

FAIRWAY PARK

GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL RECREATION AREA

Mori Point

Sheldance Nursery

Sweeney Ridge

VALLEMAR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL


Cattle Hill

Sweeney Ridge

Picardo Ranch

TERRA NOVA HIGH SCHOOL

Future GGNRA Boundary



Sources: USGS Air Photo, 2003, NPS.

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
<b>SAN MATEO SITES</b>					
<p><b>Mori Point</b></p> <p><u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of on-leash or no dogs.</p>	<p>On leash and only in designated areas/ actual practice is many dogs off leash as well as on leash</p>	<p>On leash on the Fire Road and Coastal Trail.</p>	<p>On leash on multi-use Coastal Trail; otherwise no dogs.</p> <p>Red-legged frogs and SF garter snake habitat in restored ponds adjacent to road.</p>	<p>No dogs because of sensitive habitat, presence of red legged frog, potential SF garter snake.</p> <p><i>Rationale for no dogs –One of most sensitive areas in park. Bike and pedestrian use could create conflicts. City of Pacifica has local parks that could provide off leash use.</i></p>	<p>On leash on fenced neighborhood connector trail and fire road out to levee managed by the City of Pacifica only, otherwise no dogs.</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i> Conforms with City of Pacifica regulations on levee and beach (Check this)</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> Requires construction of fence along neighborhood connector trail and portion of fire road adjacent to ponds (check Mori Plan).</p>
<p><b>Milagra Ridge</b></p> <p><u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of on-leash or no dogs.</p> <p>Note that Bay Area Ridge Trail will connect</p>	<p>Dogwalking occurring on all trails; majority are on leash. Other areas no dogs</p>	<p>On leash on Fire Road (not including section of trail from the bunker at end of trail to top of hill) and future Lower Milagra connector trail at west end of site.</p>	<p>On leash on Fire Road only (not including section of trail from the bunker at end of trail to top of hill).</p> <p>Otherwise no dogs.</p> <p><i>Rationale –</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistent with GGNRA parkwide policy regarding Mission blue butterfly habitat areas.</li> </ul>	<p>No dogs.</p> <p><i>Rationale –</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistent with GGNRA parkwide policy regarding Mission blue butterfly habitat areas.</li> <li>This is a contiguous habitat with little fragmentation where a small population of wildlife may be particularly vulnerable</li> </ul>	<p>Same as C with addition of adding loop to top of hill.</p> <p>(Check this)</p>

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
through Milagra Ridge on Fire Road through Connemara property.			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This is a contiguous habitat with little fragmentation where a small population of wildlife may be particularly vulnerable to disturbance by dogs. Also, this habitat is relatively undisturbed and may be occupied by coyotes or other wildlife susceptible to disturbance from the presence of dogs and where interaction with unleashed dogs could lead to conflicts.</li> </ul>	to disturbance by dogs because they cannot escape into adjacent habitat. Also, this habitat is relatively undisturbed and may be occupied by coyotes or other wildlife susceptible to disturbance from the presence of dogs.	
<p><b>Sweeney Ridge/Cattle Hill combined</b></p> <p><u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of on-leash or no dogs.</p> <p><i>Rationale for combining sites:</i> Difficult to enforce any change in policy from Cattle Hill to Sweeney</p>	<p>Notch Trail on Sweeney Ridge: no dogs. Sneath Lane and Sweeney Ridge Trail from Portola Gate to Nike Missile Control Site: on leash. Baquiano trail least used of all access</p>	On leash on all trails except Notch Trail.	<p>On leash on paved fire roads only (Sneath Lane and Sweeney Ridge Trail from Nike Missile Control site to the Portola Discovery Site). Notch Trail and Baquiano Trail, no dogs</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sweeney Ridge Trail from Portola Discovery Site to Portola Gate: no dogs because of MBB habitat and large area of relatively undisturbed contiguous habitat that is rare and has wildlife that can be affected from the presence of dogs.</li> </ul>	<p>No dogs</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i> Sweeney</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contiguous SF watershed does not allow dogs.</li> <li>MBB habitat and large area of relatively undisturbed contiguous habitat that is rare and has wildlife that can be affected from the presence of dogs.</li> <li>Sensitive habitat-restored area.</li> </ul>	<p>On leash on paved fire roads (Sneath Lane and Sweeney Ridge Trail from Nike Missile Control site to the Portola Discovery Site) and Fassler Avenue to Cattle Hill dead-end dog leg at west side of Cattle Hill site.</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> Gate needed at junction of Cattle Hill/Baquiano Trail.</p>

