

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
VOLUME I						
1.	PWR	-	-	-	Please be sure to address comments from CRUSIUS, ALTMAN, and NICHOLSON. Consider which comments require discussion with GOGA Steering Committee to develop a response. Share responses with GOGA as part of the administrative record.	CRITICAL
2.						
3.	BA	Abst	i	35	Strike "...and buildings" (Throughout document.) "Historic structures" is inclusive.	
4.	NH	ExSm	v	4	...time, the park...	
5.	NH	ExSm	v	9	Sub " young " for "maturing"	
6.	NH	ExSm	v	17	Strike: "still growing urban development of"	
7.	NH	ExSm	v	19	Add: ...open space preservation and recreational use.	
8.	NH	ExSm	v	24	Change "has" to " have "	
9.	NH	ExSm	v	26	Change "incorporated into" to " reflected in "	
10.	NH	ExSm	v	35	Del. "The". Begin sentence with " Strategies... "	
11.	NH	ExSm	vi	28	Change/insert "...Presidio of San Francisco (including the Main Post, Crissy Field and Baker Beach), Fort..."	
12.	NH	ExSm		30	Change "are" to " is "	
13.	NH	ExSm	vii	14	Del the first " the country "	
14.	NH	ExSm	vii	30	Add "...Miwok People " to header	
15.	NH	ExSm	viii	11	Change: "...deeply rooted, distinctive, and will continue to provide direction and focus future park management... "	
16.	NH	ExSm	ix	13	"...critical resources and habitat linkages , aid in..."	
17.	BA	ExSm	ix	14	Spell: Bolinas	
18.	NH	ExSm	ix	15	Change "resources" to " areas "	
19.	BA	ExSm	ix	19	Check for consistent use of CO2 in document – with or without the 2 as a subscript? (Page 79, line 14...)	
20.	BA	ExSm	ix	25	Can you round to figure to " almost \$7,000,000 "	
21.	NH	ExSm	ix	34	Make Miwok singular	
22.	NH	ExSm	ix	39	Start sentence with " It " (del "The policy")	
23.	NH	ExSm	x	14	Add: "...strategies are identified for..."	
24.	BA	ExSm	x +	-	Should we add concise cost summaries for Common and all Alternatives in the Exec. Summ.	CRITICAL
25.	NH	ExSm	xi	1	Change to "...subsequent more detailed implementation plans."	
26.	BA	ExSm	xi	9-10	Insert " collections " after "maintenance facilities"; and end: "...also continue to operate where currently located in the park."	
27.	NH	ExSm	xi	13	Insert "... coastal greenbelt"	
28.	NH	ExSm	xi	25	Change "offer unlimited ways" to " present many opportunities for visitors to explore... "	
29.	NH	ExSm	xi	33	End: "...guidance; and Mori Point for which a detailed landscape restoration plan was recently executed. "	
30.	BA	ExSm	xi	37	Standardize name: San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Peninsula Watershed.	
31.	NH	ExSm	xi	41	Insert: "...a variety of..."	
32.	NH	ExSm	xii	3	Change "exacerbated" to " accelerated "	
33.	NH	ExSm	xii	4	Strike the phrase in parens.	
34.	NH	ExSm	xii	6	Add "...areas would continue to be somewhat piecemeal , and subject..."	
35.	NH	ExSm	xiv	25	Add "...the visitor facilities..."	
36.	NH	ExSm	xiv	28	Del "...improve a number of trailheads..."	
37.	NH	ExSm	xiv	31	Change: "...continue to have an important role in preserving..."	
38.	NH	ExSm	xiv	33	Del "... all park sites..."	
39.	NH	ExSm	xv	18	Change "increasing" to " improving "	
40.	NH	ExSm		21	Insert "... regional trail network. Equestrian facilities would continue to have an important role in recreation and stewardship. A comprehensive..." See if this needs to be added to later descriptions of Alternative 1?	
41.	BA	ExSm	xvi	35-40	Combine bullets 4 and 6? (Redundant?)	
42.	NH	ExSm	xvii	13	For Steering Committee: do we want to add to end of para (Alt 2): " Facilities and other built infrastructure could be removed to reconnect fragmented habitats and achieve other ecosystem goals. " Seems important to this concept.	CRITICAL
43.	NH	ExSm	xviii	21	Change "that" to " to "	
44.	NH	ExSm	xx	18	Add "...some construction and restoration activities..."	
45.	NH	ExSm		31	Change "birds" to " an expanding bird population "	
46.	NH	ExSm	xxiv	17	Add: "...and how the saving of these few acres..."	
47.	BA/ASF	ExSm	xxiv	34	New language for bullet 4: "Establishing a preservation stewardship workshop would have a long term beneficial impact on cultural resources. "	
48.	NH	ExSm	xxv	26	Change: "...note that not all of the actions in the alternative will require more detailed study and implementation planning, and that not all of the actions will be implemented immediately."	
49.						
50.	BA/SH	1-Bk	3	30	"...such as early fortifications on Alcatraz Island, Forts Cronkite and Barry in the Marin Headlands. " Strike Muir Woods .	
51.	BA/SH	1-Bk	3	32	Change "forts" to " batteries "	
52.	BA/SH	1-Bk	3	35	Change "military history" to " the US Army in World Wars I and II. "	
53.	BA/DH	1-Bk	3	41	Change "...and California red-legged frog, Coho salmon and steelhead trout inhabit the clean waters of Redwood Creek as it flows through Muir Woods. "	
54.	BA	1-Bk	4	5	Strike operational	
55.	BA	1-Bk	4	6	Change " thwarted " to " hindered "	
56.	BA	1-Bk	4	17-18	Drop the quotation marks.	
57.	BA	1-Bk	11	Map	Make offshore boundary lines in San Mateo county match map on p. 75: No offshore properties in San Mateo except the Fitzgerald.	
58.	BA	1-Bk	18	Pa 1	Add s : "command posts "	
59.		1-Bk	31	37	Header should be " Land Acquisition " – drop Strategy	
60.		1-Bk	37	3	Standardize term: "Lower Fort Mason" or " lower Fort Mason "?	
61.		1-Bk	39	39	Insert: " Trails Forever program, in partnership with the Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy "	

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
VOLUME I						
62.	BA	1-Bk	40	12	We may want to cite the Redwood Creek Watershed Vision in the Appendix, not here?	
63.	BA	1-Bk	40	31	Drop “ Draft Envir...”	
64.	BA	1-Bk	40	34	“ Southern Marin Equestrian Stables Plan and Environmental Assessment ” Also add new bullet for “ Visitor Facility at Lands End ” [We are in the middle of designing and constructing this \$3-4,000,000 facility. Very public process.]	
65.	BA	1-Bk	41	2	Do we need to add “ Point Reyes National Seashore General management Plan and EIS – an update to the 1980 GMP that covered both the GGNRA and Point Reyes NS. ” ?	
66.						
