

#	Comments for consideration
1	The National Park Service has no appreciation of San Francisco history and traditions.. NPS, please butt out!
2	The plan does not produce any tangible rationale for imposing such draconian measures; it's full of hypotheticals about what "might or could" happen and lacks credible science and findings that dogs are a significant threat in GGNRA
3	I am a responsible dog owner who has enjoyed for many years the ability to hike with my dog in an urban setting. Oregon and Washington don't have these issues and are capable of handling off-leash under voice control areas. Why can't we in the SF Bay Area? Dogs need the type of exercise that Fort Funston and other areas on this list afford, not a small fenced-in enclosure.
15	We can preserve the environment AND keep the character of SF
16	Reducing the number of off-leash and dog-friendly parks will only lead to overcrowding of the remaining FEW available locations.
18	Consider my signature that of 2 beings, my dog's and mine. Please don't take away our recreation areas.
23	please don't take away our freedom
25	The draft plan lacks any credible science or data to back up its recommended alternatives.
28	I specifically oppose the proposal to allow NPS to further limit dog access at their discretion over time.
29	Without adequate space in a densely populated urban area many city parks will be overburdened with the overflow of dogs.
30	Dog owners need open spaces to go to, and these are not pristine nature.
35	Dogs and families that play together, stay together!
37	I have fond memories of visiting Muir Beach with my search and rescue partner; without such opportunities for real canine recreation, I'm unlikely to visit California again.
42	If we lose the beach access in San Francisco for our dogs, where will they go to get free play exercise? Hiking trails? No. Overcrowded parks? No. No places will be left. Dogs can not survive by walking around on a leash. Please help us care for these amazing animals by not taking away their already limited freedom.
43	I want to be able to walk my dogs off-leash!!
44	People who walk and exercise out in nature with their off-leash dogs are voters too, and we are simply asking that we be taken into account. The GGNRA recreational areas open to us now are only a small fraction of the parklands as a whole (one percent) and have been used for decades by off-leash dogs and their owners for recreation and exercise without any of the ill effects GGNRA cite as "reasons" for the change in its proposal. There is no good reason to deprive us voters and taxpayers of the few places where we can enjoy outdoor activities and both our dogs and our persons can get optimum exercise and benefit the way we do in the off-leash parklands. There may be those who do not want to meet off-leash dogs, but they have 99% of the parklands to visit, including some where dogs are not allowed at all. Please leave us the off-leash areas we have now and take us into consideration in the future.
46	dogs deserve freedom, too!!!
49	I have two dogs that have enjoyed GGNRA parks for six years; they're both less than 20 lbs. each, well-behaved and as the majority of park-goers I know or encounter, am a responsible pet owner. On the other hand, the drug dealers, park pot smokers, homeless people who urinate and defecate against the walls of the public restrooms, on the grass and in the bushes is disgusting, the garbage left behind by weekend park parties, is reckless - you would rather have these types of individuals in your park than responsible dog owners whose dogs want to have the freedom to enjoy the park.
51	The report as a whole is flawed. It's biased, it's shortsighted, it's incorrect, and it's divisive.
52	Please don't take them away from us, our dogs would have no where to get exercises and enjoy the life.
53	The GGNRA is an URBAN recreation area. This plan is an attempt to limit active recreation in the GGNRA and to force 1/3 of Bay Area residents (who happen to enjoy recreating with their dogs) out of the GGNRA. Don't let this plan go forward. Protect recreation in national recreation areas!
55	Plan Bad, Dogs Good
56	not allowing dogs in the ggnra is absurd
58	Bad Plan, poorly designed....
60	I oppose the GGNRA Dog Management Plan

#	Comments for consideration
62	Dogs under voice control has been working here. This is a recreation area in an urban setting, not pristine parkland.
63	This plan discriminates against Dog owners and their dogs, for no justifiable reason. The GGNRA is breaking its original promise to keep the land in the same recreational format at 1979. This Draft is full of deceit, while victimizing dog and dog owners. It must be stopped.
66	I have a JRT. She is well trained and needs off leash exercise
70	This is unfair to take away this small space that we get to use to enjoy with our dogs! We often clean up after tourists picnics and other trash. Please do not take this away from us!
79	Please do not allow this plan to go forward.
80	dogs require open space to run.
82	A plan is needed but this is too restrictive
89	I OPPOSE the GGNRA Draft Dog management Plan!!
90	Off leash areas are essential to maintaining a healthy population of people and their dogs. Please provide lots of off leash opportunities for us to enjoy in our parks.
96	please !
98	At Fort Funston the proposal has on-leash paths that will cause dogs to fight. Off leash many dogs don't feel tense and thus don't fight. Please make sure that more areas are off leash. Thank you kindly for reading this.
103	people with dogs need to be able to use Natl Park properties too!
104	Oppose GGNRA Dog Management Plan
106	Plan is not based on science, is arbitrary and capricious.
108	As a person who works full time and has a dog, I am opposed the the GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan. My 1 year old dog has lots of energy and needs to get it out when I am working full time. I have read that there are more dogs than children in San Francisco. San Francisco should then use that information to benefit those dogs and their owners. Thank you very much!
109	Strongly oppose this proposed restriction
110	Please continue to allow off-leash dog walking in the GGNRA!
112	These areas are urban parks. Please keep them open for urban use, including off-leash dogwalking.
113	There is nothing broken to fix. Leave it alone.
114	This area is supposed to be an recreational area near a major urban area. The key idea is it was set aside to recreate on, not return it to its natural state as it existed before human beings began to use it. We like our recreation areas as they are now-- able to be used by we the people and our well behaved pets. Ninety percent of the pet owners are responsible users of these areas. The fanatics who would probably ban people if they thought it possible should not make the rules.
116	The parts of GGNRA in San Francisco should be retained for as broad a use as possible, including significant space for off-leash recreation, except where endangered species are threatened.
118	San Francisco is an urban area with limited green areas to enjoy with and without our dog companions. The GGNRA was created as an urban recreation area for the enjoyment of its citizens. Please do not change the laws surrounding these areas to eliminate off-leash enjoyment -- it would be a travesty to the bay area way of life and truly unnecessary.
121	PLEASE let us continue to walk our dogs off leash on Crissy Field! It has made such a difference in our lives, and there are very few places we can have the same experience with our dog. Unlike many San Franciscans, we walk on Crissy Field EVERY DAY!
122	Families with pets deserve the same access to public outdoor spaces as others. It keep San Francisco as a place that is friendly to families.
125	Please consider the needs of Bay Area residents and pets: Keep GGNRA dog-friendly.
129	Its a Recreation Area, not a pristine wilderness. Nor has it been, and nor should it be.
132	please save off-leash walking, it is sooooo important!
134	please don't take something precious away
135	Save off leash dog parks!

#	Comments for consideration
138	The dog management plan for GGNRA is extremely prejudicial and will adversely affect all companion animals and disabled Americans in the Golden Gate area.
146	Ê
147	formerly lived in the Bay Area & am returning soon! Looking forward to being once again able to take our dogs off leash for exercise while I get mine. Please do not take away our recreation!
149	We need to all try to get along.
152	i dont want the no leash rule dogs were meant to run free they look beautiful when they do it
154	I vote!!!
155	please do not enact this plan
169	My dogs and I enjoy these ares every day and we would hate to lose them.
171	I love Crissy Field and the Marin Headlands, I take my dog to one of them daily! There are so few places I can let my dog off-leash and let him run (his favorite thing to do!) and socialize with other dogs. As well, the other dogowners I've met there are some of the most responsible I've ever met both about their pets and their surroundings.
172	Why on earth would San Francisco agree to overcrowd the already understaffed city parks. This action alone will create aggravation that creates felonies and misdemeanors between regular everyday people due to over crowding of dogs and their owners. This overcrowding will ruin any type of serenity inside the parks. The dog owners will no longer be the friend of the non dog owner due to the over crowding. Understanding dogs and people there really seems to be no good outcome except to continue to keep the status quo of the open space that we have had since 1979 and before. Where the dogs are allowed to continue to be on voice control and loose on a vocal leash. Please come to your senses, honor the standing agreement since 1979 and do not make dog owners criminals.
175	I am a native San Franciscan and the GGNRA's draft is HORRIBLE!! Save off leash recreation in the GGNRA.
177	I vote. Please listen.
184	I am a long time resident of san francisco, small business owner & dog guardian.The right to have my well trained well behave dogs off leash to explore the beaches and go hiking with me is incredibly important to me. I would never live somewhere where my dogs had to spend most of their lives restricted to boring leash walks or had to go play in a one of those awful fenced in dog parks. I have dogs and I VOTE!
186	I oppose GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan
187	Ft funston cannot be restricted. Its mostly dogs!
189	I am a Bay Area Native and major dog walking enthusiast! Please remember the dogs!!!
190	PLEASE dont take away our 1\% running space of GGNRA!
191	I oppose the current NPS Dog Management Proposal
192	I am a San Francisco native & have been walking my well-mannered dogs AND participating in beach clean-ups of GGNRA land since I was a little girl. I, like many other SF residents, take my dogs to the beach to run around off-leash almost daily (RAIN OR SHINE)--it is a way of life and the highlight of my day. I am extremely upset by this proposal and strongly oppose it.
198	Too restrictive already, don't add more!
204	A breach of the understanding regarding recreation options in the GGNRA. Please oppose these restrictions!
212	Please allow responsible dog owners like me to take me pets to the beach.
217	please save my dogs from a life in hell!!!
218	PLEASE DO NOT DO THIS
219	free off lease space for woofers to run is essential
220	Ê
225	I oppose GGNRA's draft dog management plan.
228	Please stop this plan. It is unfair.
229	Dogs have few places they can be walked. Don't take away the remainig options.
231	Im recording my opposition to the GGNRA plan
232	I VOTE!

#	Comments for consideration
233	puts rights of non dog pwners over dog owners.
237	Let our dogs have fun, run & play, they deserve that!
241	please stop this!
244	Dogs are an important part of my family and we all like to hike and exercise together - do not keep us out of our Golden Gate National Recreation Area - it was created for us to use and enjoy.
246	Open Space? Compromise?
257	The land was given by San Francisco to the federal government with the understanding that it would maintain recreation access for ALL.
260	the GGNRA plan of taking the iceplant off the dunes at Fort Funston would impact the highway that goes by it and the apartment complex across the street as well as the Olympic Club. The winds are very strong there and the sand would blow across the highway and close it and there is no close alternate route. That is only one of the problems with the dog management plan.
261	I GO TO FUNSTON ABOUT 6 TIMES A WEEK WITH MY WIFE AND CATTLE DOG CHOCTI - IT IS A PLEASANT CALM EXPERIENCE!
265	Ê
267	Dogs and people need this small percentage of recreational space!
272	There are more dogs in the city of SF than there are children. Please consider the majority when making decisions that will affect an extremely large portion of this city's residents. Thank you.
273	The dog friendliness of SF is part of what makes it so great. Don't kill all the joy and fun for thousands of people. Leave it the way it is.
275	I have been walking my dogs off-leash in GGNRA for almost 20 years with no issues. The 1979 Pet Policy allowed dogs off-leas on less than 1\% of GGNRA land, GGNRA lost this battle in court before and now it is trying to take this away again. How many times do we as citizens of the Bay Area need to go through this?!?! I am tired of the GGNRA wasting my time, and taxpayer money on this.
276	My dog won't run unless he's at Crissy Field or Fort Funston. Please don't take away our play areas.
279	Saving open land for dogs to run free must be done. Many people in cities are rescuing dogs from their death and to keep the cooped up is a horrible act.
280	There are more dogs in the city of SF than there are children. Please consider the majority when making decisions that will affect an extremely large portion of this city's residents. Thank you.
282	Don't punish responsible owners!
284	Please preserve open lands for off-leash recreation that canines and their human companions currently enjoy.
286	Canines are currently allowed in less than 1\% of the GGNRA lands. This proposal limits access against the spirit in which the GGNRA was created. Please find a more balanced option.
289	Active voter and I will not vote for anyone who supports this initiative
291	reducing the off the leash areas will create conflict in the fewer remaining areas
292	this is so important to city-dwellers. We need to be able to run our dogs. It's a quality-of-life issue.
296	San Francisco's greatest assets are its unspoiled beaches that on most days, because of wind and fog, are inhospitable to swimmers and picnics. Yet, even on the coldest days, people like me will be there, romping with our dogs. This hit of nature and solitude is something I need and look forward to every day.
297	I find the GGNRA DEIS proposal to be repugnant, in that the whole purpose of the GGNRA is to bring recreational opportunities of open space to urban areas. Not to mention that San Francisco gave the land to the federal government with the mandate that all former recreational activities be continued. Dog walking is one of those activities. San Francisco and the other surrounding cities are a compact and dense urban area. Where else are we going to walk our dogs? In San Francisco alone, there are more dogs than children. Furthermore, dogs are not 'just dogs', they are an integral part of our families. If any of the preferred alternatives, as proposed by the GGNRA are to become policy, people will inundate the already crowded city parks. Additionally, this is NOT a dog issue, this is a people issue, as we are the people who use the GGNRA and bring our dogs.

#	Comments for consideration
302	We need a public hearing
303	Please help us keep our off-leash areas!
311	The name should be changed to GGNDRA, you know what the "D" stands for.
312	Keeping GGNRA off-leash maintains city parks!
313	We Love Dogs and We Vote!
317	More off leash space, not less! Please fight for us. The GGNRA DEIS is based on opinion and conjecture, not science.
318	Please keep existing portions of GGNRA open to off leash dog walking!
322	Please don't do this to our pets and our city!
324	we need this space, please don't take it away from us or our dogs.
325	I oppsed the GGNRA draft and recommended dog management plan
326	the recreational opportunities for families with dogs are so limited already. please consider implementing penalties for the FEW that can't abide by the rules rather than removing the glorious experience for those who do. these parks are for the enjoyment of ALL.
328	We would greatly appreciate your support!
333	please save off lease walking in our parks. I oppose the GGNRA Dog management plan
334	I have a 2 year old border collie that has tons of energy. He loves the beaches and even on my busiest days I will find time to take him to one of the beaches that they want to ban dogs from. Even if that means driving across the Golden gate bridge to Crissy field, that's HIS place to romp and have a good time. I find it unfair to both my pup and myself seeing as there are very limited places to take him off leash, let alone at all, and to take that away would be devistating to him and I and also the many others who enjoy the beaches and a place for the "fur children" to have fun.
337	I have lived in the Bay Area since the 1970's, and have always walked my dog(s) in what is now the GGNRA. I go to Crissy Field and Ft Funston. The preferred alternative for Ft. Funston would make that location inaccessible to me as a senior with health issues.
340	Purpose of parks is to enjoy them! Please don't take away our wonderful park systems benefits to our pets!!
342	Our dog really enjoys off leash exercise. Please do not take away her few oppourtunities to be off-leash.
345	Please do NOT approve GGNRA Dog Management plan
348	Please help save our beloved off leash areas!
349	the dogs aren't harming anything!
350	I strongly oppose the proposed GGNRA dog management plan.
352	How about more fenced-in dog run areas?
353	San Francisco has been given 1% of the GGNRA land for recreation. A portion of that land has already been fenced off for "natrual areas". How much more does the GGNARA need? 1/3 of the households in San Francisco have dogs and that number is continuing to grow. These dogs need a place to run and play to be properly exercised. Reducing those areas and putting them in specific locations that will cause more problems is a divicive measure by the GGNRA. San Francisco is a large urban area and that 1% is very important to all dog owners. The GGNRA has already sanctioned part of that 1% of the recreational area as natural areas. No one has been able to set foot on that area for ten years. Now they want to restrict the off leash area that were agreed upon in 1979, inserting poison pill clauses that will insure the failure of the restricted areas proposed. The dogs are an easy target for the GGNRA's agenda to rescind the area as a recreational space. Where does this end? One in three households in SF have dogs and the numbers are growing. Where will these animals be able to be exercised properly in an urban area. The places to exercise your animals need expansion, not reduction. Dog owners pay taxes, as well.
355	pls don't do this!
357	san francisco needs off leash dog parks/beaches/etc...
362	Strongly opposed.
369	I have donated sizeably in the past to the Dem party and will hold them directly responsible if this measure passes
370	I am a true believer that we need to preserve our recreational and park areas, but when those areas become our "backyards", think as a taxpayer of the city, we should have a right to use those areas to enjoy with our family members (dogs).

#	Comments for consideration
374	dogs are a wonderful part of San Francisco culture
376	Ft. Funston is a unique treasure; there are plenty of beaches that do not allow dogs.
377	we do not have enough off leash parks in Ca . Please keep these areas open for dogs
381	The plan is a poor use of the GGNRA in a city where the need for space for dogs is so obvious. This will result in the land not being used, but having to be patrolled at a greater cost. It does not make sense.
384	I enjoy the GGNRA with my dogs and I vote
397	I can not stress the importance of allowing areas to be leash free. Dogs need places to run and socialize. San Francisco is supposed to be one of the most dog friendly cities. It's what brought me to the city!! Please don't change that!!
399	If residents are to own dogs, they must have a place to provide them with off-leash exercise and enjoyment. Anything less borders on animal cruelty. A fenced rectangular "dog run" is no substitute for exploration, socializing, experiencing nature!
400	we need this for our dogs to be healthy and to develop good social skills!
402	Please do not take this space away from the dog owners of San Francisco. We need it, our dogs need it, and the children of SF need it to keep their playgrounds and fields dog-free.
415	Please don't take more recreational land away from dog owners.
416	Please do not exclude the dogs from our lives.
417	There is no tangible rationale for the GGNRA to adopt any measures which are inconsistent with those agreed to in the 1979 regulations. Just as the GGNRA did with Drake's Bay it's DEIS lacks credible science and any findings to justify dogs are a significant threat in the GGNRA.
423	Exercising with my dog in the GGNRA is an important and primary form of exercise and recreation that I've enjoyed for more than two decades.
429	What part of 1\% is just too much for the GGNRA endure?
430	My dog LOVES going to Fort Funston please don't close it off to her!
436	if my dog cannot run off leash in designated areas such as Fort Funston-i will leave this city behind. What made SF unique has rapidly deteriorated in the past decade. The formerly animal friendly city will no longer be a city I wish to inhabit. This will be the final change of what once was a progressive, creative, place that exemplified progressive thinking for all creatures human and otherwise that will cause me to move...
446	I am not a dog owner, I live at the Beach, and I STILL think dogs need to run off leash (and owners need to pick up afater them!).
457	Ê
459	I am a non-dog owner who wants dogs to be able to walk off-leash in our parks.
461	Please do not restrict the off leash areas for our dogs any further. San Francisco has been such a fantastic place to live because of its natural beauty and its ability to be a family oriented place with dogs. I think we are like most people in this city in that our dogs are our kids. Unfortunately, most of our friends move out of the city when they have kids or get ready to have kids. Thus making San Francisco a city with more dogs than kids. If you are not only driving out families with children but also driving out families with dogs we are going to be left with a city that has a tough time moving forward. Dogs in the city and its parks have become a staple to the atmosphere, economy, and social scene. It is imperative to the health of the city to keep dogs welcomed and encouraged for all they bring to our city and our economy! Please do not limit the off leash areas for our dogs any further Thanks for your time Johnny Eason
477	I HEART DOGS
481	As the owner of an sporting dog (Vizsla), it is imperative to have off-leash areas to recreate with our dog in the city.
483	Parks are for all residents, including dogs. Please don't take our play spaces away from us.
486	dogs need room to run!!!!
501	my pepper loves the parks, don't take that away
507	Please let me walk my dog off the leash he is a good dog and enjoys not being treated like a slave in one of the few natural areas available to run around free in this concrete jungle we call home
509	For the sake of our dogs and to maintain the wonderful beauty of SF 's parks and ensuring everyone is able to enjoy them.

#	Comments for consideration
514	Free the Dogs!
518	our beaches and parks need to be shared!
528	Dogs need to run off leash
529	Please do not take this priveledge away from us and our dogs!!
532	Must be written by people that don't own dogs... Lets all relax, let dogs be dogs and be respectful to each other and pick up after them.
534	Please allow off leash.
540	We fund GGNRA publically! Give us what we want in return.
542	PLEASE DO NOT PASS
561	Killing the rights of many to protect the wishes of few is not the path
565	think about if you had to ALWAYS be ona leash!!??
567	This is a serious quality of life issue!
568	Dogs need run and play and be themselves.
572	It is absurd not to allow dogs offleash in limited areas of GGNRA. The worst kind of bureaucratic nonsense. I simply don't believe they do more harm than coyotes, hawks, deer and other animals.
576	We need more off-leash areas, not fewer!
585	This will seriously impact our quality of life.
587	i support more offleash provisions..thank you
589	Please save our off-leash areas!
591	There are not enough places to take dogs off leash currently. Please don't further restrict access for dogs and their owners.
593	Please don't take away our shared open recreational space!
608	Don't punish the vast majority of dog owners who are responsible. Keep SF a wonderful place for people and dogs. And instead, consider other ways to manage the few who may be taking advantage or not respecting our shared spaces.
611	Please work to keep off lease dog walking safe and legal in public parks and beaches. It is healthy for people and dogs.
615	I want my grandchildren to know what it's like to run free with your dog.
624	Here in WA we have good off-leash parks. Why not on national land?
625	I do not get to go to Crissy Field as often as I'd like to with my small dogs, but when we do we enjoy the opportunity to let them run on the beach. There is rarely anyone else on the part of the beach where we go, and if there is it's usually another dog and owner. It would be a tragic to restrict this enjoyable activity (even before i owned a dog, seeing one run on the beach would make me smile) - and shame on the GGNRA for spending close to a million dollars on this issue.
641	Save our space
642	Please don't punish dog owners.
644	I actually moved to the Bay Area because of the pet friendly atmosphere. My dog and I have found "home" here.
646	Please let the dogs run free.
650	This is a very bad idea for the park and for SF. Walking dogs at GGNRA is a San Francisco activity that has been part of our culture for decades. The land was given to the Federal government with the understanding that dog walking would continue to be permitted.
655	Stop this proposal now!
658	Please do not punish responsible dog owners for the misdeeds of a few irresponsible ones.
665	Dogs keep people healthy, sane, make cities more habitable, happy, exercised dogs are priceless!!
666	WHY DO YOU WANT IT TO MAKE DAILY LIFE HARDER FOR THE TAX PAYERS AND VOTERS IN SAN FRANCISCO
670	Sir, it's a RECREATIONAL area....
671	Please don't let a few irresponsible dog owners ruin things for the rest of us.
679	Please pay attention to this issue. We do not want to be restricted from full recreational use of the Fort Funston park and others!

