

Steering Committee Workshop November 3 - 5, 2009

#1

Page	Line	Initial	Park Purpose and Significance	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
11	8	BM	Consider adding Resource Preservation principle that would cover such ideas as working to proactively preserve, restore, and enhance natural resources. This would tie in well with climate change actions and mitigation measures for NR in appendix. Sustainability resources sounds like we are just trying to hang on. Sustainability applies more readily to park operations. Responding to climate change should be directly identified somewhere in these guiding principles—and probably better yet under each of them.	<p>Staff feels that these guiding principles are important. The principles are their own park “stamp” on the laws that direct NPS. They serve as GOGA’s basic policies. Brian suggests that this GP section should be placed AFTER the Foundation Statement.</p> <p>Decision 1: MOVE Guiding Principles section (p. 11) to <u>AFTER</u> Guidance for Planning section (p. 13). i.e., Swap pages 11-12 with pages 13-14.</p> <p>Decision 2: Chris will strengthen Intro to Guiding Principles, with more emphasis on resource preservation, and possibly edit first sentence of Sustainability principle. CHRIS P. – this week?</p>	<p>June moved to p. 5 since GP are an overall philosophy of park management. Add intro statements re: philosophy of what guiding principles are about. (e.g., unique to this park). Add a few add’l support sentences for nat’l and cultural res sustainability</p>
11	28	Interp	Add, “ We are also dedicated to the park becoming a “town hall” where issues connected to the meanings of park resources can be safely and openly discussed and debated.”	<p>Howard suggests editing Civic Engagement strengthen statement on park’s role that goes beyond interpretation of resources and goes out into community to engage citizens. Nancy believes the CE emphasis is already covered in text. Susan states that it’s a subtle difference in HOW MUCH we want to emphasize robust community engagement role. Frank thinks the last sentence limits the role a bit.</p> <p>Decision 1: Howard will work with Stephen with some edited verbiage to bring out more engagement, but without using “town hall” reference. HOWARD – This week? → No response. Dismissed?</p>	<p>Retained unless guidance is provided by the park</p>
17	Coastal	BM	Suggest change in text in second sentence to”ecosystems	Decision 1: Remove the word “a” (before refuge)	Done

Page	Line	Initial	Park Purpose and Significance	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
	Corridor		<p>support exceptional native biodiversity and provide refuge for one" ... I don't think the park provides a singular refuge.</p> <p>For Marine Environment, need to include SF Bay in addition to oceanic conditions, as it also influences park lands.</p> <p>For Interpretive Theme, 2nd sentence reference to islands may be confusing—consider protected park lands instead??? Or protected park "islands".</p>	<p>in Signif. Statement to imply multiple refuges.</p> <p>Decision 2: In FRV title, change "Marine Environment" Fundamental Resource to "Ocean and Bay Environment"</p> <p>Decision 3: Global change from "Marine Environment" to "Ocean and Bay Environment" anywhere it's mentioned as an FRV (through Mgmt Zone section (up to page 105). Or elsewhere in document?</p> <p>Decision 4: Change "islands" in last word of Interp. Theme paragraph to "remnants"</p> <p>Decision 5: Convert broken phrases in all Fundamental Resource items/paragraphs to full/complete sentences. Ensure all FRV in all zones are written in a consistent way/style with Signif. Statements and Interp. Themes.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done in Vol 1.and 2. (NOT done globally.)</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>
		Doug N	<p>SCENIC BEAUTY</p> <p>Significance</p> <p>Probably since they were first viewed by man, the headlands of the Golden Gate have been recognized for their outstanding scenic quality. The dramatic convergence of land and sea, bay and ocean and the palpable energy of the confluence of 16 major rivers create a spectacle that is truly unique.</p> <p>Fundamental Resources and Values</p> <p>Combined with the open lands radiating from it, the Golden Gate serves as a backdrop to the San Francisco metropolitan area. The contrast between it and developed urban lands contributes significantly to the quality of life for area residents and the experience of visitors.</p> <p>The improbable scenic quality of the diversity of natural settings found within the park in such proximity to an urban area promotes a continuous sense of wonder and appreciation in the</p>	<p>Doug offers this text change for "Scenic Views" Signif. Statement. Staff generally prefers Doug's language in substance.</p> <p>Decision 1: Change Signif. Statement title to "Scenic Beauty", not "Scenic View" Carry on throughout Mgmt. Zone section and tables.</p> <p>Decision 2: Mike Hsu will rework and polish entire "Scenic Beauty" section (Significant Fundamental, and Interp. Themes) based on suggested text from Doug N. and Brian A.'s suggestions. Brian A. will help guide Mike as necessary. MIKE HSU, BRIAN A. – for follow-up session</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Incorporate most of Doug N. recommended changes.</p>

