

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
1.	DF	Chapt 4			It might be a WAG to produce, but I think the natural resource-minded public would like to evaluate alternatives based on some semi-quantitative means (e.g., habitat acreage) or perhaps some relative index.	If its applicable and easy I am open to suggestions. Normally, qualitative is appropriate at a broad vision level. What is your suggestions?
2.	DF	Various			“NPS Managed Marine Resources” A minor quibble, but this terms is also be used to describe estuarine resources within SF Estuary. Perhaps this can be defined in text or glossary.	
3.	B. Aviles	GG Alts	143	Title	Simplify title. It occurs to me that this font may not be compliant with our ADA settlement. The subtle waviness that’s really cool most times is making me dizzy at the end of the day...	Done Used NH lang
4.	N. Hornor	Sect. 4 divider	143		Change “Applied to” to “for” delete “including Alcatraz Is.”	Done
5.	N. Hornor				Present the preferred alt 1 st throughout the document	Retain, its easy to make sense to the reader by keeping them in order
6.	B. Aviles		145	1 - Alca	Update the narrative to better explain how island would be managed under present plans. Some of this is phrasing: <i>the area would continue to be...</i> Some citing of specific plans is necessary.	Done
7.	B. Merkle, LR	Overvw	145	10	Gardens are landscaped and not a natural resource, they are a cultural resource. Include with description of cultural resources.	done
8.	B. Merkle, LR	Overvw	145	17- 18	It really is the protection of areas for bird nesting habitat that limits access—not as written the use of these features as bird habitat—well actually it is the bird use of these areas as habitat, and the park’s subsequent protection of these areas for bird nesting habitat that limits access.	done
9.	B. Merkle, LR	Overvw	145	21- 22	Actually AZ supports one of the largest concentrations of colonial nesting birds along the Central Coast of CA, not just SF Bay.	done
10.	N. Hornor		145	27	Insert: “Management is currently guided by the 1980 GMP and the 19__Development Concept Plan which established zones of year-round and seasonal access, and areas that are closed year round to visitors. Add description of zones from 1980 GMP? Reference GMP Objectives”	Done Need to add mgmt zones
11.	N. Hornor		145	31	Insert “portions of the 1 st floor of” before Bldg. 64 and change “is” to “are”	Done
12.	N. Hornor		145	35	Describe management of dock area: greet and orient visitors, manage arrival and departure, restrooms etc.	Done
13.	AsF	ALCA current conds	145	14	It should be documented that there are evening tours as this is a regular occurrence now.	Done
14.	BA	Alts	145	1	Consider nesting Alcatraz within the SF section of the alternatives. This would include adding the island overview into the SF county overview.	retain
15.	BA	Alts	145	2	Consider re-ordering the alternatives to always present the Preferred Alternative first . The number (Alt 1,2,3) may be less important than the concept (Connecting People... etc.). This would reshuffle order for Alcatraz and Muir Woods . Cut to the chase... It also avoids using the term “same as in Alternative 1” which is awkward when describing the preferred alternative. Put full text up front.	retain

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
16.	T. Williams		145	9	Insert: "...a natural <i>and scenic</i> side..."	done
17.	T. Williams		145	16	include bird life interpretation	done
18.	T. Williams		145	23	Insert: "...managed <i>under an agreement with US Fish and Wildlife Service in accordance with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act</i> in areas open..."	done
19.	T. Williams		145-147		Consider having a map that names the buildings and features referenced in the text.	On alternatives map
20.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz No Act.	145		Please include a better description of the important natural resource values on Alcatraz. I think Bill provided some text. These are the park's only seabird/waterbird breeding colonies. They are significant at least on a broad regional level. Many visitors and volunteers visit Alcatraz during breeding season specifically to view these breeding colonies. The coastal cliffs were even called out in the 1980 GMP for their value as roosting habitat for seabirds.	Include Bill and Tamara's text
21.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz No Act.	145	10	Change word 'attractions' to 'features'	Done
22.	S. Fritzke	Alcatraz	145	-	Need to make sure the maps of the island include the buildings and specific locations that are cited in the text. I think a few locations were missing...	See above