67.	BA	2-Bldg	45	-	Suggest re-titling this “ Management Alternatives. ” I’m not that comfortable with the way this section is separated from the other Alternatives chapter. The 3 CONCEPTS are important parts of the Alternatives. We need to revisit this in conjunction with WASO/PWR comments on Zone booklet.	CRITICAL
68.	BA	2-Bldg	49	-	An option: Only give the first para of each concepts here, i.e. lines 12-17. Put the whole thing along with the description of the alternative in Chapter 4?	CRITICAL
69.	BA	2-Bldg	50	23	For Steering Committee: do we want to add to end of para (Alt 2): “ Facilities and other built infrastructure could be removed to reconnect fragmented habitats and achieve other ecosystem goals. ”	CRITICAL
70.	BA	2-Bldg	?	-	Do we need a section on Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Consideration? (Draw on concept development workshop? Public input? Etc.) And should it be part of Chapter 2?	CRITICAL
71.						
72.	BA	3-Com	61	-	Recommend a more generic park image for this divider page since Alcatraz image suggest this is the Alcatraz chapter. (Maybe the one used for Chapter 4, p. 109 is better here?)	
73.	BA	3-Com	63	-	Include list of topics? (Del. Redwood Creek vision?)	
74.	BA	3-Com	64	-	Should this be titled “ Boundary Adjustments ”?	
75.	WASO	3-Com	64	-	Address WASO Lands comments about processes for boundary adjustments. (DSC: are there other Lands comments to address?)	CRITICAL
76.	BA	3-Com	70	29	Change to : “Audubon Canyon Ranch ” not society	
77.	BA	3-Com	72	11-17	REPLACE: “ Muir Woods Welcome Center-Marin County Intercept Facility The park anticipates requesting the authority to extend the boundary to include an off-site location for a facility that would serve visitors to Muir Woods and other recreational destinations in Marin County. The facility would support improved shuttle service to Muir Woods and public transit to other nearby recreational areas, including Tennessee Valley, Muir Beach, Mount Tamalpais State Park, Stinson Beach and Point Reyes National Seashore. A key benefit would to reduce congestion associated with growing recreational travel to southern and western Marin County. This facility would be located in the vicinity of Caltrans Manzanita park-and-ride, at the intersection of State Route 1 and Highway 101. It would provide necessary visitor services such as parking, sheltered waiting areas, light snacks, restrooms, and orientation information. The project would be developed in close collaboration with Marin County, California State Parks, Caltrans, and local communities.”	
78.	BA	3-Com	73	-	Steering Committee: do we want to refer to the “clay field” at Rancho? Confirm that this could be an administrative action.	CRITICAL
79.	BA	3-Com	77	map	Re-label the map with the new title: Muir Woods Welcome Center-Marin County Intercept Facility	
80.	BA	3-Com	75-76	-	Consult with Craig Scott on any updates or edits to the Boundary Adjustment maps. New info regularly from PWR Lands.	
81.	AsF	3-Com	81	19	Add “... proactive triage...”	
82.	AsF	3-Com	81	29+	Add Bullet: “ Identify and document cultural resources to prioritize treatment needs. ”	
83.	BA	3-Fac	84	9	Change: “...removal of deteriorating structures that do not significantly contribute to cultural resource preservation-the history of the park. Removal would...”	
84.	BA	3-Fac	85	-	Retitle this as “ Facilities for Maintenance, Public Safety and Museum Storage. ” This is consistent with Exec. Summ. And would put this (alphabetically) ahead of the section on “Facilities Not Directly Related to the Park Mission” – which makes better sense (to me).	
85.	BA	3-Fac	87	12-18	As we address WASO comments on Museum facilities consider these points: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maintenance facility at Cavalry Stables is in same position as Collections – it’s dependent upon an interagency agreement. - No-Action Alternative for Maintenance and Collections needs to be fleshed out. Perhaps this moves the first paragraph on page 85 (lines 3-18) to the end of the section (page 87, following line 18). Add language about actions the park is taking to improve facilities. (for example, Archeology lab and maintenance office staff moved to Fort Cronkhite...) See related comments in Affected Environment ch. - We may want to cite the Presidio Trust Act (as amended through December 28, 2001) which states in Section 103 (b) “With the consent of the Secretary, the Trust may at any time transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary any other properties within the Presidio which are surplus to the needs of the Trust and which serve essential purposed of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.” Coordinate any changes with Volume II-pages 100-101. (See edits from ASF)	
86.	BA	3-Fac	87	-	Since these actions have substantial costs (more than the other “Common” actions) should we add a simple cost table here? Notice that we have costs in the previous pages (83-84).	
87.	BA	3-Fac	87	-	We think there should be a simple map of these facilities.	
88.	BA	3-OcSt	91	1	Simplify title: “Support a Seamless Network of Ocean Protected Areas. ”	

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
VOLUME I						
89.	BA	3-Coll	94	-	This section needs to be coordinated with the other facility section. Suggest we keep this focused on goals and do not go into detail on the museum FACILITY itself. Change the title to COLLECTIONS so it appears earlier in the Common section. Refer the reader to the “Facilities for Maintenance, Public Safety and Museum Storage” section for more detail on the proposed facility. Coordinate any changes with Volume II-pages 100-101. (See edits from ASF)	
90.	AsF	3-Coll	95	1	Change to “Strengthen the Collection”	
91.	AsF	3-Coll	95	2	Add new first bullet to read “Strengthen the collection by focusing on representations of the park’s themes and varied resources.”	
92.	AsF	3-Coll	95	15	Edit: “...accessible and information is available for educational programming, research and exhibits. ” (Delete “relevant” phrase.)	
93.	BA	3-Coll	95	-	Move section on “Preserve and Maintain the Collections” as 1 st section under GOALS. And make the last bullet (Establish...) the first. Add reference to the “Facilities for Maintenance, Public Safety and Museum Storage” section for more detail on the proposed facility. [Change bullet format to not repeat the bold dot for subsections.]	
94.	BA	3-Tran	103	16	For DSC/Steering Comm deliberation: Should the plan identify possible locations for instituting parking fees? Does this need to be “disclosed” even if much additional analysis will be necessary to develop an implementation plan? Would this need analysis in Volume II? See our approach for Muir Woods where we may need to be more explicit.	CRITICAL
95.	BA	3-Tran	103	22	Add: “...promoting transit options, reservations , shifting...(such as parking fees).”	
96.	BA	3-Trail	105	1	This section should come BEFORE Transportation - alphabetically. (Fix in TOC too.)	
97.	BA	3-Trail	105	41	Insert: “...regional and national trail system...”	
98.	BA	3-Trail	106	1	Add “Juan Bautista de Anza National Historic Trail” and “American Discovery Trail” to the list.	
99.	Haller	3-Trail	106	12	Change to “Integrate improvements to the surrounding cultural landscape and natural habitats when creating or rehabilitating trails...”	
100.						
101.	Crusius	4-Alts	-	-	See Martha’s comments about organizing Chapters 4 and 5. In particular, it may help the reader to follow her advice about making 3 separate chapters for Alcatraz, Rest of Park (Marin, SF, SM Co.) and Muir Woods.	CRITICAL
102.	BA	4-Alts	111	3	Find new phrase instead of “look and feel” .	
103.	BA	4-Alts	111	-	Should the Concepts chapter be part of this Alternatives chapter?	
104.	BA	4-Alts	?	-	Please include the 13 concept sketches and their captions for the preferred alternative. These were sent in August. Can re-send. Expectation is that these will be quite small - no larger than 1/3 page.	