#	Comments for consideration
686	The proposed restrictions will severely impinge on my ability to recreate with my animal companion. Please do not restrict off leash areas any more than they already are!
688	Please, please, please allow dog owners to RUN their dogs. Walking on a leash is not enough!
693	I live in San Diego but regularly visit SF with my dog and hope to one day live in the city.
697	Perhaps improved enforcement of existing dog restrictions would suffice. It wouldn't take much as the vast majority of dog owners are responsible users of the public areas I go to.
698	The proposed Dog Management Plan violates the agreement between San Francisco and GGNRA. It must be stopped. This is a URBAN park supporting over a million people, 20\% of who have dogs. Thank you.
699	I think this plan is very unbeneficial for dog owners and people of the community. It will cause a negative impact in the well being of dogs and their owners in not being provided with ample area required for freedom and exercise off leash and will cause and overflow to city areas which are already unsubstantial in space in relation to the dog population. It also will cause many small businesses in the dog walking and pet sitting industry to go out of business which will cause a loss in city income and an increase in unemployment.
702	please keep 1979 Pet Policy. It works fine for balancing off lease recreation with every other use of Fort Funston
703	please keep off leash access available
708	Dogs are an important part of our lives!
715	Off leash dog walikng is a very controlled and safe situation.
716	This is a travesty!!!
718	dog owners seem to be very responsible with their dogs on the beach
721	My friend is a dog walker and this will severely affect her ability to make a living! Please oppose!
722	Please Oppose this Plan!
729	Dogs need to run. Fort Funston is perfect for that.
735	I oppose GGNRA draft dog mananement plan
743	dog is my best friend and exercise companion!!
746	Excersize off leash is an importan activity for a dog. Honesty is always the best policy The GGNRA needs to follow the policy! This plan is BS
751	We are donors and dog owners
756	Please reserve some off leash dog space for me and my well behaved dog
758	Seriously, we need to let our dogs free.
759	Love thy doggy!
760	Families with young children support dog parks and off-leash areas for dogs! Please retain them!
761	Viva la off-leash dogs!!!
768	Most of the people who visit GGNRA do so with their dogs.It only makes sense in a democratic way to continue to allow all of us to enjoy our backyard.
772	This is a draconian plan and I'm wondering 'why fix it if it ain't broken' ??
779	I strongly oppose the GGNRA Dog management plan !
780	We need open space for dogs to run! To limit this amounts to cruelty to animals.
783	Please save our dog walking areas! They make our lives so much better.
786	Enough of this insanity!
787	This plan is tragic!
790	let dogs be free
804	City parks will be overrun without GGNRA
807	Strongly support!!
812	Please don't restrict the dogs on the beach. Some of the older dogs will be unable to walk all the way to the westerly beach. This seems too restrictive for an urban park!!

#	Comments for consideration
813	Off-leash running and retrieving is an intrinsic need for our healthy, highly trained dogs within our healthy family life. Please preserve this necessary right in limited open space.
814	It's wrong!! The areas under review are not National Parks, but urban recreation areas. Curtailing off-leash access to current areas will have devastating unintended consequences. I am very concerned that the impact study will NOT be objective!
818	Our family makes deep commitments to nature preservation and land conservation. We and our dogs both need and deserve limited open running space inside San Francisco. Thank you.
825	I heartily oppose this measure and so should you! thank you for your consideration.
834	visit SF often and love to walk dog off leash at Chrissy Field
836	Keep recreation in the GG National Recreation Area!
841	California is falling behind other states, once again.):o/
843	Or take back our propertygifted to the GGNRA
846	Why have a dog if it can't safely run free; are you asking us to stop owning dogs? our smaller local or city parks can't capacitat the overflow this will be creating . Pls listen to our outcry.
847	please save off leash parks
849	please save off leash parks. tucker loves to run free at crissy
850	Do not restrict access to open places off leash from our dogs!!!
851	Public spaces are essential for dog owners and while not all dog owners are responsible, most are. Dogs improve our health and deserve our respect. Please make it possible for dogs and people to share our public resources.
854	Please keep access available for dogs!
860	The dog management plan recommended by GGNRA would exclude people with physical disabilities from exercising their dog at Fort Funston.
864	Yet again... this is a proposal to change something that the VAST majority do NOT want changed. Yet again... the law abiding are going to suffer for a few selfish ignorant people. Please allow the dying trait of COMMON SENSE and FAIRNESS to breath again. Thankyou
865	This proposed plan is too restrictive.
867	None of the options proposed are acceptable. ROLAs are absurd. No objective rationale has been presented to justify any chnage.
868	dog owners are tax payers too!
870	please do not restrict off-leash areas for dogs!
875	Let's keep this wonderful place open for all who love and care for it.
883	It is one of my reasons for wanting to going to SF area. Please dont close beaches to dogs
884	in marin, the most ill-informed of proposals is to restrict homestead valley hill to leashed dogs only. it is little used, and the new law will make outlaws of respectful pet owners. when the law is certain to have this effect, the law is an ass (to borrow a phrase)
885	The proposal takes away a valued resource for San Francsico citizens. This was certainly not the intent when the City agreed to put the lands in question into the GGNRA
887	Please don't take away more dog friendly privileges
893	I rarely walk my dog in the GNRA, but do so daily in city parks, which are already overcrowded with dogs.
900	Save off lease areas!
902	Ê
903	Please don't do this!
910	Please return to 1979 pet policy!!
917	This is dog abuse. Dogs need space to run. They cannot get adequate excercise or enjoyment from just leash walking. Some dogs even love and need to swim. This would really be horrible for these animals to endure a life that is so restricted. Please, there are so few places as it is for dogs to just be dogs. Don't take that away from them. This would be cruel to both dog and dog guardians.

#	Comments for consideration
925	I have also written letters. Please pay some attention
927	There are numerous health benefits to owning a dog, and watching it run after a ball in the wide open spaces is a joy that fills the heart. not really possible in a muddy dirty fenced off dog area.
936	Get rid of guns, not dogs!
938	please keep the GGNRA lands for public use as originally intended
942	We are responsible dog owners. We take care of our community, parks, and beaches. Our dogs should still be allowed space to run freely!
945	Off Leash, Off Leash, Off Leash, Off Leash, Off Leash.
947	please don't reduce the already minimal available space
960	Please just limit professional dog walkers at Crissy Field. They are the ones causing most of the problems.
968	Please reconsider GGNRA draft dog mgt. policy now.
978	Please do not kill the spirit of the area
979	Please stop intruding in citizens lives/decisions!
983	please keep these areas of the park open to off leash dogs!
986	I have lived in San Francisco all of my life, and have had dogs most of those 60 years. Having designated off leash areas for the dogs to run has always worked well. There are so many beautiful hiking trails and beaches in the Bay Area-we are blessed. I think sharing a few with our canine friends is the right thing to do. Why fix it if it isn't broken? Dogs need exercise too! And because we have open space for the dogs like Fort Funston, people with their pooches flock there instead of the local on leash parks. It's currently a win-win for dogs and people without dogs. We all have beautiful places to enjoy nature at it;s best. Please don't take this joy away from our beloved pets. Thank You
988	Please preserve off-leash dog areas. The dogs should not be punished for any issues created by the humans who care for them. Regulate the humans who fail to look after their dogs responsibly.
989	There should be MORE park areas where dogs are allowed off-leash, NOT LESS. It is unfair to deny well-trained animals the freedom to share the parks.
990	How sad that there is such an attempt to FURTHER reduce a dog and her/his best friend's playground! We already have such limited area where dogs can be off leash!
997	dogs have needs, just like people. Off leash is one of them which these proposed restrictions would unnecessarily reduce.
999	Off-leash rocks!!!!
1006	Off leash dog play is essential
1007	Dogs deserve to enjoy nature and be outside and run free. Please do not limit them to unhealthy small off-leash pens and inner city walking. Our natural spaces are forever being minimized and it simply isn't right to take away a resource loved by people and their dogs. Please do the right thing. Our dogs need outside space to play and socialize too!
1013	Secretary Salazar, please do not let the GGNRA try and force this upon the local population. Their methodology for collecting public feedback (notice and comment) has not included meetings so that residents can ask questions.
1017	I walk and run with my dog every day in the GGNRA, please don't take that away!
1018	our beloved 4 legged companions need exercise. it's impossible to accomplish this if they are confined either by leashes or by area. the allowed/designated areas proposed will be over run & there will be chaos. please allow us what we & our pups desperately need. thanks for listening!!
1021	Being able to walk my dog around the GGNRA is one of my favorite things in life!
1023	For many of us, pets are an important part of our family. And our family needs access to open space.
1028	Please consider other alternatives, such as limiting the number of unleashed dogs per person or hour restrictions before a blanket dog restriction. Thank you!
1030	I love and support the GGNRA usually. I have two young kids and one dog who use Crissy Field and Fort Funston often. Let dogs continue to roam free but make the owners more accountable!
1035	One of the reasons I adopted my dog is because of San Francisco's great resources for dogs, including all the off-leash areas available. The room to exercise and socialize was key in helping my adopted pet to become a responsible 'pet citizen.'

#	Comments for consideration
1036	Please do not allow this ill-conceived, ill-advised plan to proceed.
1037	It is vital for the health of dogs and, also, the health of their guardians to be allowed off-leash access at Crissy Field and other locales within the GGNRA continue. The NPS proposal is too restrictive and the costs associated with it should be used to protect and maintain the parks.
1044	Dogs need open space - please reconsider.
1047	We thank you for not letting this legislation pass!
1051	save our 1\%
1053	Please do not pass this
1056	This is very important to the physical and emotional health of dogs.
1059	We are responsible dog owners.
1063	Keep leash laws reasonable.
1069	Stop the dog ban!
1073	GGNRA draft dog management plan is too extreme!
1076	I don't want my small dog attacked at her local dog park by unruly dogs unable to get the exercise they need due to this proposal. my local dog park is not equiped to handle a large influx of dogs.
1077	this is not necessary, please use our limited resources for what's actually needed.
1081	Maybe the humans should be on the leashes!
1083	Please keep Funston open to off-leash dog walking!
1085	Dogs and their responsible dog owners should be allowed to roam free and respectfully!
1088	Dogs need space to.
1089	Please give the freedom that bonds the world of dogs and their loving owners.
1090	Keep the dog areas as they are.
1091	i find peace in my daily walks with my dog. dont take this away. this is the city of st francis for hevens sake.
1092	I pay taxes too!!!!
1093	i have a dog to take walks with for my health and peace of mind. i love her company and her joy of running and playing. she makes my day and keeps my happy and healthy. st francis is alive and well in san francisco. the ggnra is pushy and deplorable. the snowy plovers are alie and well just like i saw them running the beach in 1945. give me a break ggnra!!!!!!!!!!!!
1094	I think other options should be explored.
1097	please leave space for the dogs to run and play with children, adults and other dogs. to keep a dog on leash at all times is completely unnatural. most dog owners that i have encountered after living in san francisco for 32 years(and always taking m dogs to chrissy field) have been well-trained and are supervised more than most children. by enacting this law you would be doing a great disservice to the very large population of dog owners in san francisco.
1105	One of the reasons I moved where I did was the abundance of open space that has traditionally been open to dogs, on and of leash. Many of those lands are being added to GGNRA - rancho corral de tierra and the bluffs at pillar point
1115	I strongly oppose this extreme and unnecessary plan. It is BAD for dogs and it is BAD for people.
1116	I stand with those who strongly oppose this plan.
1117	your plan is overzealous and punitive.
1124	I moved to my home in no small part due to the wonderful places to take my dogs. These lands are now under GGNRA contro and I am in jeopardy of losing the thing that made me love this area the most. We have very few sidewalks in my town, so walking in the neighborhood is not a safe alternative. I can't afford to move . . . please don't destroy my American dream.
1125	please keep these wonderful areas available to our babies
1127	OPPOSED! DOGS NEED TO HAVE OFF LEASH AREAS TO PLAY, BURN THEIR ENERGY WHICH CREATES A WELL BALANCED DOG.
1130	Remind the GGNRA that their goal is to oversee open spaces according to the 1979 agreement.
1131	There must be a way to manage these spaces, rather than restrict them!

#	Comments for consideration
1133	The majority has no inherent "right" to get more options/restrictions than anyone else. Being a dog lover or owner shouldn't mean that we or our loved pets have to suffer the inability to share "public" land because of the sad truth that privilege always lies with the majority.
1146	Please do not take away traditional recreational usage, based on a plan that is utterly devoid of scientific basis.
1148	Finding safe open spaces for dogs to play, on- or off-leash is hard enough as it is in both urban and semi-rural areas. Please oppose this plan, for the health, happiness and well-being of dogs, their owners, and dog-lovers everywhere.
1152	we need this space.. we keep it clean.. it's our right!
1153	this is a must !
1154	Dog owners are considerably more responsible than most parents in keeping parks clean! I know, I pick up and support a local park! Please keep the parks open for dogs!
1159	The proposed limit on dogs at GGNRA will push more dogs onto city parks and will create more stress on other natural areas. such as SF city park, Glen Canyon.
1161	Dogs are a valued part of our culture.
1162	Animals need natural public space, too... If GGNRA takes away off-leash dog areas, then the city of SF should reclaim the land given to them under the agreement there'd be off-leash dog areas.
1164	I am a responsible dog owner; I keep my dog leashed at appropriate times, under voice control at all times, and always dispose of his waste properly. The GGNRA is such a great resource for people like me and dogs like ours. In my experience, the "dog community" of recreational users is responsible and respectful. Please allow us to continue to have ample leash-free and leashed zones for our outings. Ample dog access to the GGNRA is one of the key features that makes the Bay Area such a wonderful, pet-friendly place to live.
1170	Please don't let this bill pass. A well behaved dog is a tired dog, they need room to get that done.
1173	We need to expand not eliminate off leash dog areas. Limiting the number of dogs allowed per dog walker does make sense.
1174	I send GGNRA money each year because of their wonderful services. I have a dog and will be very upset if we can not use the parks.
1181	We need this!
1184	We have much to be grateful for living in this beautiful country. Nonetheless, Americans are being asked to give up more and more; health benefits, retirement benefits, income tax. Now we're being asked to give up federal recreational parkland, a place where we can "get away" from some of these stressful national problems. The taxes we pay can't even afford us this? This plan is bad management anyway. Do us the favor of tossing this plan in the garbage.
1193	please allow our dogs access to the GGNRA
1200	I strongly oppose the draft dog management plan it is too restrictive and places a hardship on dog owners - dogs need open space to exercise for their health. We live in an urban area and need these open spaces close by to exercise and walk our dogs - PLEASE.
1210	Please help save some open space for our dogs to responsibly romp
1217	This plan severely limits my rights, I oppose this plan.
1221	Are you effing serious?
1230	our dogs need a safe place to play and exercise.
1231	dogs need freedom-owners use doggie bags
1244	Strongly opposed to GGNRA proposal
1252	I oppose this at every level.
1253	this bill is not well enough thought out
1254	Dogs need to be able to chase balls and socialize in order to be healthy and well behaved. Unless dog ownership is somehow banned, responsible dog owners need a legal way to give their dogs the exercise they need.
1257	PLEASE
1259	Keep our 1\% open for those of us whose recreation is off leash dog walking.
1265	I strongly agree with this petition

#	Comments for consideration
1270	our dogs and dog owners deserve better than this
1275	Dogs are an integral part of the human community, and this documents is far too restrictive to humans and their dogs.
1289	Please keep the off leash parks!!
1292	Please do not take this away from us
1324	dogs deserve freedom to run and socialize
1325	Crying environment doesn't make it true
1326	Save off leash in our public recreation areas.
1327	Where else can we take our dogs?
1335	DON'T LET THIS HAPPEN!!!
1346	You have to treat people with dogs and without equally. Refusing access to public places to people with dogs is terrible.
1352	Open to changes, but the current proposal is too restrictive
1355	dog gone it, don't do it
1358	Oppose GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan
1365	Please keep in mind that giving dogs fewer opportunities to exercize and play off-leash will ultimately be of harm to public safety. Dogs are happiest and behave best when they have ample ability to play and run.
1368	Keep our off leash dog parks, off leash!
1371	I feel very strongly the quality of my life here is tied to having our lovely beaches enjoyed responsibly by all!
1373	STOP!!!! Please. I do not have the open space to walk and run my dog mandated by the City of San Francisco's charter. This is very important open space, and our rights as dog owners, a dn active mebers of our community must be acknowledged. Flawed environmental impact studies should not be used to deny responsible dog owners the right to exercise their pets.
1377	such pretty place on the coast deserves to be unleashed for dogs
1381	please do not let this proceed
1383	Please
1391	Please keep San Francisco dog friendly!
1396	More resources need to be spent on helping others be assured their pets can walk safely off leash through training. It is wrong to punish good pets and pet owners for the irresponsibility of others.
1398	We visit the area regularly and this has always been one of the attractions for our family
1407	This is not a well thought-out plan, and certification is overbearing
1428	Our family is a birdwatching family, which we do almost every weekend with our dog.
1432	I plan to vote against any idiot curtailing pet owner rights forever, this is a litmus test issue, and I ALWAYS vote
1434	Please give our dogs space to run and exercise!
1440	please leave something for the residents and put them before the tourists....
1443	really? going to shut down ocean beac h and funston from dogs. lived here 30 years and this is one of the reasons why because of respect for dogs off leash
1451	Let us all be happy and share our lives together like we would like.
1455	Dogs have rights too!!
1457	There is so little urban space for dog owners. If you want to keep people in your cities you have to give them places to go with their dogs!
1458	As a veterinarian, I see first hand the positive impact that dog ownership provides for my clients. My clients and I frequent many of the parks - for socialization and exercise allowing both humans and our canine companions to run. Chrissy Fields is ar all time favorite place for my entire family to visit. Allowing the dogs to run off leash and fetch their balls in the bay is a safe and healthy outlet for our whole family. I urge you to realize that California is a dog friendly state with many outside recreational opportunities. Our tax dollars in California are high. The cost of living is high. However, the benefits of the coastal California playground and national recreation areas for ourselves and canine companions make it all worth it. I strongly oppos the GGNRA Dog Management Plan.
1461	We need off leash dog areas to maintain well behaved dogs (a tired dog is a good dog!)