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
(Baquiano Trail) as trail systems connect.	trails, very few dogs and most are leashed (GOGA staff input)  Current practice on Sweeney Ridge is that dogs are walked both on and off leash, with majority off leash (Site Stewardship staff input).		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cattle Hill - Intensive restoration effort focused here (including soil erosion mitigation) (also including trail development in partnership with Pacifica)</li> <li>• Likely to be Mission blue butterfly habitat.</li> <li>• Contiguous habitat/predators and herbivore issues.</li> </ul> <p>NOTE: compliance and enforcement difficult due to remoteness of site.</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> Add gates (one each at Portola site, NIKE site and Baquiano junction) and fence on Sweeney Ridge trail to denote dog/no dog area boundary.</p>	<p>Cattle Hill -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intensive restoration effort focused here (including soil erosion mitigation) (also including trail development in partnership with Pacifica).</li> <li>• Baquiano Trail leads to MBB habitat</li> </ul> <p>Intensive restoration effort focused here (including soil erosion mitigation) (also including trail development in partnership with Pacifica).</p>	
<p><b>Pedro Point Headlands</b></p> <p><u>Parameters list:</u> open to consideration of voice control, on leash or no dogs.</p>	On leash. Not heavily used now/dogs are both on and off leash.	On leash on all established trails.	<p>On leash Coastal Trail only.</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expected high level of use for future trail on Hiway 1 precludes off leash use on mixed use trails</li> <li>• Contiguous wildlife habitat, disturbance of wildlife</li> </ul>	Same as C	<p>Off leash on Coastal Trail only if sections of Coastal Trail north and south of NPS section are designated off leash.</p> <p>On leash on a trail to an ocean overlook(location TBD).</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> need fencing on west side of trail where crosses</p>

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Possible habitat for listed species or species of special concern (Hickmans potentilla, San Bruno elfin butterfly, SF garter snake, red-legged frog, Myrtles silverspot; white-tailed kite, gray fox).</li> <li>Extensive recent habitat restoration. (Check this)</li> <li>Possible safety hazard (point), susceptible to erosion with increased use</li> </ul>		habitat.
<b>MARIN SITES</b>					
<p><b>Stinson parking lots/picnic areas</b> (beach is no dogs)</p> <p><u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of on leash or no dogs.</p> <p><b>NEARBY OFF LEASH AREA AVAILABLE:</b></p>	<p>On leash only.</p>	<p>Same as alternative A.</p> <p>NOTE: need more enforcement of little pickup at n. lot and leash reg. near county beach.</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> improved signage in area adjacent to county beach</p>	<p>Same as alternative A because:</p> <p>-adjacent to riparian area with listed fish species (steelhead).</p> <p>-to avoid conflict with other visitors in parking area.</p> <p>NOTE: need more enforcement of little pickup at n. lot and leash reg. near county beach.</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> improved signage in area adjacent to county beach allowing off leash.</p>	<p>Same as alternative A.</p> <p>NOTE: need more enforcement of little pickup at n. lot and leash reg. near county beach.</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> improved signage in area adjacent to county beach allowing off leash.</p>	<p>Same as alternative A.</p> <p>NOTE: need more enforcement of little pickup at n. lot and leash reg. near county beach.</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> improved signage in area adjacent to county beach allowing off leash.</p>