Page	Line	Initial	Park Purpose and Significance	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
			viewer. The integrity of this open space contributes significantly to the ability to recount the epic stream of history that flowed between the headlands. Interpretive Theme The powerful positive influence that parklands and undisturbed open space can exert on urban settings is a critical message that should be conveyed here. The grassroots movement that resulted in the establishment of Golden Gate was inspired entirely by a passionate desire of Bay Area residents to preserve the quality of life to which these lands contribute so much.		
		NH	Scenic Views: Change to "Scenic Beauty" (as in the legislation) Significance: delete "for" and insert "and scenic beauty enjoyed by" before "area residents" Add to interp theme: "The scenic beauty of the historic and natural undeveloped landscapes of the lands that now comprise the park inspired grass-roots activism that led to their protection. Proposed development that would have destroyed these lands led to the response of the community to organize and successfully work for their protection"	Nancy's comments will be addressed by previous item (Mike Hsu's rework of this section).	Done Used Doug N as noted above
19		BA	Add "Civil War period " and "...and during the Indian Occupation..." What is basis for "55-year" span of history? Clarify if this is the federal penitentiary period only.	Brian suggests it's a wordsmithing issue. Decision 1: Abby Sue will ask Steve H. to reaffirm current text and correct if necessary. ABBY SUE, STEVE H. – follow-up session	Changed words Retain. OK as is.
21		NH	Physical Landforms: add to interp theme: Are a link to understanding the past, the ongoing influence on the landscape and the human response to these changes.	Decision: Howard will assess and decide. HOWARD L. - today	Retain
20		BA	Under "Dramatic settings, sub "dramatic views that contrast undeveloped with urban coastal environments. Under Preserved Open Spaces, sub "undeveloped open spaces [delete associated ecosystems] ranging from the open waters of the Pacific Ocean and San Francisco Bay, to beaches, estuaries, headlands, and valleys. "	Decision: Should be completed with edits to Scenic Beauty section. Mike Hsu should consider Brian's comments in addition to Doug's comments... to "evolve" this statement and section.	Used Doug N version that Brian sent to DSC
24	1	BA	Needs to incorporate update from Scolari and Monroe. Include	Brian contends that Preamble and Fundamental	

Page	Line	Initial	Park Purpose and Significance	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
				Decision 1: Retain paragraph. Edit/strengthen parts on identity so it doesn't sound self-serving. STEPHAN and HOWARD.	Retain current language. DSC staff does not think it sounds "self-serving"
35	25	AR	Southern Marin Equestrian Planning - the GMP says this is explicitly excluded from scope, but then goes on to make significant equestrian statements and equestrian facility decisions going as far as stating the horse patrol will be at GGD and Banducci could have horses, horses will stay in fort cronkhite/barry, etc, etc. I think we need to change statements about equestrian facilities at specific sites to more general "equestrian use" in broader areas of the park and let the Marin Equestrian EA talk and decide specific facilities in specific areas. For instance, I think that it is a very real outcome that the Banducci site may not be able to accommodate both horses and nursery and we will end up with a GGD site of 11 sources, reworked and improved. I'd hate to go out with a draft BMD that makes a promise horses at the Banducci site we cannot keep, or a promise at GGD that we don't want to keep.	<p>Nancy suggests that it should be deleted from this section and moved to the section on other plans underway. Abby Sue agrees with Aaron that it's a work in progress.</p> <p>Stephan suggests that BOTH the Dog Mgmt and Equestrian Planning in Marin Co. paragraphs on page 35 be REMOVED. Leave text on page 42.</p> <p>Nancy feels that Dog Mgmt. should remain on page 35. But, remove Equestrian section.</p> <p>Decision 1: REMOVE paragraph on Equestrian Planning in Marin County on page 35.</p> <p>Decision 2: Keep paragraph on Dog Mgmt, but Chris P. will reaffirm the text. Reference to Dog Mgmt. plan on page 42 will remain. CHRIS P.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done. Chris provided appropriate text via email. Incorporated.</p>
40				Sweeney Ridge GMP amendment to write narrative on page 40 (After Sutro) Search off website for Sweeney	DSC is awaiting response from Brian for copy of Sweeney Ridge mgmt plan.