105.	BA	4 and 5	?	-	Summary Tables may need focused review by Steering Committee AFTER team has had a chance to make the bulk of the edits.	CRITICAL
106.	BA	4-Alts and also Vol. 2	?	-	Verify consistent use of Alcatraz nomenclature/terminology. Please verify that terms such as Dock (not Pier), Post Exchange (not Officers Club), New Industries (not Laundry). These terms occur in Summary, Alternatives, Affected Envir., Impacts... They correct casual terminology that was identified while doing the new CLR for the island. This includes changing any use of the phrase “stabilized as a ruin ”; these should just say “stabilized.” (Policy was clarified during CLR.)	
107.	BA	4-Alts	114	25+	Should the Sustainability paragraph simply be “Same as Alternative 3”? Similar reference could be for the other Alts, ie. on page 119, line 5.	
108.	BA	4-Alts	115	-	Please include the map of Alcatraz from 1980 GMP as No-Action.	
109.	MSavidge	4-Alts	116+	28	For DSC/Steering Comm deliberation: Mike recalls the planning team designating the main Alcatraz departure/embarkation facility as a “portal” to the park. Should we include this language in Alternative 1 (where it makes most sense)? Other alternatives?	
110.	BA/DH	4-Alts	118	23-24	Add: “(western coastal cliffs and Little Alcatraz Island)” And in the following para: “...coastal cliffs, rocks , and tide pools...” There should be similar reference to Little Alcatraz (partially submerged rock) in the other alternatives: pages 114/18, 125/24, 134/2.	
111.	BA	4-Alts	121	Map	Use CAD base. The photo graphic is too imprecise to show the carefully negotiated zoning. Color for Little Alcatraz should be PINK/Sensitive .	
112.	BA	4-Alts	127	Map	Use CAD base. Color for Little Alcatraz should be PINK/Sensitive .	
113.	BA	4-Alts	132	6-9	Move 2 nd sentence (To better...) to after 3 rd sentence (Period restoration...) It now improperly separates 2 sentences about Building 64.	
114.	ASF	4-Alts	133	11	Change “conservation/stewardship workshop.” To “preservation stewardship...”	
115.	BA/DH	4-Alts	133	21	Add to end of para: “...nesting waterbirds. Vehicular access through this area to the adjacent Power Plant yard would employ measures to protect habitat, possibly including seasonal closures. ”	
116.	BA	4-Alts	137	Map	Use CAD base. Color for Little Alcatraz should be PINK/Sensitive .	
117.	BA	4-Alts	142	Tab	Vision/Alt 2: Visitor experience at the prison would be SIMILAR TO Alternative 1... Not same.	
118.	Haller	4-Alts	142	Tab	Vision/Alt 3: “Visitor experience could be expanded to include the historic Alcatraz dock at Fort Mason (Pier 4).”	
119.	Haller	4-Alts	142	Tab	Ferry Embarkation/Alt. 3: see comment above.	C
120.	Haller	4-Alts	142	Tab	Arrival Area/Alt. 3: We should add a statement about the sally port (see text in alt. 3) “in order to improve visitor experience of the military and early prison history of the island,” and we need to have explicit reference to the removal of the later boathouse addition in order to facilitate 106 compliance with that objective through the EIS and PA on the GMP.	
121.	BA/DH	4-Alts	143	Tab	Main Prison/Alt. 3: Strike last phrase: “...support wildlife. and the piles of rubble would be removed. ”	CRITICAL
122.	BA/NH	4-Alts	148+	20 and 28	Please drop the heading “Marin Headlands:” from this and all other alternatives. It’s confusing since Capehart, Conzelman, Kirby Cove and Pt Bonita are also in the Headlands. This heading also appears on pp. 167/7+16, 191/30, 192/3, and 211/9+12. This does not	

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					VOLUME I	
					affect the zoning maps.	
123.	PWR	4-Alts	155	1	VARIOUS LOCATIONS in each alternative for San Mateo Co.: Incorporate references to Juan Bautista De Anza National Historic Trail in text on Peninsula Watershed and possibly Phleger. See email with proposed text from Steve Ross/PWR-JUBA.	
124.	BA	4-Alts	156	23	Sarah: Can we/should we provide any further breakdown on One-Time cap costs for No-Action?	
125.	BA	4-Alts	163	1	Re-design headings? Hard to read and understand as the preferred alternative.	
126.	BA	4-Alts	163	-	For DSC/Steering Comm deliberation: Should the introductory paragraphs for ea. alternative in Marin/SF/SM also mention the key Common actions? (Maintenance and Collections facilities, boundary adjustments...?)	
127.	NH	4-Alts	163	8	Del. "would"	
128.	NH	4-Alts	163	9	Change: "...in what has been called "the wilderness next door." (No reference to John Hart.)	
129.	NH	4-Alts	163	15	Add "...the visitor facilities..."	
130.	NH	4-Alts	163	20	Strike "a number of"	
131.	Haller	4-Alts	163	21	Add: "...at popular destinations such as the coastal fortifications. "	
132.	NH/BA	4-Alts	163	31	Move 2 nd sentence (Visitor facilities...) to 5 th sentence (after "A warming hut..."). Replace "ranger" with " staff ".	
133.	NH	4-Alts	164	22	Add: "...of park visitors while retaining the scenic rural character. "	
134.	NH	4-Alts	164	33	Del "...center facilities and provide..."	
135.	NH/DH	4-Alts	164	34	Add sentence at end of para: " Improvements would take into account the dynamic geologic conditions of the site. "	
136.		4-Alts	165	14	Add: "...Existing buildings would be rehabilitated to support..."	
137.		4-Alts	165	29	" lagoon " (singular)	
138.	NH/Roth	4-Alts	165	37-39	Revise: "...support park and community needs . Site improvements to accommodate a small trailhead and rural transit stop..." (No reference to the VFD.)	
139.	Haller	4-Alts	166	23	Add: "Equestrian facilities would be retained and could be expanded while protecting the historic character of the former dairy ranch . Site improvements..."	
140.	BA	4-Alts	166	34	Change " existing multiuse" to " main multiuse"	
141.	BA/Lucas	4-Alts	166	37	Del. " walk-in. " It will also be accessible to bicyclists and equestrians.	
142.	Haller	4-Alts	167	16	DSC TO VERIFY: Fort Cronkhite, Fort Barry and the Diverse Opportunities Zone needs language to ensure that the level of visitor opportunity remains consistent with preservation of historic buildings and landscapes of the these former military posts, and especially that the (literally) unique Fort Cronkhite World War II cantonment receives careful protection consistent with its national significance and with commitments made in the Crissy Field EIS to mitigate the removal of the only other complete World War II cantonment in the park. Back on 2/3/10 I sent Brian and Stephan an e-mail suggesting the following language for this section, and I still feel it is appropriate: This zone would be managed to provide visitors with a variety of recreational, educational, and stewardship activities <i>consistent with the protection of the nationally significant cultural resources in the area</i> . Visitor amenities could be expanded to include improved trailheads, accessible trails, camping, picnicking, and orientation. These facilities would welcome visitors and give access to the adjacent natural areas. Fort Cronkhite would become the visitor portal to the Headlands. This alternative would build upon the nucleus of existing programs offered by the park and its partners that contribute to the concept of a "Center for the Environment." Rehabilitated structures and limited new construction would continue to be used by the park and its partners to provide visitors with an expanded menu of opportunities that are strongly linked to the park's purpose. Programs would focus on environmental education, science, history and culture, recreation, healthy lifestyle activities, and special events. Housing for staff, interns, and volunteers of the park and its partners would be provided within this zone. A warming hut combining information and food service would be developed in a location near both the beach and a transit stop, replacing the existing chapel visitor center at Fort Barry. <i>In 1994, the park removed a national landmark WWII cantonment to restore Crissy Field, with the explicit understanding that the one at Fort Cronkhite would be preserved and interpreted. The Fort Cronkhite cantonment is not only highly representative of the once-ubiquitous 700-Series World War II mobilization cantonments; it is considered the best-preserved example of its type in the nation. Every effort will be made to enhance the historic scene while creating diverse visitor opportunities in this zone.</i> Fort Barry and other historic sites and structures within this zone would continue to support programs provided by the park and its partners consistent with the concept described for Fort Cronkhite. Equestrian facilities would be supported in this area of the Headlands. This zone would also continue to provide for park operational needs including maintenance, public safety, staff offices, and a stewardship-nursery facility. The chapel at Fort Barry could be adapted as a multi-use meeting and program facility.	
