

OPERATIONAL FACILITIES

CONTEXT

Park maintenance and public safety functions are scattered throughout the park at sites and facilities that in many cases were not intended for these operational uses. These functions have had been forced to adapt to conditions that do not adequately meet their space, size, function, mobility, and security requirements. Maintenance and public safety operations have also had to moved numerous times over a short period, requiring them to reprogram their operations each time and resulting in overall operational inefficiencies. The following section proposes a comprehensive approach to disposition of buildings and facilities uses necessary to meet the existing and projected needs for these operational functions in conjunction with all draft alternatives. The actions proposed are based on a thorough analysis of programs and facilities.

Comment [N1]: May want to clarify in this paragraph that park operations really includes more than just maintenance and public safety, but that this section focuses only on those two. Maybe add a reference to elsewhere in the document where other park operational facilities are discussed?

CONCEPT

The large scale of the park, distributed across three counties, poses a distinct challenge to providing facilities for the maintenance and public safety operations. Over the years, the park staff has found that a limited NPS presence throughout the park through its satellite offices has been an effective and successful means to manage the park. Therefore, a system organized around centralized facilities hubs and continued satellite sites/facilities is proposed. This system would allow the park to gain efficiencies through consolidation of some functions and retain the flexibility to meet parkwide maintenance and safety needs. In general, a system of satellite offices already exists in San Francisco and Marin county park lands. These sites/offices would need minor improvements made to function more efficiently and would be extended into San Mateo county. Typically refinements to continue to meet the immediate needs of smaller and more remote sites. Each satellite site/office would have the capacity to co-locate be shared with NPS maintenance, NPS public safety, and U.S. Park Police work spaces.

Comment [N2]: May want to add that these sites also have the potential to co-locate other park functions (such as a visitor contact station, nursery, etc.) there on an as-needed basis

MAINTENANCE FACILITIES HUBS

Two new maintenance facilities/hubs would be established in the park/developed. North of the Golden Gate Bridge, a new facility would be constructed to replace/developed in part some of the existing Capehart housing area of the Marin Headlands. This new facility/hub would be a state-of-the-art, LEED certified, environmentally sustainable complex to accommodate include the park's B buildings and U utilities, R roads, and Marin grounds functions. The project would include demolition of selected housing units and new construction of shops, offices, covered storage, parking, and work yards. This hub would relocate M maintenance operations presently located in Fort Baker (Building 513) and Fort Cronkhite (Buildings 1046, 1070, Nike missile launch site) would be relocated to this new facility.

South of the Golden Gate Bridge, the NPS would rehabilitate one of the cavalry stables buildings in Area B of the Presidio of San Francisco to house the maintenance functions for Area A (area of NPS responsibility in the Presidio). Reuse of the cavalry stables building would be contingent upon an agreement between the NPS and the Presidio Trust, a second hub would be created in rehabilitated cavalry stables buildings in the Presidio. This facility would be dedicated to maintenance of Area A in the Presidio, which is the coastal strip of San Francisco that includes Crissy Field. Existing maintenance operations currently spread amongst several Presidio buildings would be vacated. Area B occupied buildings would move from Presidio Building 643 on Crissy Field. The building would be returned to the Presidio Trust.

Comment [N3]: I assume that somewhere earlier in the document the distinction about Area A and B of the Presidio will have been made.

PUBLIC SAFETY HUB

A single operational hub would be developed at Fort Baker to meet park law enforcement needs. These functions ~~would~~ be located in Building 507, ~~and the adjacent parking area~~. Space occupied ~~by law enforcement at~~ Fort Cronkhite (Building 1056) ~~would~~ be vacated. Park wildland fire functions (offices, garaged vehicles, and fire caches) would be relocated from Fort Cronkhite Buildings 1068 and 1069 to existing facilities at ~~Fort Cronkhite near the Marine Mammal Center~~ ~~the Nike missile launch site~~ that would be vacated by the ~~current R~~oads operation. ~~The historic~~A fire station would remain at Fort Cronkhite. Dispatch and communications operations that serve the park and the Presidio would remain at Presidio Building 35 ~~in the Main Post area~~.

Satellite Offices

- Stinson Beach – No change is anticipated to the scale of the office.
- Muir Woods National Monument – An office would remain in the administration-concession building; however, the more extensive maintenance operations ~~would~~ move from the Old Inn and lower Conlon Avenue ~~area~~ to a new facility in Kent Canyon. ~~(This action is -pending an interagency agreement with California State Parks)~~.
- Tennessee Valley – The existing public safety presence centered on the volunteer horse patrol ~~would~~ be relocated ~~within the valley~~.
- Alcatraz Island – The public safety office would remain in Building 64, and maintenance would be expanded in the Quartermaster Warehouse.
- Fort Mason – Maintenance and public safety administration would continue to have a presence at Fort Mason ~~headquarters~~.
- Fort Miley – On-site maintenance and public safety facilities would continue at ~~East~~ Fort Miley.
- Fort Funston – A new ~~building storage facility~~ for ~~heavy equipment~~ ~~beach maintenance vehicles~~ ~~would~~ be constructed. ~~The -e~~Existing public safety and maintenance ~~presence facilities here~~ would ~~continue~~ ~~be retain~~.
- ~~San Mateo~~ ~~Shelldance~~ – A new satellite office for maintenance and public safety would be located at ~~the current~~ Shelldance ~~nursery area near Highway 1 in Pacifica~~.
- ~~South San Mateo~~ ~~Coastside~~ – A new satellite office would be developed at a location yet to be determined. ~~(Need additional clarification)~~.
- ~~Marin Headlands~~ – ~~Law enforcement would continue to have a presence in the Fort Cronkhite/Fort Barry area (need to verify)~~.
- ~~Presidio~~ – ~~Law enforcement would continue to have a presence in Area A and Building 1648 along the Pacific coast would be rehabilitated to accommodate the trails program~~.

Comment [N4]: The recent MH/FB Transportation Plan EIS calls for new construction and site improvements at the Roads facility here. Will somehow need to clarify for the reader that this Draft GMP supersedes those recommendations.

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [N5]: Might need to include something about USPP? Mounted patrol would continue at Presidio stables and USPP central office would be somewhere in Area B?