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
		DH	The detailed descriptions of the management zones needs to be in a side-by-side fold out table in order to compare them and better understand the differences between them.	<p>Staff generally agreed about the importance of having Mgmt. Zone sections listed side-by-side for the purpose of comparison. Some felt that BOTH listing the mgmt. zones in the text as well as a fold-out table be provided. All agreed that bulk of tedious text from pages 59-105 is excessive and needs to be removed. Stephen mentioned that the newsletter aimed to provide this comparison at THAT stage, but now in the draft stage we have made some decisions so side-by-side comparisons aren't important.</p> <p>Decision: Move bulk of Mgmt. Zone details to another location (back/appendix booklet). (1) Remove most of pages 61-105, (2) insert a 3-4 page foldout 11" x 17" Summary Table of Mgmt. Zones at page 61 (after the two pages of Zone Concept summaries for each zone (existing). See summary table from April 2008?, and (3) assemble a detailed comparison matrix/booklet that will be provided at back of document (insert). (perhaps pull-out booklet with summaries and detailed subsections presented in table format). Before assembling table and booklet, see following comments RE: Mgmt. Zones substance.</p>	Done. Zone concepts added to beginning of Mgmt Zone section. 11x17 summary table also added. A Mgmt Zone "booklet" that includes the complete Mgmt Zone descriptions is being developed (to be inserted at back of Vol. I).
59	29 - 31	BM	Need to include how this zone incorporates natural resources and features. Concern about how bird nesting areas fit into ECL zone on Alcatraz and importance of natural features of landscape in Marin and San Mateo Counties.	<p>Daphne is concerned that ECL summary (pp. 59 and 73) doesn't include reference to natural resou and cultural resource subsection</p> <p>Decision 1: Natural resource values need to be incorporated into Cultural Resource subsections of ALL Mgmt. Zones... on how natural resources are intertwined with cultural resources in many cases (not only ECL Mgmt Zone). STEPHAN/JUNE</p> <p>Decision 2: ECL Mgmt Zone summary on page 59 should include reference to natural resources. This change would also be incorporated into the appendix "booklet" as well. This concern will be addressed by Brian Aviles re-write (see BA</p>	Done. Cultural Res. sections in ECL and Hist. Immersion were edited to incorporate Nat'l Res. mgmt.

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
				comment for pages 59-60) Decision 3: Edit ECL Summary 2nd sentence on page 59 to "Contributing historic elements would be preserved..." This concern will be addressed by Brian Aviles re-write (see BA comment for pages 59-60)	
60		SH	<p>Historic Immersion Zone description is too weak and is written in such a way that is it not clearly distinguished from the Evolved Cultural Landscape. Drop any reference in this whole document to "selected exteriors:" there is no such kind of resource recognized in NPS-28 and it sounds like an interior decorator talking. Replace this zone description, here and elsewhere, with:</p> <p><i>These areas would include sites, structures and cultural landscapes that are especially evocative of their period of significance. Landscapes and designated building interiors would be managed to protect and enhance their historic values. Visitors would have stronger opportunities to explore history in-depth by experiencing cultural resources and exploring past stories and events. There would be a higher potential for selective restoration efforts in this zone.</i></p>	<p>Staff discussed the difference between Historic Immersion Zone and Evolved Cultural Landscape.</p> <p>Decision 1: ECL, Historic Immersion, and Natural Mgmt. Zones need to be edited/expanded to better emphasize (1) difference in visitor experience (e.g., from Historic Immersion to ECL), (2) balance of cultural and natural resources and how their mgmt is integrated in ECL zone, and (3) how the three zones "flow" in priorities... from historic pres., to "integrated" approach, to natural protection. CRAIG, STEPHAN, and BRIAN</p>	Done
60 (85)		SH	<p>Interpretive Corridor</p> <p>So what's the deal with this zone, and why is interpretive corridor only about natural resource interpretation? I think this was once specific to Muir Woods, but I don't see it anywhere on any of the Zone maps for MUWO or GOGA. Is it obsolete and should it be dropped?</p>	<p>Decision 1: On pages 60 and 85, add parentheses after "Interpretive Corridor Zone". Change to "Interpretive Corridor Zone (Muir Woods alternatives only)"</p> <p>Decision 2: On pages 60 and 85, and other?, incorporate cultural resources into Interpretive Corridor Zone sections. Such as, p. 60, line 12... "Visitor use would be managed to preserve important <u>natural and cultural</u> resources and their..."</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>
59 - 60		BA	<p>Suggested rewrite of zone summaries follows. I tried to have more parallel construction and highlight the key differences among zones:</p> <p><i>Diverse Opportunities Zone</i> These areas This area would provide a range of natural, historic, or contemporary developed settings to welcome and support</p>	<p>Staff generally agrees with Brian's edits. Nancy only has concern with Diverse Opp's Zone.</p> <p>Decision 1: Incorporate all of Brian's suggestions (to left)...but also with following modifications: <i>Diverse Opportunities Zone:</i></p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p>