143.	BA/NH	4-Alts	167	32	Are we consistent in use of "warming hut"? Should we change "facility" to WH?	
144.	Haller	4-Alts	168	8	Add: "...and structures, such as Wolf Ridge and Batteries Townsley and Mendell , in the natural..."	
145.	NH/BA	4-Alts	168	18	Change "...would be considered...also... " to " constructed within this zone, also south of Bunker Road." Also occurs on Alts 2 and 3: pages 192/21 and 211/30 (see map edits for Alt 3/Marin) -- Common action.	
146.	NH	4-Alts	168	25	Insert: "...create a more natural and scenic entrance..."	
147.	AsF	4-Alts	170	7	Del. " state-of-the-art "	
148.	NH/BA	4-Alts	170	34	Make it " Golden Gate Promenade/San Francisco Bay Trail "	
149.	BA/Haller	4-Alts	170	38	Change: "The historic district's batteries and landscape would be restored and rehabilitated The park would transform including the overgrown gardens on the east and northeast slopes. The park would and retain the community garden in its current location.	
150.	BA/CF	4-Alts	170	41	Change: "This alternative anticipates improved access to the park Fort Mason by the development of a water shuttle at lower Fort Mason, improved walking paths, expansion of the F-Line, and development of the bus rapid transit on Van Ness	

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					VOLUME I	
					Avenue.	
151.	NH/BA	4-Alts	171	4	Add to end: "...San Francisco to improve the experience of arriving at Fort Mason through Aquatic Park and Gashouse Cove at Laguna Street and Marina Boulevard.	
152.	Haller	4-Alts	171	15	Change "last" to " rare. " It just isn't true. There are similar shorelines at Hunters Point.	
153.	NH/DH	4-Alts	172	8	Move the " In Both Zones " section to right below " Ocean Beach " heading. Revise as follows: "This alternative supports the NPS and City of San Francisco's interest in a broad approach to redesigning the Ocean Beach corridor and exploring sustainable approaches to sea level rise. The park would continue to work with the City of San Francisco, California Coastal Commission and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to address coastal erosion: relocating facilities out of vulnerable locations and restoring natural processes to maximize protection of the beach for its natural and recreational values. "	
154.	DAH	4-Alts	172	34	Insert: "...trail improvements and protecting and restoring natural..."	
155.	DAH	4-Alts	173	5-7	Del. "...shorebirds, including the threatened western snowy plover, coastal..." Further below: change " possible " to " feasible. "	
156.	BA	4-Alts	173	24	In general, we should strike the phrase " In this alternative " at the beginning of paragraphs. Just start this sentence with " Park lands... "	
157.	NH	4-Alts	173	34	Change: "...access and engaging the community in these..."	
158.	NH	4-Alts	173	37	Change "increasing" to " improving "	
159.		4-Alts	174	3	Add to end of para: " Collaboration with the community and Caltrans would focus on providing safe access to park sites along Highway 1. " [Please also double-check for consistent use of either "Highway 1" or State Route 1.]	
160.	Haller	4-Alts	174	27	Change: "...endangered species. Heavily Disturbed areas would be restored and coastal fortifications would be preserved. "	
161.	NH	4-Alts	175	24-25	Del. " enhanced ", and " by permit "	
162.	NH	4-Alts	175	29	Change " or " to a comma (,)	
163.	NH	4-Alts	176	6	For DSC/Steering Committee deliberation: Do we have new information about the future of these areas that would change the alternatives? Should we strike reference to Devil's Slide since the road won't likely come to NPS? Existing text: "These lands, if acquired, would be managed to maintain natural features and scenic beauty and to continue with the habitat restoration and access improvements initiated by the community and other agencies. Trailheads and trails would be developed and enhanced to improve accessibility and connections to the California Coastal Trail and adjacent public lands. Public access to Devil's Slide and the surrounding coastal bluffs west of existing State Route 1 would be managed to protect nesting seabirds and historic sites. Collaboration with adjacent land managers would be essential."	CRITICAL
164.	Haller	4-Alts	176	13	Add: "...nesting seabirds and historic sites. "	
165.	NH/BA	4-Alts	176	22	Strike " In this zone... "	
166.	NH/BA	4-Alts	176	23	Strike " multiuse "	
167.	NH/BA	4-Alts	176	27	Add "... northwest corner along Whiting Ridge. "	
168.	NH/BA	4-Alts	176	32	Insert: "...of this new area."	
169.	NH/BA	4-Alts	176	34	Strike " Although " and change sentence to: "Equestrian uses would be retained at Rancho Corral de Tierra, with the exact location, type, and scale of facility improvements determined in future planning efforts. Park managers..."	
170.	NH/BA	4-Alts	177	1	Change: "...sited where to preserve natural and cultural resources would be preserved and where compatible..."	
171.	BA	4-Alts	177	7	Typo: " plant "	
172.	BA	4-Alts	177	13	Simply " Rancho ", not " the Rancho."	
173.	NH/DAH	4-Alts	177	27	Insert: "...to provide trail-based recreation..."	
174.	NH/DAH	4-Alts	177	29	Insert: "...ecosystem, including West Union Creek and threatened and endangered species, would be protected and restored. and managed for trail-based recreation. The history..."	
175.	Haller	4-Alts	177	38	Add: "and habitat improvements. The park would explore community trailheads and partnerships with the Woodside Store historic site. "	
176.	NH	4-Alts	178	7	Insert "... from the Phleger Estate..."	
177.	NH	4-Alts	178	13	Del. the	
178.	NH	4-Alts	178	17	Date is 2004	
179.	NH	4-Alts	178	27	Simplify to " Watershed Management Plan " here.	
180.	BA/DH	4-Alts	178	33+	Change: "The reserve offshore area between Montara State Beach and Ross Cove, has been designated as the Montara State Marine Reserve, would not permit no fishing, harvesting, or collecting would be allowed in this area. The reserve area between Ross Cove and Pillar Point Harbor, has been designated as the Pillar Point Marine Conservation Area, would allow some fishing would be allowed in this area."	
181.	AsF	4-Alts	179	31	Start sentence with: " The cultural resources division would need funds to conduct baseline studies to inventory and identify resources. In addition, staff would support a series of rehabilitation..."	