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
adjacent county beach		allowing off leash.			
<b>Homestead Valley</b> <u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of on leash or no dogs.	Voice control.	On leash only on trail and fire road. Otherwise no dogs.	On leash on Homestead Fire Road and Homestead trail. Possible seasonal closure (Feb 1 – July 31) for trail depending on results of monitoring for spotted owls).	Same as alternative C	Homestead Trail: no dogs (allows for visitor experience without dogs to connecting trails).  Homestead Fire Road: on leash
<b>Fort Baker</b> <u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of on leash or no dogs.  NOTE: within GGNRA, Bay Trail allows on leash in all areas, except Crissy where off leash is currently legal.  <b>NEARBY OFF LEASH AREA AVAILABLE: Mill</b>	On leash in areas where dogs allowed. All other areas, no dogs.	On leash in areas where dogs allowed (including Battery Yates). All other areas, no dogs. <b>ADDITION</b> <b>Fencing along Battery Yates and possibly improve existing fencing.</b>	On leash around conference center (north of Murray Circle), parade ground and waterfront trails and picnic area (per Ft. Baker Plan), Drown Fire Road, Bay Trail, and Lime Point Trail.  No dogs at Discovery Museum and Battery Yates (Battery Yates has Mission blue butterfly habitat that is not fenced), pier and chapel trail.	No dogs on entire site. <i>Rationale:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• anticipated increased visitation and conflicts in use and sensitive habitat (MBB)</li> <li>• special events, including trails, waterfront</li> <li>• easier to understand and enforce</li> <li>• off-leash park available in Sausalito</li> </ul>	Same as C, except no dogs on Parade Ground.

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
<b>Valley</b>					
<p><b>Alta Ave Fire Road/ Donahue St. to fire gate</b></p> <p><b>Orchard Fire Road</b></p> <p><b>Pacheco Fire Road</b></p> <p>Parameters list: open for consideration of on leash or no dogs.</p> <p><b>NEARBY OFF LEASH AREA AVAILABLE:</b> Sausalito</p>	<p>Between Marin City and Oakwood Valley: voice control.</p> <p>Orchard and Pacheco Fire Roads: on leash.</p>	<p>On leash on Alta Fire Road to Orchard Fire Rd. On leash on Orchard and Pacheco Fire Rds. Otherwise no dogs.</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> May need new gate to delineate off leash section of Alta.</p>	<p>Same as B.</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>contiguous habitat with little fragmentation where even the presence of dogs is a potential impact to other top predators (e.g., coyotes).</li> <li>Narrow trail sections are in Mission blue butterfly habitat where host plant can be trampled by dogs, even on leash, therefore, no dogs on narrow trails.</li> </ul> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> May need new gate to delineate off leash section of Alta.</p>	<p>On leash on Alta to Pacheco and on leash on Pacheco. Otherwise no dogs.</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides on leash loop and no dog experience beyond.</li> </ul> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> May need new gate to delineate off leash section of Alta.</p>	<p>On leash on Alta to create loop using either Orchard or Pacheco Fire Roads.</p> <p>(Check this.)</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> May need new gate to delineate off leash section of Alta.</p>
<p><b>Oakwood Valley Fire Road and Trail</b></p> <p>Parameters list: open to consideration of</p>	<p>Oakwood Valley Road to Alta Avenue: voice control.</p>	<p>On leash on Oakwood Valley Road and Trail as far as the pond.</p>	<p>On leash on Oakwood Valley Road and Fire Trail to pond. Possible seasonal closure to dogs on trail depending on monitoring for spotted owls.</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>potential habitat for listed</li> </ul>	<p>Voice Control on Oakwood Valley Road and Fire Trail to pond. Possible seasonal closure to dogs on trail depending on monitoring for spotted owls.</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>potential habitat for listed</li> </ul>	<p>Voice control on Fire Road. No dogs on trail.</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>potential habitat for listed species or species of concern (Mission blue butterfly nearby; possible</li> </ul>



**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
<p>(Beach only; excludes tidal lagoon, Redwood Creek, wetland and dune habitat areas)</p> <p><u>Parameters list:</u> open to consideration of voice control, on leash or no dogs.</p> <p>NOTE: For all alternatives, provide education about marine mammals. Use individual closures around marine mammals as necessary.</p>	<p>control.</p>	<p>picnic area.</p>	<p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Muir is much more dynamic than Rodeo Beach. Very difficult to maintain any kind of barrier.</li> <li>to protect shorebirds. Also Elegant terns high in August.</li> <li>multiple use area</li> <li>Presence of steelhead, coho, riparian, wetland, more.</li> <li>ineffectiveness of fences to protect sensitive resources.</li> </ul> <p>NOTE: Avoid impact to marine mammals (highest May to Sept) through education.</p>	<p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>sensitivity of resources</li> <li>off leash timed use doesn't address protection of resources</li> </ul> <p>NOTE: compliance of major concern. Monitoring needed and if compliance insufficient, may revert to no dog beach.</p>	<p>community. (Reconsideration of timed use? Check this)</p> <p>NOTE: compliance of major concern. Monitoring needed and if compliance insufficient, may revert to no dog beach.</p>
<p><b>Marin trails</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Coastal Trail from McCullough Road to Muir</b></li> </ul>	<p><u>Coastal Trail:</u> Golden Gate Bridge to Hill 88 – voice control.</p>	<p>On leash on trails where dogs are allowed; otherwise no dogs.</p> <p>No dogs in Tennessee Valley.</p>	<p>On leash on:</p> <p><u>Old Bunker loop</u> (Coastal to Townsley to Old Bunker to n. side of Lagoon Trail);</p> <p><u>Lower Rodeo Valley loop</u> (T-1111 to Rifle Range and</p>	<p><b>Same as C but without Green Gulch Loop.</b></p>	<p>No dogs on trails.</p>