23.	S. Fritzke	Overvw	145	21-26	Move these lines above the paragraph about the harsh environment. Need to introduce the concept about important colonial nesting sites, <i>then</i> talk about limited areas of the island due to bird habitat.	Include Bill and Tamara's text
24.	S. Fritzke	Overvw	145	26	Suggest adding "stewardship opportunities" to the last sentence.	done
25.	S. Fritzke	Alcatraz North end	146	24	Explain purpose of the diesel generators ("house the island's diesel generators that currently provide all power to the island's facilities".)	done
26.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz No Action	146	33	Change Offshore Marine Environment to Nearshore Estuarine Environment (or Nearshore Bay Waters) since Alcatraz is inside SF Bay. The waters are frequented by marine mammals that haul out on the island and on nearshore rocks, and this is an important seabird feeding area. Outreach efforts by the park and PRBO Conservation Science work to educate bay users about island resources in an effort to reduce wildlife disturbance along the western cliffs.	Changed to offshore bay environment as discussed with steering committee
27.	B. Merkle, LR	MPris	146	11-15	Change seabirds here to waterbirds to include black-crowned night-herons and snowy egrets.	done
28.	N. Hornor		146	3	Here and throughout: need consistent approach to (my suggestion: New Industries (Laundry Building)	done
29.	N. Hornor		146	11&15	Should "seabirds" be "waterbirds" ask Bill Merkle	done
30.	N. Hornor		146	23	Delete "by the park"	done
31.	AKB	4	146	11	The adjacent landscape areas are no longer "minimally preserved" they are part of an award winning Garden Rehabilitation project done to exacting historic standards. This paragraph should include a sentence about the garden restoration?	done
32.	AKB	4	146	18	It is currently managed (and owned and operated) by the US Coast Guard, but is on a transition list to the NPS.	done
33.	AKB	4	147	2	All of the Alcatraz Cruises and NPS websites, literature etc. list the SF departure location as Pier 33, not Pier 31. It seems we should be consistent.	Revised done

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
34.	B. Aviles		147	8	Add: "...wastewater needs. The central action under development at this time is to establishing an underwater power cable from the mainland to the island. This would have numerous benefits, including greater feasibility for a solar power installation and a substantial reduction in the amount of diesel fuel burned to power the island. replace Alcatraz Island's diesel generators with renewable and grid-tied energy sources. Proposed improvements include re-installing a submarine power cable that would connect to the mainland electricity grid; and installing solar photovoltaic panels on the island that would generate renewable energy. Solar photovoltaic panels are being considered for both the Main Cellblock and the New Industries Building (Laundry) roofs. The project would provide renewable and reliable energy to the island, substantially reduce Green House Gas (GHG) and other emissions, and meet current and future energy demands of operations on Alcatraz." (Liz V.?)	Done revised with a broader guidance so as not to be too specific.
35.	B. Aviles		147	11	Costs needs a different treatment in the document for all alternatives. I suggest we separate what is for INTERNAL REVIEW from what will go into the PUBLIC DRAFT. Perhaps the detailed costs for all alternatives (for INTERNAL REVIEW only) could be placed in a separate chapter? Costs for public review should be rolled up to a few lines: one-time capital costs, annual operating costs, (maybe) total FTE of permanent staff.	done
36.	N. Hornor		147	2	Insert "is currently" before "exclusively" and change to Pier 33	Revised based on AKB comment above and discussion at steering committee
37.	N. Hornor		147	10	Add: park is currently planning project that would replace Alcatraz Island's diesel generators with renewable and grid-tied energy sources. Proposed improvements include re-installing a submarine power cable that would connect the mainland electricity grid, and installing solar photovoltaic panels on the island that would generate renewable energy.(we initiated scoping for an EA DSC is the lead). (Stephan: will need to mention this elsewhere too)	done
38.	N. Hornor		147		Needs work throughout the doc. Should all be consolidated in one section – I recommend the appendix, with a summary here if required. Under the No Action, we have identified several Millions worth of construction projects in the Alcatraz Construction FEIS/ROD.	