#	Comments for consideration
1467	Please keep parks open for all!
1471	I live in San Mateo and have recently started bring my dog to Chrissy Field and he just loves it. There are not enough off lease areas like Chrissy Field with such great views. Changing the laws means that much more driving to go elsewhere. Chrissy Field is a nice change of scenery from the daily neighborhood dog park.
1474	We take our German Pointer to Fort Funston where he has the freedom to run. It would be cruel if he could not do this and had to be kept on leash. He is a well behaved dog and obeys commands. We also bring him to the section of Ocean Beach where off leash is allowed.
1475	please preserve off leash places for us responsible dog owners!
1481	PLEASE help us save our dog space. I really feel that in cutting down the dog space, people will be looking for way around the laws and will end up in the private parks and baseball diamonds used by the rest of the city. I strongly believe this is going to create more problems that are not being anticipated.
1486	outraged
1487	I oppose the GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan
1491	Well behaved dogs should not be further restricted in regards to where they can be free to run and exercise off leash! Please do not punish responsible dog-guardians!
1492	please continue to allow us to bring our dogs, on lease, responsibly, to designated areas of the GGNRA.
1493	Don't restrict the dogs
1496	Public parks and open spaces with access to people with dogs are already severely limited -- unless necessary for environmental protection, keep places with existing access open to dogs!
1498	Having limited options for our canines is not just and will lead to an increase in maladjusted dogs due to a lack of socialization This is not an appropriate plan for dog management.
1506	'dog can't speak so we speak for them'
1508	I use all of these parks every day to walk and socialize my dogs.
1509	I use the park to walk my dogs and to let them play and socialize!
1511	Make life enjoyable. We are creating too many rules. Dogs need exercise.
1512	People with dogs can be respectful and responsible, please allow them to bring their pets to outdoor open areas
1513	Please do not restrict the parks from dogs any more than they have been already!
1517	Most dog owners are also enviromentally aware. I personally come back with not only dog waste but garbage that was left or floated on to beach.
1520	save off leash dog walking!! please!
1523	I love my dog. He loves his parks.
1531	This change would also negatively impact pet shelters, as fewer people would be able or willing to own dogs in the city, where there are already strong rent charges and few open spaces to freely excercise our pets
1534	My two bassett hounds love Ft. Funston , and love playing with all their friends, Floppy and Bruno oppose this too.
1541	attended the Pacifica review session, and still oppose.
1542	Please, Save Off-Leash Dog Walking Areas in the SF Bay Area. Thank-you.
1544	To be consistent with the enabling legislation, I believe the original 1979 Pet Policy with provisions for the maintenance of historical recreation for properties added after 1979 should be codified as a Section 7 Special Regulation.
1546	To be consistent with the enabling legislation, I believe the original 1979 Pet Policy with provisions for the maintenance of historical recreation for properties added after 1979 should be codified as a Section 7 Special Regulation.
1554	My dog loves to run! Don't take away her only running beaches and fields!
1556	What does the park system have against man's best friend? My advice: never get between a person and their love for their dog.
1557	There are no compelling reasons to alter existing practice. Keep access status quo.
1560	leash laws are not the answer!!
1562	Ê

#	Comments for consideration
1569	The GGNRA proposed plan is unbalanced and unfair. It does not present scientific data that supports the claims that dogs are damaging the environment and it does not consider what will happen to other areas if this plan is enacted. Please do not support this proposal.
1570	please let us walk our dogs at the beach! everyone is so happy there and the dogs love it!
1572	Let our Dog be unleashed on Baker Beach! It's good for him and for us and he has never done anything wrong!
1574	Not a single person was on baker beach tonight without a dog
1575	I've been walking my dogs in Montara off leash for 31 years with never a single problem. We all clean up after our dogs around here. I would be forced to walk on Highway 1 or other busy roads if I couldn't walk my dog in the hills around Montara.
1576	Gotta love SF Bay Area off leash dog parks! PLEASE DO NOT CLOSE!!!
1579	Spending 3/4 of a million dollars for this, I need to vote for saner politics
1582	Save the dogs! Let gibson walk without a leash.
1587	i have a dog and i vote.
1588	The proposed "dog management plan" by GGNRA will create civil war in the Bay Area. It cannot possibly be worth the havoc it will wreak. Please act to keep the peace, and preserve the beauty that Bay Area residents pay a premium in housing, food and taxes to enjoy with their dogs. Keep our natural lands open to frolicking joyous dogs and their humble human servants.
1599	Preserve the traditional recreational activities that antedated the creation of the GGNRA with a Section 7 Special Regulation.
1601	GGNRA is wrong on so many issues here. One point being is that this is a urban area first and foremost. I believe many of their dog and wildlife studies are flawed and biased.
1602	I oppose this very much!
1603	The GGNRA are completely out of touch with the 'people' who own and use these 'recreation' areas
1604	dogs and humans are friends and love to walk together in natural surroundings. They both love to run and play off leash!
1606	The community at Rancho Corral de Tierra has been walking dogs off leash and we are all managing just fine. We do not need big government to step in and change what is already working for our community.
1607	We have been the docents of this land for more than 30 years, and why there is actually land for the GGNRA to incorporate - please do not take our rights away on the land we use every day, and have cherished and taken care of for many more than those 30 years. Thank you.
1610	This is a national RECREATION area, next to a metropolis. I support preservation. I also support responsible off-leash recreation. GGNRA's proposed rules do not evaluate the negative impacts on inland parks throughout the SF Bay area.
1614	We the people hereby demand that our dogs be allowed to share in our natural environment.
1615	I live in Oakland but use GGNRA regularly
1616	Don't try to fix what isn't broken-- the current off-leash areas are working and are supported by local communities.
1619	The GGNRA proposed plan is unbalanced and unfair. It does not present scientific data that supports the claims that dogs are damaging the environment and it does not consider what will happen to other areas if this plan is enacted. To be consistent with the enabling legislation, I believe the original 1979 Pet Policy with provisions for the maintenance of historical recreation for properties added after 1979 should be codified as a Section 7 Special Regulation.
1621	Ê
1622	Dogs have a right to this recreation area near a urban center. Let's start using some common sense.
1624	I have enjoyed walking my dogs in the open spaces since I was a child growing up in S. F. Now 60 + years later walking my dog in open spaces has become a sacred part of my day and my life. It connects me with my God and the natural world. To so severely limit this part of my life and recreation (not to speak of my dogs lives and recreation) is a real blow to my liberty and persuit of happiness and to so many others as well. This could be managed differently and much more successfully for All!
1625	Please keep the *recreation* in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area! Our family enjoys walking with our family dog and we now have under 1\% of the GGNRA where that's possible. Taking more access away from us is a great betrayal of those of us who voted to establish the GGNRA with the understanding that purr traditional recreational activities would continue in perpetuity.

#	Comments for consideration
1626	We have less than 1\% of the GGNRA for off-leash recreation, and we are simply trying to hold on to that tiny scrap. This is no an unreasonable request. These are not wilderness areas; they are former military facilities bounded by busy roads and paved for parking lots and dotted with decaying concrete batteries. If we can't let our dogs off leash here, where can we?
1628	Off-leash parks are the best thing about SF! They enable dogs & dog lovers to be healthy & happy. Running free reduces dog aggression. Wildlife lovers would do well to remember that dogs were once wildlife too before humans domesticated them. We therefore owe dogs an extra obligation to provide proper care since they cannot do it on their own. Keep off-leash parks off-leash!
1631	Policy banning dogs is too restrictive and based on junk science.
1634	Stop the madness! Dogs are not meant to be chained up their entire lives!
1636	mas139@comcast.net
1642	The plan will restrict or eliminate our access to the beach via trails at Fort Funston, which were designated as "multi use". Now they will be used exclusively by horse back riders, which gives them unfair land use. The dogs love to run along the trails, free and off-leash, so that they can chase after each other up and down the hills at Fort Funston. Restricting this area as off-limits (leash only) is a crime. Dogs need to blow off steam and they love exploring these hills. In a practical sense, we need to use our hands to climb up the trails at certain points and having the dogs on leash will hamper our ability to do this safely. Please do not let them take away our freedom to exercise our dogs on the multi use trails at Fort Funston
1643	I'll be 78 years young next month, (GLW) and have been hiking with my dogs at Ft. Funston, offleash, for many years. If this activity is taken from me, my health (physically and mentally) and that of the dog's will suffer irreparable harm. I survived Korea, I may not survive this...
1651	Please support off-leash recreation.
1652	I visit SFO regularly and always go to the off leash area..it is a priceless highlight.
1653	San Francisco CA 94122
1656	The GGNRA plan to prohibit dogs in 1000's of open space tracts in San Mateo Co in addition to those listed in SF is not appropriate to this community. As a single older woman I want my dog with me when I walk in these locations as a security factor.
1657	Create a Section 7 regulation to allow off-leash dog walking in all areas where it was allowed in the 1979 Pet Policy, plus off-leash in San Mateo County and on lands that are added to the GGNRA in the future, with no "poison pill". Clarify the enabling legislation to allow national recreation areas to be administered differently than national parks, and to be managed with an emphasis on recreation.
1661	harmony with the cosmic sea, true love needs no company, it can cure the soul, it can make it whole, If dogs run free. ~ Bob Dylan
1669	Please do not discriminate against dog owners. Rancho Corral de Tierra's established dog area should be continued.
1675	I wish GGNRA would just go away from San Francisco, Marin, San Mateo!
1687	For Monty and Scout Schissel
1688	I walk my two Golden Retriever dogs at Fort Funston every weekend. Dogs(unleashed) do not cause damage to the park or the wild life. The damage I see in the park is due to human presence and foot traffic. There is something wrong with the mind set of the Park Service which wants to deny people the pleasure of walking with their companion pets. Walking with a pet has been part of human society for the last twenty thousand years. Many people depend on that companionship to contribute of their happiness. I see senior citizens walking their dogs and its likely for many its their only companionship. Rather then restricting dog walking in the GGNRP it should be significantly expanded (including off leash). Many senior pet owners are a voters and this subject is very important to them. Sincerely, James Stevens
1689	People and Dogs need to walk together EVERYWHERE.
1693	This is important to family life in the Bay Area
1694	Please find a balanced way that dog owners can enjoy the space along with everyone else.
1695	These rec's are based on bogus science

#	Comments for consideration
1696	This issue is not about dogs in the GGNRA - it is about restricting PEOPLE's recreational use of GGNRA lands with their dogs. These lands were mandated for a National Recreation Area, and recreation is to be the first and foremost consideration. The proposed Dog Management Plan limits and removes historic recreational use in all of the "preferred alternatives" and it is not acceptable.
1699	Open space for exercise is one way to prevent dog fights
1706	The 'Feds can eat it !'
1709	Dogs are an integral part of family emotional health. They have recreational requirements, same as humans. They cannot speak for themselves. Please consider this. Thank you.
1712	keep SF a free place for every living thng
1714	I have no Words
1715	The GGNRA Draft Dog Mgmt Plan is too radical for the SF Bay Area. With such huge Federal and State deficits, spend the money where it will make a real difference: education, jobs creation, school lunches, unemployment benefits, etc.
1716	As compared to most cities, San Francisco and the Bay Area has fewer off-leash dog walk areas. Please do not limit or reduce the few off-leash spaces which already exist. City dogs need open space too. And limiting legitimate off-leash space will only encourage off leash walking in NON-legal areas.
1717	Off leash walking should be an integral part of any city's recreation areas!
1718	Give us liberty....
1719	walking the Walk with our fellow animals, should not be taken away. sad to see this is even being considered.
1720	Restricting dog space to 1\% of the GGNRA space is unfair and unnecessary.
1721	I grew up on 47th and Cabrillo, I love GG Par and have always taken our dogs there. Please do not take this away from us.
1728	voting dog lover
1729	One of my favorite things to do when I visit my kids and grandkids in San Fran area is to take their dog for walks - great way to interact with diversity of the population in Bay Area - and dog owners are all most wonderful representatives of this fine city!
1730	Bad idea. Please don't.
1731	Free the puppies
1735	You use my taxes? I get to use the park. No doggy, no taxes. You already make me pay for a couple of wars, fail to prosecute the people responsible for our financial debacle, and now you want to tell us where we can't walk our dogs? Is there any sanity in Congress whatsoever?
1740	Keep the 1979 Pet Policy in place
1746	This is crazy!
1750	All my tax dollars and very few services I need from government, the dog areas are the only thing out of the ordinary my family uses. Please keep it for us.
1755	we, and our dogs need to have open space to run and exercise freely.
1757	we don't need more restrictions
1758	People with dogs need open space!!!
1761	This is San Francisco. This is an urban area. Please do not remove our already limited access to the great outdoors within our city limits.
1762	save the park for dogs
1763	Please save our dogs what little they have....they are God's creation and they need to run and play,
1770	I strongly oppose the DEIS Alternative for New Lands, which would ban all dog use on newly-acquired GGNRA lands.
1777	Shouldn't you be finding ways to increase recreational opportunities; not limit them? On-leash dog walking should not be banned anywhere.
1784	GGNRA please respect the urban location this area exists in and open up more areas to leash free family enjoyment
1785	walking my dog is my form of exercise
1786	Save our open space

#	Comments for consideration
1792	Hairy kids need areas to run in just as much as human kids do and hairy kids don't leave trash around or write graffiti. Not enough exercise is what makes dogs go nuts and become destructive. This is also good socializing for them so they won't fight or go after others.
1793	pls pls keep Ft Funston as available as it is now
1796	I like to be able to walk my dog because we BOTH need the exercise.
1797	Please, please contact GGNRA and encourage them to reconsider this plan to severely restrict dog-walking, on and off leash. The GGNRA's proposed plan does not take into account the needs to balance recreational and environmental demands.
1803	I believe the plan does not adequately address the impact of implementation on what little open space would remain in the Bay Area for dogs and their guardians to play in.
1807	Never have seen a dog catch a snowy plover, garter snake, red legged frog or any other creature that lives in Montara or the surrounding areas. Horses disturb the area by causing erosion by allowing them to be ridden in Montara. Their hooves cause deep holes and riders do not stay on the paths. Horses are allowed because they supposedly stay on the trails and are under supervision which was the park ranger's explanation to me in Pacifica's GGNRA Open House. In fact, William, one of the park rangers, told me that he and his family went to the beach recently and his children went running after some snowy plovers. His comment was it was okay since the birds didn't fly away! GGNRA expects people to keep their dogs under control but what about humans? Humans disturb parks by littering, mountain biking, etc... Dogs need to exercise and a 6ft. leash doesn't cut it. Too many dogs are overweight and the GGNRA restrictions will increase that problem. Off-leash for dogs.
1809	The intention of this is just to limit dogs; nothing else. Humans are a stratospherically larger impact on these areas, including trash, off-trail walking, destruction of habitat, etc., but I don't see a GGNRA plan to severely restrict/curtail human access to these areas. These areas are "urban recreation" areas and should be treated as such. Enforcement of existing rules and some other minor tweaks could completely resolve all the issues raised. Please help us save these areas for responsible dog owners and their pets.
1810	the proposal as it stands is unenforceable because it is so restrictive. What we need is something that makes sense and that people can understand, thus will observe the rules.
1812	GGNRA was established by Congress for use by urban residents for daily recreation. There are thousands of acres of GGNRA for people to enjoy who prefer not being around dogs--places where dogs are NOT allowed.
1813	Dog owners are the primary users of parks and open space. People legislating against dog owners are a minority acting on principles disconnected from reality. A better solution is to legislate against bad dog ownership (threatening or/and out of control dogs, waste removal etc) A license giving us the right to walk a dog in a public space would be a better way to go!
1818	responsible dog owner
1822	this plan is going to turn tax paying citizens into criminals one more time for no good reason .looks like the united states of police to me
1826	Pets, Specifically dogs take on a unique significance in SF, that is why there are more dogs than Children under 15 (SF Chronicle) SF is unique and this is one of the things people love
1831	We need off leash dog recreation in our parks, city, state and federal. There is plenty of room for all.
1832	Mo More: This land is for Recreation
1836	Please don't restrict the off-leash area so severely, we need it, and if it is so drastically compressed, there will be horrible problems for dogs and their humans
1837	Dogs and their only have the use of one percent of the GGNRA. Why is this happening?
1840	It's utterly ridiculous to set up phony preservation of wild animal habitats in an urban environment. The parks around the Bay Area were supposed to be a recreational area to serve the people (approx. 7.3 million), not to save 5 snowy plovers at their expense (and they have a healthy population elsewhere). Not to mention that this misguided effort by GGNRA has shown to have any validity – quite the contrary. The population of wild life is healthy and growing (according their own assessment) despite the existing condition that GGNRA is trying to curb or eliminate. People and dogs can freely enjoy the park system with no ill effects to the wild life!!!
1841	Quit using our tax money in such an irresponsible manner.

#	Comments for consideration
1849	Dogs need a place to go off-leash. Outside of the city, there are so few places. The city needs to keep its off-leash areas, because this is part of makes San Francisco a great city.
1850	It is important that these lands be preserved for all who appreciate the outdoors. The space available to responsible dog owners and their pets is so limited. PLEASE DO THE RIGHT THING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
1853	good work
1856	Please let the dogs run unleashed
1860	Please don't do this. Dogs and dog walkers need and are entitled to these spaces too.
1861	We have had a working 20 year history of walking dogs off-leash in the El Granada / Montara hills. Imposing blanket Policy & procedure restrictions because the GGNRA does not understand the new areas they are taking over is not fair!!
1865	In an era of severe budget cuts, closing of parks, blatant understaffing, who is the idiot who came up with the idea to add mor irrelevant work for our struggling park rangers? Pure nonsense with no basis in reality testing public policy.
1869	We love our rescued dogs, and they need exercise!
1879	THERE ARE ENOUGH RULES RE DOGS ALREADY
1880	I respectfully ask that these urban parklands continue to allow off leash dog walking as dictated in the 1979 park plan
1889	These areas are supposed to be OUR areas to enjoy as we please. The GGNRA is overstepping its authority by trying to dictate to us whether or not we can have our dogs engage in healthy, necessary activities.
1895	I oppose the GGNRA draft plan
1896	We don't live there, but when we visist our friends we always take our dogs. No dogs, No visists, No money
1897	I recommend that the Interior Department find ways to expand park utilization, not unnecessarily limit it.
1901	I ask that these urban parklands continue to allow off leash dog walking as agreed upon in the 1979 park plan
1909	I oppose any changes to the current system agreed upon in 1979. The Dept. of the Interior needs to live up to their own commitments, or give up the GGNRA.
1915	please keep shared access for canines
1916	We hope to keep the parks open for all users!
1918	San Francisco is not Yosemite! Please do your duty in preserving the GGNRA for our recreation. 170,000 San Franciscans recreate with their dogs. Over 20\% of the population should not be segregated to 1\% of the available space.
1926	there are plenty of parks already that do not allow dogs. we need somewhere to allow our dogs to run free. dog parks are not the solution...some dogs do not like dog parks, like our dogs. they are too confined and crowded and some dogs are not as social as others.
1927	This is essential to the health and welfare of our animals in San Francisco, a legal requirement I need to adhere to as a citizen and dog guardian.
1929	I have been walking my dogs here for 15 years. This is one of the cleanest, caring, and safest parks I have ever been too. What terrible mistake to take this away from so very many people who walk their dogs off leash and care about this park so very much.
1932	Instilling such strick limits on off-leash dog areas is going to increase dog issues in the few remaining dog friendly location, not to forget there are more dogs in San Francisco than children under 18.
1936	Dog owners are a MAJOR user group for the GG Park System!! Don't alienate a major income DONOR \$\$ base...
1940	Dog owners are responsible, nature-loving people. Please help us still have access to the beaches without leaving our dogs stuffed in cars!
1944	These areas, and especially Ft. Funston (an extremely small piece of land), have a purpose as off-leash dog areas. THE PEOPLE enjoy these and there is no harm leaving them as is. There is no reason, other than greed and one upmanship to take this lanc away from its current use. Mr Salazar - as a former elected official in Colorado, you would not be where you are without THE PEOPLE who elected you in years past. Why don't you give back to THE PEOPLE?
1950	Please keep these lands available to us to walk our dogs. I've been walking Mori Point for 20 years with dogs and it's the most enjoyable time for us both. Please don't take it away.
1954	Please keep these lands available to all users.

#	Comments for consideration
1957	Dog walkers and dog owners in SF do a great service to this city by helping to keep the parks clean and safe. Such restrictive proposals by the GGNRA need to be re thought in an area with such a huge urban park that so many people rely on for exercise relaxation and to enjoy.
1960	No leash law!!!!!!!!!!
1963	Opposed to the dog management plan
1965	Have attended 3 public meetings, am horrified at the draconian "Preferred Alternatives" in the Park Service's DEIS. My husband & I are senior citizens with a young rescue dog we got 18 mo. ago. Providing plenty of off & on-leash exercise at Fort Funston, Crissy Field, & city parks has improved our health & enriched our lives. Please resolve the conflict between the NPS & dog-owners by codifying the GGNRA's 1979 Pet Policy as a Section Seven Special Regulation, & mandate that all properties added to the GGNRA after 1979 maintain historical recreational access. This is a serious waste of tax dollars; suggest you visit Fort Funston on a weekend and see what a wonderful mix it is of dogs, people and Mother Nature; this should be a model for the NPS in other parts of the country.
1967	Please develop a more balance plan.
1972	Our dogs need their exercise and freedom!
1974	Maintainng the 1979 Pet Policy for off-leash dog areas is important for all of us.
1975	Ê
1977	When San Francisco gave the land to the Federal Government it was with the specific proviso that existing recreational uses, prominently including off-leash dogs, be preserved.
1978	Please allow us to recreate with our beloved dogs at GGNRA parks!
1979	Please review the reported problems to nature and wildlife in the GGNRA and their causes. Dog caused incidents are an incredibly small \%. People are the problems. Lets educate all on proper park usage.
1986	Our dogs need off-leash space too!
1989	This really makes me angry, why are dogs treated so badly and given such a bad rap. They need to run, exercise, and socialize. How would you feel if you had to be in a 25 by 25 foot box everyday. Would you become stressed and angry,because you couldn't socialize and exerciese.
1993	This open space is vital for exercising people and their dogs. Thousands use it every year- a much reduced "dog run" is unacceptable.
1995	Keep Off-Leash or give GGNRA back to SF
2000	THIS is a bad plan. Our Dogs need open space to walk & run. Please do not pass the GGNRA Draft Dog Mgmt. Plan Keep our dogs happy & healthy
2009	This proposal is like taking 10 steps back instead of moving forward with the times considering there is now said to be 1 dog to every 7 people in San Francisco!! Dogs have rights too!
2015	limited access to these trails will forever change what Marin County and San Francisco County stands for.
2017	Do not restrict dogs!
2020	I am strongly in support of responsible off-leash dog walks in open areas, especially Fort Funston, as these are vital and uniquely special areas in the SF area, and offer both people and their dogs to have the kind of exercise and recreation needed in highly populated urban areas.
2021	I believe that the recommendations in the GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan are overly-restrictive and represent a major departure from the current, balanced use of the park. Currently, off-lead activity is restricted to less than 1\% of the park's land. This proposal would drastically reduce the land available for off-lead recreation. The plan is difficult enough in San Francisco and Marin counties, where beloved areas like Fort Funston and Muir Beach will be restricted or off-limits to off-lead dogs. Worse, the plan leaves San Mateo with no GGNRA land that permits off-leash use. In addition, the proposal that any new lands added to the GGNRA allow no dog walking of any sort (even on-lead) unless a specific exception is made is draconian and ignores the needs of future generations of dog guardians as the region's population grows. The GGNRA's proposal in the event of "noncompliance" with its rules are heavy-handed and do not engage the community to find solutions. Not only for these reasons the prop is unfair.