182.	BA	4-Alts	180	Tab	For DSC/Steering Committee deliberation: Given the heightened interest in Ocean Beach should we show a cost line for rehab projects here?	CRITICAL
183.	BA	4-Alts	181	Tab	For DSC/Steering Committee deliberation: What is the \$6,680,000 new construction project for sustainable housing -? Too speculative? DELETE?	CRITICAL
184.	BA	4-Alts	181	Tab	For DSC/Steering Committee deliberation: Re-title the Rancho project as: " Rancho Corral de Tierra equestrian facilities improvements "? Not relocation which is a very sensitive topic.	
185.	CS	4-Alts	183	Map	Strike reference to " offshore distance " in the legend. Also appears on the other Marin maps pages 203 and 221	
186.	CS	4-Alts	187	Map	Ross Cove lies just north of Pillar Point . Fix leader/label. Also on the other San Mateo maps pages 207 and 225.	
187.	BA	4-Alts	194	4	Insert: "...structures, including Pier 4, would bring..."	
188.	BA	4-Alts			Change: "...endangered species habitat. Heavily Disturbed areas would be restored and coastal fortifications would be preserved. "	
189.	BA	4-Alts	200	13	Fix: "...be needed in cultural resource areas and also need to document..."	

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
VOLUME I						
190.	BA/Bodo	4-Alts	203	Map	Add purple zone bubble for maintenance facility at Capehart that is Common. See Alt. 1 map. Same for Alt. 3, map on page 221.	
191.	BA/Bodo	4-Alts	211	28+	Capehart section should read same as Alternative 1.	
192.	BA	4-Alts	219-220	Tab	Where tables run from one page to the next, we need to indicate that they are continued – not a new table as it seems in this instance.	
193.	BA	4-Alts	228	Tab	For DSC/Steering Committee deliberation: Should this table also include a cost for rehab of other Cavalry stables buildings to accommodate the Area A maintenance functions? How should we address this project? Presidio Trust briefing?	CRITICAL
194.	BA	4-Alts	228	Tab	Do we need a note that tells reader that these costs do not include Alcatraz?	
195.			233+	Tab	These may need further review by park once other edits are incorporated.	
196.						
197.						
198.	BA/CF	5-MW	249	17	Insert: "...endangered species, preserving cultural resources such as the Dipsea Trail , supporting public..."	
199.	BA	5-MW	250	-	Please include the map of Muir Woods from 1980 GMP as No-Action Alternative.	
200.	BA	5-MW	252	23+	Offsite: Should this read " Same as the Preferred Alternative, Alternative 3. "?	
201.	BA	5-MW	253	6+	For DSC/Steering Committee deliberation: Should we provide additional information about the reduction in parking spaces and the proportion of spaces in lots vs. along the road? Note that these numbers are given in Volume 2.	CRITICAL
202.	BA	5-MW	253	23	Change " when " to " as "	
203.	BA	5-MW	254	27	KENT CYN: Should this read " Same as the Preferred Alternative, Alternative 3. "?	
204.	BA	5-MW	255	Tab	Change " Entrance Welcome Center " to " Entrance and Arrival Improvements " – This is the language we used when briefing WASO. It avoids the appearance that we are building redundant "Welcome Centers." See also pp. 262 and 269.	CRITICAL
205.	BA	5-MW	256	Map	Add purple zone circle for Kent Canyon ops center (as is done on Alt 2 and 3 maps). Label the Ben Johnson Trail . These items should be the same on all 3 MW maps (p. 263 and 271). BA or Craig Scott can ID trail for Jim.	
206.	BA	5-MW	259	25+	For DSC/Steering Committee deliberation: Note that this may not be consistent with the Redwood Creek Watershed Vision. WASO suggested we remove the Vision from Common. Makes sense. A shorter version is already in the appendix.	CRITICAL
207.	BA	5-MW	259	34	Insert: "...alternative 1 and 3, ..."	
208.	BA	5-MW	260	6	Insert: "...services such as light snacks and restrooms. "	CRITICAL
209.	BA/CF	5-MW	261	5	Insert: "...development (including Druid Heights and Camp Hillwood), stabilization..."	
210.	BA	5-MW	261	16	Insert: "under alternatives 1 and 3 ..."	
211.	NH/BA	5-MW	265	24	Insert: "...and how the saving of these few..."	
212.	NH/BA	5-MW	265	37	Insert: "...a new welcome center in the vicinity of the Manzanita park-and-ride at State Route 1 and Highway 101 ..." [Please also check if this is consistent with Boundary Adjustment section.]	
213.	BA	5-MW	266	7+	For DSC/Steering Committee deliberation: Should we provide additional information about the reduction in parking spaces and the proportion of spaces in lots vs. along the road? Note that these numbers are given in Volume 2.	CRITICAL
214.	NH	5-MW	266	11	Insert after "...off-peak periods. Parking demand would be managed with tools such as fees and a reservation system. "	
215.	BA	5-MW	268	14	KENT: Full language should appear here. (Not just same as alt. 1.)	
216.	BA	5-MW	269	Tab	Do we want to combine the two entrance area projects listed = \$8,450,000? Works better if we re-title as suggested above.	
217.	BA	5-MW	269	Tab	Offsite Welcome Center appears twice. (Just saved \$7,230,000!)	
218.	BA/TW	5-MW	281	Tab	We should make liberal use of "Same as Alternative X." For example Alts 1 and 3 are same on Carbon Footprint row. (Notice that Alt 3 box says Alt. 1.)	
219.	TW	5-MW	281	Tab	Water Resources and Hydrologic Processes, Alternative 1 -- Modify 2nd sentence by moving the phrase "on Alcatraz Island": "There would be long-term minor to moderate, adverse, localized impacts to water quality resulting from cleaning of primary visitor use areas <i>on Alcatraz Island</i> and increased vessel traffic in San Francisco Bay."	
220.	TW	5-MW	281	Tab	Water Resources and Hydrologic Processes, Alternative 3 -- Modify 4th sentence by moving the phrase "on Alcatraz Island": "The cleaning <i>of</i> the primary visitor use areas <i>on Alcatraz Island</i> and the increased vessel traffic in San Francisco Bay would result in long-term minor to moderate, adverse, localized impacts to water quality."	
221.						
222.	M.Savidge	6-User	289	-	DSC/Cahill: Given the national profile of this topic, does this section require LEGAL REVIEW?	CRITICAL
223.	BA	6-User	304	Tab	Kerri/Mike/Nancy: Any changes to the ranges of PAOT for Muir Woods?	CRITICAL
224.						
225.	AsF	7-Imp	310	2	Add bullets for 1) Collection Management Plan, and 2) HABS, HAER, HALS Documentation	
226.	AsF	7-Imp	315	25	Should we add a section for Collections? Or refer reader to Common/Collections?	
227.	Haller	7-Imp	315	35	Add: "...surveyed and evaluated to ensure..."	
228.	Haller	7-Imp	316	21-27	Change: "A proactive program of identification and evaluation of the full range of cultural resources, including archeological and landscape resources will be implemented well in advance of individual park projects having the potential to affect these resources. The priorities of this research program will be informed by the park's implementation priorities."	
229.	AsF	7-Imp	316	21	Begin para with: " The park will continue to develop an active stewardship program for the long-term preservation and protection of cultural resources. Further..."	
230.						