39.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz No Act.	147		Natural Resources has 1 FTE funded by Concession Franchise Fee funding for Alcatraz wildlife management. I'm not sure how these fund sources are accounted for.	revised
40.	S. Fritzsche	Cost Est.	147	29	Staff work either "on" or "at" Alcatraz, but not "for" Alcatraz.	rewritten
41.	Interp	Alts	147	2	Add "Current" before "Access" It's Pier 33.	Revised
42.	B. Aviles		149		Consider putting in the diagram from the 1980 GMP. page 36.	What does this mean?
43.		Table 2 Notes	149	7	Note #2 says staffing numbers are for "ONPS-funded staff, not volunteers or positions funded by park partners". What about Rec Fee, Franchise Fee funded-staff, who are not under any of these categories? (Lara Racowitz fits in this category).	replaced
44.	N. Hornor	Alcatraz ALT 1	150	7	Delete "Still others are looking for"Change " to "or understand" and link the 2 sent.	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
45.	N. Hornor		150	15	Delete “all of” and insert “and its “ before “natural..”	done
46.	LR	Overview	150	8	Also, some people come to Alcatraz to see the nesting waterbirds on Alcatraz. It is a unique opportunity to be able to so closely see these birds nesting. Bird docents talk with hundreds of visitors each day during the spring and summer nesting season and the new formal bird walks have been as well attended as the garden programs.	Added in last par of this section
47.	B. Merkle, LR	MPris	151	25-6	Removal of rubble piles would be loss of black-crowned night-heron nesting habitat. Would access be seasonal? Breeding season access would be difficult with need to manage large numbers (200-300) pairs of western gulls.	retain
48.	N. Hornor		151	11	Delete “also”	done
49.	N. Hornor		151	13	Use a consistent place name for Sallyport/Guardhouse in text and on maps	
50.	N. Hornor		151	13	Change to “Warden’s House ruins would be stabilized”	done
51.	T. Williams	Chap’s 4 & 5			Include NR staffing in cost summaries	done
52.	T. Williams		151			
53.	T. Williams	Chap’s 4 & 5			To make it easier for the reader to follow, suggest including the title of each alternative in the header (example: p.151 – “ <i>Connecting People with the Parks</i> ” would appear below “Alcatraz Island: Alternative 1”.	Editor will consider
54.	N. Hornor		152	5	To extent feasible	done
55.	T. Williams		152	18	Insert: “This area <i>on the east side of the island</i> would be managed..”	done
56.	T. Williams	Global All Maps			Add scale to all maps	
57.	T. Williams		152	17	Change “Access” to “ <i>Resources</i> ”	???
58.	S. Fritzke	Alcatraz Alt 1	152	8	There is no public access to the tidepools and never has been and never will be due to safety concerns. You can see them at low tide from the Agave Trail.	???
59.	A. Roth		152	26	"would remain" - this potentially confuses the reader into thinking the primary site would remain on Port property, suggest an edit of this paragraph to be more clear that the primary disembarkation could be from Fort mason or the Port of SF.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
60.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz Alt 1	153	29	I agree with Bill that 17 LE FTEs for Alcatraz sounds far-fetched. Please add 3 FTEs for additional natural resource protection, monitoring and management required with additional public access to the Parade Ground, New Industries and operational facilities in the Model Industries Bldg.	revised
61.	B. Merkle, LR	Cost Est.	153	25-26	17 FTE’s for LE needs, seems way inflated (was decimal point misplaced?). What about interp staff and CR staff? Need AZ project manager to oversee new projects and additional interp rangers. The island is often short staffed.	revised
62.	S. Fritzke	Alt 1 Cost Est	153	2-15	Suggest including these two paragraphs once for the “common to all” section, thus allowing the sections for each alternative to focus on that alternative only, without a lot of repeated verbiage.	revised
63.	S. Fritzke	Alt 1 Cost Est	153	25-28	Interp? CR? NR?	revised
64.	AKB	4	153	25	These cannot possibly be all law enforcement FTE are they?!? We do not even have enough	revised

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					workload for the two LE that we currently have! We can do with 17 more uniformed rangers-but it would be split between park ranger interpreters, visitor use assistants, resource management folks, cultural resource stewards, volunteer coordinator, etc.	