#	Comments for consideration
2022	This GGNRA Draft is in violation of the agreement with the City of San Francisco when these parks were given to the GGNRA.
2024	I strongly oppose these restrictions to off-leash dogs
2027	the GGNRA is attempting to solve a "problem" that doesn't exist, with junk science. They have put a poison pill in the proposal that would effectively ban all dogs in the GGNRA with no further public comment. They have acted in bad faith for over 20 years - these lands were given to them for RECREATION. Dogs, wildlife, other people and children have peacefully coexisted for decades on these lands with no adverse effects.
2030	Please do not close all this space to off leash access, due to the irresponsible behavior of a few dogs/owners. Instead, should drastically increase the penalties for dogs biting people, dogs getting into wildlife areas, etc. whatever the problems are that are at the root of this legislation
2032	this is a horrible idea. dogs need off-leash recreation to be good canine citizens. where are these dogs supposed to go if they are banned from the GGNRA? to San Francisco's tiny off-leash parks that are already overused?
2033	I love puppies
2037	Please save ways to keep our pets healthy for all concerned. Our pets need safe and sound places for daily recreation and healthful exercise. Thank you ~ Birgitte Olsen
2039	Let us enjoy our sanctuary!!! We are responsible humans.
2040	Responsible dog owners deserve a place to walk their dogs. Dog Guardians are also eco aware.
2041	keep the agreement for off leash space, this city has enormous dog owner population and dogs need exercise in accessible and pleasant areas
2042	SF dogs need space to run free - please keep these spaces available!
2043	Having the opportunity to be with our dogs here is one of the most compelling reasons to live here.
2044	I've exercised myself and my dog(s) at Ft. Funston seven days a week since Sept. 1981
2046	Save the Parks for our pooches!
2053	Their budget request of 1.5 million \$\$ to pay people to ticket dog owners is ridiculously bad given current budget conditions at all level of government. Put that money towards schools instead.
2061	No Leashes!
2062	there is no problems having happy dogs having fun , just like me , at the beach
2065	Please resolve the conflict between the NPS & dog-owners by codifying the GGNRA's 1979 Pet Policy as a Section Seven Special Regulation, & mandate that all properties added to the GGNRA after 1979 maintain historical recreational access. This is a serious waste of tax dollars. Once the dogs are banned, the horses, the surfers and even the hikers will be next.
2067	This plan is taking away the basic needs of all the dogs in the Bay Area
2069	PLEASE do not allow this harsh and punitive ban to be enacted!
2072	Please save open spaces for dogs
2077	My family, neighbors and coworkers support this
2078	Lifelong Sf resident and dog owner. Off leash dog areas are vital to my and my dogs well being.
2082	i am most definitely opposed to the GGNRA draft dog management plan!
2083	The current draft is poorly conceived and written. It must be opposed in its current iteration.
2084	Thank you for your consideration.
2085	KEEP DOG WALKING AREAS OPEN
2096	Our dogs need the open space!
2097	I absolutely oppose the DEIS plan for dog management The DEIS is inherently biased against dogs and their owners. GGNRA should not be the decision-maker on this.
2098	Elderly people with dogs are discriminated against in the GGNRA plan.
2100	Dogs are people too!!!
2102	as a frequent visitor, I would hate to lose the few remaining places to work and play with my dogs - please consider us, too!!!
2103	We dog owners ask for nothing more than fairness and objectivity. The land under consideration for loss of off leash dog use is a recreational area within a metropolitan area--it is not a National Park!

#	Comments for consideration
2104	Strongly opposed
2110	Off leash areas are essential to our community. They provide the needed space to exercise our companions and allow them to socialize. By limiting the use of these areas to responsible dog owners does not impact the behaviors of the irresponsible ones. Stricter penalties and enforcement of current regulations would better serve everyone. For example, owners that cannot keep their dogs under voice control should be required to have them on leash. Irresponsible dog owners will continue to disregard the rules. This is at the expense of responsible
2114	I have a small dog who loves to play in Chrissy Field with me. I so much enjoy seeing her run and prance in the sand. She deserves to run free, as do you and I. I carry a bag at all times and respect the property to be shared with others. I even pick up for sloppy guardians. It is a crime not to let dogs run and to keep them tethered at all times. My "child" is an ATT dog and serves this community. I think she should be served as well. Please do not restrict here exercise.
2120	San Francisco is a city for everyone, that includes our dogs! I personally know too many people, including myself and my business, who will be negatively impacted by this unfair plan. Give pups a chance!
2123	the majority of people around me who have canine children are loving responsible parents, already very restricted as to where they might or might not take their best friend. this unhealthy reality makes it an even greater challenge to keep "man's best friend" healthy and happy. do we want to be guilty of neglecting such loyal living beings? these domesticated beings not only live amongst us, but we are responsible for their wellbeing and growth through their lifetimes. i believe it would be greatly unjust to further limit their access to the outdoors.
2124	Please ask the GGNRA to adopt the 1979 pet policy
2125	Dogs and people in US need exercise. Look at Ohio and Texas. Few dog parks and overweight dogs and folks. That is very costly to a community. Thanks for reading.
2138	Please reconsider this draconian and unnecessary proposal. The vast majority of dog owners are caring, law-abiding, and the socialization of dogs off-leash in open spaces is a good thing for the humans and animals alike. We are already far too limited where our well-behaved canine friends can romp free. We owe them a better life than what is being proposed.
2140	submitted separately to GGNRA website
2145	We don't even have a dog, but many of our friends do and we love watching them enjoy the open space. Please don't take this away from us.
2156	To be consistent with the enabling legislation, I believe the original 1979 Pet Policy with provisions for the maintenance of historical recreation for properties added after 1979 should be codified as a Section 7 Special Regulation.
2169	Please let my walk my dog at Funston off leash. I love walking with him there.
2172	please find a way to work this out for dogs and people
2173	The "dog people" of San Francisco have decreased the crime rate at The off leash area. Dog People are aware of activities around them and speak up when they see something wrong. We police our own and pick up when others do not. We are an asset, not a detriment to the GGNRA.
2174	Oppose Proposed Changes
2183	Our pets are like members of our families. As long as we are responsible dog owners who pick up after our pets, there should be no problems.
2184	I am a professional dog walker and strongly feel the industry needs to be regulated. Yet the few off leash areas we have are crucial for our livelihoods and for the well being of the dogs. This said, many dog walking companies abuse the off leash areas propose a limit of 6-8 dogs per registered, professional dog walker (all professionals must be registered/licensed). The professional dog walker's must pay a use fee which will help pay for the regulations of group size and care of the dogs. I am shocked by how many services leave their dogs in their vehicles while they walk another group.
2188	We should be able to share our open space with all of earth's inhabitants
2190	Please do not take away this recreational area for people and animals.
2194	dogs need to run and play w/ their buddies. Many times on "city trails" I've almost been tripped by dogs on leashes..owners' faults, yes..but still not fair to dogs. Here they're trying to shorten leashes as a woman died after a fall caused by tripping on a leash!

#	Comments for consideration
2195	These areas are enjoyed by the ENTIRE bay area, not just the city!
2203	The poor science around this proposal contracts the President's mandate for science as the basis of decision making.
2208	Having the possibility to enjoy areas like Crissy Field and Fort Funston off leash is so valuable to me and my dog! It's hard to live in the city, surrounded by concrete, and these spaces make life worthwhile. I support GGNRA financially (as a member) precisely because of the recreational opportunities it offers in the form of off leash space and "room to play". We go every weekend, and I participate in clean ups and other member events as well. It would be a shame to see these off leash rules changed at the expense of responsible dog owners. If I couldn't go and play with my dog, I'm sad to say that I wouldn't go at all and would be likely to rescind my financial support of GGNRA and my membership as well.
2215	Responsible off leash dog walking areas must be maintained for the health and well being of pets and pet owners.
2216	Please keep areas open for dogs of leash!
2217	The plan is necessarily restrictive.
2225	I walk these trails every day with my dogs and they are a very valuable part of my life here in Marin. So much happiness happens there every day. In a world with a lot of strife, promote happiness wherever you can!
2226	Please do not pass this plan.
2229	This is an egregious infringement on our rights to use our land!
2231	The NPS has NO scientific evidence to support their theories as to what impact dogs have on the environment.
2245	It is very important that off-leash areas be available to dogs and people in the GGNRA
2252	I am a law-abiding tax-paying positive contributor to the local community we call the bay area. I am also a graduate of Gunn High School in Palo Alto, an alum of UC Davis and a resident of Redwood City with employment tied to Silicon Valley. I am also a responsible dog owner! My Vizsla named "Diamond" is a graduate of numerous dog training classes and a dog show champion. She has been socialized to the maximum. She loves everyone and is a threat to nobody. She is also very well behaved under voice control. But, she needs off-leash exercise! For this reason, we visit Fort Funston weekly. It's heaven on earth for us. We visit with a smile treating the park and all visitors with respect. We pick up after ourselves and others. We always leave the park as good as we found it or better. So, please do not punish the responsible dog owners by reducing the precious few off-leash parks found on the peninsula. Please keep Fort Funston off-leash legal!
2255	Our dogs are great. It's people that need to be on leash.
2258	Dianne Feinstein, an old schoolmate chum, knows this is not right.
2262	San Francisco is not family friendly for those with an average income, so many of us have dogs instead of children. Many of us can also not afford to buy a home with a yard, so we live in apartments, condos and rental housing. As taxpayers, we pay for the funding of these areas so that we can use them with our families. Please don't remove the areas where we can spend time with our "fur kids." Dogs and people should all be able to share open space together.
2265	These changes would be terrible for our whole family. We walk at Crissy Field, Fort Funston and Ocean Beach at least weekly, in the off-leash areas. This is our primary outdoor recreation as a family, and eliminating or limiting off-leash areas would be so sad for our family. Enclosed dog parks and leashing do not provide the same enjoyment. And I have never witnessed off-leash dogs creating a conflict with other users of these spaces.
2266	Please consider responsible dog owners!
2268	I oppose GGNRA Draft Dog Management. I practically live at Crissy Field and never are there more than 10 dogs in the entire area at one time.
2280	Tired dogs are happy dogs
2290	With all of the property tax we pay we can't even walk our dog's in our parks. That makes a lot of sense. Not!!!
2293	Say Yes to Doggy fun
2297	The dogs respect the area more than all the party people that hang out at night starting large bonfires, drinking and leaving all of their trash behind. This just doesn't make any sense and as a home owner with a dog (I pay a lot of property taxes to live here) I reject this proposal. People live in this neighborhood to have close access to the beach for their family including dogs!

#	Comments for consideration
2310	Fort Funston has been a off leash area going back years. Most of those years I have found myself and my dogs the only one who would be out in that cold area. Few other than dog walkers and was the only place in SF that you dog can get a good run for 20 or more minutes. This is very important to most dogs to get free running time in a place free from cars . There are many location in and around SF that walkers, bikers etc. can go. The GGNRA is not a private national park and park of the area must continue to allow dogs off leash.
2312	i dont agree with this!
2316	This Land is Our Land, all of us, including our dogs, so please do not restrict our use of these areas. Humans need this type of recreation as much as their dogs. Dog parks are not an answer. They are breeding grounds for disease and fleas (shared water bowls, for instance), and are used by only a minority of people. They afford no exercise for owners and lots of dogs are not interested in playing with others. Without the continued use of these open areas, the quality of life for us all is diminished. There is absolutely no adverse impact on the environment by allowing dogs with responsible owners to be off-leash Management of open land has taken on Orwellian proportions. We have co-existed quite well for thousands of years. There are situations which are appropriate to "manage" and others which are not. These off-leash areas and areas now being targeted for no dogs at all, do not cause any harm to the environment or other people using them. .
2320	WE only have a very small portion of open space available for people and their beloved COMPANIONS, everyone else has something like 96\% of the land where they can go without seeing one dog. This is so unfair and I am so sick of fighting this.
2326	What's next? Are they going to tell us our cats cannot go outside?
2332	GGNRA is a fantastic off lease area for dogs who live in the city and neighboring areas. To lose it would be a shame.
2338	Please give this petition serious consideration. The outcome will have a dramatic effect on quality of life for a significant number of people, families and their dogs.
2340	Ridiculous to close off the GGNRA to dogs!
2344	animals need a place to have proper exercicse
2346	Oppose GGNRA
2358	I oppose the dog plan! Please don't take away our wonderful places to be with our dogs!
2360	Don't Tread On Me--Or My Dog
2361	Please let our pet walk off leach.
2366	dogs are the new children!! we love them every bit as much!
2369	One in four San Franciscans have dogs. We must provide open space for them!
2373	PLEASE do not do this to us, San Francisco is a city renowned for its love of dogs!
2387	this shouldn't be allowed
2389	I am a dog walker and I think that everyone is respectul at Fort Funston, Crissy Field, and Ocean Beach. I have NEVER seen any issues. Dogs, like Kids need to be able to run around and get excercise. Instead of creating a problem, give us a solution. Give us a substantial amount of land so that we don't have to worry about tickets.
2391	Unfair to all, Dog Owners, Dog Walkers, dogs
2394	The GGNRA is a a natural wonder and it is one of the things that make San Francisco great. The ability to have dogs in these area is treasured by most Bay Area residents and dog owners. Please don't let a few vocal people ban dogs from these areas.
2396	THIS PLAN IS RIDICULOUS
2408	There are owners and dogs who enjoy the trails and do not abuse it... do not let the 80\% of the people who are good lose what the 20\% ruin.
2409	I love walking my 9 lb dog offleash at the beach. It is her FAVORITE spot in the world . . .
2416	People have dogs. Deal with it.
2418	this is the ONLY off leash area, other than dog parks in san mateo county.
2422	leash teenagers instead
2423	The ability to walk my well behaved dog offleash was part of the reason why I moved back to San Francisco from Oakland.
2424	dogs love the freedom and San Francisco is so the right place to have that!

#	Comments for consideration
2432	I have walked the beaches and coastline for nearing 50 years with my dogs. I have met thousands of people..Some with dogs..some without. The mental health of so many lonely older people and the chance for the dogs to get running without the restriction of a leash is imperative. The amount of "incidents" is very very small and does not even equate to the amount of incidents I have seen of kids throwing rocks and bothering wildlife. We pick up the beaches, take other people's trash and are 100% nature lovers and respect the environment. This would be an absolute travesty and would create more problems with the dogs pent up anxiety and barking and stress in other areas. Please take this into consideration. I can ALWAYS be reached for comment.
2437	Please protect our access to these areas! I would hate to think that San Francisco might become a place that does not welcome dog owners and their companions. Seems to me an enormous amount of energy has been put into creating a very lucrative and meaningful industry around pet ownership. Why would San Francisco put this in jeopardy now? Dogs are a very important part of our lives. And part of the magic of owning a dog in San Francisco is having access to these off-leash areas. Please don't take this away from us. It matters enormously.
2441	Ê
2446	The GGNRA is a beautiful place and it is one of the things that made my visit to San Francisco great. The ability to have dogs in these areas is treasured by more than only Bay Area residents and dog owners. Please don't let a few vocal people ban dogs from these areas
2448	It is people that are the problem not the dogs
2454	We all need open space to explore
2457	Everyone is respectful and has control of their dogs. Why are you making this an issue?
2464	Increase the penalty for not cleaning up after all dogs. And make the fine hurt enough to help offset the cost. Severely punish with HUGE fines and even quarantines of 30 days or more for unruly dogs or vicious dogs. Help everyone with the problem of bad dog owners!
2465	I oppose the GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan. Please keep our Parks on an off-leash status for dog walking with the same restrictions as we currently have, 1% of our parks for off-leash dog walking and allow our community to enjoy our walks with our dogs. I do not want any more restrictions and believe that this is infringing on my personal use and wellbeing. It will cost our parks a million dollars of wasted funds and take away from our rights as responsible dog owners to take our daily walks with our beloved companions.. I choose to take my walks with whom I want as a companion and I choose my loveable McNabb/Greyhound mix RoxyBoots! I am a voter and plan to follow this to the very end., The impact it will have on our community will be tremendous and am afraid that many dogs will end up in shelters and put to sleep due to overcrowding, thank you.
2466	99% is already not dog friendly. GGNRA is supposed to be an urban national park with multiuse. Please keep this dog friendly
2471	There are few enough places to responsibly exercise our dogs - let's keep the few we have! Thank you for your consideration~
2474	Please let our dogs continue to enjoy the places where they can run free with other dogs. We can all work together to make it work if you let us.
2477	This is a very important petition!
2482	I lived in SF for over 20 years, and spent hours each week at Ft. Funston with my dogs. These spaces need to be available to everyone -- including people for whom hiking with their dogs is their main form of recreation. I live in the South Bay now, but still get up to the City regularly to go to Ft. Funston with my dog. Please, can we all be reasonable about the responsible use of these public spaces! Thank you.
2484	Dogs need exercise OFF leash, please don't do this-- there are no problems, your creating them!!
2486	PLEASE UNDERSTAND OUR NEEDS
2489	I frequently visit the area with my dog
2494	I am strongly opposed to this plan. Please don't take our 1%!
2497	I oppose the GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan. Let our four footed friends have some space to play

#	Comments for consideration
2506	People mess up parks and streets, not our pets
2509	I oppose GGNRA plan
2516	Please help us keep our pets happy!
2524	dont take away off-leash areas! please!
2525	Thanks for providing off leash sanctuary for our beloved dog companions.
2537	As humans we create a quality of life (spa , gym, etc) for ourselves. As a responsible dog owner I do the same.
2538	keep the parks off leash
2542	please save the few open spaces left for dogs
2544	I oppose the GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan, I believe that this is unneccasry and a complete waste of money. I want the Parks to remain the same as it is now. I am a senior citizen and my companion is my dog Roxy Boots. She is a rescue dog and is my best friend, I am a diabetic and have chronic asthma and when I have trouble breathing she comforts me and calms me down, and she can sense if my sugar levels are off. She is a McNab/ Greyhound mix and needs open space to run. Stop this now and put our hard earned money to better use. Our parks need more facilities such as clean water fountains, clean restrooms, frequent garbage pick-ups, and removal of dead birds and mammals that are polluting our beaches,, thank you.
2547	If natural areas are closed to people and dogs who else will use it?
2548	Please preserve our most joyous doggie play date place!
2552	Don't kill the love vibe of SF. Don't kill what makes this city special.
2561	PLEASE do not take these rights away!
2565	Please keep current leash laws. Deeply oppose modifying the current leash laws for SF.
2570	Banning dogs entirely from the GGNRA will adversely affect dog owners and non-dog owners alike in the Bay Area
2574	We have been walking our pets for years without incident. Please allow us the freedom to continue doing so.
2575	I am a voter and a campaign contributor. I will only support leaders in our community that oppose the GGNRA DDMP.
2577	Ban people they cause all the problems.
2578	Please keep GGNRA an off-leash area for dogs!
2580	Off Leash!!
2582	Please don't take away my dog's happy place!
2584	Please don't take this away from us!
2588	we have so few places to let our dogs run free; people know when they visit certain places dogs will be there and they can go elsewhere - without dogs.
2593	This is California, are you kidding me that in one of the most liberal cities, we cannot even have a small square of land to walk man's best friend?! Provide us our deserved freedom, NOW!
2594	Some parks must be leash free to let dogs do what they do --- run and play
2598	Please don't close the last 1% of the GGNRA to dogs. My dog Cody LOVES his walks!!!
2600	The DEIS flies in the face of the recreational purpose of this land.
2619	I love going to Fort Funston! Being off-leash makes it possible, and I don't want that right and privilege to be taken away from me or my dog!
2644	We love and respect our parks!
2646	It would be so sad for there to be no where in the city to watch our dogs chase balls and sticks and play. It would be like a prison to them.
2650	Although I don't live near the GGNRA, I'm in the area a lot with my dog and horse together; which makes for an awesome day
2651	dogs make this a better world, keeps people calm. Give us somewhere to take our dogs
2657	Healthy, friendly dogs need to exercise - the best is off leash where they can really run. Their companionship gives so much to us. We need to give this freedom to them.