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
			<p>the widest variety of visitor opportunities appropriate in the park. and would be able to accommodate a large variety of visitor uses opportunities. Significant Fundamental park resources would be preserved while different levels of visitor use would be accommodated. People would have a wide range of educational, interpretive, and outdoor recreational opportunities to enjoy and appreciate the park's resources.</p> <p><i>Scenic Corridor Zone</i> These areas This area would be a scenic trails, roads, and or coastlines marine corridor that provides for sightseeing and related recreational opportunities. Resources could be modified in this zone to highlight and enhance the natural, cultural, and scenic values, as well as to provide for a safe tour route.</p> <p><i>Evolved Cultural Landscape Zone</i> These areas This area would preserve reflect its significant historic, archeological, agricultural, architectural, and related landscape features while being adaptively reused for contemporary park and partner needs uses. Contributing Cultural resources exterior or interior historic elements, as well as the surrounding natural resources that are often integral to the historic site, would be preserved and interpreted. while the sites, structures, and buildings that compose the landscape would be available for adaptive reuse. The area historic scene could contribute to visitor enjoyment and interpretation and exploration of the historic values and events yet provide for other types of uses visitor opportunities. Working landscapes could be compatible with an aspect of this zone.</p> <p><i>Historic Immersion Zone</i> These areas would include preserve complex historic sites, structures, and cultural landscapes that are evocative of their period of significance. Selected exteriors and designated portions of interior spaces would be managed to protect their historic values and attributes. Visitors would have opportunities to explore history with first hand, by direct contact with experiencing cultural resources, complemented by rich interpretation of and exploring past stories and events.</p> <p><i>Interpretive Corridor Zone</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> change "the widest..." to "a wide..." delete "contemporary", keep "developed" <p><u>ECL Zone:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delete "Working landscapes" sentence at end. May revisit later (as per Howard's request). <p><u>Historic Immersion Zone:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove "complex" in first sentence <p><u>Interpretive Corridor Zone:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove "iconic" in first sentence?? <p><u>Natural Zone:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3rd sentence... Add.. "...principally on trails and beaches". <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NOTE: Add a companion statement in "Cultural Resources" sections of each zone about how Natural Resource values and processes are integrated into management of cultural resources. 	<p>Done. Addressed above via Bill Merkle's comment for p. 59</p>

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
			<p>This area These areas would preserve the park's iconic retain its natural characteristics and would be preserved and richly interpreted through a variety of means. This area would accommodate have a moderate to high number of visitors along well-defined and highly managed trails. Visitor use would be managed to preserve important resources and their associated values and could involve include controlled and managed access.</p> <p><i>Natural Zone</i> These large interconnected areas would retain their natural, wild, and dynamic characteristics and ecological functions. They would be managed to preserve natural resources and maintain resource integrity while accommodating low to moderate levels of visitor use. Visitors would have opportunities to directly experience and understand the natural resources principally on trails in these areas. Visitor use would be managed to preserve all important resources and their associated values and could involve include controlled and managed access. External threats to resources would be aggressively addressed.</p> <p><i>Sensitive Resources Zone</i> These areas would consist of fundamental natural resources that are very highly sensitive to a variety of activities impacts, and would receive the highest level of protection. The natural Resources would be managed to preserve their fundamental values while being monitored and often possibly studied for scientific purposes investigation. Access to these areas would be highly controlled. These areas could be subject to possible closures and access could be restricted to the less sensitive edges of the zone. access. External threats to resources would be aggressively addressed.</p> <p><i>Park Operations Zone</i> These areas would primarily support developed and secured facilities for operations and maintenance functions of the park and its partners. This zone would be managed to provide operation facilities that are safe, secured, and appropriate for functions uses required for park management. Access to these areas for visitors would be controlled and limited to purposes of</p>		