65.	AKB	4	157		FYI on the map the line that says “Guard Tower” is pointing to the restroom building, not the guard tower. The guard tower is on the far left of the yellow area across from the finger pier.	done
66.	AKB	4	157		Possibly the yellow area should expand out into the water around the pier so indicate the possibility of other watercraft coming into this area.	done
67.	N. Hornor	Alt 1 Alca map	157		Add a note to clarify that the blue is a zone (not just the bay). A similar note should call out this zone for other alts. (ie: zone extends to park boundary ____feet offshore)	done
68.	N. Hornor		159	29	Clarify for alts 1 and 3 the extent to which Bldg. 64 is reused – I suggest it should be the same for all alts. Delete “the”	They are different
69.	T. Williams		159	17	define “ecotourism” as it applies to Alcatraz, or use a more specific term	done
70.	T. Williams		159	29	Delete “However,”	done
71.	S. Fritzke	Alt 2 Overvw	159	17	The term “ecotourism” is jargon – what is meant by this? How would activities be different in the other alternatives? Leave the jargon out, please, unless it is defined as a discrete list of activities.	Removed done
72.	N. Hornor		160	12	Insert Model Industries Building and bring up the 2 sentences on line 31-33 to this zone	done
73.	N. Hornor		160	27	Del. and exclude the MI Bldg and insert “Q-masters and Power Plant”	done
74.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz Alt 2	160	27	The Park Operations Zone would include the Officer’s Club, Quartermaster Bldg and Power Plant.	done
75.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz Alt 2	160	31	Add Natural Zone to the North End of the Island section. This would include the Model Industries Bldg and Plaza.	Done included in natural zone of main Prison
76.	AKB	4	160	15	It is confusing that the map labels the building as the laundry building and some of the text labels it as the New Industries Building. NIB is correct, but possibly more confusing than “laundry”. Either way, we should be consistent. Seems like most of the text is consistent with NIB- so we should change all the map labels.	
77.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz Alt 2	161	7	Add Natural Zone to Island Perimeter. This would include the Agave Trail.	Done moved to natural zone under Main Prison at Nov meeting
78.	T. Williams		161	17	Suggest changing “water-based recreation” to “ <i>water-based access</i> ”, or clarify what types of recreational activities would be considered – open-water swimming? sailboarding? kayaking? non-motorized?	done
79.	S. Fritzke	Alt 2 SensRes Zone	161	5	Suggest re-wording last sentence to say “The existing Agave trail would provide approved seasonal access to the shoreline for visitors, while protecting bird nesting habitat. That habitat isn’t natural (rubble, non-native trees, etc.) but it is habitat.	Done revised
80.	S. Fritzke	Alt 2 Offshor	161	12	Add “closed to boats during seabird breeding season from (date) to (date) . Need to understand the impact this will have on boaters.	To specific could change at a later date
81.	BA	ALCA	161	10	Since all the other areas where we use the Sensitive Resource Zone focus on NATURAL	retain

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					resources, I think we should express that this area at Alcatraz would also be managed to preserve underwater cultural resources , like building rubble and other remnants of previous constructions on the island.	