#	Comments for consideration
2658	As a dog owner and nature conservationist, I understand the importance of preservation. So I remember that when walking my dog off-lead. I pick up his feces, I diligently keep myself and dog on the provided path--perhaps more so than folks who do not have dogs. But most importantly, I love being able to be outdoors and roam free and it's important for me to have my well behaved dog enjoy these same privileges! Many Thanks.
2660	please give our dogs recreation areas too!
2666	It is important for the dogs and their guardians that these spaces stay available for off-leash usage.
2667	This draft has not scientific evidence. The current limited designated areas are for urban citizens. Please visit these areas and experience the cohesiveness
2671	save the park for our puppies!
2674	UNNECESSARY OVERREACH! SHARE! BEHAVE! FINE \$! DON'T "RESTRICT!"
2675	This is the reason I live in California we go to the beaches here every weekend I will move back to MI if this passes, please do not take away this privilege
2682	keep the beaches open to recreational dog walkers
2686	Dog people pay taxes and have rights, too!
2687	I have been to Ft. Funston with my dog many times and truly believe that this land should stay as an off-leash dog park. The concerns voiced by the GGNRA are unfounded and not backed up by data or fact. Please preserve this land as an open space for dogs and their owners.
2691	Remember dogs are people too!
2699	save the trails for everyone
2703	I believe that enforcement of existing regulations and permitting for professional dog walkers is a much better option.
2707	I think dog walkers should be restricted but patrons of the park should not.
2715	Dogs are important family members and dog owners should have rights to open space access with their dogs
2719	LET THE DOGS ROAM LEASH FREE
2725	Shannon's Pet Care
2726	let the dogs roam
2728	After reading the plan, and reviewing it with two park rangers at the Fort Mason Town Hall, it is obvious the GGNRA proposal consists of allegations against dog owners with no substantive studies or proof. Therefore the GGNRA proposal to severely restrict dog owners in 21 of our urban recreational parks is completely unjustified.
2731	my dog needs to run!!
2733	keep Fort Funston for dogs!
2735	Very few off leash areas left
2740	let the dogs run
2751	Dogs need to have places where they can run freely off leash.
2758	Dogs are an important part of many peoples lives and having open spaces to run and play is vital to their health and happiness (just like for humans.)
2759	please don't take away access to Muir Beach - maybe divide like Limantour?
2760	We must retain open lands & spaces for pets
2768	I love Norton the dog!
2771	let them walk dogs
2779	I live in this high priced area to use Crissy & Ft. Funston with my dog! PLEASE dont take our everyday life away!!
2780	I have been walking dogs at Crissy Field for 30 years, it would be such a shame to see that end.
2783	Please keep Chrissy Field and Muir Beach open to dogs!
2786	Most dog owners are responsible people.
2787	doggies need their walkies!
2792	let's have a few areas for dog owners...We are taxpayers and citizens too.
2797	thank you

#	Comments for consideration
2815	Recent evidence places wolf domestication at 135,000 BC, meaning we share evolutionary heritage with dogs. In fact, humans and dogs conquered the globe, together. They made us human as much as we made them dogs. To prevent us from enjoying the outdoors together (and unfettered) is to constrict both creatures' essential natures. See, dogs manage to bridge that narrow gap between wild and domesticated, the very same gap that zoo humans ignore at their own peril. But that can't happen inside tiny San Francisco apartments or in crowded dog parks.
2817	We need more open space for dogs, not less!!!
2819	San jose
2825	Please don't make funston off limits.
2832	keep what limited off leash dog areas we have available in the bay area
2840	Please help responsible dog owners continue to use our public parks!
2844	If it ain't broke, don't fix it!
2846	Let us keep our 1\%!
2847	This is a crucial public resource for me and my dog.
2848	This 1\% of land reserved for off leash dogs has such a huge effect!
2867	please don't take away our off leash areas; there are so few places as it is to enjoy the outdoors with our animal companions. Most dog owners are deeply responsible, respectful of the environment & are advocates of protecting the environment
2868	The GGNRA parks are the only places I can take my 2 yr old son AND my dog to play at the same time. The leash laws would have the effect of my son not getting to enjoy the parks as often.
2871	Dogs need to be walked, and they love parks, and I love dogs.
2872	I support th No leash law.
2882	Native San Franciscan who is very unhappy with the changes in the park. Let's keep it as it was originally intended and stop piling on the restrictions.
2885	Off-leash recreation areas are essential to the enjoyment of thousands of citizens as a way of enjoying nature in a busy city life. environment reports are not convincing.
2888	you must be kidding! throw us a bone!
2893	San Francisco has been given 1\% of the GGNRA land for recreation. A portion of that land has already been fenced off for "natrual areas". How much more does the GGNARA need? 1/3 of the households in San Francisco have dogs and that number is continuing to grow. These dogs need a place to run and play to be properly exercised. Reducing those areas and putting them in specific locations that will cause more problems is a divicive measure by the GGNRA. San Francisco is a large urban area and that 1\% is very important to all dog owners.
2897	Healthy, well adjusted dogs need a place to run and exercise. Please don't take away the last 1\% of park that they have to do that.
2900	My mother in law goes dog walking every day as part of her healthy life style routine. Removing this from her will have a tremendous impact on her well being. We are younger but nonetheless, use the dog walking as an excuse to do some exercise while having fun wit our loved dog
2901	please stop this!!!
2902	I am a responsible dog owner and in my experience dog owners take care of the areas, EVEN to the point of picking up other people's trash and garbage. We pay plenty of taxes and the parks are better cared for when there are dog owners than people with children for instance, and trash parks and ruin areas. I've seen cars burned in parks, and old carpet, sofas. Who does that not people with dogs off leash-that's for sure. Do some research and you will see for yourself. Watch how teenagers and othe throw cans, bottles around, and get drunk and break glass. I have had to pick up a lot of that, and it's not MY garbage but I do because we value the parks. We are out with our dogs everyday and we notice stuff others don't. GGNRA doesn't have much o an education policy in place. You just want to bust dogs off leash. THEY are not the problem. Thank you.
2918	I am 71 years old; exercise keeps me young and keeps my dogs and me healthy. As a 35 yr. resident of SF I rely on the GGNRA lands to provide walks in nature that raise my spirits and my appreciation of our natural world.
2928	Give our loving animals a break, please!

#	Comments for consideration
2935	my dog likes being free and harms no one
2939	Dogs have always been part of this city. San Francisco is so dog friendly there are drinking fountains for dogs everywhere and water bowls on every busy street. Dogs need a place to run and play and interact. Taking away the parks or leashing dogs is not a solution, because it creates a new problem. Dogs caged up in small apartments, on city streets or confined to small areas of city parks where the sod quickly become muddy and disgusting due to overcrowding. Dogs on leashes don't interact and behave the same as dogs off leashes. Unleashed dogs can run and play without their owners having to keep up and exercise the whole time as well. Many dogs will not get enough exercise if not allowed to run and play offleash. Don't take away SF dog's backyards, the off leash areas of GGNRA. Fix the problems by imposing high fines on those that don't pickup their dogs waste or dpg walkers that bring too many dogs to these areas. Don't punish all the responsible dog owners who live, work and pay taxes in this city.
2943	This park is for the people and our pets to play and enjoy. Please don't change that.
2946	Please don't limit my quaility of life in San FRancisco. This is a very expensive city to live in and I work hard to stay here. By limiting or taking away the dog walk areas in GG Park, you are making a case for me to leave this city and take my very high ta dollars elsewhere. No one wants that!
2947	I love walking my dog in the GGNRA. Please don't take away that joy.
2953	Not a dog owner, but want to support them! Please don't take away the few remaining places that dog owners can responsibly have pets off leash.
2954	please don't limit outdoor safe spaces for dogs
2963	would not be fair,dogs need to run
2973	Please do not mess this up and let this happen
2981	Dogs need to run! Please don't take away the 1\% we have. My dog is my partner in my exercise routine- she's the reason I get out there and enjoy the parks :) The friendliest dogs are the ones that get that opportunity to run free off their leashes and find their smile- how sad to even consider taking that away....the reality is that it's part of the reason I live here...it's for my pet and my kids.
2983	I would move out of The City if this were to pass.
2984	If this passes, I say we vote out every politician that allowed this to happen!
2986	Please preserve leash-free areas that have been used by dogs and their human companions for decades.
2993	dogs need proper exercise!
2996	parks were given to federal park system with the usage by local residents with their pets
2997	Please allow for some "dogs off leash" area's !
3003	Our dogs need some place to run and burn off energy on a daily basis.
3004	I have two Retrievers and have enjoyed the GGNRA for my five years here. My dogs are a hug part of my life and we hike all over the GGNRA in Marin County. I have been a resident in Marin for 5 years now and couldn't imagine how I would exercise my dog without taking them on the regular hikes that are provided through the GGNRA. Furthermore the effect of closing the Entire GGNRA to dog would create a severe log jamb at the few and far between dog parks that are now only used by people with dogs that are constantly problematic because their owners cant control their own pets. Keeping the citizens or Marin County from hiking their dogs on the vast amount of trails through out the GGNRA would be debilitating for those who enjoy the privilege
3005	Please save my Job
3006	Ricdiculously bad proposal and unfair to dog owners and non-dog owners who appreciate the sight of canines running wild!
3010	I think well behaved dogs and well behaved owners need a place to run off leash. If either fails to mind their manners the privilege should be revoked.
3011	The dog restrictions are unnecessary. This is the ultimate cruelty to defenseless animals
3024	this would make me want to move cities if it goes through
3030	I have read all portions of the draft that apply to me. They appear designed to fail and are cruel to dogs because they prevent proper exercise.

#	Comments for consideration
3039	I have dogs no kids and prefer using my taxes to benifit owners and dogs
3042	The dog owners of San Francisco are extremely responsible, our dogs need off leash areas. How about devoting your energy to other serious areas of concern, such as crime and cyclists who do not obey the rules of the road in this wonderful City.?
3043	dogs need some freedom
3044	Stay dog friendly & off leash, please!!!
3048	another move to limit our freedom
3052	Please don't ban dogs, they make everything so much more enjoyable
3060	Living at the base of Mount Tamalpais, I am on the mountain 3-4 times during the week with my Rhodesian Ridgeback. Dogs in the park are NOT the problem. Dogs living in a metropolitan area that have nowhere to run free are a huge problem. The property values in our community are high because of our proximity to the parks. The local residents bear the burden of traffic EVERY weekend due to the multitude of visitors, from all over the world, to our local parks. Please do not further limit the already severe limitations on dogs in our local national parks.
3063	Dogs need to run. They are already heavily restricted. The proposed plan seems to me draconian.
3064	Emphatically Oppose Plan
3066	Dogs need to have some space, too!
3070	Please offer legit off-leash access to responsible dog guardians. We can all safely share these beautiful parks and beaches.
3075	Save our furry friends rights!
3080	This plan is a counter to stated goals of original agreement
3094	This is an important part of the dog world. We ALL love taking our dogs and friends there. To close it to our canine companion would hurt owners and trainers. We ALL like to see well rounded and socialized dogs - GGNRA allows us the opportunity to make SURE our dogs are just that - PLEASE do not restrict off leash canine use of GGNRA
3100	My dogs are well trained off leash. I can't take their one freedom away from them.
3104	Please don't discriminate against responsible dog owners
3113	MANAGED USE OF OUR PARKS IS GOOD FOR ALL!!!! TAKING USE AWAY FROM MAN'S BEST FRIEND IS ARBITRARY AND UNAMERICAN. IF DOGS ARE HARMFUL TO THE PARKS GIVE US GOOD REASON AND TALK. EQUESTRIANS ARE A REAL ISSUE W/ THE OBVIOUS EROSION. MAYBE THEIR BAND SHOULD BE ON THE FOREFRONT.
3114	Disrespectful humans do more damage by littering.
3122	Because of crissy field in particular I have had dogs in my life since 1988 dos need to play and socialize and on leashes this is just not possible. Dog owners who take the time to exercise their dogs are responsible .
3127	Use some common sense, save money. The study of this latest fiasco must have cost thousands. This is not the way to treat man's/woman's best friend.
3135	We need more off leash areas, not fewer.
3137	i'd like just SOME of my tax dollars going to something that benefits ME!
3139	caring dog owners who control their dogs and pick up their waste should have wonderful places to let them run free.
3142	Dogs rule
3144	Enough unnecessary rules. Let the dogs run free!
3146	To create a good path, find where the grass is already worn. To create a good Dog Management Plan, find what already works and go with that.
3149	Please consider carefully the impact the new rules would have on recreation of dog owners and non dog owners, as well as dogs.
3150	Please save Fort Funston as a dog and dog lovers' haven/heaven-on-earth!
3151	responsible pet owners treat the trails of the GGNRA with respect because it is our home area. This management plan is a blanket statement that punishes all for the irresponsible actions of the very few.
3153	I am signing on behald of Tuch, Boise, and Rufus, who play everyday in the GGNRA and would be harmed by implementation of the Plan.

#	Comments for consideration
3154	We are responsible tax-paying voters who love our dogs and believe we are entitled to enjoy the mere 1\% of open space allotted to us. The GGNRA's so-called "science" is specious at best. We consider ourselves environmentalists, but we think the parks are meant to be enjoyed - and shared - respectfully. Why is the GGNRA spending/wasting our tax dollars on marginalizing us and our animal companions? Now THAT is squandering precious resources!
3161	Inundating city parks with unexercised dogs is not a solution. If people want to enjoy beaches with leashed dogs- there are 95\% of other areas that require leashes already. For the environment, the children who are sledding down the protected areas cause more damage and are the only species I ever see in the prohibited nature preserve areas. Address the real problems using real solutions- too many alternatives to limit the few resources for off-leash dog exercise.
3162	Even before I had dogs I enjoyed the presence of dogs at Fort Funston, and I have many other friends without dogs who do to
3164	It is very important for my dog to have off leash exercise and my dog walker if highly trained and very responsible.
3166	Responsible dog owners should be able to let their dogs exercise off leash.
3167	AS A WIDOW, I AM SAFER WALKING ON THE BEACHES WITH MY DOGS.
3172	One of the greatest assets of the city of San Francisco is the off leash parks. The city will not be the same without them and certainly has far less appeal for me.
3179	Seeing my dog freely enjoy GGNRA is part of what makes GGNRA valuable to me..
3180	Please keep our dog friendly options open, they need their exercise too!
3184	We need off-leash areas near beaches!!
3189	no way , time to put the leash on the politicians
3197	This is a TERRIBLE plan and should NOT go into effect.
3198	Dogs are an historic emblem of companionship and hunting. Room off leash should be allowed.
3205	The science does not support this ban.
3214	People with dogs should not be excluded from parks. We pay taxes too!
3221	dogs are the animals who do the most for humans--they are so selfless. No other animals are prohibited on trails!
3222	Oppose GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan
3227	I strongly oppose the management plan! I think there needs to be compromises made. The current plan is complete departure from status quo.
3228	San Francisco, CA 94118
3241	opposed
3243	opposed
3248	Please don't do this. Hiking is more fun with my dog Molly next to me. I cant imagine living in Marin and NOT being able to enjoy it with my "best friend"
3249	dogs need parks too!!
3252	I've already left many on the site
3254	Our dogs are respectful and need their open space!
3256	Most dog owners are responsible. Maybe limit the number of dogs per person, make money off licenses, but don't let a few government officials take away our beloved parks. I vote and pay tons of taxes.
3259	sf should take back Crissy/Ft Funston
3261	Please keep the areas that currently have dogs off-leash for the many, many people and dogs who use them and need them.
3263	More parks in urban areas should be required to allow dogs. Dogs and people need exercise! Like bikes they deserve areas.
3264	I want to be able to walk my kids with my dogs, like my mother did with me.
3280	San Rafael
3281	Don't we have bigger fish to fry....oh, like, education?
3282	Will you remove humans next?
3284	This will lead to more dogs in city parks, which are already overcrowded.
3286	Your dog management plan is discriminating.

#	Comments for consideration
3288	please don't do this
3290	please keep dog management as is
3292	What the hell are you thinking taking our dog walks away
3293	Put Ken Salazar on a leash
3296	leash Ken Salazar
3297	pls don't take this away from our dogs and us
3299	We MUST allow dogs in the park!!!
3301	The parks are suppose to be for everyone's enjoyment - two legged and four legged alike.
3303	This is crazy. So we have to protect the Mountain Lions, Cayotes, wolves badgers and other predator animals that destroy our dogs every year but we can't walk our dogs in open space???
3304	Please continue to provide off-leash areas for our beloved dogs. Ft. Funston is one of the happiest places one earth, both for the dogs who love the sand under their feet, the wonderful smells of the land and the ocean, and the interactions with all the new and old furry friends, but also for the humans who love them and delight in seeing them able to run, play and frolic in a safe and healthy environment.
3305	Please don't do this! Dogs need place to be off leash. The owners for the most part are responsible
3313	Dogs need the space too!
3314	Save the dog parks and beaches!!
3315	Please continue to allow dogs at fort funsten. They love it!
3317	Please allow me to continue enjoying these spaces with my dog.. There are so many places I can't take her and so few that I can. She and I value these dog-friendly spaces more than you can imagine!
3319	Access to dog-friendly nature parks is only natural! Animal companions are vital to the well-being of so many people, and sharing outdoor experiences is good for their health and ours. Please protect parks for humans and their four-legged companions. Thank you.
3320	breathe deeply.
3325	DOGS RULE!
3326	Leave things the way they have been.
3330	Please don't take the joy of being off leash away from our beloved pets
3337	Please do not restrict dogs. They need exercise and space to run.
3341	Please don't make life miserable for dogs and their owners!
3350	GGNRA was created as an urban park, with multi-use functions. 99% of the land is already restricted from our use, please do not take away that last 1% There are thousands of acres of GGNRA (beaches, trails, etc.) for people to enjoy who prefer not being around dogs—places where dogs are not allowed. One in three Bay Area households has a dog. Of these, only one-half use GGNRA for dog walking. Golden Gate National Recreation was established by Congress for use by urban residents for daily recreation, not as a protected wilderness. Please protect our rights by opposing this management plan.
3352	Not all dog owners are poor stewards of the environment. Many of us are careful about keeping our pets out of sensitive area I hope we can reach a compromise.
3361	We are all part of the whole
3363	I have no kids, own my home and have 2 dogs. I pay over 12000.00 in taxes. I should have the right to take my two small dogs where ever I please within reason. The beach is FAR within reason
3369	Data from GGNRA does not support restricting dogs for safety or environmental reasons. The NPS is attempting to restrict people recreating, using dogs as a scapegoat. The new goal of NPS is Restoration & Preservation, even in a major urban area. This plan ignores reality, scientific findings and defies logic.
3373	Give the parks back to the city of SF

#	Comments for consideration
3381	We started going to Crissy Field to watch the dogs play and run on the beach before we even had a dog. We finally got a dog. We trained him. We took him to the beach. We discovered Ft Funston. We took him there. I swear he smiled every time we took him to one of these places where he got to discover on his own and create his own adventures. We continued to go to Ft Funston once I became pregnant, and now I have a 9 month old baby. Ft Funston continues to be a place I now not only take our dog but take our baby as well. We go for family hikes on the trails, explore, and it makes for a fantastic morning. I can't fathom not having this freedom for my well-trained dog. We've never had a problem with any other dog in these places so much so I have no fear in bringing my baby along for the ride. Please consider the families who have lived and grown up here and what you would be taking away from us and our ability to explore nature.
3384	The dogs have rights to
3394	I do not have a dog. I go hiking frequently and have found people with dogs to be responsible users of parks.
3410	Do not change the current rules at the dog parks!
3411	Oakland
3414	We have to keep our Dog Parks!!
3430	Most people who use these facilities are dog owners. You must be defeating your own mission by restrictng off-leash dogs. Or you have a faulty mission. will be used less.
3440	I oppose the GGNRA Draft Dog Mgmt Plan
3441	Keep the spaces open!!!
3447	If ever there was a case of "if it ain't broke, don't fix it" this is it! Leave things the way they are!!!
3448	Problem not our dogs it's people!
3450	As a firefighter for San Francisco, ft. Funston is where i'm able to take my dog and my self to clear my mind from work related stress (i.e. Death of an infant - at that time of an incident, I keep my focus on saving that infants life, the families around you screaming/crying and I just have to block all that out. When I get off the next day, it is when it takes me. I find myself in tears when driving or just sitting around with my dog. This is where Ft. Funston is useful to me and my dog. I'm able to walk along the cliffs with my dog off leash and takes my mind away.
3459	Please allow Fort Funston to remain "off-leash." Have never seen a single problem. It is pure heaven there.
3461	Thanks for your consideration!
3463	I love walking my dog at Ft. Funston please don't take it away.
3466	this is much too extreme. education and peer pressure should be used to enforce existing dog laws. a "silent minority" are forcing this through. The Park Service having been tring to do this for years. They need to respect the tax paying residents who pay for the parks. These plans are completely out of step with the majority of responsible dog owners and bay area residents who respect, honor and need the parks. there is no compelling environment evidence to support this extreme step by the NP! Be reasonable.
3470	With all the tax dollars we spend each year, I am amazed that this issue of dogs being off leash in designated areas, is even an issue. To restrict, (man's best friend), is not only unkind, but also another way of controlling interests by other people who do not share the same ideas. But it is all of our monies that make anything happen. This includes the GGNRA. Remember how the Indians were treated, and the reservations got smaller and smaller. And now, in this day in age, we cannot find ample room for our dogs to run and exercise in a limited open area? It goes against the very fiber of a free society.
3471	these parks are one of the reasons that make northern califonia great.my dogs and I release mental and physical stress in these areas.
3472	Please do not pursue initiatives like this that limit park access for our 4-legged family members!
3474	Please don't not PASS THIS! This will only increase use of the areas left for dogs in other areas like Bernal Heights. The reason live in the city is for the sanity of escaping to Funston with my dog. This area in not a national park, but and urban area where the people here need space to live for their children and pets.
3483	I, along with my partner, 2 dogs and 2 turtles oppose the Draft Dog Management Plan. We believe that sharing this world on an equal basis with all of God's creatures great and small will make us all appreciate life, our Natural World and all of our Fellow Man more fully.

#	Comments for consideration
3484	Safe space for dogs makes for happy citizens!
3492	I've been walking my dogs in the "park" since before it became a park. They have had no ecological effect on the park at all,
3493	dogs and their owners need to have beautiful places to enjoy our city
3495	space is very limited to begin with, please let our dogs have a place to run!
3504	Please keep the dogs free and happy at the off leash parks, they are angels, and it would be very sad and harmful not to let them run free where they can exercise and play and get exercise. Thank you for your consideration
3511	Let the well-behaved dogs run! It's healthy for them & their people.
3512	I think this rule is way too restrictive and should not be passed.
3514	Why such a restriction? SF's parks are perfect for dog play. They cause less destruction than humans.
3517	bringing the dog in always leads me to spend some \$ while on an outing. Im thinking the business owners like this...and the ci like the taxes. Come to SF, bring cash, walk dog.
3518	don't take away the parks from the dogs, there are so few places dogs are allowed, we can NOT afford to lose these precious recreation spaces .
3538	Both sides need to compromise for the common good.
3545	PLEASE find a way to stop this absurd and unfair plan. These are most of the (very few) options we have to take our dogs to ru free and they are some of the greatest spots you can imagine to do so. I'd urge you to go visit and see for yourself the limited impact dogs make before voting for this plan.
3552	Drastically reducing off-leash areas only hurts the well-behaved dogs and their owners. Existing laws about dog safety and clean-up should be enforced against the violaters, who won't follow the proposed restrictions, either.
3555	After a hard day of work, being at Ft. Funston with our dog is ours and our dog's main form of social and physical activiity. We need our outdoor time as much as our dog and our dog, Wanda, is the driving force behind it for all of us.
3567	I oppose the GGNRA plan to limit off-leash dog areas
3571	save off-leash recreation!
3576	I feel very strongly that the GGNRA should be accesible to dog owners and thier dogs off leash. I think the biggest problems arise whe you ahve large groups of dogs being walked by dog walkers. I think I limit of 6 dogs per walker would help minimize any damage to the area. It is a great place to take you dog and frankly my tax dollars pay for it. I would probably no longer use the area if I could not take my dog off leash. Please keep the GGNRA dog friendly.
3577	Please keep our pets' quality of life here high, pets are important to so many of us here, and time off leash with them is crucia
3579	Keep GGNRA the way it is!
3580	Please keep the beach fun for all!
3589	I am Vice-President of Dog Park Association of Sonoma, CA
3591	I don't have a dog, but I believe in freedom.
3595	Absolute waste of money for something that benefits very few. These parks are not just for the dogs who spend time there bu rather are sanctuaries for the humans who bring them. This is just plan foolish.
3596	OPPOSE THE PLAN
3613	The idea of restricting dog access to GGNRA is ridiculous. I go to Crissy Field daily and most of the people there on a regular basis are dog owners.
3614	Don't punish responsible owners.
3615	There are already so few places to go with a dog. Please don't reduce them! (If disabled people want access to these parks maybe make certain days dog-free instead of all days.)