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county  
(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
<p><b>Beach</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Miwok Trail from Tennessee Valley to Highway 1</b></li> <li><b>County View Road off the Miwok Trail</b></li> <li><b>Miwok Trail to Wolf Ridge to Hill 88</b></li> <li><b>Lagoon Trail</b></li> <li><b>South Rodeo Beach Trail</b></li> </ul> <p><u>Parameters list</u> ("trails previously opened to dog walking"): open to consideration of on leash or no dogs.</p> <p>NOTE: For all beaches and all alternatives,</p>	<p>Hill 88 to Muir Beach - on leash</p> <p><u>Coastal, Wolf, Miwok Loop</u> - voice control.</p> <p><u>Miwok Trail: Tennessee Valley to Hiway 1</u> – voice control</p> <p><u>Old Bunker Fire Road loop</u> – voice control</p>		<p>potentially to Capehart (with future trail improvements per the FOBA/MAHE Transportation Plan improvements)</p> <p><u>Julian Road</u> and proposed Coastal Trail from McCullough to 101.</p> <p><u>Miwok</u> from Tenn. Valley to Hiway 1</p> <p><u>County View Rd</u> to Miwok Trail</p> <p><u>Smith Road</u> to Miwok Trail.</p> <p><u>Middle Green Gulch loop</u> (Coastal, Coastal Fire Road, Coyote Ridge to Middle Green Gulch trails) <i>If acceptable to Green Gulch</i></p> <p>Generally - No dogs on Coastal Trail crossing T. Valley, exclude dogs from interior trails and single track trails, keep dogwalking on perimeter trails</p>		

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
provide education about marine mammals.					
<b>SAN FRANCISCO SITES</b>					
<b>Fort Point Promenade</b> between Bldg. 989 and the historic fort (excluding pier) <u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of on leash, or no dogs.	On leash dogs permitted except inside fort and on Ft. Point pier.	Same as alternative A.	No dogs past Ft. Point gate. <i>Rationale:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High congestion/multiple uses</li> <li>• visitor safety and dog safety,</li> </ul>	Same as alternative A	Same as alternative A.
<b>Upper Fort Mason Parade ground/Great Meadow</b> <u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of voice control, on leash or no dogs.	Voice control.	On leash.	Great Meadow: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• on leash on sidewalks/paved trails.</li> <li>• Inner meadow voice control.</li> <li>• Vendor area, no dogs</li> </ul> Laguna Green: voice control Parade ground - on leash <i>Rationale:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• reduce conflict with youth</li> </ul>	Great Meadow: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• on leash on sidewalks/paved trails.</li> <li>• Inner meadow - 9:00 am - 5:00 pm dogs on leash; otherwise voice control.</li> <li>• Vendor area, no dogs</li> </ul> Laguna Green: 9:00 am - 5:00 pm dogs on leash; otherwise voice control. Parade ground - on leash	On leash on all sidewalks/paved trails. No dogs on lawns around Officers' Club.

