

TASKS FOR GOGA STAFF

EXPANDED & REVISED – December 2009/ January 22, 2010 / **January 28, 2010 (DSC)**

Page	Line	Initial	Part 1 Comments	Managers' Decisions and Direction
11	8	BM	Consider adding Resource Preservation principle that would cover such ideas as working to proactively preserve, restore, and enhance natural resources. This would tie in well with climate change actions and mitigation measures for NR in appendix. Sustaining resources sounds like we are just trying to hang on. Sustainability applies more readily to park operations. Responding to climate change should be directly identified somewhere in these guiding principles—and probably better yet under each of them.	<p>Staff feels that these guiding principles are important. The principles are their own park “stamp” on the laws that direct NPS. They serve as GOGA’s basic policies. Brian suggests that this GP section should be placed AFTER the Foundation Statement.</p> <p>Decision 1: MOVE Guiding Principles section (p. 11) to <u>AFTER</u> Guidance for Planning section (p. 13). i.e., Swap pages 11-12 with pages 13-14.</p> <p>Decision 2: Chris will strengthen Intro to Guiding Principles, with more emphasis on resource preservation, and possibly edit first sentence of Sustainability principle. CHRIS P. – this week?</p> <p>REVIEWED WITH SN; WILL COMBINE. DONE. REVISION (POWELL/AVILES)</p> <p>GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR PARK MANAGEMENT</p> <p>In addition to the many laws, policies, and directives that park managers must follow when managing national parks, Park Service staff have identified some fundamental principles that will help guide the management of Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Muir Woods National Monument.</p> <p>(CP) We will demonstrate environmental leadership in order to set an example for our visitors and the broader public. This will be accomplished by working proactively to preserve, restore and enhance natural resources within the park. Golden Gate, along with other national parks, is working to be part of the solution to global warming by reducing the carbon emissions from staff and visitors. This will help us preserve and protect the plant and animal species contained within the park. We are also taking actions to respond to the threats posed by global warming and sea level rise, which may negatively affect our natural resources.</p> <p>(SN/BA) In addition to the many laws, policies, and directives that govern management of all units of the national park system, the leadership at Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Muir Woods National Monument has highlighted some of the principles that are most deeply rooted and distinctive at this park. These flow from the 1916 act that established the National Park Service to “...promote and regulate the use of the Federal areas known as national parks, monuments, and reservations...by such means and measures as to... conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.”</p> <p>Sustainability We will continue to learn about and use practices that help sustain the natural and cultural resources of our park. Sustainability will be fundamental to the facilities, projects, programs, and operations of the park, using sound environmental management practices. We will demonstrate environmental leadership and seek opportunities to promote sustainability and stewardship to park visitors, neighboring communities, and the stakeholders we serve.</p> <p>(No changes proposed to the other principles.)</p> <p>DONE</p>
11	28	Interp	Add, “We are also dedicated to the park becoming a “town hall” where issues connected to the meanings of park resources can be safely and openly discussed and debated.”	<p>Howard suggests editing Civic Engagement strengthen statement on park’s role that goes beyond interpretation of resources and goes out into community to engage citizens. Nancy believes the CE emphasis is already covered in text. Susan states that it’s a subtle difference in HOW MUCH we want to emphasize robust community engagement role. Frank thinks the last sentence limits the role a bit.</p> <p>Decision 1: Howard will work with Stephen with some edited verbiage to bring out more engagement, but without using “town hall” reference. HOWARD – This week.</p> <p>REVIEWED BY STEERING COMM. AND SN. DONE. 1/7/10 PROPOSED REVISION (HL/BA)</p> <p>We are dedicated to ongoing and dynamic public conversations on the full meaning and contemporary relevance of park resources. We will also provide opportunities for meaningful community involvement to promote better understanding and communication, discuss concerns, and express values and preferences when park decisions and policies are being developed and implemented.</p> <p>For reference – DO 75: The purpose of this Director’s Order is to articulate our commitment to civic engagement, and to have all National Park Service units and offices embrace civic engagement as the essential foundation and framework for creating plans and developing programs. Civic engagement is a continuous, dynamic conversation with the public on many levels that reinforces public commitment to the preservation of heritage resources, both cultural and natural, and strengthens public understanding of the full meaning and contemporary relevance of these resources. The foundation of civic engagement is a commitment to building and sustaining relationships with neighbors and communities of interest.</p> <p>DONE</p>
20		Doug N	<p>SCENIC BEAUTY</p> <p>Significance</p> <p>Probably since they were first viewed by man, the headlands of the Golden Gate have been recognized for their outstanding scenic quality. The dramatic convergence of land and sea, bay and ocean and the palpable energy of the confluence of 16 major rivers create a spectacle that is truly unique.</p>	<p>Doug offers this text change for “Scenic Views” Signif. Statement. Staff generally prefers Doug’s language in substance.</p> <p>Decision 1: Change Signif. Statement title to “Scenic Beauty”, not “Scenic View”. Carry on throughout Mgmt. Zone section and tables.</p> <p>Decision 2: Mike Hsu will rework and polish entire “Scenic Beauty” section (Signif., Fund. Res., and Interp. Themes) based on suggested text from Doug N. and Brian A.’s suggestions. Brian A. will help guide Mike as necessary. MIKE HSU, BRIAN A. – for follow-up session</p> <p>REVISED BY STEERING COMMITTEE 1/7/10. DONE.</p>