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
			orientation, organized meetings, programs , and access to park administration.		
62			These seem to be almost identical from Zone to Zone – esp. vegetation resources., T&E resources Seems like at least some of these zones should take an aggressive stance toward NR issues.	Decision: Dismiss	
61-105		TW	For all zones, suggest that Natural Resources descriptions include a statement regarding level of protection from/exposure to noise and artificial light.	Decision: Retain light and soundscape as impact topics. Alt 2 has several actions that would have effects on light and soundscape (e.g., reduced vehicle traffic, removed development and associated sounds/lights). Strengthen for FAA. Stephan will talk to Tamara to get language that differs across the zones. Add these topics to Natural Zoning descriptions. TAMARA, STEPHAN	Done. Subsections on night skies and soundscape added to all N.R. sections in all zones (to note impact to wildlife)
61-65		BA	Drop “Coastal Ecosystems” subheading. It provides little information since whole park is coastal. Combine “Submerged cultural resources” under archeological resource heading since I could not find a single difference between these sections. Interpretive themes are communicated (?) rather than presented (?) using different methods (not opportunities). Museum Collection section says nothing about how those resources would be managed in the zones, which was an oversight in Diverse Opps and Operational Facilities?	Decision: Global. For all zones, combine “Archeological Resources” and “Submerged Cultural Resources” sections in the Cultural Resource sections. Use following new subsection title throughout document: “Archeological and Submerged Cultural Resources”	Done. Combined archeological and submerged Done. Changed interpretive themes language, too Done
61		BM	Should treat how resources are treated consistently. For example, new language on CR has regulatory language included, if keep then would need for other resources (e.g. Section 7 consultations may be necessary within T&E habitats, etc.)	Decision: Incorporate concerns and review, make consistent. STEPHAN	Retain. Required by WASO Cultural.
61		NH	Comment added by Stephan and Nancy. Need to revisit.	Decision: Change last sentence of Natural Resource intro paragraph to: “...would help define and locate visitor opportunities, services, and facilities.”	Done
63, 69, 75, 81, 86, 91,		LB	New: Other cultural and ethnic groups connected with park lands and historic properties would be treated with equal respect in efforts to properly consider the treatment of associated	Decision: Dismiss	

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
97,102			properties or their use or interpretation.		
64-105		ASF	<p>The section on Museum Collections is the same for each mgmt zone. Add one sentence to the main narrative for each that describes desired conditions.</p> <p>Change to: “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in ongoing stewardship.”</p>	Decision: Incorporate recommendation. Add suggested text accordingly in Mgmt. Zone section as proposed (on left).	Stephan worked with Abby Sue and Brian and made the corrections.
65,77,83		TW	Dark Night Skies (Lightscapes) – Revise: “Outdoor lighting would provide <i>appropriate</i> illumination for <i>safety, (and specific cultural resource requirements*</i> ,) while minimizing light pollution.” * optional phrase depending on particular zone.	Decision: Text will be upgraded. Stephan will work with Tamara on this. TAMARA and STEPHAN	Done. These were adopted and included into the referenced mgmt zones.
66-105	1	BA	<p>Div Opport Zone Development: I think this section should address NEW development rather than the character of the zone (wild, historic, etc.). “New development would be minor and focused on improving or replacing the diverse existing facilities that support visitor use. This should not encroach upon the natural setting.”</p> <p>Access: “Convenient access would be important in this zone. Connections to community trails, bicycle lanes, transit stops, and roads would be developed.”</p> <p>Types of facilities: drop odd collection of “designated”, boat docks, etc. List of transportation facilities should read: “trails, paths, roads, and other transportation facilities.”</p> <p>Programming: The lists need consistency from zone to zone. It’s unclear why arts, science and other terms are used in one zone and not another. Good places to say COMMUNITY STEWARDSHIP and CITIZEN SCIENCE... (INTERP!).</p>	<p>Decision: Change title on p. 66 to “Development and Management” Also apply this title change in all other Zones. Review subsection text in each zone to make sure support language is consistent with title change.</p> <p>Decision 2: add “to welcome, orient, and support visitors.” at end of intro sentence for “Development and Management” in Diverse Opportunities Zone.</p> <p>Decision 3: GLOBAL. Remove “Scuba diving” from entire GMP.</p>	<p>Done Stephan worked with Brian to affirm D&M support text for each zone.</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done. Does not appear outside management zone descriptions</p> <p>Need to contact Brian A. to get direction... what he means here. Stephan/Don will discern differences.</p>
59, 73	29-35	DH	The Evolved Cultural Landscape Zone also includes the natural resources within the zone and cultural landscapes (including numerous endangered species). The Zone description needs to describe how natural resources are considered and treated within the zone. This is actually a global comment that I have	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3-5 meetings	Done. Addressed via above comment.