231.	BA	Apdx A	327	Tab	If this is to be comprehensive, add other legislation? - Fort Point NHS, 1970 - GGNRA legislation that brought in Rancho - Presidio Trust Act - San Francisco Maritime NHP	
232.	BA	Apdx B	332	1	" Southern Marin Equestrian Stables Plan"	
233.	BA	Apdx	337	19+	This could be a good place for the full text of the RW Creek Watershed Vision if we	

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					VOLUME I	
		B			move it from the Common section.	
234.	BA	Apdx B	347	3	SFPUC plan was 2004	
235.	BA	Apdx C	352+	-	Suggest we align the headings with NPS Management Policies 2006 and provide citations (at heading level only) where appropriate. For example, Natural Resources could be " Natural Resource Management (4.0) ". This would strengthen connection to service-wide policy. In any case we should cite Mgt Policies this in the introduction. Air Quality (4.7.1) Water Resources (4.6) Biological Resources Management (4.4) Cultural Resources Management (5.0) Historic Structures (5.3.5.4 and Secretary of the Interiors Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties) Cultural Landscapes (5.3.5.2) Archeological resources (5.3.5.1) Ethnographic Resources (5.3.5.3) Use of the Park (8.0) Interpretation and Education (7.0) Lightscape Management (4.10) Soundscape Management (4.9) Park Facilities (9.0) Relationships (not relations) with Private... Relationships (not relations)with American Indian Tribes (1.11) Land Protection (3.0)	
236.	BA	Apdx	?	?	Consider whether we need a list of abbreviations or acronyms/	
237.						

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
VOLUME II						
238.						
239.	Aviles AsF Haller Feier.	Vol. II	83- 104 and 257- 290 and 348- 360		Numerous comments on CR Aff. Envir. and Consequ. will be addressed in forthcoming GOGA revisions. We should discuss along with WASO CR comments.	CRITICAL
240.	BA	TOC	i		Check terminology such as “Historic Buildings and Structures”	
241.	BA/CF	TOC	-	-	Please anticipate changes (re-organization?) due to Cultural Resource revisions coming from GOGA.	
242.						
243.						
244.	DAH	8 Table	9		For reference only: Marine Protected Areas – The marine and estuarine area of Golden Gate National Recreation Area was named a Federal Marine Protected Area under the National System of Marine Protected Areas on May 25, 2010.	
245.	DAH	8 Table	16		For DSC/Steering Committee deliberation: Soundscape – I think we should discuss with the Natural Sounds Program if we will be at a disadvantage in the Air Tour Management Plan process if we dismiss soundscapes as an impact topic. Desired conditions are included in the zoning descriptions so that may be enough. We are initiating our ATMP process this fall so this is critical timing.	
246.	DAH	8	29- 30, with figs on 31 and 33		Coastal Vulnerability: 13-20 ft of sea level rise over the next 100 years is the extreme estimate based on melting of the Greenland ice sheet. There is not consensus that this will occur and misrepresents the range of thinking. I think it would be more prudent to describe the range of predictions from IPCC predictions up to 20 ft if the Greenland ice sheet were to melt. The most commonly used figure for planning in our area at present is the 1.4 m (55 inches) from the Pacific Institute report shown in the figure on page 365 (no figure #). In addition, we do not think the USGS Pendleton et al. Coastal Vulnerability Index and map is particularly useful. It was a very simplistic approach and done at too coarse a scale to be meaningful to the park. I suggest deleting reference to that, although the figure does illustrate where the most vulnerable areas might be. Suggest updating this section with the recent Pacific Institute report predictions based on Noah Knowles work. Sorry I can't rewrite this section for you right now. The figures on pages 31 and 33 have NO relationship to the 13-20 ft prediction by Overpeck!	CRITICAL
247.	DAH	8	38	24- 27	The Marin Headlands drain into Rodeo Lagoon, the Pacific Ocean and San Francisco Bay.	
248.	DAH	8	42	Tab 4	Richardson Bay is not within GGNRA although it does receive a small amount of waters from the park.	
249.	DAH	8	43	26- 28	GGNRA overlaps with Gulf of the Farallones and Monterey Bay National Marine sanctuaries, it is not just adjacent to them. Our boundary is ¼ mi. offshore in many areas, and theirs is at one of the high tide lines (not sure which).	
250.	DAH	8	44	12	Same comment as above. The subtidal zone does not abut the sanctuaries but overlaps with some exceptions (Point Bonita to Pacific and inside the Golden Gate strait and SF Bay are outside the sanctuaries).	
251.	DAH	8	46	4-6	GGNRA waters (and our State Lands lease) overlap with GFNMS and MBNMS in Tomales Bay, and from Stinson Beach to Point Bonita. It will overlap with MBNMS if we add marine waters in San Mateo County south of Pacifica. Our legislative boundary (but not state lands lease) also overlaps at Fitzgerald Marine Reserve.	
252.	DAH	8	55	Veg Map	The Marine Sanctuary boundaries are in the legend but don't show up on the map. Map has page number at bottom (341).	
253.	DAH	8	63- 64	42+	California Brown Pelican has been delisted. Newly listed species found in the park = Black Abalone. Critical Habitat for Green Sturgeon and Proposed Critical Habitat for the Leatherback Turtle include park waters.	
254.	DAH	8	77	26- 27	There are no longer federal species of management concern (SOMC). I'm not sure where this came from – an old Resource Management Plan, or from GPRA goals related to species of management concern which has no connection to federal species of management concern. I suggest deleting reference to SOMC and US Forest Service sensitive species list.	
255.	DAH	8	77	40	What reference is Hall 2009? Seems like a secondary reference for all this stuff but maybe ok.	
256.	DAH	8	79	28	Coho salmon Central California ESU is Evolutionarily Significant Unit, not Ecosystem Studies Unit	
257.	AsF	8	83	Intr	Add something about museum collections. Consider a sentence like: “The park has extensive museum collections that document the interpretive themes of the park and activities that have occurred on park lands from pre-historic times to the present.” [Just as a place holder until I come up with something better.]	
258.	BA/CF	8	83+	-	Craig Scott is developing a simple map, 11x17, showing the historic properties.	
259.	AsF/Nich olson- PWR	8	100	23	Change to “The park's collection and its cultural and natural resource holdings are inextricably bound.”	
260.	Asf/BA	8	101	30+	Change 12 to 15 , and on line 4 change three to two . This may seem trivial but we should discuss these changes as part of improving the No-Action alternative. The changes in numbers of facilities reflects improvements the park has taken to avoid adverse effects and impairment. Maybe some of this language goes into the Common-Facilities section? - New archeological lab at Fort Cronk. - Removed collection from Fort Cronk barracks, mess hall, and NR building	CRITICAL
261.	DB	8- Trans	140	11	Change “will be considered” to “ are addressed. ”	
262.	BA	8-	140	28	Can we use 2009 data?	

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					VOLUME II	
		Trans				
263.	DB	8-Trans	140	33	Delete “While many may consider these qualities as assets.” Add sentence “However, these areas are served by rural roads that were not designed to accommodate the level of traffic demand of major destinations, such as a national park.” Next sentence would be “On busy summer weekends...”	
264.	DB	8-Trans	141	31	Change “Nonmotorized” to “ transit .” The sentence relates to transit and/or alternative transportation, not non-motorized modes.	