		Fundamental Resources and Values Combined with the open lands radiating from it, the Golden Gate serves as a backdrop to the San Francisco metropolitan area. The contrast between it and developed urban lands contributes significantly to the quality of life for area residents and the experience of visitors. The improbable scenic quality of the diversity of natural settings found within the park in such proximity to an urban area promotes a continuous sense of wonder and appreciation in the viewer. The integrity of this open space contributes significantly to the ability to recount the epic stream of history that flowed between the headlands. Interpretive Theme The powerful positive influence that parklands and undisturbed open space can exert on urban settings is a critical message that should be conveyed here. The grassroots movement that resulted in the establishment of Golden Gate was inspired entirely by a passionate desire of Bay Area residents to preserve the quality of life to which these lands contribute so much.	PROPOSED REVISION (MIKE HSU/ HORNOR/ AVILES) Significance The headlands of the Golden Gate have long been recognized for their outstanding scenic qualities. The remarkable convergence of land and sea and of bay and ocean—combined with the palpable energy of 16 major rivers merging—create a spectacle that is truly unique. Fundamental Resources and Values <ul style="list-style-type: none">Dramatic Settings – In concert with the open lands that frame it, the Golden Gate serves as the backdrop to the San Francisco metropolitan area. The dynamic contrasts between urban environments and undeveloped spaces—ranging from the open waters of the Pacific Ocean and San Francisco Bay to beaches, estuaries, headlands, and valleys—contribute greatly to the scenic experience enjoyed by area residents and visitors alike.Compelling Historical Stage – With its exceptional diversity of natural settings and central role in many significant chapters from America’s past, the Golden Gate promotes a continuous sense of wonder and appreciation. The integrity of this open space contributes significantly to the ability to recount the epic stream of history that flowed between the headlands. Interpretive Theme The powerful positive influences that parkland and undisturbed open space can exert on urban settings—and residents—constitute a critical interpretive message. The scenic beauty of the park’s historic and natural undeveloped landscapes inspired a grassroots movement that led to their protection. Proposed development that would have destroyed these lands sparked Bay Area community members to organize and ultimately preserve the open spaces that contribute so much to their quality of life. DONE
20	NH	Scenic Views: Change to “Scenic Beauty” (as in the legislation) Significance: delete “for” and insert “and scenic beauty enjoyed by” before “area residents” Add to interp. theme: “The scenic beauty of the historic and natural undeveloped landscapes of the lands that now comprise the park inspired grassroots activism that led to their protection. Proposed development that would have destroyed these lands led to the response of the community to organize and successfully work for their protection”	Nancy’s comments will be addressed by previous item (Mike Hsu’s rework of this section). (MIKE HSU) See above. DONE
19	BA	Add “Civil War period ” and “...and during the Indian Occupation...” What is basis for “55-year” span of history? Clarify if this is the federal penitentiary period only.	Brian suggests it’s a wordsmithing issue. Decision 1: Abby Sue will ask Steve H. to reaffirm current text and correct if necessary. ABBY SUE, STEVE H. See above. DONE
	NH	Physical Landforms: add to interp. theme: Are a link to understanding the past, the ongoing influence on the landscape and the human response to these changes.	Decision: Howard will assess and decide. HOWARD L. – today DONE No changes proposed other than minor edits submitted by others. (HL)
20	BA	Under “Dramatic settings, sub “dramatic views that contrast undeveloped with urban coastal environments. Under Preserved Open Spaces, sub “undeveloped open spaces [delete associated ecosystems] ranging from the open waters of the Pacific Ocean and San Francisco Bay, to beaches, estuaries, headlands, and valleys. ”	Decision: Should be completed with edits to Scenic Beauty section. Mike Hsu should consider Brian’s comments in addition to Doug’s comments... to “evolve” this statement and section. (BRIAN, MIKE HSU) DONE See above.
24	1	Needs to incorporate update from Scolari and Monroe. Include significance of the donation of private land and early use of Antiquities Act? Is there only one interpretive theme? [Levitt?]	Brian contends that Preamble and Fundamental Resources may not be accurate. Decision 1: Mia and Paul S. will assess and edit as necessary to ensure the ACCURACY of what’s written in Muir Woods “Preamble” and “Fundamental Resources” (not other sections). MIA, PAUL S. – follow-up session. REVIEWED BY STEERING COMMITTEE 1/7/10. NO EDITS. DONE. PROPOSED REVISION (AVILES, MONROE, SCOLARI; LEVITT) Until the mid-19th century, redwood trees were in abundance in the coastal ranges of northern California; however, logging soon removed most of them to supply the building materials for a growing population. In 1905, when William Kent and his wife, Elizabeth Thatcher Kent, realized that Redwood Canyon, the popular hiking and recreation destination, contained one of the San Francisco Bay Area’s last uncut stands of old-growth redwood, they bought 612 acres there for \$45,000. To protect the trees, the Kents donated 298 acres containing the core of the forest to the United States government. President Theodore Roosevelt declared the area a national monument in 1908. The proclamation states that the tract contains “an extensive growth of redwood trees (<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>)” that was “of extraordinary scientific interest and importance because of the primeval character of the forest in which it is located, and of the character, age and size of the trees.” At Kent’s suggestion, the monument was named for conservationist John Muir. Due to the circumstances surrounding its founding, Muir Woods holds a significant place in conservation history. It was the tenth national monument to be designated under the Antiquities Act, the first to be located in proximity to a major city, and the first to consist of formerly privately owned lands. Decision 2: Global removal of “Ocean Beach Vision Council” from entire document. DONE
25		Mike has comments on Special Mandates Section. Pages 25-28. Nancy concurred. RE: adding items	Staff agrees that these items should be added to the Special Mandates section.