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
<p><b>NEARBY OFF LEASH AREA AVAILABLE:</b> City of SF - Alta Plaza Park</p>			<p>hostel, visitors. Lawns around Officers' Club, no dogs <b>ADDITION: spatial buffers/barriers to separate off leash areas from other users.</b></p>	<p><b>ADDITION: spatial buffers/barriers to separate off leash areas from other users.</b></p>	
<p><b>Sutro Heights Park</b> <u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of on leash or no dogs. <b>NEARBY OFF LEASH AREA AVAILABLE:</b> City of SF - GG Park – 2 in central section)</p>	<p>On leash (paved and dirt trails and interior gardens, grassy areas).</p>	<p>On leash on designated trails only.  No dogs in other areas.</p>	<p>On leash everywhere (dirt trails, gardens, parapet, grassy areas) because: <i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Multiple visitor use area, special events.</li> <li>Formal landscaped area, heavily used for weddings and other events.</li> </ul>	<p>Dogs on leash only on paved walkways. No dogs in other areas.</p>	<p>Same as alternative D.</p>
<p><b>Baker Beach</b> <u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of voice control, on leash or no dogs.</p>	<p>Beach (north of Lobos Creek inlet): voice control.</p>	<p>Beach (north of Lobos Creek inlet): on leash.</p>	<p>North of north parking lot off leash. South of north parking lot to Lobos Creek – on leash <i>Rationale:</i> Difficult to communicate/enforce</p>	<p>North of north parking lot off leash Rest of beach no dogs <i>Rationale:</i> Difficult to communicate/enforce timed/seasonal use due to complexity. Doesn't address</p>	<p>No dogs <i>Rationale:</i> Another beach in Presidio (Crissy Field) is open to dog use</p>

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
<b>W. Lobos Creek Boardwalk:</b> Parameters list: no dogs on boardwalk.			timed/seasonal use due to complexity. Doesn't address protection of resources. <b>ADDITION:</b> signage to delineate off and on leash or no dogs.	protection of resources. <b>ADDITION:</b> signage to delineate off and on leash or no dogs.	
	South of Lobos Creek inlet: no dogs.	South of Lobos Creek inlet: no dogs.	South of Lobos Creek – no dogs	South of Lobos Creek inlet: no dogs.	South of Lobos Creek inlet: no dogs.
	Parking and picnic areas: on leash.	Parking and picnic areas: on leash.	Parking and picnic areas: on leash.	Parking and picnic areas: on leash.	Parking and picnic areas: on leash.
<b>Lands End</b> Parameters list: open for consideration of voice control, on leash or no dogs.  <b>NEARBY OFF LEASH AREA AVAILABLE: City of SF - GG Park – 2 in</b>	Voice control.	On leash.  Ocean View Trail: no dogs.	El Camino Del Mar Trail: voice control. <b>ADDITION:</b> Need for fencing/gates on Camino del Mar (2-3) at connections to lower trails  Ocean View Trail: no dogs. Lands End Trail (Merrie Way to Eagles Point): on leash  <i>Rationale:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Future increase in use - area is currently being developed for a visitor center, full access trails, more parking, etc.</li> </ul>	El Camino Del Mar Trail: voice control. <b>ADDITION:</b> Need for fencing/gates on Camino del Mar (2-3) at connections to lower trails  Ocean View Trail: no dogs. Lands End Trail (Merrie Way to Eagles Point): on leash.  <i>Rationale:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Future increase in use - area is currently being developed for a visitor center, full access trails, more parking, etc.</li> </ul>	El Camino Del Mar Trail: voice control. <b>ADDITION:</b> Need for fencing/gates on Camino del Mar (2-3) at connections to lower trails  Ocean View Trail: no dogs. Lands End Trail (Merrie Way to Eagles Point): no dogs.  <i>Rationale:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Future increase in use - area is currently being developed for a visitor center, full access trails,</li> </ul>

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
central section)					more parking, etc.
<b>Fort Miley</b> (combine with Lands End)  <u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of voice control, on leash or no dogs.  <b>NEARBY OFF LEASH AREA AVAILABLE:</b> City of SF - Mt. Lake park	Voice control.	On leash.	On leash on designated trails and roads only.  <i>Rationale:</i> W. Ft. Miley <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• special event area</li> <li>• conflicting uses (rope course, school groups, picnicking).</li> </ul> East Ft. Miley: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• hazards</li> <li>• concrete bunkers/park operations bldgs.</li> <li>• steep embankments</li> <li>• picnic area</li> <li>• songbird area</li> </ul>	West and East Fort Miley: no dogs  <i>Rationale:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safety</li> <li>• lack of parking</li> <li>• conflicting uses</li> <li>• hazards</li> <li>• concrete bunkers/park operations bldgs.</li> <li>• steep embankments</li> <li>• picnic area</li> <li>• songbird area</li> </ul>	Same as C with addition of voice control on Ft. Miley/Lincoln Park pathway between bunkers and fence along golf course.  <b>ADDITION:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Barrier to create corridor between park operations bunkers and golf course fence.</li> <li>• Trail connection to Clement Street and signage where trail enters city property at north boundary of site.</li> </ul>
<b>Crissy Wildlife Protection Area</b>  <u>Parameters list:</u> not open for consideration of dogwalking.	On leash by compendium	No dogs	No dogs.	No dogs.	No dogs.