265.	DB	8-Trans	All		Delete summaries at the end of each site-specific section. These summaries are much the same as the intros in the sections. It would work if these summaries were changed to represent “findings” or “conclusions.”	
266.	NH	8-Trans	142	7	Del. “ meanwhile ”	
267.	NH	8-Trans	142	40	Add to end of para: “ Demand exists for expanded transit options. ”	
268.	DB	8-Trans	143	8+	Following paragraph beginning “In this section...” add three short bulleted paragraphs summarizing primary issues for each county. This summary is a bit too general to offer much to the section. The summary should better prepare reader what will be detailed below.	
269.	DB	8-Trans	143	9+	Muir Woods and Alcatraz (p. 145, line 24) should be subsections within their respective counties. Although these two sites represent the park’s most challenging transportation issues, they should be characterized within the sub-regional context which shares many of the same issues.	
270.	NH	8-Trans	143	10	Change: “...is a fee site where an entrance fee is collected and a major...” End the sentence after 800,000 . Begin with “ For... ”	
271.	NH	8-Trans	144	13	Insert: “...parked along the road near the monument...”	
272.	DB	8-Trans	144	17	Add heading “ Transit .” Because the tour buses are included it should not be “public transit” but currently the Muir Woods Shuttle falls below the “traffic and parking” section.	
273.	DB	8-Trans	144	35	Change “ variable ” to “ changeable ” and add “(CMS)” after “signs.”	
274.	DB	8-Trans	144	37	Delete “While the shuttle...budget of \$356,000,” and replace it with “ The service has proved extremely successful, currently carrying 35,000 trips on weekends and holidays during the May-September season. Further, the ”	
275.	NH	8-Trans	145	1	“...expanded non-automobile access for visitors to the park...”	
276.	DB	8-Trans	145	11	Delete “While” and after “Monument” add the text “ is poor. State Route 1 and Muir Beach Road are narrow, winding, two-lane roads and lack bike lanes and shoulders for much of their length. ”	
277.	DB	8-Trans	145	12+	Change sentence starting “Given the site’s...” to the following “ Pedestrian access is also poor, limited to trail connections that converge... ”	
278.	NH	8-Trans	145	15	Insert: “...the popular Dipsea Trail...”	
279.	NH	8-Trans	145	35	Insert: “Pier 33 is also adjacent to near Bay Street, a four-lane roadway city street connecting...”	
280.	NH		146	6	Insert: “...with good access...”	
281.	NH		146	16	Insert: “...parking is available for a fee, there is on-street metered parking and several large garages nearby. Public transit...”	
282.	NH			32	Insert: “...severe congestion at the most accessible sites during peak...”	
283.	NH		147	5	Insert: “...access to many Golden...”	
284.	NH		148	41	Change “ would be made ” to “ are being made ”	
285.	NH		149	3	Insert: “...near congested parking areas...”	
286.	NH		149	9	Insert/change: “...Mill Valley. Despite several trails that extend into these communities, pedestrian access to park sites is fairly limited.... ”	
287.	NH		149	26	Add a period.	
288.	NH		149	37-8	Del. “ Nevertheless ” and “ do ”	
289.	NH		150	3	Add to para: “ These lots often become full at peak times. ”	
290.	NH		150	17-18	Change “ will be ” to “ have been ”; and “ deficit ” to “ issues ”	
291.	NH		150	28	Insert: “...San Francisco’s bicycle system and the park sites...”	
292.	NH		151	30	Del. “ However ”	
293.	NH		151	38	Del. final sentence: “ Finally,...park sites. ”	
294.	NH		152	35	Insert: “...lack sidewalks and directional signs . However...”	
295.	NH		153	4-5	Del. text after “many trails. are paved... ”	
296.	NH		153	6-7	Del middle part of sentence: “While most bicycle access XXXX is over roadways...”	
297.	BA			20	Cañada Road	
298.	BA			24+	Plural trails . Line 26: to read “...connected to McNee Ranch State Park by Old Pedro Mountain Road , a multiuse county trail that connects north to Pacifica .”	
299.			154	2	Change “ between users” to “ among users.”	
300.	DB	8-Trans	All	7	Much of the data included in the narrative for all sections, but particularly for Marin County and Muir Woods is difficult to connect. Putting data in table and/or chart form would better reflect conditions and trends and support GMP recommended actions. Common tables and/or charts could include LOS (key routes and intersections), safety data, presence of shoulders/bike lanes/sidewalks/other ped. Facilities, parking v demand, average vehicle occupancy, transit ridership.	
301.	BA	8	Maps	-	Transportation maps should have a date, perhaps as part of a footer?	
302.	AsF	8	172	5-6	Remove parens and put a period after “...more than 200 years.”	
303.	AsF	8	172	6	Start new sentence: “ The park includes 5 National Historic Landmarks... ”	
304.	AsF	8	172	11	Add the word Landscape: “...3 Historical and Landscape Architects, and 1 Compliance Assistant...”	
305.						
306.						
307.	BA	9	209	34	This is part of what Carey F. and CR group is revising. The logic leading to a finding of	

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					VOLUME II	
					adverse effect is hard to follow – if not wrong.	
308.	NH	9	213	24+	Del. “ vehicle and ” Delete sentence “ Creation of a small overlook... ” This action won’t provide access to this zone.	
309.	NH	9	213	42	Insert: “...and parking with improved wayfinding. ” Line 43: replace Ocean Beach with “ Fort Funston. ”	
310.	NH	9	214	16+	“...long-term, minor to moderate, beneficial...” and “...sites by transit and trails...” May necessitate changes in other areas.	
311.	DAH	9	225	17	Park facilities at Stinson Beach and Muir Beach would affect floodplain function at Easkoot Creek and Redwood Creek, not Rodeo Creek.	
312.	DAH	9	226	35-43	I think the extent of restoration at Easkoot Creek and at Rancho is overstated. At Easkoot there would be opportunities to remove non-native vegetation and expand riparian habitat but major creek channel work is not contemplated. At Rancho, there are opportunities for extensive removal of invasive vegetation and restoration of riparian habitat. We would like to do more but much of the creek channel and water rights will still be on the ag parcels and impacted by equestrian facilities. However, we would explore partnership opportunities to do more extensive creek restoration with a desire to reconnect steelhead habitat with the ocean. Check with Brian and Nancy on which creeks at Rancho have the best options for restoration. Not sure we would be able to reconnect floodplains or create more natural watercourses or re-create the natural hydrologic regime given the amount of water already used for other purposes. We would do all that we can and there would definitely be long-term, minor to moderate, beneficial, and localized impacts. I think removal of facilities in Lower Tennessee Valley, restoration of riparian habitat, improvement to hydrologic function, and removal of the dam at Tennessee Pond are a much more significant improvement than that proposed at Stinson. This should be highlighted in this section as well.	
313.	DAH	9	232	41+	Where are we proposing to remove 30 acres of European beach grass? The only place I can think of with this much is Ocean Beach and we have no intention of removing it there. Also at Phleger our proposed restoration efforts there are quite modest and would not be characterized as restoration of a large tract of second-generation redwood forest. We are removing some old roads and social trails that have led to increased sedimentation in the creek, and we will do some exotic plant removal and possibly other creek restoration actions.	
