

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
1.	T. Williams		322	top photo	What are the two white horses doing south of the riding ring (in the foreground of the photo)? They shouldn't be staked or grazing there. (Please edit them out, or find another photo.)	Done
2.	DAH	Mitigations	323	7	This sentence is confusing.	Done
3.	T. Williams		323	8	replace “that are unimpaired and the quality of the visitor experience” with “ <i>from impairment</i> ”	Done with a different approach
4.	T. Williams		323	20	delete “mitigative” and “best management”, so the text reads “The following measures and practices would be applied...”	Done
5.	T. Williams		323	20-22	Clarify – are these measures just for new projects?	Done
6.	B. Aviles	Mit. Meas.	323	1	Mitigative: This section seems out of place. Is it better placed later in the document? I'd like to see it integrated with the NPS Policies section – pp A-31 to A-42. These are NOT specific to the park although they address the kinds of issues this park faces. If this really is specific to the park, maybe we can put this together with the Management Zones .	Done
7.	B. Aviles		323	8	Strike “that are unimpaired” and “quality of the”.	Done
8.	B. Merkle	Intro	323		Mitigation measures are narrowly focused on construction, yet the plan covers much more including managing to desired conditions, allowing levels and types of access, and program focus. Conservation/mitigation measures should include broader commitments to resource protection—and potentially be linked with climate change actions.	retian
9.	State Parks		323	8	Delete “that are unimpaired”	Done
10.	SH	Mitigations	324-325		This is really poor when contrasted to the Natural Resource mitigations. I suggest a complete reworking and the use of bullets to make the organization stand out. As it is the details are buried in the mind-numbing text. The text is so general that it must have cut-and-pasted out of something generic. And it doesn't mention landscapes at all!	Done
11.	T. Williams		324	28-29	change “an appropriate” to “a”. Change “if necessary” to “ <i>as appropriate</i> ”	Done
12.	AsF	Mitigation	324-325		Why is the Mitigation section in front of the Affects section? Other CR staff will be commenting on this section. It is purely generic and needs work.	Done
13.	LRB	Mitigation Measures	324-325	Cultural Resources	Comment: These are not mitigations, they are almost all legal or policy standards established in laws, regulations, and NPS orders that are required. They are already expected routine actions, not proposed means to ameliorate adverse actions.	Retain. As noted in the introduction, more detailed mitigation will be considered for each project.
14.	LRB	Mitigation Measures	324	16	“...would apply in the unlikely event that human remains...”	Done
15.	LRB	Mitigation Meas	324	22-23	“Archaeological documentation would be done in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Archeology and Historic Preservation (1983, as amended and annotated), the Archeological Sites Management Information System, and/or the California	Done

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		ures			Historical Resources Information System.”	
16.	T. Williams		325	7	delete “appropriate”	Done
17.	T. Williams		325	18	change “appropriately” to “properly”	Done
18.	T. Williams		326	3	change “two parks” to “park natural”	Done
19.	T. Williams		326	5	Insert “and use” after “development”	Done
20.	T. Williams		326	9	Insert “site planning” between “during” and “design”	Done
21.	T. Williams		326	11	Insert “Temporary” before “fencing”	Done
22.	T. Williams		326	13	Replace “work areas” with “designated areas of low sensitivity”	Done
23.	T. Williams		326	15	Delete “the two parks”	Done
24.	T. Williams		326	23	Include statement that greenhouse gas emissions would be reduced. (add current carbon footprint goal)	This is addressed in Common to All
25.	T. Williams		326	25	Delete “Mitigative”	Done
26.	T. Williams		326	29	Insert “surrounding areas and” between “of” and “the night sky”	Done
27.	T. Williams		326	30-31	Revise: “Working with park partners, neighbors and visitors on education regarding the best practices to minimize their impacts on park and surrounding lightscapes.”	Done
28.	T. Williams		326	26	Add bullet like: Facility siting and design would evaluate and consider the existing and potential night sky quality, to minimize impacts, or improve night skies and natural darkness.	Done
29.	T. Williams		326	25	Can we state that we’ll prepare a park-wide lightscape management (lighting) plan?	Not here, I placed its in implementation plan section.
30.	B. Merkle	Nat. Res	326	19-22	Call out commitments to improving air quality and reducing emissions→promoting public transit and alt. forms of transportation.	In common to all under climate change
31.	DAH	Mitigations	326	18-22	Shouldn’t air quality include carbon emissions, ozone and other air pollutants? Visitor transportation, construction equipment, public transit/shuttles?	Done
32.	DAH	Mitigations	326	38	Change the word ‘exotic’ to ‘non-native’ throughout this sentence.	Done
33.	DAH	Mitigations	327	7	I disagree that Alt 1 on Alcatraz would provide these mitigations.	???
34.	DAH	Mitigations	327	19	Change ‘revegetated with native plants’ to ‘appropriately revegetated’ depending on site location, zoning, use of the area, etc.	Done
35.	DAH	Mitigations	327	20	Should this apply only to new trails, or all trails, or all new and rehabilitated trails? The first BMP would be good site design, followed by BMPs for actual construction.	Done
36.	DAH	Mitigations	327	27	Better define objectionable noise and how this would be accomplished.	???
37.	DAH	Mitigations	327	38	I don’t understand ‘long-term, immediate impacts’	Done
38.	B. Merkle	Nat. Res.	327		State a general goal of no net loss of resources or resource condition, that is soils and permeable surfaces, veg and wildlife habitat. This could apply to other resources also.	retain
39.	T. Williams		327	5	Delete “Mitigative”	Done
40.	T. Williams		327	10	switch: “..would be sited, designed, and constructed..”	Done
41.	T. Williams		327	12	Insert “with specific guidance from Natural and Cultural Resources staff,” between “provided,” and “where”	Done