			<p>to Special Mandates, such as: State Parks efficiency plan agreement, Redwood Creek Vision, Presidio Trust legislation/agreement, long-term lease for Fort Mason, Golden Gate – Point Reyes mgmt agreement.</p>	<p>Decision 1: Add four items (and others?) to Special Mandates section. STEPHAN and NANCY WILL DECIDE WHAT SHOULD BE ADDED. – follow-up session.</p> <p>Brian to draft statement about the 5 lighthouses coming from USCG.</p> <p>REVIEWED BY STEERING COMM. DONE. 1/7/10 PROPOSED REVISION (BA/P. Batlan briefing 5/07)</p> <p>In September 2006, the United States Coast Guard (USCG) notified the park of their plan to excess and transfer five lighthouses and navigational aids to the Department of the Interior in compliance with the park's enabling legislation (P.L. 92 as amended under P.L. 96-607) and the 2000 National Historic Lighthouse Preservation Act. The properties include: Point Bonita Lighthouse, Point Diablo, and Lime Point in Marin County; Montara lighthouse in San Mateo County; and Alcatraz lighthouse in San Francisco County.</p> <p>Following transfer to the park, the USCG will continue to utilize the five sites as navigational aids under an NPS permit. The properties require substantial environmental cleanup and structural safety improvements to ensure public safety and visitor access at these sites in the future. The park and USCG are cooperating to complete due diligence reports including environmental testing and analysis, building condition assessments, and cost estimates to determine remediation and structural safety requirements.</p> <p>The park anticipates additional planning for the long term preservation and use of the five lighthouses and is seeking funding prior to transfer. At the time of this writing, the time-frame and milestones for the property excess and transfer from USCG has not been established.</p> <p>DONE</p>
34	14-27	Interp	<p>Delete this paragraph. Could be seen as self-serving.</p>	<p>Staff agreed on importance of “branding” the park, and emphasizing John Jarvis’ direction to use GGNRA and MUWO as an introduction or portal to overall NPS system.</p> <p>Decision 1: Retain paragraph. Edit/strengthen parts on identity so it doesn’t sound self-serving. STEPHAN and HOWARD.</p> <p>REVIEWED BY STEERING COMM. DONE. 1/7/10 PROPOSED REVISION (HL)</p> <p>For a variety of reasons, the park does not have an easily recognized identity as part of the national park system. These reasons include the large number of points of entry with minimal or no identifying entry features; the lack of NPS staff presence in many locations; the close juxtaposition of city, county, and state lands with NPS lands; and the lack of clearly marked park boundaries. The plan will provide guidance on improving and promoting the recognition of Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Muir Woods National Monument as national park units where many visitors are first introduced to the concept and values of the national park system.</p> <p>In an early part of the document we need to state that this GMP addresses two different units of the national park system (GGNRA and MUWO NR) that are managed as one park, under the policies and regulations that govern all units of the national park system. STEPHAN and DONE.</p> <p>DONE</p>
35	25	AR	<p>Southern Marin Equestrian Planning - the GMP says this is explicitly excluded from scope, but then goes on to make significant equestrian statements and equestrian facility decisions going as far as stating the horse patrol will be at GGD and Banducci could have horses, horses will stay in fort cronkhite/barry, etc, etc. I think we need to change statements about equestrian facilities at specific sites to more general "equestrian use" in broader areas of the park and let the Marin Equestrian EA talk and decide specific facilities in specific areas. For instance, I think that it is a very real outcome that the Banducci site may not be able to accommodate both horses and nursery and we will end up with a GGD site of 11 sources, reworked and improved. I'd hate to go out with a draft BMD that makes a promise horses at the Banducci site we cannot keep, or a promise at GGD that we don't want to keep.</p>	<p>Nancy suggests that it should be deleted from this section and moved to the section on other plans underway. Abby Sue agrees with Aaron that it's a work in progress.</p> <p>Stephan suggests that <u>BOTH</u> the Dog Mgmt and Equestrian Planning in Marin Co. paragraphs on page 35 be REMOVED. Leave text on page 42.</p> <p>Nancy feels that Dog Mgmt. should remain on page 35. But, remove Equestrian section.</p> <p>Decision 1: REMOVE paragraph on Equestrian Planning in Marin County on page 35.</p> <p>Decision 2: Keep paragraph on Dog Mgmt, but Chris P. will reaffirm the text. Reference to Dog Mgmt. plan on page 42 will remain. CHRIS P.</p> <p>DONE. (Chris Powell via telephone/email with Don W.)</p> <p>REVIEWED AND EDITED BY STEERING COMMITTEE 1/7/10. REVIEWED BY SN. REVISED 1/22/10 – see new red text.</p> <p>Dog Management This general management plan does not make decisions about dogwalking in the park. The NPS is conducting a separate planning process to develop a Dog Management Plan that will decide how best to manage dogwalking. The plan will identify a range of alternatives, evaluate them, solicit public review, and make the decisions. The planning team for the general management plan continues to coordinate with the dog management planning team to ensure consistency between the plans. The NPS could make minor changes to the GMP preferred alternative to make the plan consistent with the final dog management plan.</p> <p>DONE</p>
60		SH	<p>Historic Immersion Zone description is too weak and is written in such a way that is it not clearly distinguished from the Evolved Cultural Landscape. Drop any reference in this whole document to “selected exteriors;” there is no such kind of resource recognized in NPS-28 and it sounds like an interior decorator talking. Replace this zone description, here and elsewhere, with:</p> <p><i>These areas would include sites, structures and cultural landscapes that are especially evocative of their period of significance. Landscapes and</i></p>	<p>Staff discussed the difference between Historic Immersion Zone and Evolved Cultural Landscape.</p> <p>Decision 1: ECL, Historic Immersion, and Natural Mgmt. Zones need to be edited/expanded to better emphasize (1) difference in visitor experience (e.g., from Historic Immersion to ECL), (2) balance of cultural and natural resources and how their mgmt is integrated in ECL zone, and (3) how the three zones “flow” in priorities...from historic pres., to “integrated” approach, to natural protection. CRAIG, STEPHAN, and BRIAN</p>

			<i>designated building interiors would be managed to protect and enhance their historic values. Visitors would have stronger opportunities to explore history in-depth by experiencing cultural resources and exploring past stories and events. There would be a higher potential for selective restoration efforts in this zone.</i>	
61-105		TW	For all zones, suggest that Natural Resources descriptions include a statement regarding level of protection from/exposure to noise and artificial light.	Decision: Retain light and soundscape as impact topics. Alt 2 has several actions that would have effects on light and soundscape (e.g., reduced vehicle traffic, removed development and associated sounds/lights). Strengthen for FAA. Stephan will talk to Tamara to get language that differs across the zones. Add these topics to Natural Zoning descriptions. TAMARA, STEPHAN Done. But need to affirm text with Tamara
65,77, 83		TW	Dark Night Skies (Lightscapes) – Revise: “Outdoor lighting would provide appropriate illumination for safety, (and specific cultural resource requirements*;) while minimizing light pollution.” *optional phrase depending on particular zone.	Decision: Text will be upgraded. Stephan will work with Tamara on this. TAMARA and STEPHAN Done. But need to affirm text with Tamara
59-60	MGT. ZONE SUM MAR Y	ASF/ BA	Do we need to refer to museum collections in any of these summaries? Interpretive Corridor at Muir Woods?	Not discussed Nov. 3 DONE Not necessary. (ASF/BA)
64	DIVE RSE OPPO RTUN ITIES	ASF/ BA	The section on Museum Collections is the same for each mgmt zone. Add one sentence to the main narrative for each that describes desired conditions. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in ongoing stewardship.”	Not discussed Nov. 3 DONE (ASF/SN/BA) Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, natural history collections and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be made available for exhibit and interpretive programming to inform and engage the public in ongoing stewardship.
70	SCEN IC CORR IDOR	ASF	Museum Collections. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Natural History collections will promote research, exhibit, and educational opportunities.”	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN REVISED AND EDITED BY STEERING COMM. 1/7/10 (ASF/SN/BA) Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, natural history collections and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Knowledge of natural history and archeology, including submerged cultural resources, will be expanded by documentation, and collected when appropriate, to monitor changes over time. DONE
75	EVOL VED CULT URAL LAND SCAP E	ASF/ BA	Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Demonstrate to visitors how the park’s museum collection and Cultural Resource Holdings are inextricably bound.”	Not discussed Nov. 3 (ASF/SN/BA) Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, natural history collections and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be used to inform interpretive programming and incorporated in exhibits when feasible. DONE
81	HIST ORIC IMME RSIO N	ASF	Museum Collections. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in ongoing stewardship, and demonstrate their direct association to the park’s historic resources”	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN (ASF/SN/BA) Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, natural history collections and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be used to inform historically furnished spaces and incorporated into exhibits when feasible. DONE
87	INTE RPRE TIVE CORR IDOR	ASF	Museum Collections. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Visitors will be engaged in cultural resource stewardship programs focusing on the connections between historic resources and the park’s museum collection.”	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN (ASF/SN/BA) Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, natural history collections and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be used in interpretive programming to help visitors understand the primeval forest and early 20 th century conservation history. DONE
92	NATU RAL	ASF	Museum Collection. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Natural History collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN REVISED AND EDITED BY STEERING COMM. 1/7/10 (ASF/SN/BA) Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, natural history collections and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines.