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
<p><b>Crissy Field</b> (excluding fenced tidal marsh/dune areas/Wildlife Protection Area)</p> <p>Parameters list: open for consideration of voice control, on leash or no dogs.</p>	Promenade: voice control from East Beach to warming hut.	Promenade: on leash from East Beach to warming hut.	Promenade: on leash from East Beach to warming hut.	Promenade: on leash from East Beach to warming hut.	Promenade: on leash from East Beach to warming hut.
	Airfield: voice control.	Airfield: on leash.	Airfield: voice control; no fencing. NOTE: may conflict with use by special events.	Airfield: voice control only on eastern portion of airfield that is delineated by existing paths on either side.	Airfield: voice control; no fencing. NOTE: may conflict with use by special events.
	Parking and picnic areas: on leash.	<p>On leash:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking and picnic areas.</li> <li>• grassy areas and paths in area between East Beach and Mason Street</li> <li>• multi-use trail along Mason Street .</li> </ul>	<p>On leash:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking and picnic areas (except as noted below).</li> <li>• grassy areas and paths in area between East Beach and Mason Street</li> <li>• multi-use trail along Mason Street .</li> </ul> <p>No dogs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• W. Bluff picnic area</li> </ul> <p><i>Rationale for no dogs in W. Bluff picnic area:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggested by dogwalking group</li> <li>• Area is adjacent to WPA which is a no dog area.</li> </ul>	<p>On leash:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking and picnic areas.</li> <li>• grassy areas and paths in area between East Beach and Mason Street</li> <li>• multi-use trail along Mason Street .</li> </ul>	<p>On leash:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking and picnic areas.</li> <li>• grassy areas and paths in area between East Beach and Mason Street</li> <li>• multi-use trail along Mason Street .</li> </ul>

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
	East and Central Beaches: voice control	East and Central Beaches: on leash	East Beach: on leash. Central Beach: voice control <b>ADDITION:</b> structure on beach to delineate this area on the beach. Make sure buffer is built in if no structural barrier.	East Beach: on leash. Central Beach: voice control <b>ADDITION:</b> structure on beach to delineate this area on the beach. Make sure buffer is built in if no structural barrier.	East Beach: voice control Central Beach: voice control <b>ADDITION:</b> structure on beach to delineate this area on the beach. Make sure buffer is built in if no structural barrier.
	Marsh and dunes: no dogs.	Marsh, including inlet waters - and dunes: no dogs.	Marsh, including inlet waters, and dunes: no dogs.	Marsh, including inlet waters, and dunes: no dogs.	Marsh, including inlet waters, and dunes: no dogs.
<p><b>Ocean Beach Snowy Plover Management Area</b> (Stairwell #21 to Sloat Blvd.)</p> <p><u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of on leash or no dogs.</p> <p><b>NEARBY OFF LEASH AREA AVAILABLE:</b> City of SF - GG Park – 2 in central section)</p>	Voice control except for seasonal leash restriction.	On leash all year.  NOTE: criteria for success critical. Need to monitor shorebird disturbance.	No dogs on beach. On leash on adjacent trail along Great Highway. <i>Rationale for no dogs:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• access difficult for LE;</li> <li>• enforcement never above 40% when leash law in place;</li> <li>• large area (2 miles) allows for greater protection of shorebirds and plovers.</li> </ul> <b>ADDITION:</b> structure on beach to delineate this area on the beach. Make sure buffer is built in if no structural barrier.	Same as C.	<b>Same as B.</b>  NOTE: criteria for success critical. Need to monitor shorebird disturbance.