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
			<p>discussed with Craig Kenkel. Throughout the entire document there is no mention or discussion of natural resources and their treatment within the ECL zone and cultural landscapes themselves.</p> <p>Each of the 'Cultural Landscapes' descriptions need to incorporate the important natural resources within them. Suggest consulting with Craig K on this.</p>		
		DH	The Cultural Resource zone descriptions have gotten more regulatory which is not consistent with the other topics in the table. These references should be removed and the descriptions focused on desired conditions and how resources would be managed.	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3-5 meetings	Retain. Required by WASO Cultural.
66		AR	<p>For Commercial Services and nonprofit programming, I would like to rewrite my own text as follows</p> <p>“A variety of necessary and appropriate commercial services offerings that may be available include but are not limited to: equipment rentals, guides, food and beverage, recreational, equestrian, overnight accommodations, retail, etc. A variety of nonprofit programming that may be available include but are not limited to environmental, educational, interpretive, community, arts, etc. Certain buildings may be leased for compatible uses.”</p> <p>Also, overlap from the visitor services section should be addressed in each one of these sections.</p>		Done
70		ASF	Museum Collections. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Natural History collections will promote research, exhibit, and educational opportunities.”	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN	Done
72		AR	<p>For Commercial Services and nonprofit programming, I would like to rewrite my own text as follows:</p> <p>“A variety of necessary and appropriate commercial services offerings that may be available include but are not limited to: equipment rentals, guides, food and beverage, recreational, equestrian, overnight accommodations, retail, etc. A variety of nonprofit programming that may be available include but are not limited to environmental, educational, interpretive, community,</p>	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3-5 meetings	Done

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
			arts, etc. Certain buildings may be leased for compatible uses.”		
74		SH	The treatment of vegetation as written is NOT what we agreed to for the ECL Zone. In the ECL zone, native vegetation would be encouraged to thrive, but would ultimately have to be consistent with the Cultural Landscape! Therefore, change the wording to; “Native vegetation (including aquatic vegetation) and vegetation communities would be preserved to the extent possible, consistent with the preservation of cultural landscape values.”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3-5 meetings	Done
75			Museum Collections. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Demonstrate to visitors how the park’s museum collection and Cultural Resource Holdings are inextricably bound.”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done
77		BA	ECL Zone: “ New development would be minimal, but may include modest modern structures necessary to support preservation and visitor use. ”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Stephan and Brian resolved.
78		AR	For Commercial Services and nonprofit programming, I would like to rewrite my own text as follows: “A variety of necessary and appropriate commercial services offerings that may be available include but are not limited to: equipment rentals, guides, food and beverage, recreational, equestrian, overnight accommodations, retail, etc. A variety of nonprofit programming that may be available include but are not limited to environmental, educational, interpretive, community, arts, etc. Certain buildings may be leased for compatible uses.” Also, overlap from the visitor services section should be addressed.	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done
79		SH	As mentioned elsewhere, distinguish this from ECL and strengthen by the following wording: These areas would include nationally-significant sites, structures and cultural landscapes that are particularly evocative of their period of significance. Landscapes, sites and significant building interiors would be managed to protect their historic values. Visitors would have extensive opportunities to explore history in depth by experiencing cultural resources and exploring past stories and events.	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Modified.