			ongoing stewardship, and demonstrate their direct association to the park's historic resources"	Knowledge of natural history and archeology will be expanded by documentation, and collected when appropriate, to monitor changes over time. DONE
98	SENSITIVE RESOURCES	ASF	Museum Collection. Change to "Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Natural History collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in ongoing stewardship, and demonstrate their direct association to the park's historic resources"	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN REVISED AND EDITED BY STEERING COMM. 1/7/10 (ASF/SN/BA) Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, natural history collections and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Knowledge of natural history and archeology will be expanded by documentation, and collected when appropriate, to monitor changes over time. DONE
103	PARK OPERATIONS	ASF/ BA	Change to "Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in ongoing stewardship."	Not discussed Nov. 3 (ASF/SN/BA) Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, natural history collections and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be stored in centralized facilities and made available for research, exhibit and interpretive programming to inform and engage the public in ongoing stewardship. DONE
118 & 202	P3	BA	Add to end of the Overview a statement about the museum collection: "In all alternatives, the park's archive and museum collection, with represents a rich chronicle of history, would be relocated to rehabilitated buildings in the Presidio that formerly served as stables for the U.S. Cavalry. This modernized facility would ensure the long-term preservation of the collection and be the focal point for programs that engage visitors in memorable and meaningful learning opportunities." Is this COMMON? Seems like we are working toward this now.	Decision: Add bolded statement to Operational Facilities section on page 126-127...but not on 202. Brian, Nancy, and Stephen will incorporate these thoughts from BA into editing of p. 126 and 127. BRIAN, STEPHAN, and NANCY. (NH/BA) See p. 126 below. DONE
122	8	BM	Boundary is 1,000 feet in many areas, which is different than ¼ mile. May have to present the range in boundary offshore.	Decision: Marybeth will research and determine how this statement about distance should read. MARYBETH – need documentation in email. REGION HAS PREPARED AN UPDATE. CRAIG SCOTT TO ADDRESS.
126		NH		Decision: Edit Operational Facilities title to ??? (STEPHAN and NANCY) DONE. (NH/BA) "MAINTENANCE, MUSEUM, AND PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITIES" Narrative references other kinds of park operational facilities, which vary among the alternatives. See below. DONE
126 - 127		SLF	General comment – this section is silent on the needs for native plant nursery space (such as in upper Tennessee Valley near the Miwok stables), in southern San Mateo with incorporation of new land areas. Also silent abt NR/CR offices and stewardship facilities, which are critical for many areas of the park and are currently inadequate. Not sure why these are not considered "operational" as well, since they are permanent needs and certainly fit within the concepts of "parks to the people" and "Connecting People with the Parks".	Decision: Staff agreed with concerns. Brian, Nancy, and Stephan will work through all of pp. 126-127. (STEPHAN, NANCY, and BRIAN) Brian has arranged meeting with Betty Young and GGNPC re. nurseries. <ul style="list-style-type: none">- MUWO moves to LRC.- TV moves out of valley. Need to replace capacity?- Cronkhite remains unchanged.- FOFU remains unchanged.- Oceana HS remains unchanged.- Shelldance could be new operation.- Rancho could be new operation.
126-127			Address comments/rewrite from Carrie F. for Operational Facilities.	Decision: Incorporate all suggested from Carey F., with following modifications: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• In "Public Safety Hub" section, delete "(need to verify)" in Marin Headlands bullet at bottom of page.• Eliminate "Space occupied by law enforcement..."• Make "Satellite Offices" bold / separate subheading• Introduce stable/curation issue with paragraph in Museum Collections section on page118. (ASK STEPHAN for detail)• Add Curation Facility bold subheader on page 127 and explanation, which provides detail in Operations Section (ASK STEPHAN for detail)• In Carrie's "Maintenance Facility" section on 126, drop the "LEED certified" part.• In Satellite Offices section... Muir Woods NM - Change to "An office would remain in an existing building..."• Under same Muir Woods bullet of Satellite Office, change to "could move" from the Old Inn...• Same MUWO bullet, last sentence..."which is dependent upon an interagency agreement." Decision: Brian, Nancy, and Stephan will work through all of pp. 126-127. Bullets in the Satellite Offices section to accurately reflect park operations intentions, while providing some details of use, but not earmarking each facility to each use/need. (i.e., "may be utilized by uses such as..."). Keep some details in Satellite Offices list where it is really important. But keep more vague for sites that specific use is not as critical (i.e., keep options open). BRIAN, STEPHAN, NANCY Edit as appropriate. REVIEWED AND EDITED BY STEERING COMM REVIEW, 1/7/10. See text below. (BA/ CF/ NH) FINAL REVIEW CONCLUDED, 1/19/10. DONE Decision: Tom, Superintendent, and Deputy Superintendent need to affirm Muir

			<p style="color: red;">Woods agreements between NPS and State Parks. TOM, FRANK, CRAIG.</p> <p>In progress: BA has drafted letter from GOGA to Danita Rodriguez/State Parks asking for confirmation of draft statements. Need to incorporate idea for housing at Kent Canyon. (NH)</p> <p style="color: red;">NEED SIMILAR FROM PRESIDIO TRUST RE. CAVALRY STABLES COMPLEX.</p>
--	--	--	--

MAINTENANCE, PUBLIC SAFETY, AND MUSEUM STORAGE FACILITIES — revised draft — **SEE RED TEXT**

Context

Park maintenance, public safety, and museum collections storage functions are scattered throughout the park at sites and facilities that in many cases were not intended for these uses. These functions have had to adapt to conditions that do not adequately meet their space, size, functional, mobility, and security requirements. Maintenance and public safety operations have also had to move numerous times, requiring them to reprogram their operations each time, resulting in many inefficiencies. Multiple storage facilities for the park's museum collection jeopardizes long term preservation and restricts its availability for research, education, and interpretive programming, limiting its usefulness to the public and park personnel.