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
42.	T. Williams		327	15-23	<p>Modify:</p> <p><i>New facilities would be built on soils suitable for development. To minimize soil erosion from new construction, including trails, best design practices would be used. Examples could include sensitive siting, trail alignment and drainage design to minimize maintenance requirements and disruption of natural hydrologic functions.</i></p> <p><i>During construction, soil erosion would be minimized by careful selection and application of best management practices (BMPs) from the Golden Gate National Recreation Area Stormwater Management BMP Handbook for Construction (check title with Tony Di Stefano).</i></p>	Done
43.	T. Williams		327	26	Delete “Mitigative”; insert “and restore” between “preserve” and “natural”	Done
44.	T. Williams		327	27	Delete “objectionable” (noise is, by definition, unwanted)	Done
45.	T. Williams		327	38	Delete “long-term, immediate”	Done
46.	T. Williams		328	1	Delete “in the two parks”	Done
47.	T. Williams		328	2-3	Delete “and the affected are of the two parks. Many of”	Done
48.	T. Williams		328	3	change “above” to “below”	Done
49.	T. Williams		328	14	Modify to read: “Breeding or nesting areas for threatened and endangered species would be protected from human-related disturbance.”	Done
50.	T. Williams		328	21	change “or” to “and”	Done
51.	T. Williams		328	28	Insert “overlooks, parking areas” after “trails”	Done
52.	T. Williams		328	31	change “social trailing” to “trampling”	Done
53.	T. Williams		328	33	change “new developments” to “the proposed development”	Done
54.	T. Williams		328	39-40	Clarify that non-native species or genetic material must be approved by NPS NR staff.	Done
55.	B. Merkle	Nat. Res.	328	14-15	We protect all T&E habitat not just breeding/nesting areas	Done
56.	DAH	Mitigations	328	1-25	Please add ‘and their habitat’ after all references to T&E species.	Done
57.	DAH	Mitigations	328	14	Delete this bullet. It is not true; we have impacts all the time.. Or change it to say that the park would initiate formal consultation with FWS or NOAA under Section 7, however there is nothing in the ESA that only applies to breeding or nesting areas.	Done
58.	DAH	Mitigations	328	34	This is not necessarily true either that we would relocate trails and facilities to avoid impacts to sensitive species. We would avoid or minimize impacts to the extent feasible and mitigate for impacts in a variety of ways.	Done
59.	DAH	Mitigations	328	37	Check with Sue but I believe we would salvage vegetation where and when it is appropriate. Some species do not do well with salvage and this would be inappropriate and unsuccessful.	Done
60.	DAH	Mitigations	328	39	Generally we try to utilize plant material from within the same watershed or as nearby as possible. Region is way to broad.	Done
61.	DAH	Mitigations	328	43	Suggest changing immediately to within a reasonable time. There are some factors that may include immediate restoration like money, wrong time of year, etc.	Done
62.	DAH	Mitig	329	26	Add that wetlands would be avoided to the greatest extent feasible and that impacts to	Done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

7: MITIGATIVE MEASURES

No.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		ations			wetlands would be mitigated to compensate for any losses.	
63.	DAH	Mitigations	329	33-35	Except for Alt 1 on Alcatraz	???
64.	DAH	Mitigations	329	40	Add wildlife proof dumpsters in park operations and park partner areas and at construction sites where park staff determine this is an issue.	Done
65.	B. Merkle	Wildlife	329	33-	Include promoting intact, contiguous habitats and the reducing habitat fragmentation and developed edges; reduce noise and light impacts, as well as human disturbance. Why just focus on wildlife proof containers in developed areas? Also need covered dumpsters for projects and maintenance operations. Plans to deal with invasive animals that can affect native biodiversity and visitor experience.	Some done others are in the alternatives
66.	T. Williams		329	5	Insert paragraph that outlines evaluation and consideration of water use, conservation, and availability in project siting, planning and design.	Done
67.	T. Williams		329	8	replace “the use of silt fences” with “ <i>project scheduling, and proper site design</i> ”	Done
68.	T. Williams		329	33	Modify: “...sited <i>and designed</i> to avoid <i>disrupting</i> wildlife habitats...”	Done
69.	T. Williams		330		Add CLIMATE CHANGE section (include mitigation and adaptation measures)	Common to all
70.	T. Williams		330		Add OCEAN STEWARDSHIP section	Common to all
71.	T. Williams		331	9	include reference to NPS Mgmt Policy?	Done
72.	T. Williams		331	10	insert “ <i>conservation and</i> ” between “energy” and “production”	Done
73.	T. Williams		331		Include strategy for greenhouse gas reduction; reference current NPS policy/orders; during the life of the plan, comply with most current and stringent policy guidelines (defer to Laura Castellini)	Common to all
74.	T. Williams		331		Include goals: Minimize greenhouse gas production; minimize cost; manage visitor expectations.	Done
75.	T. Williams		332	7	At end, insert “ <i>as well as to improve services to meet the needs of a diverse community of visitors.</i> ”	Done
76.	T. Williams		333		Clarify the goal regarding transportation mitigative measures.	retain
77.	State Parks		333	7	Delete “4”	Done
78.	T. Williams		334	3	Delete “and best management practices”	Done
79.	T. Williams		334		Include: communication and outreach; temporary means to accommodate visitors (e.g., detours, trail reroutes, directions to alternative sites for disrupted activities)	Done
80.	State Parks		334	8	Change “are disabled” to “have disabilities”	Done
81.	State Parks		334	15-16	Change to “...consulting persons with disabilities”	Done
82.						
83.						
84.						