82.	S. Fritzke	Alt 2 Cost Est.	162	14- 16	Interp? CR? NR?	revised
83.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz Alt 2	162	17	Add 2 Natural Resource FTEs to conduct additional monitoring, establishment of the ‘marine protected area’ and associated education and management, and habitat restoration. Table 4 should also include costs for deconstructing portions of the Model Industries and other perimeter structures before they fall into the bay.	revised
84.	AKB	4	162	14	Why is there a call out for law enforcement in all these alternatives and no other rangers? Again, maybe in this alternative we need a boat and one more LE, but 10?- no way. The other 9 staff would most likely be more natural resource rangers, interpretive rangers, and research assistants or volunteer coordinators. I would change all the alternatives to read: “additional uniformed rangers”, as opposed to calling them all LE. If it really means LE rangers, then we also need to add a line for other rangers: interpretive, natural resource, cultural, etc.	revised
85.	T. Williams		163		Pier 4 costs – it isn’t clear why this is less than the cost in Alternative 1.	revised
86.	A. Roth		163, 170	25	An additional 17 LE FTE -is this a typo? We currently have 24-hour coverage through 4 FTE on Alcatraz and our even our LE people say that is overkill	revised
87.	B. Aviles		167	16	Delete “bomb-proof”, even though that’s what it was called.	done
88.	B. Aviles		167	23	Add: “...create additional opportunities...” Flow/logic.	done
89.	B. Aviles		167	23	Let’s get CLR guidance on removal of the rubble piles.	Done retain
90.	N. Hornor		167	11	Change “and museum collection” per PWR direction	???
91.	N. Hornor		167	21- 22	Use this same lang for alt 1?	Retain, this alternative is more focused on ed and immersion than alt 1
92.	N. Hornor		167	25- 28	“Colonial waterbird” “gull” – check with NR staff and use consistent language throughout the document per their direction (see “seabirds” elsewhere	done
93.	N. Hornor		167	36	“Selected areas of Bldg 64 “– use this same language for alts 1&2 also	Made minor changes to reflect welcome, orient
94.	N. Hornor		167	35	Delete “layers of” (gets used a lot)	done
95.	T. Williams		167	35	suggest changing “...re-creating the atmosphere evocative of...” to “ <i>creating an</i> atmosphere evocative of...”	done
96.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz Alt 3	167	20	Delete reference to “The Rock”	done
97.	A. Roth		167		Overall I am unclear on how the Tram would be used in the Preferred Alt. Could this be clarified, as it would be very helpful to understand some general direction of the role of Tram in the preferred alt.	May change overtime and therefore not included
98.	S. Fritzke	Alcatraz	167	20	Delete “The Rock” – this is jargon. Change to “the island”	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		Alt 3 Overvw				
99.	S. Fritzke	Alcatraz Alt 3 Overvw	167	29	No NR stewardship opportunities?	Done
100.	SH		167	26	Waterbirds enhanced within the limited area of the Sensitive (Natural) Resource Zone.	No, could be other zones
101.	SH		167	38	Add a sentence about the importance of the Guardhouse and the fortifications in the Civil War.	Should be in EA
102.	BA	ALCA	167	21	Can we add something about the role of archeological excavations to inform the historic preservation activities and become part of the visitor experience?	Policy matter
103.	BA	ALCA	167	22	Can we substitute “ buildings and landscapes ” for “resources”? This would better explain the intention.	done
104.	BA	ALCA	167	27	Let’s drop the capital D discovery trail, and call it a perimeter trail which is in keeping with its historic use. We can still say visitors would explore or discover if necessary. It is also Discovery on p. 168, line 32 and p. 169, line 16.	done
105.	LR	Overvie w	167	25- 28	The statement that the regionally important water bird habitat will be protected and enhanced is great. However, the detail of how this will be done is not given for this alternative. As the biologist of Alcatraz, I am concerned that by not providing a separate zone for bird habitat protection (e.g., adding the cliffs to the Sensitive Resource Zone), conflict is being set-up to occur between cultural and natural resources. There is no specific protection for bird habitat in the Evolved Cultural Landscape that I can see.	That would be addressed by day to day management or a Res Stewardship plan
106.	BA	ALCA	168	22	Replace sentence about removing rubble piles: “ Rehabilitation of the parade ground would preserve the rubble from previous buildings and could incorporate measures to support wildlife. ”	retain
107.	BA	ALCA	168	22	Map edit: We should consider adding historic path through “Windy Gap” to the HI zone . It connects summit with parade ground terrace, which should be a year-round connection. Habitat values there are relatively low. Zoning splits the “ west lawn ”, but I don’t think a change is necessary since we have not concluded that year-round visitor access to the whole lawn is necessary.	done
108.	BA	ALCA	168	32	Map edit: Do we need to extend the HI zone to ensure there is year-round access between the road/path and the Laundry ? The ECL zone allows seasonal closures which is not really appropriate here. CLR team suggests this is one of the best areas to illustrate the layers of fencing (and guard towers) that confined visitors and defined their gardens.	