The following section proposes a comprehensive approach to building and facility uses necessary to meet the existing and projected needs for these operational functions in conjunction with all draft alternatives. The actions proposed are based on a thorough analysis of park programs and facilities, including the possibilities for locating functions outside park boundaries. The park has other operational facilities such as staff offices, housing, native plant nurseries, and horse patrol facilities. The locations of these facilities vary among the alternatives and are addressed in the description of the alternatives.

Concept for Maintenance and Public Safety

The large scale of the park, distributed across three counties, poses a distinct challenge to providing facilities for maintenance and public safety operations. Over the years, a system organized around centralized facilities supported by smaller satellite sites has been an effective and successful means to manage the park. This organizational concept is proposed to continue, but the locations of the centralized facilities will be established more permanently. This will allow the park to gain efficiencies through consolidation of some functions in central facilities and still retain the flexibility to meet dispersed maintenance and safety needs through the satellite offices.

Centralized Maintenance Facilities

Three new maintenance facilities would be established in the park. North of the Golden Gate Bridge, a new centralized facility would be constructed in part of the Capehart housing area of the Marin Headlands. This new facility, about 45,000 square feet in size, would be a state-of-the-art, environmentally sustainable complex to accommodate the park's Buildings and Utilities, Roads, and Marin Grounds functions. The project would include demolition of selected housing units and new construction of shops, offices, covered storage, parking, and work yards. Maintenance operations presently located in Fort Baker (Building 513) and Fort Cronkhite (Buildings 1046, 1070, Nike missile launch site) would be relocated to this new facility.

South of the Golden Gate Bridge, the NPS would rehabilitate one of the buildings in the Presidio that formerly served as stables for the U.S. Cavalry to house the centralized maintenance functions for Area A, the part of the Presidio for which NPS is responsible. Reuse of the cavalry stables building would be contingent upon an agreement between the NPS and the Presidio Trust. Existing NPS maintenance operations currently spread among several Presidio buildings would be consolidated at the former stables site.

At Muir Woods, essential public safety and maintenance functions would continue to be located near the monument entrance. These functions could remain in existing structures or be incorporated into the new welcome center. However, the other maintenance operations would move from the Old Inn and lower Conlon Avenue areas to a new facility shared with California State Parks in Kent Canyon. This action is dependent upon an interagency agreement with California State Parks.

Public Safety Hub

A single centralized operational hub would be developed at Fort Baker to meet park law enforcement needs. These functions would be located in Building 507. Park wildland fire functions (offices, garaged vehicles, and fire caches) would be relocated from Fort Cronkhite Buildings 1068 and 1069 to the former Nike missile launch site near the Marine Mammal Center that would be vacated by the current Roads operation. The historic fire station would remain at Fort Cronkhite. Dispatch and communications operations that serve the park and the Presidio would remain at Presidio Building 35 in the Main Post area.

Satellite Offices

A well-distributed system of park operations satellite offices already exists in Marin County and San Francisco park lands. These sites would need minor improvements made to function more efficiently. Satellites would be extended into San Mateo county by adapting existing park sites for these uses, or through partnerships with other agencies. Typically, each satellite site may have the capacity to collocate functions from several different divisions. The following is a list of satellite locations:

- Stinson Beach – No change is anticipated to the scale of the office which serves both maintenance and public safety functions.
- **Marin Headlands – Law enforcement would continue to have access to offices used by the wildland fire program in Fort Cronkhite.**
- **Presidio – Public safety would continue to have access to offices by the U.S. Park Police.**
- Alcatraz Island – Public safety offices would remain in Building 64 and maintenance facilities would be expanded in the rehabilitated Quartermaster Warehouse.
- Fort Mason – Maintenance and public safety would continue to have administrative offices at park headquarters in Fort Mason. Grounds maintenance facilities would remain.
- Fort Miley – Maintenance and public safety facilities would continue at East Fort Miley.
- Fort Funston – The existing public safety and maintenance offices would remain. A small building for heavy equipment storage would be constructed.
- San Mateo County north of Devil's Slide – Maintenance and public safety offices could be located at Sheldance Nursery or at San Pedro County Park in Pacifica.
- San Mateo County south of Devil's Slide – A new satellite office for Maintenance and public safety offices would be developed at a location yet to be determined.

Concept for Museum Storage Facilities

The park's museum collection would be consolidated into two neighboring buildings in the Presidio that formerly served as stables for the U.S. Cavalry. The buildings would provide adequate space for the collection, and their rehabilitation would meet national standards for security, fire protection, and environmental control. This facility would also provide public space for exhibits and programs that engage visitors in memorable and meaningful learning opportunities based on the collection. This action is dependent upon an interagency agreement with the Presidio Trust.

ABOVE TEXT ADDED TO DOC.

DONE

128	2	NH	<p>Partnership This section seems a bit specific for the GMP, though partnership guidance is what we asked for... Should reference the Guiding Principle on p 11.</p> <p>Insert "Since the GGNRA was established, partnerships with other organizations have been central to preserving park resources and offering programs that further the mission of the park."</p>	<p>Nancy suggests that the writing style of the Partnership section is very different than others. Written as a "How To Guide". Needs to be re-written. Stephan responded by describing the intent of this section to codify partnership policies/practice of GGNRA.</p> <p style="color: red;">Decision: Keep "Partnership" section. But, ask Aaron Roth to do "modest re-write" that maintains similar approach and style to other sections in Common to All chapter (and not a "How To" style). AARON ROTH</p> <p>DONE STEPHAN AND JUNE HAVE EDITED. WILL REVIEW WITH AARON.</p>
128	8	BA	<p>Part. Change: "...with the park. By doing so the park has gained greater appreciation and support. These opportunities..."</p> <p>I think the text has to better communicate the reason why partnerships are so important here at</p>	<p style="color: red;">Decision: Also see previous item. Introduction of Partnership section needs to emphasize how partners are key to the park. Aaron's re-write should incorporate Brian's suggested thoughts/additions (to left) AARON ROTH</p>