#	Comments for consideration
3616	One of the best things about the bay area is that it is dog friendly in many parts. Dog parks are good for communities, just like hiking clubs and other community associations, dog owners meet up and often form valuable community groups which advance neighborhoods and areas. Not having parks available to dogs is bad for the bay area; if dogs are pent up, more dog problems such as barking and bites. People will still continue to adopt dogs and need a places to take them, its better for them to go to currently approved dog areas then to got into the protected wet lands or other areas where its less likely to get ticket California can't afford to police dog owners, most counties are cutting down on police and park staff, so with such a high demand for dog areas and low ability to police the change in rules, it will just lead to dog owners going to areas where they ar not suppose to go, better to keep the current dogs areas open to protect the important protected lands.
3618	San Francisco is one of the last, great cities that is dog friendly. Dogs are an integral part of this city.
3620	there are so many dogs in SF - cruel to limit their free spaces to play
3621	I am not a pet owner, but I love animals and seeing folks and families with their dogs enjoying our parks. Keep things the way they are.
3625	I would like to sign this petition to oppose this plan as it will grosely limit the safety and well-being of dogs and greatly inconvenience dog-owners throughout the bay area by limiting them to sidewalk use for excercise and play which will in turn also crowd the sidewalk with under-exercised dogs desperately needing access and utilize the space in parks and beaches to excercise and play.
3626	Off leash dog-walking is already very limited. To reduce it further is madness.
3632	It is hard enough to have a dog in San Francisco, please keep open space available for pet owners who also pay taxes.
3634	Good luck!!
3637	This is a very important topic to me as a resident in SF. Major changes restricting my freedoms when I am responsible dog owner would be deplorable.
3642	Please protect our existing space and avoid overcrowding of city parks.
3646	Let's let the dogs run.
3649	In a city with more dogs than children, off leash areas are vital. Please keep our dog areas dog friendly.
3650	Please don't do this!
3663	This is an important freedom to the people at no expense to anyone or the environment
3665	NPS have deliberately misrepresented the facts regarding dog impact to suit their own views. The plan authors should be fired for lying. The GGNRA was set aside for all people and for all recreation. Hiking with my dog on the GGNRA is the highlight of rr life. I resent the NPS attempt to skew facts to suit their agenda. To aggregate evidence found in one park and apply it across a 21 parks and to ignore their own studies on the damage dogs do to wildlife and birds in particular to bring about these draconian measures. A prime example of the waste of public monies by small minded Govt.
3669	Please don't be so draconian with the new measures, surely a compromise can be worked out?
3673	Keep SF the magical place that it is for dogs
3678	let my doggie play!
3691	fairfax
3694	let the dogs walk
3696	Please reconsider this idea of limiting the access to man's best friend. Dogs need to have an opportunity to run free on occasion. This is one of the only areas where it can happen.
3698	This draft plan for an urban park is bad for public relations for all national parks and bona fide conservation areas.
3701	Please, please keep San Francisco dog friendly. Our pets have few places to run free in the city. The propped plan will take eve those areas away. This is bad for dogs and people.
3709	come on leave one area for the dogs
3716	I love the fact that dogs can run freely at Ft. Funston
3717	we need a place to have dogs play off leash
3724	It is the least we can do for our Dogs...
3728	Please keep our trails dog friendly!

#	Comments for consideration
3736	Please don't put leash laws into effect because Fort Funston is the only place where we can take our dog and be secure enough that he will no run out into the streets or anything else bad happening to our pets, as well as it is a good place to socialized with other dog lovers.
3738	pets should be allowed off leash if they are good!
3739	Please save our GGNRA for off leash dog walking- there are a lot of voters who would like to continue our use of the recreational land with our dogs!
3744	Let us enjoy the simple outdoor life with our dogs!
3746	I am a veterinarian as well as a dog owner and feel that this extensive restriction will have a negative impact by concentrating dogs in the few remaining areas accessible to them. There are many other ways to limit the negative impact of dogs on vegetation, wildlife and dog/human and dog/dog interactions. Much of the negative impact occurs through off leash access by those unable/unwilling to control their dogs. Just as operating a motor vehicle in an unsafe manner should not lead to restrictions on all motor vehicle use. It should be possible for those who are able to control their dogs (on or off leash) to have access to our public parks.
3748	I have two hunting dogs. They were bred to run and walking them on leash is not adequate exercise. I am a responsible dog owner and not only do I clean up after my dogs, I clean up any poop others may have missed
3749	Allowing myself, as a responsible dog owner, to enjoy my home are with my dogs is one of the most compelling reasons to live here.
3751	I visit the city often with my dogs! Don't make it difficult for us to visit!
3752	Please let us exersize and properly care for our dogs!
3755	Fort Funston is dog heaven! I've never seen so many smiling dogs and happy people in one place before! Please don't ruin this magical place for us! The Bay Area, and especially San Francisco, already have enough human-only spaces. Don't be greedy! Please leave our parks alone!!
3756	none
3761	A little common sense would be appreciated
3763	We pay for recreational areas, too! We should be able to enjoy them with our dogs
3764	Dog lovers need places to excercise their animals off leash after they have been home all week.It's only fair to allow some place for us.
3766	Parks are not just for people!
3767	Let the dogs RUN!!!!!!!!!!!!!! go after the owners not the dogs
3768	Keep all cities dog friendly
3773	People & their dogs walk for their health, you will be denying this right to both human & animal
3778	Dog is my co-pilot
3779	dogs need to run free (supervised!) too!!
3780	Save our access!!!
3789	I can't understand why one would want to eliminate dogs, per se. Eliminate dogs "with records" and also eliminate people wit "records" and who is keeping records on the coyotes which snatched a dog or a deer which gored a dog? Eliminating dogs isn' eliminating problems. This dicussion needs to address IRRESPONSIBILITY not a species.
3794	Ê
3795	Please no!
3801	This plan is an example of poor research and biased conclusions. Please oppose.
3802	Give our pets a place to play and exercise
3806	This is an awful plan. Dogs are part of FAMILIES. Don't allow this ridiculous plan to go into effect.
3807	dogs in San Francisco are a huge part of this city and contribute to so many positives in peoples lives

#	Comments for consideration
3808	Lets please remember this is a recreation area, not a state park, and meant for use by both humans and our dogs. There are very few areas in the bay area that are off leash, and there is no reason to take away our last remaining ones. I am an SF homeowner, taxpayer and responsible dog guardian, and its as much for me as it is for my four legged friends. Please work to leave the situation as it is - it works.
3814	I have visited the San Fransisco area several times and am always impressed by how social and well behaved each and every dog I meet is. Very diffrent from other areas I have traveled in. This is because of the early off leash socialization with people and dogs they are exposed to on a regular basis at a young age..
3815	Allow dogs in GGNRA please!
3816	Education about dogs, not legislation is what keeps dog parks safe. Education classes before use is a much better option.
3817	dogs need off leash areas to run & be free. We need more.. not less - please ensure that SF gets to keep all off leash areas & consider allowing more - Thanx
3818	DO NOT put new restrictions on off-leash for dogs!!!
3821	this is a horrible idea.
3823	Our dogs want and need a place to run freely. Dog's are MANS BEST FRIENDS and have been a part of the environment for all times. Please do not curb my and my dog's rights and freedoms.
3828	There is absolutely no reason these parks need to be closed. Please think of the dogs that need them.
3830	No appropriate environmental impact report was conducted.
3837	Don't kill all that is good in SF.
3838	off leash areas MUST STAY
3839	I've found regular visitors to be responsible custodians
3842	This is a ludicrous management plan. It is extremely tough already to find accessible trails and locations to walk and exercise dogs.
3843	The responsible enjoyment of our public lands with our dogs is intrinsic to our rights as citizens. During my 30 years in California I enjoyed these GGNRA lands with generations of dogs. lands
3844	We need more not fewer off-leash areas. There is a large dog population and greater awareness in people that taking their dogs for outings is important for both their dogs and for them. Gets both out and exercising.
3847	I support this request, but this position needs to have some positive and diligent direction.
3849	we need this space for our dogs.
3857	This is ridiculous! There is nothing nicer than to see dogs running around free (as long as they have responsible owners). Dogs who dont' get to run free have so much pent up energy and are more dangerous. Please rethink this plan!
3860	Please take a moment to consider the drastic impact this may have to dog owners like myself and folks that walk dogs for a living. To truly understand the impact, please visit one of these locations during the day and see the lives you will be impactinj Respectfully, Mel.
3863	please reconsider this... responsible people mainly use the park
3870	PLEASE DON'T DO THIS!
3879	Treat sharing of space fairly. Dogs need exercise and play too. Don't ruin their lives by forcing current dogs and new dogs into much too limited and unfair division of space in the GGNRA for our 4 legged family members. All interested users really can share this lovely space, without resorting to taking from other users. Thank you.
3883	Education is the key to successful off leash dog areas, not banning or limiting off leash spaces.
3887	Let all good dogs be free
3888	ALL of the dogs get along and know exactly where their owners are. People pick up their poop too!! The people who are not in favor of this have never been to Fort Funston!
3890	I strongly oppose this draft plan
3896	dogs love to run free just look at there face
3897	Unleash and let them be free as God intended them to be!!

#	Comments for consideration
3898	Our beaches are our backyards and need to remain open to those of us who use them with our dogs! It's not broken and doesn't need to be fixed by a management plan.
3900	One of the great joys of living in Marin is sharing the County's paths and beaches with our pets. While all dog owners must be responsible for the behavior and actions of their dog, preventing access to the County's bounty amounts to discrimination against a very large group of residents. PLEASE don't take this life joy from us canine-lovers.
3903	I agree that people need to take better care to pick up after their dogs, but dogs need space too. I have kids. There are needs for all of us. The real problem is pet owners not picking up the poop!
3904	people and dogs need open space, please do not close these beautiful areas to off-leash dogs --- it's part of what makes these places what they are!
3910	Park areas must remain for everyone, including responsible dog owners
3911	Oppose GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan
3918	The GGNRA's Proposal will have a devastating impact on surrounding areas and lead to overcrowding at local parks. I oppose it in its entirety.
3920	Dogs were first domesticated 20,000 years ago. They are invaluable companions, and need access to outdoor space and nature to be healthy and well adjusted. This means freedom Off LEASH, supervised by responsible owners. Bay Area dog owners are among the most responsible in the world. People and pets in urban areas NEED outdoor freedom
3924	Please quit over regulating our lives.
3926	not having off-leash areas is a huge injustice to dogs and their owners.
3929	I Oppose GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan
3931	Dogs are important to people; please don't curtail dog access to beaches and trails
3933	Please consider that when you restrict access of people who have dogs in their families you also prevent those families (including their children) from using our wonderful parks. Please instead encourage everyone to be good stewards and share the space with each other and nature..
3935	We all deserve freedom - dogs too !!
3939	submitted comment already at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/projectHome.cfm?projectID=11759
3940	In our society, dogs have become members of our family like children. They are important to us and we get so much pleasure from getting out with them.
3949	I live and vote in San Francisco. For some people this is the only place to enjoy nature with their dogs. If you don't have a car, all you and your dog have left is cement!!
3956	My dogs are my life. Please let us enjoy our lives running and playing together.
3975	The dogs have better breeding and more manners than most people and their offspring.
3977	this will completely destroy why marin is so amazing
3978	Keep the Government out of our everyday lives , the GGNRA can't not even afford to enforce the proposed rules. The parks in San Francisco cannot accommodate the over flow of dog owners plus their dogs. What next is the GGNRA going to tell us the Taxer payer and yes it's boss (we pay their salary) where and when we can travel ?
3982	It is extremely important to me to preserve a few off leash areas in SF for those of us who own dogs and have always been responsible pet owners
3983	This is ridiculous. Pets and people need their place. WHO the hell started this?? Maybe we should eliminate dumb politicians who come up with this crap and fire them for using our money to think of these stupid ideas.
3984	This is a truly terrible idea and an unfair plan. We take our lab to Crissy Field almost every day. San Francisco can do better than this restrictive and punitive plan. There are fewer and fewer places for our dogs to run free, and there are so many thousands of dog owners and dog lovers who need all this beautiful public land in order to care for their pets properly. We need beautiful open space for dogs to romp and play, as do all the humans who love them.
3990	Most dogs are better behaved than a lot of people's children.
3998	Off leash areas promote positive health and well being for dogs and humans alike

#	Comments for consideration
3999	I use GGNRA frequently and I always pick up after my dog!!!! It would be a shame to not allow dogs on the trails that are dog friendly!
4003	Responsible dog owners have a right to walk their dogs in the SF parks & on Ocean Beach. It is not fair to take that away.
4004	Dogs need their space too! Let'em Play!
4008	It would be a shame if we could not share these beautiful areas with our pets.
4009	Our dogs need some freedom each day....
4010	I think this plan heavy-handed and unnecessary.
4012	Please help stop this extreme plan
4016	I pay alot of property and business tax to live in this city. I should be able to take my dog to the beach ! Plus there are plenty c beaches that already do not allow dogs..and thats fine...but leave the rest alone.
4024	Please allow dogs to be off leash
4026	i love my dogs
4031	Ê
4034	Do not take away our dog's free space!
4035	what an unbelievable waste of time and money
4037	Keep Fort Funston an OFF LEASH dog park
4043	The GGNRA Plan is counter to the area's charter.
4044	There is so much area to co-exist. Leave well enough alone
4045	Please speak for all citizens not just those who don't want dogs in our National Recreation Areas.
4048	so many better uses for the funds, to improve smart use of the park by all.
4053	Let our dogs run free! Please!
4054	We need places for good dogs to play with their responsible owners.
4056	Leave the Dogs FREE!!!!!!!!!!!!
4068	This Plan will encroach on the available environment for the citizens of this area as well as animals. Intolerable.
4070	crucial for city dog managment issues and over crowding in city parks.
4072	dogs are a reason people get exercise, don't encroach on our already limited area to walk our dogs
4073	exercise makes dogs better citizens!
4079	Dogs are part and parcel of human civilazation. They are a symbiotic species to us, one that has helped us to become who we are. Dogs require room to exercise. In the more than 30 years dogs have been off lead in the GGNRA, there has been little or NO damage. I am often the only person in sight enjoying the GGNRA spaces, and when I do see people, they invariably have dogs. My dogs act as goodwill ambassadors to the visitors of SF. People often ask me for directions and sight seeing advice, because I am approachable since I have dogs with me. Rules don't make things better, people who act responsibly make thing better. These proposed rules will cause an enourmous strain on local City parks. The GGNRA promised that the Presidio and other parks would be for recreation. Dog owners are the major users of many of these spaces.
4082	Open space for dog lovers must be preserved.
4092	I have a right to enjoy these parks and I would like nothing to change so that the Bayarea maintains its dog-friendly-appeal
4100	Our family loves Fort Funston as it is!
4105	As a local owner of a succesful dog-related business, I believe it would be harmful to our community and economy of our city to ban off-leash dog areas, as well as discriminate against dogs and dog owners.
4106	Let the dogs out!
4108	Can you really afford to lose the valuable tourist dollars that we bring to SF with our dogs?
4114	There are so few places in the bay area to take a dog and walk off leash. No national forest, a few decent, but mostly terribly tiny dog parks. The areas that the GGNRA has currently set up with off leash regulations provide great spaces for owners to allow their dogs the freedom they should have. Without these spaces I might not have thought to own a dog in in CA, and thusly lessened my quality and potential longevity of life.
4116	it's about people. people with dogs build community.

#	Comments for consideration
4118	Stop being so controlling. Start learning how to share.
4119	dogs have rights too
4121	I value a park for all people. I clean up after my dog and keep her and she brings such joy to the world when she runs in the wind.
4122	The people walking dogs at these areas are often the only real users of these parks. they create a wonderful friendly community as well, plus these off-leash areas have created the best behaved dogs in the entire US. can't we have the 1 per cent of the available public recreation use? I would gladly pay a reaonable annual fee to help maintain the off leash areas.
4127	I do not own a dog. And I enjoy watching the dogs run around off-leash. It's never been a problem, and I have yet to see an irresponsible dog owner.
4128	Their nature is to RUN!
4138	My family includes kids and dogs - please preserve places where we can all roam free and teach the next generation to love and care for nature.
4140	Dog parks are vital to our community. All living creatures and their human parents deserve a place to enjoy the beauty of the outdoors. I am unable to have a dog were I live; however, I enjoy dog parks to enjoy the beauty of these gorgeous creatures and their loving parents.
4145	The EIR is not adequate re: Need Statement and Range of Alternatives. The Dog Mgmt Plan is biased and does not offer an option representative of the Bay Area.
4147	Take back our recreational area from the GGNRA
4151	The GGNRA proposed dog management plan is unreasonable, punitive, indefensible, and wrong.
4152	do not restrict off-leash dog sites please!
4153	My dog is part of my family. Please don't split us up- let us have places to enjoy the outdoors together.
4154	These magnificent lands were given to the NPS by the people of the Bay Area to remain accessible recreation areas for its residents and visitors, including people with their dogs. Dog owners utilize the undeveloped areas of the GGNRA with great frequency. Our dogs are part of our human society, with 120,000 in San Francisco alone. They keep us active and healthy and aid our emotional well-being. They are our companions and our families and give us unconditional love. We NEED these natur places to ensure our health and stimulation and theirs. The joyful and exuberant dog I rescued loves the beach and needs to run – as do I. Restraining dogs like her on a leash or outlawing us altogether would be a tragic step backwards for our community. This is an important issue for the freedom-loving people of San Francisco, the entire the Bay Area and our million of visitors. I love the GGNRA and I am a parent, an Audubon Society/Sierra Club member, and a RESPONSIBLE DOG OWNER... and I vote. You better believe it, baby.
4155	Dogs are people too! They don't litter as much as a lot of people
4157	We need many places to allow our dogs to run in the city.
4158	Please consider the health of the area's domestic dogs, which is reliant on open space to exercise. Dog behavior is manageable by dog owner/guardian education, not elimination of access, which will most likely cause more dog owners to illegally exercis their dogs elsewhere.
4162	I strongly oppose this measure and my voting in upcoming elections will reflect how this is handled
4165	please keep our recreational areas safe for walking mu dog off leash--as a native San Franciscan, this is one of the things I don want to see change. Thank you!
4167	Please, voice and sight activities for dog guardians can be managed so neither environmental or social harms occur. thanks
4177	I and my dogs need off leash recreation in our city recreation areas as provided by legislation creating these areas like Crissy field etc
4196	The professional dog walkers are the people that are causing all the problems. Why not put a restriction of no more than 4 dogs per person. Why make everyone else suffer for for the actions of a few. I have been going to fort funston for many years It is the safest place to bring your dog. It is the best place to socialize your dog. People come from far every weekend to enjoy this wonderful place. Why not charge \$1 per car to help maintain the park.
4206	We love taking a trip to the city to bring our pups to Fort Funston so they can play at the beach with other pups.