109.	SH		168	22	NO. You have this reversed. Parade Ground is in Historic Immersion Zone. Rehabilitation and visitor exploration of the Parade Ground will be pursued and the management of Western gull population will be carried out to the extent that <i>the latter</i> proves feasible.	done
110.	SH			30- 35	Historic Immersion Zone is pretty wimpy. Restore historic fencing, gardens, and other key landscape features, bring the guard tower now rusting away at Crissy Field back onto the Island. (This is an embarrassment!) Reveal limited but extremely interesting archeological features.	This will evolve from a more detail plan
111.	B. Merkle,	Main	168	20-	Removal of rubble piles would be loss of black-crowned nigh-heron nesting habitat. Would	Decision has been made for

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
	LR	Prison		23	access be seasonal? Breeding season access would be difficult with need to manage large numbers (200-300) pairs of western gulls. Additionally, a small colony of California Gulls nest on the Parade Ground and nowhere else on the island. Opening of the Parade Ground year round would likely cause a loss of this species to the island. Note that the summary on pg. viii states that the opening of the PG would be seasonal to improve resilience.	this alternative
112.	LR	Evolved Cultural Landscape	168	32-34	The Discovery Trail going through the New Industries Building during bird nesting season would likely cause a visual disturbance to the cormorants nesting on the cliffs below this building. Windows need to be covered during the breeding season to protect the birds. Cormorants are quite sensitive to disturbance and have not shown the ability to acclimate to disturbance over time.	Too detail for this plan
113.	N. Hornor		168	21-22	Feasible – should this be used in alt 1 too?	done
114.	N. Hornor		168	23	Change to “...piles of rubble would be removed”	done
115.	N. Hornor		168	27	Change “long” to “active”	Removed long - it works
116.	N. Hornor		168	30	New Ind. Bldg “main floor”? add treatment of lower level per discussions with PWR	What more is there????
117.	A. Roth		168	4	the new industries building is the laundry building I take it? I see both terms used on the map vs. text	done
118.	AKB	4	168	21	This says that the Parade Ground is open year-round. In page viii, it says that we modified the alternative and provided seasonal access. I vote for open year round. Assuming the minimal viable population for gulls can be maintained without it (which the presiding wisdom says yes).	revised
119.	AKB	4	168	21	Can we not open the Agave Trail year round as well? Can we say that we want to explore that possibility of coexisting with the night heron nesting habitat? We can construct a blind around some of the nests or something? It is a shame that it is closed for 8 months when we created a world-class viewing opportunity of the bay and of our island there with the Halperin design.	Managers can decide