		GGNRA. Maybe this goes after line 11: “For many people in the Bay Area, the park enriches their lives every day. It may come as an evening run along the coast, a chance to walk the dog on a grassy lawn, or as the beautiful backdrop to a hectic commute. These daily encounters, combined with the richness and diversity of the park’s resources seem to have fostered great public interest in the welfare of the park. This public-spirited attitude, coupled with an entrepreneurial legacy of the Bay Area, has led many people to seek partnerships with the park. The park has welcomed these partnerships as a way to accomplish the mission of the park. Park managers know that they can’t accomplish the grand purpose of the park without such partnerships.”	DONE SEE ABOVE.
130	DF	Since Redwood Creek Vision was specifically called out, I was wondering if other watershed or broader planning efforts that GOGA are involved with might be described as well (Bolinas Lagoon Ecosystem Restoration, Upland Goals Project). The other option would be to include in Appendix B-Management Plans. The Upland Goals Project also targeted high priority lands for acquisition and protection—I think this data layer was sent to Craig S/Brian A.	<p>Decision: Daphne will ask Warren Fong – Anything not included in the Upland Goals or not in Golden Lands but should be included in Boundaries section. DAPHNE, DARREN FONG, BRIAN.</p> <p>REVIEWED BY THE STEERING COMMITTEE 1/22/10. DONE. SEE NEW RED TEXT.</p> <p>UPLAND GOALS CONSERVATION AREAS</p> <p>A science-based approach towards identifying, for protection, biologically important lands in the San Francisco Bay Area was developed by the Bay Area Open Space Council (Weiss et al. 2008), with participation of NPS staff. Their output consists of a network of conservation areas based on computer models that strives to achieve conservation goals for targeted vegetation types and individual species along with assessments of viability, ecological integrity, and level of connectivity of conservation lands. The model output identifies lands adjacent to the park that would help sustain diverse and healthy communities of plant, fish and wildlife resources in the nine county Bay Area. Some of these areas overlap with Priority Conservation Areas identified in the FOCUS study.</p> <p>Stinson Beach Environs Currently undeveloped lands located near Panoramic Highway have been identified as essential conservation areas and would help enhance the park’s protection of contiguous coastal biological resources.</p> <p>Lower Redwood Creek Lands along the Redwood Creek corridor below its intersection with Highway 1 have been identified as essential conservation areas and would help enhance the park’s protection of contiguous stream resources and associated threatened and endangered species.</p> <p>Nyhan Creek Lands along the Nyhan Creek corridor from its headwaters to the Bay have been identified as an essential conservation area and would help the park contribute to the protection of contiguous stream resources within the region.</p> <p>Mori-Milagra-Sweeney Connector Currently undeveloped lands in the Pacifica area have been identified as essential conservation areas and their protection acquisition would help the park increase the long-term resiliency of small natural areas such as Milagra Ridge as well as secure important habitat corridors to facilitate species and community movements over time and space.</p> <p>San Pedro Mountain and Rancho Corral de Tierra Environs, south to Highway 92 Currently undeveloped lands in the Montara, Moss Beach and Half Moon Bay areas have been identified as essential conservation areas and their protection acquisition would help the park increase the core of protected lands along the spine of the San Francisco peninsula. Similar to the Pacifica area, these protected areas would provide important habitat corridors to facilitate species and community movements over time and space.</p> <p>Citations – for the bibliography at back: Weiss, S.B., N. Schaefer, and R. Branciforte. August 2008. The San Francisco Bay Area upland habitat goals methodology and vegetation representation analysis. 98 pp.</p> <p>ABOVE TEXT ADDED TO DOC. DONE</p> <p>NOTE: Superintendent recommends moving BOLINAS LAGOON into PROPOSED Boundary Adjustment section. AVILES and HATCH will review narrative and direct CRAIG SCOTT to make any map edits.</p>
135	1-13	MS	Various minor edits to Transpo section.
136	10-11	CP	Do not reference specific online sites in plan.
140-141		Abby Sue	<p>List of items/plans need to be revisited, edited, expanded, etc.</p> <p>Decision: Staff needs to look at this. Focus on bigger, broader implementation plans of park (for the Preferred Alternatives) and things that need to be done for compliance purposes. BRIAN, STEPHAN, and NANCY will compile list of Implementation Plans while checking in with Abby Sue, et. al. (as appropriate)</p> <p>Decision 2: Move “Implementation Plan” section OUT of Common to All section. Need to find a place for it.</p> <p>– Stephan proposes Mitigative Measures, p321.</p> <p>REVIEWED BY STEERING COMM REVIEW 1/22/10. Many Edits!</p>

			Proposed reorganization that incorporates comments is below. (BA) REVISED VERSION (Below) ADDED TO DOC. DONE
--	--	--	---

IMPLEMENTATION PLANNING

After the approval of this general management plan, the park would complete other more detailed studies before specific actions would be implemented. These studies would investigate the baseline condition of resources and visitor use in the park as required by NPS Management Policies (2006) and fulfill the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, National Historic Preservation Act, and other relevant laws and policies. These would inform the detailed site-specific improvement plans that would be prepared for different parts of the park. Where appropriate, these studies and plans would be completed with substantial public involvement and environmental compliance. The additional studies and improvement plans could include the following:

Detailed Site Improvement Plans

- Stinson Beach
- Muir Woods
- Muir Woods Off-site Welcome Center
- Lower Redwood Creek
- Tennessee Valley
- Fort Cronkhite/ Rodeo Valley
- Alcatraz
- Ocean Beach
- Fort Funston
- Picardo Ranch
- Rancho Corral de Tierra

Natural Resources

- Resource Stewardship Strategy
- Ocean stewardship action plan
- Vegetation management plans, including exotic species
- Forest inventories and condition assessments
- Water resources availability studies
- Earth materials management plans
- Geotechnical evaluations of shorelines
- Field surveys for presence of threatened and endangered species
- Regional studies of wildlife species of special interest
- Pest control and eradication plans

Cultural Resources

- Resource Stewardship Strategy
- Historic Resource Studies
- Archaeological surveys and investigations
- Cultural Landscape Inventories and Reports
- Historic Structures Reports
- Historic American Buildings Survey records
- Fortification preservation and management plans
- Lighthouse preservation and management plans
- Updates to National Historic Landmark nominations
- Determinations of Eligibility for the National Register
- Updates to National Register nominations

Visitor Use

- Educational and interpretive program plans
- Visitor satisfaction surveys
- Trails development and management plans
- Social trail inventories and management plans
- Transportation and transit plans
- Equestrian facilities management plans

General

- Climate change action plan
- Land protection plan
- Business plans
- Visual impact assessments
- Topographic surveys

