Newsletter of Save San Francisco Bay Association. Berkeley, CA.
- The Presidio Trust, 2002. Presidio Water Recycling Project, Environmental Assessment. San Francisco, CA.
- Todd Engineers and Questa Engineering, 1998. Stinson Beach Hydrologic Survey. Stinson Beach County Water District. Stinson Beach, CA. 53 pp.
- Urban Watershed Project, 2001. Lobos Creek Water Quality Investigation and Management Plan. Presidio of San Francisco. Cooperative Agreement No. 144-Ca-8140-98-006. 69 pp. + appendices.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2005. National Wetlands Inventory Maps (Region 1). [http://www.nwi.fws.gov/regional\\_maps/region1.pdf](http://www.nwi.fws.gov/regional_maps/region1.pdf)
- U.S. Geological Survey, 2005. National Hydrography Dataset, Geodatabase. <http://nhdgeo.usgs.gov/viewer.htm>

Wagner, J. and R. Inglis, 2004. Trip Report, May 26, 2004, for travel to Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GOGA), Point Reyes National Seashore (PORE), John Muir National Historic Site (JOMU), and the Presidio of San Francisco, March 29 – April 2, 2004.

Wahrhaftig, C. and A. Lehre, 1974a. Geology, Climate, and Hydrology of Golden Gate National Recreation Area. Unpublished manuscript.

Wahrhaftig, C. and A.K. Lehre. 1974b. Geologic and Hydrologic Study of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area Summary. University of California, Berkeley.

Yates, E.B., S.N. Hamlin, and L.H. McCann, 1990. Geohydrology, Water Quality, and Water Budgets of Golden Gate Park and the Lake Merced Area in the Western Part of San Francisco, CA. U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 90-4080. Sacramento, CA. 45 pp.

|



As the nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes fostering sound use of our land and water resources; protecting our fish, wildlife, and biological diversity; preserving the environmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical places; and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The department assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to ensure that their development is in the best interests of all our people by encouraging stewardship and citizen participation in their care. The department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and for people who live in island territories under U.S. administration.

GOGA D-xxx, September 2005