120.	AKB	4	168	33	Can we not have the Discovery Trail outside the building seasonally?	???
121.	AKB	4	168	29	Do we need to call out that this is year round access in this building? There is already internal discussion about whether or not this means year round access. I assume it does, and want it to mean that.	Its year round
122.	AKB	4	169	17/18	Does this mean that the Agave trail is not automatically closed seasonally and it is possible that it could have year-round access? Yay!	Depending upon resource management
123.	AKB	4	169	22	This does not jive with the map color. The map shows this area as a yellow diverse opportunity area. Do we want it to be pink on the map or are we changing the text?	done
124.	LR	North End	169	8-10	This sentence seems to call for specific protection of bird habitat, which is great. It would be more transparent, and possibly offer more significant protection, if this piece was added to the Sensitive Resource Zone to emphasize this protection.	Revised with new zone evolved cultural
125.	B. Merkle, LR	Island Perimet.	169	14-18	The areas and protections for nesting birds need to be called out. The ECL zone only promotes natural resource preservation when it is consistent with CL designation. Same comment as number 37 above.	This could change, it seems it should be mgmt flexibility
126.	N. Hornor		169	8	Change “nat. res.” to “habitat for nesting waterbirds” confirm with Merkle	Evolve cult decision revised

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
127.	N. Hornor		169	9	“adjacent” courtyard	done
128.	N. Hornor		169	18	Bird? waterbird? ask Merkle	done
129.	T. Williams		169	33	This statement is inconsistent with p. 167 lines 13-14. Change text to include potential for additional embarkation points.	done
130.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz Alt 3	169	8	Add Evolved Cultural Landscape Zone to North End of the Island. The Model Industries Bldg would be in this zone.	done
131.	SH		169	1, 12, 20	Strongly suggest rearranging areas of North End, Perimeter, Offshore to correspond with the Landscape Character Zones in the CLR. It is absolutely vital to clearly align the GMP and the CLR.	done
132.	SH		169	16	Expand Discovery Trail to provide enhanced visitor access to much of the island.	done
133.	BA	ALCA	169	8	Third paragraph is really about the ECL zone on the north end of the island, which includes the Model Industries Building. It’s not Park Ops. CLR team suggests we change “stabilized as a ruin” to something more descriptive. “Ruin” and “stabilized” seem incompatible. Maybe: stabilize by repairing roofs, doors and windows, but not used for park operations...	done
134.	BA	ALCA	169	8	Additionally, CLR team suggests that rehabilitation and selective restoration of lost cultural landscape features (catwalk, guard tower) would be appropriate for the area around the cistern and water tower (part of the ECL zone and “ Summit ” landscape character area). They suggest that this area is one of the most powerful spots for people to understand the operation of the prison . Visitation could be seasonal, as zoning suggests (no map edits). How much of this needs to be in the narrative?	Done park determined CLR and GMP link
135.	S. Fritzke	Alt 3 Cost Est	170	25- 27	No Interp? CR? NR?	revised
136.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz Alt 3	170	27	Please add 3 FTEs for additional natural resource protection, monitoring and management required with additional public access to the Parade Ground and New Industries Bldg; an establishment of a marine protected area around portions of the island.	revised
137.	B. Merkle, LR	Cost Ests	170	25- 27	LE staff again seems high; what about interp and CR staff? Interp is often short-staffed. Programs have to be canceled when medical situations or special trainings happen due to the lack of interp rangers to help cover. The volunteers do a great job helping out, but they do not have the training nor the presence that the interp rangers have. We need more interp staff on Alcatraz.	revised
138.	N. Hornor		170	16- 27	Describes Alt 2 not 3	revised
139.	AKB	4	170	25	Same comment as above for these sections- where are all the other rangers: interpretive, cultural, natural, etc. For this alternative if we are calling out by division I think it needs at least 2 more cultural folks assigned to the island, and 10 interpreters (which would include VUA, rangers, support, etc)	revised
140.	T. Williams		171		Staffing costs seem inconsistent with text (p. 170 lines 25-27) which implies that staffing would be the same as in Alt 2.	revised
141.	SH		171		Officers’ not Officer’s	revised
142.	SH		172	Map	OOPS! Change all that yellow to pink. It’s Sensitive (Natural) Resources, not Diverse	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
				following	Opportunities.	