#	Comments for consideration
4209	Please allow dogs to be off leash at Crissy Field
4211	it is our land
4212	The 60 miles of coastal land under the stewardship of the Golden Gate National Recreational Area (GGNRA) offer the SF Bay community thousands of acres for hiking, beaching, climbing, and exploring. However, those whose chosen form of recreation is dog walking have been banned from all but tiny, far-flung patches of GGNRA land. Now the agency proposes to reduce this pitiful amount by 90%. With no viable explanation for its necessity, this exclusionist measure smacks of baseless bigotry and blatantly violates GGNRA mandate to serve the needs of ALL members of the community, including the thousands of responsible citizens and dog lovers of the Bay Area
4215	Please establish dog off and on leash trails in the National Parks.
4216	We Want To Walk With Our Dogs!
4219	I like dogs, they make me happy
4223	As the rules are presently held suits everyone very well. Leave as is.
4225	off leash walks are crucial to a balanced dog
4230	I oppose this plan
4236	Dog lovers in San Francisco are careful users of the parks and good citizens in maintaining the parks facilities. They increase the security for other park users. In a large metropolitan area the space used by those who walk dogs to exercise their animals is minimal. This is one of the purposes for which Parks exist.
4238	I have waled my dogs at Fort Funston for over 10 years. It is the last off leash area left in the North Peninsula. Please keep it accessible to us!
4240	As former state humane officer for San Mateo. I enjoy FF being the way it is currently.
4241	Purrfectly happy with the way the FF is now.
4245	this is a very bad plan
4246	do not go forward with this plan
4249	This plan is bad policy for the many reasons that have already been articulated.
4252	I am the originator of the petition "Dedicate "Dog Friendly" Parks and Beaches in San Mateo County, California" when San Mateo decided to ban our dogs and outdoor activities like sandcastle building and kite flying on all beaches, no kidding. Please view the 1,559 signatures of Bay Area residents who opposed this enormous restriction: http://www.thepetitionsite.com/takeaction/348/764/538/ . This proposed SF off-leash dog ban is a chain reaction from the San Mateo off-leash dog ban: it pushed all usage off a 75-mile beach stretch to one city beach. In America, dogs are considered members of our family and need a place to run and play too..
4253	I totally oppose the GGNRA dog restrictions!
4262	I fear that if you succeed in these policy changes, we will be left with little choice but to oppose you vigorously on all fronts: 1) To seek legal action for the return of Fort Funston and Ocean Beach to SF City & County jurisdiction 2) To actively oppose the transfer of any new lands to GGNRA in San Mateo or Marin Counties 3) To seek to divert all donations of labor, funds or political support away from GGNRA to local jurisdictions which may be more responsive to the needs of dog owners 4) To actively oppose any further commercialization of GGNRA lands as a funding source (e.g. multi-million dollar resorts like the one at Fort Baker, etc.) and demand that such properties be managed strictly as "wildlife preserves" 5) To seek a full & comprehensive review of NPS's alleged scientific methodologies in the United States Congress as it may pertain to the management of all National Parks across the U.S. Please do not turn your allies into enemies.
4266	Dogs require a place to run off-leash--to maintain mental and physical. Fort Funston's primary activity for decades has been dog walking followed by hang-gliding. Figure out a way to share. It doesn't have to be all or nothing.
4267	Why don't we just give you the title Czar of the Interior?
4268	"Dogs are Men & Women's Best Friends"
4269	A human bite is much worse than a dog bite so you better be careful as I have very sharp teeth GGNRA folks.
4272	off-leash dog play is essential for our pets' mental health and for our own.
4276	Boulder FIDOS

#	Comments for consideration
4277	Dogs need the parks
4279	Keep the best dog park in the US off leash
4281	These dog walking opportunities are very important in urban areas. Please don't eliminate or restrict them.
4282	Dogs must run free to be mentally and physically fit.
4287	Please save the off-leash dog parks.
4298	Dogs are part of family. They need proper space to exercise and socialize.
4299	no leashes at Crissy Filed!
4302	Dogs need to go free sometimes and somewhere!!!
4304	how could you the city has more dogs than kids
4305	Go, dog go!!!
4306	Off leash dog areas benefit more than dogs and their owners - they benefit families who make a regular habit of getting outside and getting healthy exercise.
4308	Please, Dogs have rights
4309	I walk at Fort Funston every week - Please keep this an off leash dog park
4311	Dogs have less of an impact on the environment than do humans. If humans are allowed to use it then dogs being 1/3rd the size of less of human imprints their impact is far less than humans imprint.
4313	Please do not pass this petition!!!!
4320	We need open spaces for dogs to be off leash
4323	Please do not implement this plan.
4325	I fully support this petition. Let the dogs roam free - where designated, of course!
4328	We need to keep off-lead spaces for dogs and people. Please do not impose unfair limits.
4332	Let the dog owners enjoy the outside too!
4335	Keep SF Dog-friendly and Prosperous1!!
4339	KEEP OUR PUPS OFF LEASH!!!!!!!!!!!!
4342	PLEASE save Fort Funston as an off leash location. It keeps San Francisco a city to live in!!
4343	Being a dog-owner and an environmentalist are not mutually exclusive and therefore I ask you to please consider the actual results of the testing that has been done on the effects of dogs in the GGNRA. Therefore leaving us with places we can still hike and take our dogs off leash. Off leash dog-walking is a unique part of the San Francisco bay area culture that drew me and my husband to living in this area. If you plan on restricting off-leash (hopefully based on the results of the research) areas you still have to give us someplace to go, not just dog parks.
4344	Dogs are good for people. Let them off leash at GGNRA
4345	Please don't reduce the locations where people can bring dogs. Also, the 75\% compliance provision is unfair to those who do comply with the rules.
4348	I own 3 active dogs. My dogs need exercise beyond the leashed city walk. Off-leash areas are essential to their development and well being.
4349	Dog parks are a wonderful, social, and healthy environment for thousands of dog lovers. Please allow them to stay that way.
4352	Off-leash recreation and conservation can co-exist in this National RECREATION area.
4355	Please save our 1\%.
4368	I have walked my dogs off leash at Ft. Funston and Crissy field.... and other GGNRA lands. ... since the 60's It was allowed for many many years. Somehow NRA decided this city park area needed to have the same laws that all the NRA followed...I can never never believe that should happen. It means too much to too many people and their pets. It was great for us for so many years.... yes there would be an occasional incident like a dog falling off a cliff/ or even a dog argument.... but for the amount of people that go there it is a very small percentage. I go there everyday and I rarely see something negative happening. I more see positive things happening. Happy people and happy dogs.... and tired dogs when we go home.
4375	This law is absurd! Why do you want to make living in San Francisco anymore difficult?
4378	please oppose the GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan for the health and safety of our dogs!

#	Comments for consideration
4385	restricting the off leash areas would be devastating to the MANY dog owners of the area!
4386	I am completely pessimistic about the usefulness of signing this petition, writing letters, or otherwise responding because I've learned over the past 15 years of dealing with this issue that the Park Service is like a freight train. However slowly it moves, it is impossible to stop or deflect it as it moves down the pre-established path. Until I began dealing with the GGNRA on this issue, I was something of an idealist about government. The GGNRA has managed to turn me into a cynic.
4390	Please let this locations remain open to off leash dogs and dog owners
4393	please leave or off leash privledges as they are
4394	GGNRA off leash dog exercise areas provide pets and owners with so much, please do not take it away!
4398	Please don't take away our off leash dog areas. I am at Crissy Field everyday with my dogs and frequently with my child. It is such a joy to enjoy the beach as a family. As far as diogs damaging the GGRNA spaces, I believe it is the human species doing the damage. Go to Crissy Field or to Baker Beach after a sunny warm day and you will see plastic beach toys, bottles, and trasl polluting our precious beaches. This is not the dog's fault, they should not be punished. Thank You-
4399	we need our off leash dog parks
4400	This is the ONE place my dog can really run, please don't thake this away
4401	This is the one place dogs can be dogs, all other parks are designed for all other type of recreation, please let us have this one place
4409	In addition to historical use and precedent, fair access is a welfare issue. Shared use includes responsible off-lead dogs and owners.
4412	There's room for everyone and everything :)
4414	I am a SF resident for 14+ years and a dog owner for 11 years. Off leash GGNRA park access and the beauty I enjoy WITH my dog family at my side is what makes it so great to live here. Please do not change the off leash accesses which enhance our enjoyment of this corner of the world. Thank you.
4415	Banning off leash dogs from the GGNRA is absurd - if you really want to preserve the pristine parks, ban people. Don;t waste my tax money on such frivialities.
4416	What a waste of \$\$\$ to micro-manage life
4417	I vote.
4420	well behaved dogs and humans need free space
4423	This should be a "no-brainer decision!"
4428	I have many positive memories of encounters with dogs in all the Marin County parks. Restricting dog in all these areas will severely affect many people
4430	Dogs help people get out of doors, and get exercise they need for health.
4443	Our parks and beaches are our escape from the cars and the noise of urban life and help keep us sane! Walking our dogs in these areas for them to RUN FREE and play are critical to health and well being of both people and dogs that live in the Bay Area.
4448	Ê
4463	This is a TERRIBLE idea! Why ban dogs, and the people who love them?
4467	I don't even own a dog, but I know how important it is to have an area where you can exercise your dog. When they are properly exercised they are better dogs. Please allow us to have some areas to do this, I beg you!
4471	Stop this outrageous and illegal plan! I vote every election, local, state and federal, and so do my many, many friends. Some o those friends helped overturn this ridiculous plan when it was proferred last time. We will do it again, and we'll take note of the anti-dog legislators who supported it. Please do the right thing and keep the GGNRA free for ALL taxpayers (and their dogs!). Thank you for opposing the GGNRA Dog Management Plan.
4472	Don't forget to analyze the impacts on remaining parks, should the GGNRA's proposed plan go forward!
4474	I've voted in every election since I turned 18, and have the stubs to prove it. This is very important to me!

#	Comments for consideration
4493	Any trainer will tell you that leashed dogs behave defensively, which will create other problems. My dog and I use Fort Funston off-leash daily, and the only things we walk on are sand, iceplant and pavement - none of which are endangered. Put up signs letting us know where not to go and when, and let us police ourselves.
4499	Lack of open space for dog walking increases the burden on pet owners to adequately exercise their dogs, which often leads to behavior problems that eventually result in dogs being relinquished to shelters.
4502	dogs need to run and I've trained my dog well not to bother people.
4503	The likelihood of us visiting a place is in direct proportion to how welcome we feel with our dogs. We keep them under control and we clean up after them. In my opinion that should make them more welcome than most children and a lot of other people. Dogs are good citizens too.
4508	Responsible Dog Owner.
4513	Let the dogs and people have somewhere fun to go. In this busy world we all need a little of that ;-)
4514	Please let the people have somewhere to walk dogs and also a place for other dogs to interact and play with each other.
4516	This plan wastes precious taxpayer dollars solving problems that don't exist. Dogs and people have happily co-existed in the Bay Area in the current off-leash areas for 20+ years. Why suddenly do we need to change that and waste taxpayer dollars doing it when we are running our greatest ever deficit. Does NPS have money to waste?
4524	It is always a beautiful site seeing the pups running around together without constraint. Seemed normal, natural, and always gets me in touch with nature....the way things should be.
4525	dogs and owners need special places free from worry
4527	Dog owners are environmentalists too!
4528	1% is less than fair - please don't go Orwell i.e. "ALL ANIMALS ARE EQUAL, BUT SOME ANIMALS ARE MORE EQUAL THAN OTHERS"
4532	Please do not let dogs off-leash anywhere! Dogs are both a safety hazard, a nuisance and destroy nature. Dog owners need to stop being delusional and start thinking of others for once.
4535	please
4541	Our Dogs should have the freedom to go where their owners go they are apart of our family and we are their voice..
4542	Propose designated walking areas for off-leash walks
4546	This law will make more of us law breakers!
4548	DOG OWNER 25YRS NOW ALLOW UNLEASHED DOGS AT THE BEACH WITH GUIDELINES
4550	I have been walking my dogs off-leash in GGRNA areas for 50 years and have rarely seen any objectionable incidents caused by the dogs
4554	I am a Republican, yes we like dogs too.
4555	please keep the beach area off-leash
4556	I do not support this plan.
4557	Let the dogs run...we have bigger problems to solve
4561	Oppose
4570	I go to Ft Funston, Sweeney Ridge, and the Miwok trail often.
4572	Please don't take the park away from the dogs
4573	Please let the dogs roam free at the park
4574	Fort Funston is the most magical place for my dog, how can she swim in the ocean on-leash?
4575	Stop all the restrictions, dogs are God's creatures too!
4580	let them run free
4581	my dog loves to run on the beach
4585	In the 60's I worked to establish the GGRNA when I was a college student in SF. The vision to create an expansive wild area on the edge of a dense urban population became a realized vision. Dogs are a part of urban families and the present allowed area: are consistent with that vision of the 60's. Please don't change it.
4593	Please allow dog to go without-leash

#	Comments for consideration
4594	Recent study showed dogs owners in better health because they require their human owners to exercise. Sunshine, fresh air, exercise is a tradition in this city for dogs and their owners. During these difficult economic times, do not take away a fundatmental joy for so many.
4598	If GGNRA areas are to be restricted for off-leash dog walking, a comprehensive plan MUST be put in place to provide significar areas for dogs to be exercised off-leash. There are thousnads of dogs (probably a conservative number) in San Francisco and c the Peninsula. Adequate space is needed for them (and their owners) to maintain a healthy exercise regimen.
4600	Walking my dog saved my life.
4601	this is very very unfair and discriminatory
4603	Let the dogs play!
4605	THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP
4606	I strongly oppose the GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan. It shuts out a very large part of the park visitors, although I believe this was the intention of the GGNRA since 1999 when they were caught not following their own rules.
4607	We need off place for our animals to play
4611	I own 6 dogs -for obvious reasons I oppose this plan!!!
4612	There are only a few places dogs can be off lease, If they get this release they behave much better in urban situations and around people who are afraid of dogs
4619	I am a contributing member of the community (work at an art/design college, chair a nonprofit that brings art to underserved kids), and one of the reasons I moved to the Bay Area and adopted a dog from SF Animal Care and Control is because of the extensive outdoor areas I can take my dog. Please reconsider or I'll consider leaving the area.
4624	Oppose
4625	Dogs just want to have fun, like any other kid!! They NEED to run, and blow off energy!
4634	Our dogs are family members and they need their space to walk and run free in order to be healthy and happy. They keep us healthy and happy.t
4639	This beach edges a city of almost a million people. To prohibit dogs based on affecting a "soundscape" makes a mockery of the good people who ARE trying to protect soundscapes in the wilderness and trivializes their good work.
4640	Recreation includes man's best friend
4646	Please don't take away these offleash spaces for dogs. Require us to have clean-up committees or days, or whatever else, but do not take the space away. If you do, you will see an increase in frustrated, underexercised, undersocialized dogs, and the cit and county will be the worse for it.
4647	Dogs should be on a leash, but be able to be with a responsible person.
4650	There are plenty of other places the minority of people who do not like dogs can go. Virtually all dogs in these areas are well-behaved and deserve to be able to continue to exercise in these parks. Requiring dogs be on leash is not an acceptable option consider the perspective from the other end of the leash.
4657	please, my dog is absolutely well behaved and counts on supervised and disciplined free running.
4663	I oppose any plan to limit dogs from being off leash
4664	I would like to remind those involved that there are more dogs than children in San Francisco. As a small animal veterinarian, witness the bond between people and their dogs daily. They are not just pets, but companions and true family members. They bring comfort, companionship, and affection. This unique relationship should be respected and encouraged, not made more difficult for those of us living in this beautiful city.
4666	Ê
4668	Enjoying the GGNRA with man's best friend is not incompatible with wildlife wellbeing. It's just a matter of designating open and protected areas.
4670	please keep dogs on planned off leash areas
4673	This plan is crazy and unacceptable.
4679	i oppose GGNRA draft dog management plan

#	Comments for consideration
4682	For 10 years I hiked the Miwok trail with my dog and she did not do any damage.
4683	As a taxpayer, I want to be able to walk my dog off leash.
4685	I am opposed to this plan 100\% and I don't have a dog.
4689	I own a large dog walking business in SF. I ask you to please reconsider NOT approving this leash law proposed by GGNRA. I speak on behalf of myself, my dog walkers and the fellow dog walking community. It's not dogs that are the issue. It is not fair to have to keep our dogs on-leash in areas we have been permitted to use for years. We are very proud of our off-leash friendly city and 99\% of all our city's dog owners are very respectful of the places they visit w/ their dog. Dog owners (Dogs!) should not have to suffer because a few disrespectful people. Dog owners also care about the environment and the plants and species at the park, taking dogs out of it is not the answer. It's a right and a privilege we do not take for granted. If there is anything I can do please let me know. I (and my dog) STRONGLY OPPOSE THE PROPOSED LEASH LAW!
4690	Deregulate dog walking!
4691	Off leash walking/hiking is good for dog and owner. Dogs who walk/hike off leash with their owners are better exercised physically and mentally. Dogs who are physically and mentally fit are better doggie citizens, and that's good for the whole community.
4693	unbelievable!!!
4699	Please help seniors exercise their life-giving companions!
4712	I dont want government intruding in my dog's life.
4715	The dogs have always been welcome here, and owners have done a good job of keeping them in check. Don't let one or two oweners ruin this for all of us with such tight restrictions.
4720	Our beautiful off-leash dog parks are a real treasure. We can lead by example and set new standards for a more dog-friendly and humane world.
4722	THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO RUN FREE. HOW WOULD THEY LIKE TO BE ON A LEASH
4723	I have kids and a dog ... I like that there are places they both can run and play together. And yes, it is important for the dog to be off-lease
4724	This is not fair to the dogs. They have needs too.
4732	My best encounters with other dogs and dog walkers have been at Ft. Funston, leash or no leash.
4738	Keep our 1\% of GGNRA for full recreational use. Don't limit use and go against your very own charter
4742	please stop taking away MORE of my rights as a US Citizen
4743	Let dogs run!
4744	I am from Canada but spend time in SF with my dogs, off leash areas are so important, please do not take them away!
4745	Give the Dogs some space!!!
4748	Please, please allow the wonderful relationship of humans and dogs to be one of mutual joy not of increased confinement and servatude...we need some places for our companions to let loose....not everyone has open land of their own...
4750	shouldn't you be concentrating on things of substance? this is ridiculous
4753	this would be a disgrace and disservice to so many dogs and people
4756	please don't go back on your committment to all San Franciscans. Allow those of us with dogs to enjoy our public areas and have areas of off leash dog walking.
4757	I oppose the draft plan, which provides insufficient space for dog walking in San Francisco.
4763	Do not take away our dog space!
4764	A tired dog is a happy dog & a happy dog = a happy owner. Keep dogs out of shelters and let them keep their limited space!
4765	Dog owners have rights to space too. Don't take away the limited freedom we have already.
4766	The idea that a managing group should dictate how public parks may or may not be utilized is an odd one. Times change and over time the public's use of parks will change. Regulation should be limited to ensuring that parks are not damaged or destroyed.
4770	We domesticated dogs and therefore have an ethical responsibility to ensure they are properly cared for. Off leash exercise is necessity dogs need.

#	Comments for consideration
4771	Please keep some areas open to dogs, especially on the beach.
4779	Please help!
4783	Are you serious???
4788	Please don't take away one of the few places we can enjoy off leash with our dog.
4789	Fort Fuston is the most important area of recreation for my family and dog..pls keep it off leash. We can't leave our Dog FAMILY behind.
4791	Humans do more damage than our dogs do
4792	Please don't take away 90\% of our off-leash areas!
4795	I oppose strongly the GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan
4798	Especially oppose plan for Fort Funston
4805	My dog needs an off-leash outlet to be healthy and happy, and we need wide open spaces for this. Limiting Fort Funston's off-leash area would be detrimental to her health.
4812	Our public lands: more bikes, more dogs, less ticket happy rangers, less rules
4817	off leash dog walking is a healthy and necessary activity. The vast majority of dog owners are responsible and prevent their dogs from negatively impacting the environment.
4824	Please don't take away more off leash space
4825	I would be taking a much more active role in this worthy campaign if my beloved large dog was still with me. His spirit would want me to at least help where I could; to help save the areas that he grew up respectfully playing on. The GGNRA land & beaches were always his favorite areas and as SF residents, these areas were vital to the happy healthy development and socialization for my best friend.
4833	the overload it will put on the park system , public safety at Fort Funston - 25 years ago when there were not that many peop walking out there FF had alot of unsavory characters hanging out there. now with all the dog people I feel very safe.
4837	Please help us keep our off leash areas, all the dogs and their people need this freedom. We have no where else to go!
4838	Surely, in our highly de-naturalized San Francisco, there has to be a way to strike balance between stewarding our natural spaces and allowing not only the dogs but their owners to have access to this unique resource. Tired dogs are happy dogs, and the exercise they receive at Fort Funston with the ability to range (exercise which many large dogs need in order to be good social dogs), is vital. We have to find away to preserve access for dogs and their owners to this amazing resource!
4842	One of my greatest joys is taking a walk with my dog at Crissy Field. As a dog owner and I parent I believe we can all find ways to share the open our space in our City in a reasonable way.
4843	We are responsible dog owners and will always work to protect the environment with the rights of dog-walkers.
4851	Fort Funsten is hte only park where I can walk my dog off leash and still be on crutches/or wheelchair on a paved path. I want this right to walk my dog off leash!
4859	Dogs and their owners should retain their access to our public beaches in the GGNRA
4861	these dog walking areas are very important to our family. please cant we keep what we have?
4863	Our "recreation" space is already too limited. Please tell me how you intend to INCREASE off-leash recreation in GGNRA
4873	This is a terrible solution to a non-existent problem!!
4875	Bee, the Australian ridgeback mix and close friend of the family, deserves better.
4876	You people have a lot more important things to do than screw with peoples pets.
4878	Please pass this petition!
4882	Please let this remain as it is, a great place for people and their dogs!
4884	dogs "under voice control" are under control, environmental damage is no more or less than if a visitor brings their children to the GGNRA
4890	when i tell my dogs were going to the beach its like telling a little kid your taking them to disneyland dont take this away from them and me
4893	they are here for our enjoyment give them some space