**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**

Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
<p><b>Fort Funston</b> excluding fenced and signed areas</p> <p><u>Parameters list:</u> open for consideration of voice control, on leash or no dogs.</p> <p><b>NEARBY OFF LEASH AREA AVAILABLE: City of SF – Lake Merced</b></p>	<p>Beach - Voice control.</p>	<p>Beach - On leash.</p>	<p>Same as B</p>	<p>N. of beach access trail -no dogs.</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• consistent with South Ocean Beach</li> <li>• access difficult for LE;</li> <li>• enforcement never above 40% when leash law in place;</li> <li>• allows for greater protection of shorebirds and plovers.</li> </ul> <p>South of beach access trail: voice control to the sand ladder.</p>	<p>Same as B.</p>
	<p>S. of parking lot/ Env. Ed. Center/ area SE of hang gliding area: Voice control.</p>	<p>S. of parking lot/ Env. Ed. Center/ area SE of hang gliding area: On leash.</p>	<p>S. of parking lot/ Env. Ed. Center/ area SE of hang gliding area: no dogs</p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safety concerns</li> <li>• Env. Ed Center</li> </ul>	<p>S. of parking lot/ Env. Ed. Center/ area SE of hang gliding area: on leash with increased signage.</p>	<p><b>Same as C.</b></p>
	<p>All trails: Voice control.</p>	<p>All trails: On leash.</p>	<p>All trails: on leash, including beach access trail and sand ladder <b>except trail to beach (Check this)</b></p> <p><i>Rationale:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Terrain</li> </ul>	<p>All trails: same as alternative C, <b>except:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Beach access trail: voice control</li> <li>• John Muir trail head loop: voice control. (to perhaps link</li> </ul>	

**GOGA Preliminary Alternatives – by county**  
**(PRELIMINARY DRAFT August 22, 2007 – ALTERNATIVES AS SHOWN NOT CONFIRMED)**  
 Golden Gate National Recreation Area Dog Management Plan / EIS

Site and Parameters	Alternative A: Current Conditions	Alternative B: 36 CFR 2.15 all on leash	Alternative C: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative D: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria	Alternative E: Applying Risk Factors and Criteria
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>sensitive restored area</li> </ul> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> improvement of beach access trail if possible</p>	<p>to ROLA or give a trail voice control experience).</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> signage and fencing or other barriers to separate voice control areas from other users</p>	
			<p>Create a ROLA between Chip Trail, Sunset Trail, and parking lot.</p>	<p>Create a ROLA north of the water fountain in the disturbed areas, possibly with fencing.</p> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> signage and fencing or other barriers to separate voice control areas from other users</p>	<p>Create N/S habitat corridors.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Habitat corridor between John Muir Drive and Equestrian trail.</li> <li>Voice control corridor between Chip Trail and Equestrian trail.</li> <li>On leash between cliffs and Chip Trail</li> </ul> <p><b>ADDITION:</b> signage and fencing or other barriers to separate voice control areas from other users</p>



# Fort Baker

## GGNRA Parameters for Negotiated Rulemaking Discussion

May 16, 2006

National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior



Chapel Trail

Fishing Pier



Not Open for Consideration for Dogwalking



Not Open for Consideration for Dogwalking

Sources: USGS Air Photo, 2003, NPS.

May 2006



# Fort Funston

GNRA Parameters for Negotiated Rulemaking Discussion

September 2006

National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior



**GNRA Boundary**

0 250 500 1,000 Feet

2

Sources: USGS Air Photos, 2003, NPS Sept. 2006


# Fort Funston South to Stables

GGNRA Parameters for Negotiated Rulemaking Discussion

September 2006

National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior





 GGNRA Boundary

# Ocean Beach

GGNRA Parameters for Negotiated Rulemaking Discussion  
September 2006

National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior



Stairwells 1-28

Stairwell 21

Ocean Beach

Ocean Beach

To Fort Funston (1 mile)

**GGNRA Boundary**

0 0.15 0.3 0.6 Miles

Sources: USGS Air Photos, 2003, NPS Sept. 2006

**2**

# Pedro Point GGNRA Parameters for Negotiated Rulemaking Discussion May 16, 2006


National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior



 Future GGNRA Boundary

Sources: USGS Air Photo, 2003, NPS.

May 2006





# Sweeney Ridge

## GGNRA Parameters for Negotiated Rulemaking Discussion

May 16, 2006

National Park Service  
U.S. Department of the Interior