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
79	1	BA	NR: 1 st sentence "...and restored as compatible with historic preservation objectives. " END.	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Revised previously.
80		SH	Cultural sites, structures and landscapes would be preserved, rehabilitated or restored to reflect their period of significance... Cultural landscapes would be rehabilitated <i>or restored</i> to make possible the extensive opportunities we are talking about that make this distinct from the ECL zone.	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done.
80		BA	CR: add after 1 st sentence: " Nonhistoric structures not required for other park purposes could be removed to increase the integrity of the zone. "	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain. Applies across the board in mgmt. zones, so not needed
80		BA	Cultural landscapes: add after last sentence: " Select historic features could be restored as part of the treatment of related structures. "	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain. Already dealt with via previous SH comment.
81		ASF	Museum Collections. Change to "Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in ongoing stewardship, and demonstrate their direct association to the park's historic resources"	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN	Done
83		SH	Levels of Development Development would include sensitive rehabilitation or restoration of historic resources, and may include limited non-historic facilities...	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done.
83		BA	HI zone – development: " New development would be highly discouraged, but may include modest modern structures essential for preservation activities and visitor support. "	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Stephan and Brian resolved.
84		AR	For Commercial Services and nonprofit programming, I would like to rewrite my own text as follows: "Necessary and appropriate commercial services offerings that may be available include: limited food/beverage/retail. Equipment rentals, guides, recreational, equestrian, etc. Nonprofit programming focused in the areas of environmental, educational, and interpretive. Certain buildings may be leased for compatible uses."	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done
85		BA	NR: "Natural resource integrity would be preserved and restored as a prominent feature of the zone. Visitor opportunities would be supported in keeping with other	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done, but modified.

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
			resource objectives.”		
86		BA	CR: “preserved by perpetuating historic uses or managing for adaptive reuse.”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain. A change would limit management opportunities (e.g., removal of CCC rocks)
86		BA	Are there really NO ethnographic resources?	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done.
87		ASF	Museum Collections. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Visitors will be engaged in cultural resource stewardship programs focusing on the connections between historic resources and the park’s museum collection.”	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN	Done
87		BA	Vis Ex: “immersed in a complex iconic environment where human and natural history is interwoven. Visitors would participate...	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Modified.
87		BA	Types of Activities: Add “Recreational activities could include walking, hiking, sightseeing, wildlife viewing; with programs and special events in environmental education, stewardship, history, and science. Special organized events may be allowed but group sizes could be limited to avoid impacts on resources and other visitors.”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Modified.
88		BA	“Outstanding scenic views of iconic natural and cultural resources would enhance the visitor experience. Management of views would be guided by natural and cultural resource objectives.”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain.
88		BA	Interpretive Corridor – In “Development & Management” section: “ New development would be minimal and focused on improving or replacing existing facilities...”	Decision: Incorporate (as proposed on left)	Done, with exception of not adding “New” for sake of consistency.
89		AR	For Commercial Services and nonprofit programming, I would like to rewrite my own text as follows: “Commercial Services would be minimal. Non profit programming in the area of education and interpretation may be available”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done
91		SH	Here is where the primacy of landscapes over natural in the ECL is counter-balanced by the primacy of natural systems over cultural landscapes. I suggest parallel construction, like:	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done.

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
			<p>... Cultural resources would be stabilized, preserved and rehabilitated in order to maintain their integrity as long as the essential characteristics of natural ecosystems are maintained.</p> <p>The language on this page for Cultural Landscapes is good.</p>		
91		BA	CR: add “Nonhistoric features could be removed to support natural resource objectives.”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain. It is common to all mgmt zones.
91		DH	p. 91 Cultural Resources Management: Go back to something closer to the original language in the former Wild Zone: Cultural resources are managed to complement the goals of natural resource preservation and visitor experience. Significant cultural resources will be preserved unless such preservation clearly diminished natural resource conditions.	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Changed via a previous SH recommendation.
92		ASF	Museum Collection. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Natural History collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in ongoing stewardship, and demonstrate their direct association to the park’s historic resources”	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN	Done
93		BA	Views: “An abundance of outstanding scenic views of iconic natural and cultural resources would enhance the visitor experience. Management of view would be minimal.”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain.
94		BA	Skills, risk: REVISE “Universal access opportunities would be developed to provide representative experiences for disabled visitors. However, much of the zone would not be accessible to visitors with mobility disabilities.”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain. Stephan will explain reasoning to Brian A.
94		BA	Nat – development: “New development... aimed at improving or replacing essential facilities...”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Stephan and Brian resolved.
94		BA	Access: should emphasize priority for walking or use of trails?	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Modified (trail access emphasized)
95		AR	For Commercial Services and nonprofit programming, I would like to rewrite my own text as follows: “Commercial Services would be minimal, such as guided activities. Non profit programming in the area of education and interpretation may be available”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done
98		ASF	Museum Collection. Change to “Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical	Decision: Abby Sue will work with Stephan appropriate wording for Museum Collections in	Done