314.	DAH	9	233	42	Boating would be restricted for all boats, not just motorized boats. Use same language found under Alt 3 on page 237 lines 41-42: “...The marine waters...” (See comment below.) <i>See other DAH comments below. I didn't move them because the highlighting may vanish!</i>	
315.	DAH	9	233	41+	Boat traffic in the vicinity of the colonial nesting birds would be restricted to nonmotorized boating, resulting in beneficial impacts. The marine waters within the vicinity of the colonial nesting birds would be closed to boating during the breeding season, resulting in beneficial impacts. Given the combined effects of disturbance and protective actions, the numbers of breeding pairs of waterbirds on the Island could decrease over time depending on the frequency and intensity of expanded visitor activity. Collectively, these activities would result in long-term, moderate <u>to major</u> , adverse, localized to <u>regional</u> impacts to waterbirds on the island.	CRITICAL
316.	DAH	9	234	20-24	20 Impacts to waterbirds would be long-term, moderate <u>to major</u> , adverse, and localized to <u>regional</u> . 24 No impairment of vegetation or wildlife resources would result from this alternative. <i>Suggest adding a caveat with respect to No impairment of Alcatraz wildlife to the effect that if it became evident that implementation of alternative 1 actions at both the Parade Ground and the New Industries Building would result in long-term / permanent loss of waterbird nesting colonies, the park would (avoid impairment and) take measures to ensure the continued viability of breeding populations of these species on the island. These steps could include allowing only non-breeding season access to the Parade Ground or limiting the types and scale of uses in the Laundry Building during nesting season.</i>	CRITICAL
317.	DAH	9	235	33	Replace “ managed as ruins ” with stabilized .	CRITICAL
318.	DAH/BA	9	237	33	Correct to Parade Ground (singular); and Delete “ removal of rubble piles ”	CRITICAL
319.	DAH	9	237	38+	...these actions would result in beneficial impacts. Management zoning on the west side of the island under alternative 3 would protect nesting gulls from visitor use effects. The Model Industries Building and New Industries Building, both of which are proximate to sensitive <u>gull waterbird habitat breeding areas</u> , would be managed in a way that <u>minimizes human-induced disturbance and predation by western gulls and protects the waterbird breeding colonies on the north end of the island.gull activity</u> (page 238) Collectively, these activities would result in long-term, moderate <u>to major</u> , adverse, localized to <u>regional</u> impacts to waterbirds on the island.	CRITICAL
320.	DAH	9	238	16-20	Line 16: Impacts to waterbirds would be long-term, moderate <u>to major</u> , adverse, and localized to <u>regional</u> . Line 20: No impairment of vegetation or wildlife resources would result from this alternative. <i>Suggest adding a caveat with respect to No impairment of Alcatraz wildlife to the effect that if it became evident that implementation of alternative 1 actions at both the Parade Ground and the New Industries Building would result in long-term / permanent loss of waterbird nesting colonies, the park would (avoid impairment and) take measures to ensure the continued viability of breeding populations of these species on the island. These steps could include allowing only non-breeding season access to the Parade Ground or limiting the types and scale of uses in the Laundry Building during nesting season.</i>	CRITICAL
321.	DAH	9	240	15+	Brown pelican has been de-listed.	
322.	DAH	9	242	43	Change Stinson Gulch area to Bolinas Lagoon watershed.	
323.	DAH	9	243	8-10	The park is not currently pursuing any actions to reduce barred owl use and nesting to reduce adverse impacts to spotted owls, though we have considered it.	
324.	DAH	9	243	19-33	Need to mention that the restoration actions at Fort Funston would benefit <i>Lessingia</i> if a new population is introduced to Fort Funston as proposed in the applicable recovery plan (which has a long name I can’t recall at the moment). <i>Lessingia</i> does not currently occur	

GOGA COMMENTS ON WASO DGMP (November 2010)

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					VOLUME II	
					at Fort Funston and may not have been there historically either, though suitable habitat could be restored there.	
325.	DAH	9	243	45-47	CRITICAL COMMENT. The City of San Francisco has been doing shoreline stabilization in the vicinity of the bank swallow colony, both on and off NPS property. The City is planning additional actions in the future. These actions have potential for long-term minor to moderate adverse impacts to the bank swallow. Since this is the only population in the region (next closes colony is on the San Mateo/Santa Cruz county line at Año Nuevo) so I think this would have to be considered regional impacts, not local.	CRITICAL
326.	DAH	9	245	Tab	CRITICAL COMMENT. Change No Action impact determination for Bank Swallow to minor to moderate, adverse, and regional.	CRITICAL
327.	DAH	9	245	14-17	The removal of infrastructure, the dam at Tennessee Pond (which supports bullfrogs) and restoration of riparian habitat in Lower Tennessee Valley would also benefit Calif. Red-legged frog.	
328.	DAH	9	246	21+	Brown pelican has been de-listed.	
329.	DAH	9	247	21-23	It is not expected that Spotted Owls would benefit from creek restoration at Stinson Beach. Delete this. ESA determination would be same as No-Action.	
330.	DAH	9	247	36-39	CRITICAL COMMENT. See comments above. Same statements would apply to Alt 1.	CRITICAL
331.	DAH	9	249	Tab	CRITICAL COMMENT. Change No Action impact determination for Bank Swallow to minor to moderate, adverse, and regional.	CRITICAL
332.	DAH	9	250	17+	Brown pelican has been de-listed.	
333.	DAH	9	251	17-20	It is not expected that Spotted Owls would benefit from creek restoration at Stinson Beach. Delete this. ESA determination would be same as no-action.	
334.	DAH	9	251	34-37	CRITICAL COMMENT. The City of San Francisco has been doing shoreline stabilization in the vicinity of the bank swallow colony, both on and off NPS property. The City is planning additional actions in the future. These actions have potential for long-term minor to moderate adverse impacts to the bank swallow. Since this is the only population in the region (next closes colony is on the San Mateo/Santa Cruz county line at Año Nuevo) so I think this would have to be considered regional impacts, not local.	CRITICAL
335.	DAH	9	253	Tab.	CRITICAL COMMENT. Change No Action impact determination for Bank Swallow to minor to moderate, adverse, and regional.	CRITICAL
336.	DAH	9	254	18+	Brown pelican has been de-listed.	
337.	DAH	9	255	30+	CRITICAL COMMENT. The City of San Francisco has been doing shoreline stabilization in the vicinity of the bank swallow colony, both on and off NPS property. The City is planning additional actions in the future. These actions have potential for long-term minor to moderate adverse impacts to the bank swallow. Since this is the only population in the region (next closes colony is on the San Mateo/Santa Cruz county line at Año Nuevo) so I think this would have to be considered regional impacts, not local.	CRITICAL
338.	DAH	9	257	Tab.	CRITICAL COMMENT. Change No Action impact determination for Bank Swallow to minor to moderate, adverse, and regional.	CRITICAL
339.						
340.	BA	11	431	-	We are working on bios and making complete list of preparers. Will deliver shortly. Not addressing DSC staff.	
341.						
342.						
343.	BA	Apdx	?		Eliminate zone booklet and incorporate in body of document.	
344.	Haller	Apdx	?		There needs to be an appendix listing historic properties!	
345.	AsF	Apdx	?	-	Add an appendix listing GOGA Cultural Resources (AsF will provide Brian a copy)	
346.						