168	23	BA	Let's get CLR guidance on removal of the rubble piles.	<p>Decision: Stephan, Craig, and Daphne will discuss over next 4 weeks (Nov. 09) to determine best wording. Craig and Daphne should consider CLR direction when considering this. STEPHAN, CRAIG, and DAPHNE</p> <p>See similar item below.</p> <p>Brian/Stephan decision to retain.</p> <p>DONE</p>
168	22	SH	NO. You have this reversed. Parade Ground is in Historic Immersion Zone. Rehabilitation and visitor exploration of the Parade Ground will be pursued and the management of Western gull population will be carried out to the extent that <i>the latter</i> proves feasible.	<p>See above. STEPHAN, CRAIG, and DAPHNE</p> <p>Brian/Stephan decision to retain.</p> <p>DONE</p>
168	22	BA	Replace sentence about removing rubble piles: "Rehabilitation of the parade ground would preserve the rubble from previous buildings and could incorporate measures to support wildlife."	<p>See above. STEPHAN, CRAIG, and DAPHNE</p> <p>To the above: I rescind my suggestion to preserve the rubble – even if the CLR finds it is a contributing resource. The rubble speaks more to the GSA action destroying the buildings (an event which is outside the period of significance) and may not meet the Secretary Standards thresholds for integrity or being recognizable by a person who lived at the time the building was extant. The site is a ruin and NPS Management Policies 5.3.5.4.9 gives the park a lot of latitude in treatment – including removal. If we decide to treat it as a ruin (and remove the rubble) we should get input from Archeology. Design could still incorporate measures to support wildlife – but with the caveat that wildlife doesn't conflict with visitor experience or historic preservation goals. Note what we currently do with the foundation of the old gym, Bldg. 31 on the parade. (BA – January 2010)</p>

				Brian/Stephan decision to retain. DONE
				Global comment: All existing and proposed LE and Maint will be identified and mapped. STEPHAN In progress. (SCOTT, AVILES)
	Mary Beth	Global: State Land Lease wording		Decision: Marybeth and Craig will work to establish appropriate wording for describing State Land Lease, and incorporate it throughout GMP. MARYBETH, CRAIG, STEPHAN
197	13	AL	2. LRC and GGD “Could be- would be” confusion- under <i>Lower Redwood Creek</i> you say GGD COULD be accommodated in this zone, and under <i>Golden Gate Dairy</i> you say the facilities WOULD be moved to LRC. Coordinate language.	Decision: Incorporate. Nancy and Stephan will address. (NANCY and STEPHAN) “could” and “would” highlighted in text for future discussion with GOGA.
y	Par 8	TW	Oakwood Valley Diverse Opportunities Zone. Is this shown in the right place on the map? Is the proposed DO zone on Marincello Road included and shown on the map? I have concerns about adding horses to another watershed -- most of Marincello Road is in the Nyhan Creek watershed, which drains to the SF Bay and includes T&E species habitat.	Decision: MAP CHANGE NEEDED? Marin Alt 1 Map. Should be a Diverse Opportunities zone be added right at Tennessee Valley Road. STEPHAN, BRIAN, CRAIG S., JIM C. Added the zone to the map. Park site visit (October?) confirmed that this should be shown, but came to no conclusion about specific uses for the area. DONE
199		AR	Fort Bay and Cronkhite sections - The "Center for Environment" as the unifying partner Fort Cronkhite concept does not resonate with me. Potentially overlapping with Institute at Fort Baker. Needs a new concept, something more related to recreation, the outdoors, and national park experience consistent with the diverse opportunities concept. Or eliminate having a concept and rely on the zoning description for appropriate non-profit partnership programming. Need to add - "Buildings may also be leased intermittently to generate funds for maintenance and preservation."	Decision: Delete "Center for Environment" to avoid confusion. Get guidance from Nancy on how to write. (STEPHAN, NANCY) Remainder of comment dismissed. Brian/Stephan DONE
204	P6		delete the phrase “through managed retreat”; insert at end of sentence “ <i>and restoring natural processes.</i> ”	Ocean Beach...erosion and natural process issue. Decision: Incorporate concern, but do not use suggested text change. Tamara, Daphne, and Nancy will work with this to address concerns appropriately. TAMARA WILLIAMS, DAPHNE, and NANCY
221 227		DH	Diverse Opportunities Zone: I think we need to strengthen our response to climate change by at least mentioning planned retreat and reduction and relocation of facilities to other upland areas near Stinson Beach as sea level rises. In Both Zones – 2 nd para. We may want to add ‘through managed retreat and other non-hardened shoreline solutions’ to preserve flexibility.	Decision: ADD text that Nancy develops for Common to All Alternatives. (NANCY) Retain, as per Stephan DONE
Page	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS			RESOLUTION
40	Bkgd	DW	Is there a Sweeney Ridge management document? (1984?; NOT 1992 Statement for Management)	Brian? (See locked EA file in War Room.) GOGA Staff needs to write summary paragraph about Sweeney Ridge mgmt.
???		BA	Highway 1 IS NOT a National Scenic Byway; a designation made by the Secretary of Transportation. Segments of Hwy 1 in Big Sur have this designation. Confirmed by Gary Jensen of FHA, Byways program team leader, Gary.Jensen@dot.gov. In Marin, Hwy 1 is ELIGIBLE for designation as a State Scenic Highway (but not designated). In San Mateo County, the segment of Hwy 1 that is adjacent to the park is also ELIGIBLE. At some point south of the intersection with Route 92 it becomes a “Designated State Scenic Highway”. Confirmed by Dennis Cadd, State Scenic Byway Coordinator, (916) 654-5370.	Discussed with Stephan and Don. Suggest we STRIKE ALL REFERENCES TO SCENIC HIGHWAYS in the document. DONE
112	Bndry	DW	Fix map polygons for West Cattle Hill?	DONE Craig and Jim coordinated.
112	Bndry	NH	Add SF Co. Jail site as POTENTIAL FUTURE?	REVIEWED BY STEERING COMMITTEE 1/22/10. DONE. Add as a “POTENTIAL FUTURE BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT”. (BA) Also add to map. Undeveloped Land Adjacent to Sweeney Ridge and County of San Francisco Jail The property is adjacent to park land, sharing two sides with Sweeney Ridge. It contains County Jails #3 and #7, along with a plant nursery and cultivated fields. A large portion of the 145-acre property, roughly 50 acres, is undeveloped and relatively undisturbed. This undeveloped area is contiguous with the extensive coastal ecosystems the park manages on Sweeney Ridge. It has similar scenic qualities and habitat values, including potential habitat for threatened and endangered species. Inclusion of the undeveloped area in the park’s boundary would enable the NPS to receive it by donation without cost should the County declare the property excess.