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
			artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Natural History collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in ongoing stewardship, and demonstrate their direct association to the park's historic resources"	each Mgmt Zone. ABBY SUE, BRIAN, STEPHAN	
97 -98		DH	<p>The entire Sensitive Zone description should revert to the previous versions that we worked on that were much more restrictive. Otherwise why bother to have this zone. As currently described it does not meet what the original intent was.</p> <p>p. 98 Visitor Experience – The current language is not as restrictive as our original zoning that said: ‘Visitors would have the opportunity to experience and understand these natural [and cultural] resources from outside the zone using a variety of interpretive and educational media. Visitor use is generally restricted and regulated.</p> <p>All of the activities in the original zone descriptions were guided, now it sounds much more open to public use for a variety of uses. Previous language example: ‘Recreational activities could include: Beach activities such as guided tours.’ This was meant to convey that most access would be guided, but now guided activities are just part of a longer menu.</p> <p>Use levels were LOW in the previous version, unless permitted for special purposes. Group sizes WOULD be limited, not could be limited. Please revert to previous language.</p> <p>Ditto for Levels of Development, including Types of Facilities. Those described were meant to be outside the zone, not in it, except for trails and what might be needed for resource protection and safety (e.g. fencing, signage).</p>	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Stephan will discuss with Nancy and Brian.
98		BA	Vis Ex: Add to end of 1 st para: “ Visitation may be highest at the edges of the zone. ”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain. Not needed.
99		TW	Dark Night Skies (Lightscapes) – change “... critical natural resource goals...” to “...critical <i>cultural</i> resource goals...”	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Stephan will check with Daphne about dark sky and sound issues related to Natl Res. impacts (not just a V.E. issue). Also

Page	Line	Initial	Management Zones	Managers' Decisions and Direction	DSC Response/Notes
					checked with Patrick. DONE. Sky/Soundscape sections added to N.R. section in all zones
99		BA	Views: "Outstanding scenic views of iconic natural and cultural resources may be available and would enhance the visitor experience. Management of view would be minimal. "	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain. "iconic" removed.
99		BA	Skills, risk: REVISE. " Universal access opportunities could be very limited. " ???	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Modified. Used same language as in Nat'l Zone.
100		AR	For Commercial Services and nonprofit programming, I would like to rewrite my own text as follows: "Commercial Services and non-profit programming would be minimal."	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. But Stephan will check with Nancy about this in Sensitive Zone
100		BA	Sens Res – development: " New development would be highly discouraged and limited to improvements , such as trails, to allow for concentration of visitor use and protection of sensitive resources." "Interpretive facilities, such as wayside exhibits, may be located at the edge of the zone. "	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Stephan and Brian resolved.
103		ASF	Museum Collections. Change to "Museum Collections (archeological artifacts, archival materials, and historical artifacts) will be documented, cataloged and protected to ensure long-term preservation according to NPS standards and guidelines. Collections will be made available for research, exhibit and educational programming to engage the public in ongoing stewardship."	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done.
104		BA	REVISE. " Special organized events would be permitted where compatible with park operations. Group sizes could be limited. "	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done.
104		BA	Views: "Outstanding scenic views of iconic natural and cultural resources may be available. Management of views would be guided by natural and cultural resource objectives. "	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain. "iconic" removed.
104		BA	Skills, risk: "... Visitors and staff with varied levels of disability would have access to this zone. The time..." ???	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Retain.
104		BA	Ops – development: New development could include replacement of nonhistoric facilities to support visitor services and park administration, combined with adaptive reuse of historic structures. "	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done. Stephan resolved with Brian.
104		BA	Access: Add at end: " Trailheads connecting with other parks and the neighboring community would be appropriate. "	Not discussed or addressed at Nov. 3 to 5 meetings	Done.