#	Comments for consideration
4896	Dog owners constitute a disproportionately high percentage of property owners and long-term residents, but receive the least consideration in terms of access to public parks and other public spaces.
4902	Dogs are part of the human experience. Off leash is the only way for dogs and their owners to fully enjoy and emerge themselves in the parks.
4904	If the cats can roam, the dogs can roam
4905	I feel strongly and I vote
4906	Again, another example of "questionable" science used by Park Service to support its biased objective of curtailing off leash dog walking and open recreation in an urban, not wilderness, park. Since you were influenced by public sentiment regarding the Tomales Bay Oyster farm, why not respond in similar fashion to the majority of the people who would like to retain the off leash dog walking rights we have enjoyed for decades in what is now the GGNRA?
4914	Keep the parks clean, limit the number of humans, not dogs
4917	Dogs are members of our community and need nature more than humans. Dogs bring humans out! The off leash areas are so important and so cherished by so many citizens. It would be very wrong to take this recreational outlet away.
4918	please maintain freedom
4922	Dogs are not the enemy, but Man's best friend and deserve to be part of our ecosystem
4923	I oppose the GGNRA Dog Management Plan.
4927	Dogs, our adopted children of another species, need some space too!
4928	I oppose this plan
4930	Having places to walk dogs off leash leads to happy dogs and happy citizens. There are so many bad things going on in our world, don't take a good thing away! Stop this bad plan! Keep the off-leash areas!!!! I have a dog and I vote!
4932	Impossible to dignify something this callous and ignorant.
4938	Let the Dogs run Free
4940	Dogs are well loved and add life to the trail
4944	Off leash dog walking benefits both dogs and people and taking away this right will be unwise and unfair. Dogs are people's best friends and they need to be off leash sometimes. We don't want to be on leash all the time. Why can't we have our dogs enjoy some off leash time? Please do not pass GGNRA's Dog plan.
4945	Off leash dog walking encourages more people to adopt homeless dogs. Taking away this right will discourage some people from adopting these dogs. Already a lot of perfectly adoptable dogs are in shelters for no fault of their own. People benefit both physically and mentally by walking with their dogs off leash and taking away this right will be a huge and costly mistake. We have always been proud of the fact that we have these off leash areas in SF bay area. Please let's keep it the way it is and let other cities and counties learn from us that letting dogs off leash is a good policy for human and their best friends.
4948	why do you hate dogs?
4955	mr secretary, i love dogs please do not ban them from freedom sir please encourage president obama the same thanks
4958	Please keep GGNRA space available to all users, including dog owners that are one of the most important lovers of open space in Marin
4964	Let the dogs run!
4965	at least at off beach hours!
4966	Responsible, dog-owning resident of San Francisco for 12 years
4982	Take a lesson from another city. People who walk their dogs are usually good people. They serve as a safe-guarding patrol. Take them out of the planned locations and see. Deserted areas become good places for drug dealing, attacks and other crimes.
4985	I vote regularly!
4986	Please let us have off leash space.
4987	Data are incomplete, inconclusive and action is unjustified.
4988	1\% is not enough

#	Comments for consideration
4989	I oppose the proposed GGNRA dog management plan. This plan sharply restricts existing usage and does not adequately balance the needs of existing user groups. Very little of GGNRA allows any off-leash usage. This is an urban recreation area and city residents need places to go with our dogs.
4990	GGNRA is an urban recreation area, as such it needs to serve the needs of all urban residents. The proposed plan goes too far limiting access.
4991	The GGNRA has allowed dogs offleash in these areas since BEFORE it was the GGNRA. This use must be preserved.
4995	GIVE THE DOGS A BREAK
5000	Where will our dogs go?
5003	Are you KIDDING! My dog, who I pick up after EVERY time is far more Well Behaved than Most of the Humans that use these parks!!!
5009	From the bay area
5010	I vote
5013	I am a responsible dog owner and yet there are so few places already that I can take my dog walking and running off-leash so she can get the exercise she needs to be healthy and happy. Please don't make it a crime for me to help my pet get the exercise she needs. Also, rather than limit ALL dogs, you might consider requiring dogs and their owners to pass the AKC's Canine Good Citizen program. We did this program with our dog when she was training to be a therapy dog and it was an excellent experience for all of us. It's a way to weed out the very small number of problem dogs and owners who cause the majority of issues you are concerned about in these areas. You might think of it like getting a driver's license before driving a car. Thanks.
5016	Agree
5017	Please don't take away the very few places we can enjoy our pooch on and off leash! I'm so tired of restrictive rules because of the few that abuse. Soon we'll all be locked in our houses because someone somewhere became angry about people being off leash. There are lots of places for people to enjoy dog free areas and so few to enjoy with dogs. We already need to drive distances to reach them.
5021	Please stop this plan from eliminating this already limited freedom. If I could vote against it, if I could stop it I would. I would do it not just for me, but for all of us, especially for those kids and their golden retriever who was lucky enough to be at Ocean Beach playing with his family. Joy! Please, don't let them throw out the dog owners.
5027	I strongly oppose the plan to limit dog access to GGNRA. Currently I am not a dog owner and still love seeing them in these areas when I walk. Please don't let a few irresponsible people ruin the current access for the many who are very responsible.
5030	Families with children & dogs thrive on their off-leash, mixed use spaces! Please let us keep our 1\%!
5041	too many limitations do not make an order
5042	I have a dog, and I VOTE
5044	I STRONGLY OPPOSE the draft dog management plan. Essentially, this proposal is a solution looking for a problem. I urge you to rescind it immediately, and allow San Francisco dog owners to continue enjoying Fort Funston in a responsible manner.
5050	It is better educate people about responsible pet ownership instead of making the city less dog-friendly and leaving more dog out there waiting to be adopted.
5055	Pls keep this area available for dogs, and leash-free!
5063	This is ridiculous! Have you ever tried to play frisbee or throw a tennis ball for a dog on a leash? Stop this insanity, there are more dog owners than people with kids!!!
5064	dogs are cleaner than most humans and are the life of their owners
5070	There are not that many expansive off leash dog sites. If you take this away there will be next to none. People have been very responsible in general about controlling and picking up after their dogs. Please do not take away people's rights to walk their dogs (under voice control) at Crissy Fields, or Fort Funston.
5072	Beach runs are a good thing for humans and dogs.
5078	As dog owner and property owner in San Francisco, I love the fact that San Francisco is a dog-friendly environment where dog owners are - for the most part - very responsible. Please be sensitive to that fact in your plans.

#	Comments for consideration
5079	Former NPS intern Fort Funston
5084	we need off leash areas for our dogs!
5085	I oppose any action restricting the current off-leash law
5093	This is a great city for dogs, if these laws go into effect this city will no longer be a good place to have a dog and could turn off hundreds of dog owners. I have my dog off leash on several occassions and have never encoutered any problems.
5098	We are so fortunate to have such a wonderful place where walkers, bikers, runners, and everyone can enjoy it together. Since this was funded with private funding and the dog owners are dutiful to keep their dogs out of restricted areas, there is no reason to restrict the use of Crissy Field beach by dog owners. There are many beaches in San Francisco where dogs do not go And, healthy happy dogs need to run free--to least them would be a shame. In the 40 years I have been walking here I have never seen dogs attack or threaten people or children. Now that it is improved it should be free for everyone -- dog owners, parents, disabled -- everyone!
5105	This elevated anti-dog sentiment just makes the problems worse, infuriates dog owners, and encourages breaking the law. It also encourages irresponsible dog owners and hence, the bad dog behavior that the unenlightened are so afraid of. Fear does not solve problems!
5106	Don't punish everyone in the name of fear. Dogs are man's best friend and require some liberties too. Oppressing us is the cause of problems, not the answer!
5107	at least keep Ft. Funston
5108	My dog also opposes the DMP
5110	Keep areas open for dogs and their owners to enjoy.PLEASE.
5115	Dog version of Critical Mass.
5117	In my experience at least 95\% of dog owners are respectful of others who use the off leash areas, pick up after their dogs, and so appreciate areas where their dogs run free. Please do not limit these areas for off leash recreation.
5119	on behalf of Donut Marineau (Black Lab)
5120	I oppose this
5124	This is very important for this communities social, health and qulaity of life.
5136	One of the things my husband and I value the most about living in our neighborhood is our regular walks to the beach with our son and dog, Sadie. We, along with Sadie, would be truly saddened to be unable to share our experience with our son as he gets older.
5142	We love going to Fort Funston with our dog. There are so few places left in California where dogs are allowed. Please don't take this one away.
5143	Save Dog Walking Corridors!
5147	SF is one of the few truly dog friendly cities in California and this is specifically why we purchased a home here, so we could enjoy the beach and bay at Crissy Field with our dog off-leash. In many years of visits to SF's off-leash dog walking areas, I have never seen a problem. Take a lesson from Carmel's beautiful leash-free beach: prisine and open to ALL. There are more dog-owners than parents in SF...we are taxpayers and voters and have rights. The Plan curtails those rights severely for no good reason. This is not the way to approach decision-making in a democracy.
5148	Just about every dog-owner I have met in the last twenty years in Northern California is responsible, civic-minded and fair in dealing with people who do not have dogs. In this regard, the GGNRA Plan is unfounded, outrageous and unfair. It gets no pav from me!
5156	DOI has more pressing concerns than dogs...
5158	Save our leash free access!
5161	I would really like to support maintaining Fort Funston for leeash free dog activity.
5163	There is already such limited access to where dogs can be. Please don't limit them further. It is essential for their wellbeing on all levels to have the opportunity to be off leash. Thank you.
5164	People with dogs are very considerate and new regulations are not needed.
5165	This is a pleasant treasure for responsible dog owners and their dogs

#	Comments for consideration
5166	Please keep GGNRA open to dogs and off-leash dog working
5179	We just moved to the Presidio so that we could live in a community that allowed us to walk our dog off-leash.
5181	Every living creature needs exercise. For many breeds, if not for every one, walking on the leash is not enough to get daily norm. My vet bills will go up if my dog eventually get sick due to lack of exercise. Who will be covering those bills?
5182	Please consider this petition!
5189	dog owner
5195	very opposed!!!!
5196	let the dogs enjoy too
5197	Leave me and my dogs alone!
5203	Please keep this space available for our 4-legged companions.
5207	I oppose GGNRA Draft Dog Management Plan
5208	Keep Oakwood Valley leash free!
5209	§
5211	Dogs and humans belong together. Stop this plan to prevent us from sharing our lives with our dogs in nature.
5216	Over 90\% of GGNRA is no dogs now. All that is left is SF's sidewalks.
5217	As a dog owner, I go to the GGNRA parks 4-5 times a week and put in some serious miles. If my dog could not go with me or had to be on leash at all times, I would rarely go. I am for responsible dog ownership and ticketing for anyone who is not responsible. Limiting dogs or requiring all dogs to be on a leash will keep me and others like me who pick up litter, join in habitat restoration projects, and report issues of concern from using the park. We need the park and the park needs us. Please require responsible dog use, and allow people to be responsible for their dogs according to their dogs abilities. Ticket abusers.
5218	The GGNRA is meant to be an urban recreation area, not a nature preserve. Please do not restrict off-leash dog recreation in the GGNRA; exercising with their dogs there provides health benefits to thousands of people in the Bay Area.
5220	Not only am I responsible but my dog needs walking, and walking her is my only exercise since I am limited to what I can do
5222	We need more off leash areas, not less!
5223	Walking into a COMMUNIST State
5224	Dog owners vote OFTEN
5227	Love the accesability for dogs on the beach, leash control is fine since a lot of owners do not have voice control. Need a place to enjoy.
5230	Dogs are a part of more and more peoples lives in the Bay Area. We need to keep the recreational lands that are currently off leash. A well exercised dog makes for a calmer more stable friend.
5242	The idea that dogs have a more severe impact on environment and habitat than humans alone is flawed judgement. Wildlife and nature are more balanced and prepared for the presence of animals than for people. Birds can fly for a reason and human can be far more of a disruption than our dogs. Pet owners are a responsible part of society. Let dogs run free in the few places left for them to do so safely !
5245	I strongly oppose the Management Plan
5246	Please save our dogparks---they are one of the greatest features of our park system!
5249	Dogs have a right to live on the planet too.
5250	Loberty and happiness are not just for humans!
5254	do not dictate where someone can go. they're simply walking a dog!
5256	Dogs need to run and have open space, we share the earth
5260	enough bureaucracy!!!!
5261	the Bay Area's best attribute is that it's a dog friendly place to live. When I lived there I enjoyed many days walking my Lab in Crissy Field. Please don't alienate one of the biggest populations in your city. Use your power for something more constructiv

#	Comments for consideration
5262	I count myself as an environmentalist, and I support the protection of our wild lands their resident critters. I am also a dog owner. At least one-third of San Franciscans have dogs, and most would agree that the stingy 1\% of GGNRA land available for off-leash recreation can hardly be considered excessive. It seems our canine residents and their responsible owners deserve that 1\%, and our taxes should at least allow us this fleck of sand and grass. Increased fines and education are a more suitable response to unruly dogs and their owners, as opposed to the severe restrictions proposed by the GGNRA. Balance requires that we share this land, thus dogs and their respectful owners deserve an adequate amount (is 1\% really adequate?) of space for recreation and off-leash play. Such recreation provides necessary exercise and socialization for our City's dogs, and is one of those wonderful things that makes San Francisco special. Happy dogs, happy people, 99\% of GGNRA protected from dogs and people, BALANCE.
5263	As residents of Sausalito, my family and our dog Crush frequently use, and greatly appreciate, the on-leash and off-leash areas of the GGNRA. We feel there are not enough on-leash and off-leash trails in the Headlands as it now stands. As an example, we feel there should be off-leash permitted at Tennessee Valley Beach and on-leash on the main Tennessee Valley trail. Furthermore, restricting access for people with dogs contained in the Preferred Alternative is not warranted. It cannot be used to justify a fundamental violation of the recreational mandate that formed the reason the GGNRA was created. Severe restrictions are not needed to protect other park visitors from dogs. Problems with dogs represent a tiny fraction of the total incidents and citations issued by the GGNRA over the past decade. Of those incidents and citations issued to people with dogs the majority were leash law violations, or being in a closed area, and did not reflect dog/park visitor safety issues. We oppose GGNRA Dog Mgmt Plan.
5267	I am a responsible dog owner, and would like space for my dog to run off-leash.
5272	We Want Leash Free Recreation Now ! from a tax-paying, Nature Conservancy member, health professional, and dog owner .
5273	The dogs deserve places to play off leash.
5276	in opposition
5277	FROM:
5278	I strongly appose the draft GGNRA plan
5280	My dog is very well behaved and loves the beach, she deserves to be off leash enjoying what people can enjoy.
5285	Greatly opposed to this poorly thought out plan.
5287	Keep our Parks for off leash dogs with the same restrictions as we currently have 1\% of our parks for off leash and allow all of our community to enjoy our walks with our dogs. I do not want any more restrictions and believe that this is infringing on my personal use and wellbeing. It will cost our parks a million dollars of wasted funds and take away from our rights as responsible dog owners. I am a voter and plan to follow this to the very end., The impact it will have on our community will be tremendous and am afraid that many dogs will end up in shelters and put to sleep due to over crowding. I get my daily exercise everyday and walk on all of the beaches that are in question, thank you. Miriam Browne
5299	There are so few places where i can take my dog already to close the few that are left would make me consider moving somewhere that is more balanced and can share with all other outdoor groups.
5301	Please keep open sapce for our dogs!!
5302	please keep open to dogs
5304	As I consider moving my company and it's jobs back to the Bay area I pause. Strange for a tech company that wants to access talent and also capital. As a hard worker I enjoy my recreation. In the past this included time with my dogs at Ft. Funston, Chrissy field and various parts of the GGNRA in Marin County. Removing access to these areas also remove incentives for me to move jobs and related tax revenue back to the Bay area.
5311	thank you!
5315	Dogs need to be able to run as we do!
5318	I LOVE TO EXERCISE WITH MY DOG AND I ENJOY AND SUPPORT ALLOWING DOGS TO CONTINUE TO BE ALLOWED OFF LEASH
5332	Access to open space for dogs and their owners is essential to the quality of life in the Bay Area. Don't take it away!
5334	This would impact our family in that we Might not be able to keep our dog if we can't bring him to OB that we live on if we can't let him off leash while we play.

#	Comments for consideration
5339	San Francisco's dog population is well behaved compared to other areas because of the off leash parks helping to socialize them!
5340	Let the doggies run free!!!
5341	Recreation areas and pets are important to people! Visitors to recreation areas need to be able to bring their dogs with them. Many people would choose not to support public recreation areas if they were not able to bring their pets there!
5342	this is unnecessary and unfair
5345	Preserve our off-leash parks
5354	Off leash socializing makes dogs happier, safer pets.
5355	this is a short sighted measure for the few. The dogs do not bother people, if they are well behaved. SF purports to be one of the most "dog friendly" cities in the country. But I have not found this to be the case in many ways. This would only continue to send a mixed message in a city with more pets than children. I hope you will reconsider this harsh redaction. thx
5360	We oppose this plan. Keep areas open for recreation with dogs.
5371	This plan is ridiculous. Well socialized dogs have been empirically shown to be more friendly and docile around humans. Let these beautiful innocent animals run around in the park and delight in nature and their human companions!
5372	I think this is a draconian and unnecessary move that will put pressure on the few remaining dog parks in the city that allow off leash dogs and encourage calls for No off-leash areas at all. That's not what most San Franciscans want.
5374	Stop this unnecessary legislation!
5381	Keep Ft. Funston open to dogs and the beach off limits to dogs
5382	Let the dogs be off leash at Fort Funston
5386	Fort Fun is where it's at! We must have space to walk our dogs where they can roam freely and learn how to socialize with others. If we make dogs walk on leash, San Francisco wouldn't be the dog-friendly city anymore.
5387	please help let our dogs run free.
5389	there are plenty of places for people to walk, bike, hike, etc. but very few where dogs can actually run and catch balls! Please leave these very few space available for our dogs!
5390	We need off leash dog parks to maintain current city parks as well as general public safety. If a dog is consistently fenced in it will not be exercised as much as it needs and the energy may be negatively focused and become a public nuisance.
5400	We are responsible dog guardians! Thank you.
5404	Please share the open space and allow off leash areas. This is space to be used by all. Right now there is very limited off space areas for our dogs and people to enjoy. There should not be one group that dominates the areas. We need to all share our resources. Please save our off leash areas! Dogs lower stress and make people healthier however part of that is exercising your dog in our beautiful parks. I am a responsible dog owner, with a great dog who loves being off leash. He is a joy to everyone that we encounter. Carmel has it right with shared time, on and off leash hours on their public space. Let's adapt something similar. Thank you.
5405	We need to preserve and increase the amount of areas we can take our dogs off leash. We need to share our resources not have it dominated by one group. Carmel shares its open space with specific off leash dog times. Let's adapt something like that. It is the most reasonable plan to accommodate everyone's needs not just one group. It is time to share our resources with dog lovers.
5406	I used to have a dog & I used to live in Aptos And I am all for dogs to have a section of the beach to run freely, play frisbee & play with other dogs. But I also believe that ALL dog owners should pick up their dog poop !!
5407	I take my dog to Ocean Beach every weekend, and have never observed dogs disrupting wildlife. A great majority of the people using Ocean Beach are there with dogs, and most of the dogs are very well behaved. I think the proposed restrictions are draconian, and I oppose the plan.
5408	I support Alternative A
5416	Dear GGNRA Supervisors:
5418	you've cut off your nose to spite your face, again.
5419	Stop the Madness!

#	Comments for consideration
5421	Please keep Fort Funston dog-friendly!
5432	If you want SF to be like Los Angeles (full of douche bags) go ahead with your stupid plan.
5441	please don't restrict responsible dog owners this way, please.
5442	The proposed plan is far too restrictive. Please consider a more balanced solution.
5444	Please help us save our dog parks!!
5448	Keep the off leash rule alive!
5453	Many places already ban dogs.
5455	This goes against everything that makes SF wonderful!
5458	dogs need a place too
5459	Please keep the parks open to people and dogs and allow off leash areas to continue. Thank you
5460	Please keep off leash parks open to people and their pets
5463	As a former resident of San Francisco, I am aware of how important it is to allow areas/access for responsible pet owners to bring their dogs to run off leash. Please do not restrict the already limited ability for people to let their dogs off leash in the SF/Bay Area
5466	Dogs need off leash!
5467	Keep dogs off leash!!!!
5471	One of the primary reasons I live in the SF bay area is the dog friendly beaches and trails. As the responsible owner of three rescued dogs, I need off leash access to the beaches and parks to enable my active dogs to get the exercise they need to be healthy in a manner that is time efficient for me so I may fit them into my busy working schedule. I would propose requiring dog walkers to obtain licenses for a fee to generate income and enforce fines if dog owners do not pick up their dog's waste.
5472	Loss of the parks and beaches would be devastating. Please consider other options such as generating state and/or federal revenue through licensing and fines.
5478	As a 26 year resident of Muir beach I see the dog-friendly beach as recreation for many people within the description of a National Recreation area. Our community is aging and often a beach walk with a dog is the optimal activity for many.
5480	please don't do this!
5482	GGNRA legislation designated this park a recreation area, a park for the people, ggnra,s dog policy is a park against the people
5498	San Franciscans have to have dogs and dogs have to have a place to run. It's a quality-of-life issue for many many city-dwellers!
5500	There are not many areas my dogs can roam free. I am a responsible dog owner. All my dog are under voice command. I clear up after my dogs and more if needed. Please allow my dogs to enjoy life as they were created to run free.
5505	I'm a responsible dog owner who picks up after her dogs and trains them to be safe (to themselves and others) on and off leash. I see people on bikes "chewing up" nature and being reckless. Horses making an impact on the environment (not necessarily positive). I see adults and children littering and damaging plants. Why are dogs and owners being targeted? We should have the same rights and opportunities to enjoy the great outdoors.
5508	Less government intrusion please
5514	a responsible dog owner for fifteen years
5515	I've lived in the bay area for most of my life and love the access to parks and beach areas for my dogs and myself. Please do not allow a few individuals to restrict our current enjoyment of the bay area outdoors.
5519	Humans are much worse for the environment & safety. Why are dogs and owners being targeted? We should have the same rights and opportunities to enjoy the great outdoors.
5523	My family includes kids and dogs - please preserve places where we can all roam free and teach the next generation to love and care for nature.