				DONE BA is pursuing agreement from City of SF to endorse this text.
112	Bndry	BA	Incorporate comments recommending additional specificity regarding the Marin Transportation Hub/ Muir Woods Off-site Welcome Center? Pohono Street?	Mike/ Nancy/ Brian? Retain for now. Waiting on GOGA direction.
147, 152, 161, and 169	ALCA	BA	I suggest we put Embarkation as first zone discussed in the narrative, right after the overview and before arrival. This may require some rewriting about what occurs off-island, but it will make our narrative more logical and sequential – like Muir Woods. Current language is below. Proposed edits are in column to right. No-Action: Off-Island Ferry Dock Location(s) Access to the island is exclusively from Pier 31 on San Francisco's northern waterfront. The NPS contract for ferry service includes a ticketing and reservation system, basic visitor services in the pier buildings, docks, and other support services. Alternative 1: (Alts 2 and 3 are the same.) Off-Island Ferry Dock Location(s) The visitor's immersion in Alcatraz history would begin at one or more off-island ferry dock locations that could include the historic Alcatraz dock at Fort Mason and could provide connections between Alcatraz and other national park sites. Primary ferry embarkation would remain from San Francisco's northern waterfront where orientation and visitor services would be provided.	REVIEWED WITH SN. 1/19/10. DONE. Proposed Text (BA) No-Action: Ferry Embarkation Access to the island would remain exclusively from the docks at Pier 31 on San Francisco's northern waterfront. The park would continue to provide basic orientation and visitor services at the pier. Ticketing would continue to be through a reservation system, and ferries would operate daily on a year-round schedule. The length of the ferry trip between the mainland and Alcatraz would remain approximately 10-15 minutes. Alternative 1: [Alts 2 and 3 are the same.] Ferry Embarkation The visitor's immersion in Alcatraz' history would begin at one or more off-island ferry docks that could include the historic Alcatraz dock at Fort Mason. The primary embarkation site would remain on San Francisco's northern waterfront where orientation and visitor services could be enhanced. Additional ferry connections could also be provided between Alcatraz and other park sites. Ticketing would continue to be through a reservation system, and ferries would operate daily on a year-round schedule. The length of the ferry trip between the mainland and Alcatraz would remain approximately 10-15 minutes. Above text added to doc. DONE
169	4	ASF	Prefers " preservation workshop" rather than "Conservation" because it doesn't convey a high level of specialization and technology associated with current field of conservation.	ASF, Don, Stephan DONE
159- 299	Alts.	BA	Minimize use of " Same as Alternative X ". Insert full text unless prohibitively long. This is most important for Muir Woods and Alcatraz where Alt. 3 is preferred.	DONE. Don W. to address. (12/8/09) June edited the Preferred Alternative sections for ALCA and MUWO (Alt. 3 in both cases) so the subsections do NOT say "Same as Alternative ____". Duplicate text from the previous Alts were copied/pasted into the Preferred Alternative sections so reader does not need to refer back to previous alternatives when reading about the Preferred. The Preferred Alternative for all other GGNRA areas (Alt. 1) did not need to be edited. DONE
182, 196, 222	Alts.	BA	AVOID CALLING SLIDE RANCH A FARM. Replace " <i>existing environmental education center and farm education program</i> " with something like " non-profit environmental education center and teaching farm "??? The term is used by Slide Ranch in several locations on their website. Is this any better or different?	Stephan? NO CHANGE. DONE
209	Phlgr	BA	Should we mention or zone the Moore Estate /house? Is it likely to come to the park? What kind of use/treatment would be appropriate?	(NH/ CP/ BA) No. It is not within the park boundary, nor is it a potential boundary adjustment. DONE
272	MW	BA	We should consider being more specific in the narrative about location for off-site welcome center . Provide distance from monument to give sense of visitor experience? (approximately six miles, 20 minute ride)	STEERING COMM. REVIEW, 1/22/10. P. 272, line 27 – Add red text: "...and Caltrans. Shuttles would cover the approximately six mile trip to the monument in about twenty minutes. Express..." DONE
293	MW	BA	Discuss need for "Off-site Welcome Center" LABEL on all Marin and MUWO maps.	Nancy?
X	All	NH	Glossary definition for WARMING HUT ?	Nancy? We have created definition that refers to existing Warming Hut on Crissy. DONE
475	Trans	DW	Do we want to include N\N transportation maps? Existing Conditions or Proposed Transportation Improvements? (8-9 maps)	(BA) Yes. Proposed Transportation Improvements. BA sent the N\N maps (as PDFs) to Jim Corbett and Don Wojcik. Will be incorporated into Volume II. DONE
All			Do we explain that we are three units, managed as one park, under the laws and policies for the national park system. Should this be in Special Mandates? Check page 44.	Stephan will address. DONE
208	DO Zone	NH	Rancho needs caveat language about water availability: subject to availability of water. Add to	Reviewed with Stephan. He will insert language. 1/19. DONE

			bottom of 1 st paragraph. "...and a horse camp. Facility development would be constrained by the availability of water. "	
?	?	CF	Is there a summary of the park's concept for visitor centers /contact stations? Explain how park's geography influences concept; concentrated visitation at certain areas (ALCA, MUWO, CRISSY, CRONKH.) is supported by dedicated facilities; more dispersed visitation is addressed by smaller facilities and kiosks, etc.	<p>Nancy, Stephan, and Brian?</p> <p>Maybe this could be in the summary section.</p> <p>Retain, as per Stephan DONE</p>
273 and 289	Muir Wood	BA	I suggest some reorganization to better capture ideas for the native plant nursery (moving to Lower Redwood Creek) and maintenance functions (moving to facility shared with CA State Parks at Kent Canyon.)	<p>STEERING COMM. REVIEW, 1/22/10. (BA)</p> <p>Page 273, line 11 – Add red text: "...entry area. To allow visitor parking to be reconfigured, the native plant nursery would be relocated to Lower Redwood Creek as part of a stewardship center. Realignment..."</p> <p>Page 289, line 18 forward – Strike end of paragraph: Diverse Opportunities Zone (lower Conlon Avenue) A modest parking area and trailhead would be located in this zone. The park would continue to explore a more sustainable wastewater treatment process that would replace the existing lift station. Other existing operational functions...</p> <p>KENT CANYON, Mount Tamalpais State Park (new bold heading)</p> <p>The park would work with California State Parks to achieve common objectives for this area. Collaboration would focus on maintenance, parking and trails. Most maintenance functions in the monument would be relocated to facilities shared with Mount Tamalpais State Park. [This is common to all 3 alts.]</p> <p>Above text added to document. DONE</p>