143.	B. Aviles		173		ALCA map: several changes to shape of zones and some relabeling.	done
144.	B. Merkle, LR	Map	173		Sensitive resource zone around island should be pink not yellow which would indicate a diverse opportunities zone. Sensitive resource zone should extend to cover western cliffs. Considerations should also be made for bird protections in areas zoned natural in Alt. 2 extending from western cliffs to west rd. and in area surrounding Parade Ground including Agave trail, and extending around north end of island perimeter to just beyond Officer's Club.	done
145.	T. Williams		173	Map	The Sensitive Resource zone (see p. 169, line 22) is shown in the wrong color (as Diverse Opportunities). YELLOW area should be PINK. (see p. 255)	done
146.	D. Hatch	Alcatraz Alt 3	173		Correct map to show Sensitive Zone where it currently is yellow.	done
147.	A. Roth		173		I don't understand the preferred alt map - shouldn't the around the island be sensitive resources not diverse ops?	done
148.	B. Aviles		177-179		Is this really necessary? (Summaries) If so, let's keep it really spare. I would anticipate needing time for the park to review this language.	required
149.	B. Aviles		181	1	See my comment on page 145. No-action plans...	done
150.	N. Hornor	No Action	181	Last par - over view	Change "on the parks...land" to "here" Add: Management is guided by the 1980 GMP and subsequent amendments including	Done, modified your recommendation
151.	N. Hornor		181	SB to B-F Rd	Delete "with a recent increase in weekend services" add "currently" before "serve". Delete "large" before "areas" Delete (which is not part of the park)	done
152.	T. Williams		181	para 1	Add at end of last sentence: " <i>and seascapes.</i> "	Retain, doesn't fit well
153.	T. Williams		181	para 3	last sentence: Include trails in list of visitor facilities?	done
154.	T. Williams		181	para 6	1 st sentence: Delete the word "run"	done
155.	D. Hatch	GOGA: No Action	181	2 nd para	Change the word 'chaparral' to 'coastal scrub'. We have very little actual chaparral in the park- most of it is higher up on Mt Tamalpais.	done
156.	D. Hatch	GOGA: No Action	181	Stins on Beach para	Last sentence, delete text in parentheses after Bolinas Lagoon; it's confusing.	done
157.	SLF	No Action Alt -	181	n/a	This No action alternative section is lacking the descriptive detail found in the action alternatives about existing programs, activities, and partnerships. Also does not mention endangered species habitat, whereas San Mateo text does. These concepts should be treated	revised

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		Marin			consistently from section to section and site to site.	
158.	SLF	Park Lands Marin	181	n/a	Should say “Park Lands in Marin County exclusive of Muir Woods”	retain
159.	SLF	Park Lands Marin	181	n/a	There is no mention of existing conditions at a number of park sites – Marine Drive, Homestead Valley, Upper Tam Valley. Are these and other areas not mentioned because no actions at these sites in the current management approach? I don’t think this is accurate!	retain
160.	SLF	Park Lands Marin Overview	181	n/a	2 nd paragraph – need to include descriptions about endangered species habitat; and park lands provide important linkages to local communities for recreational activities, etc.	In Affected Env
161.	SLF	Stinson to Bolinas-Fairfax	181	n/a	Add: Bolinas-Fairfax road area is managed for native vegetation communities and associated wildlife habitat.	That is how its zoned in all alternatives and therefore not included here in the descriptions
162.	SLF	Lower Redwood Creek	182	n/a	Text is silent about the 10+ acre heather infestation – are we really managing for natural landscapes here? Or some combination of natural landscapes in combination with cultural landscapes above the former flower farm?	I understand that its intended to be restored in all alternatives???
163.	D. Hatch	GOGA: No Action	182	1 st full para	Add at end of paragraph about Hwy 1 that we also work to protect natural and cultural resources affected by the roadway corridor.	done
164.	D. Hatch	GOGA: No Action	182	Lower Redw Crk para.	Change the last 2 sentences to read: Park managers have implemented several projects to restore creek channel function and habitat for endangered coho salmon and steelhead, reconnect the creek to its floodplain, and create new habitat for the federally listed California red-legged frog. I’ll defer to Nancy on language about the Banducci residences. They do not volunteer for the park or contribute to restoration in any meaningful ways, etc.	revised
165.	D. Hatch	GOGA: No Action	182	Muir Beach	The park has completed acquisition of a conservation easement for XXX acres of the Green Gulch Zen Center pasture for creek and floodplain restoration. The park began implementation of restoration actions in September 2009 with expansion of the tidal lagoon, construction of a new frog pond, and widening of the floodplain in the vicinity of the parking lot. The overall project to be completed over several years will restore endangered species habitat, creek and floodplain function, and improve visitor access and support facilities while maintaining the current level of parking.	????
166.	D. Hatch	GLOB AL			Please delete all references to swimming in the park except at Stinson Beach, which is the only designated swimming beach in the park. All other references to swimming are misleading.	done
167.	N. Hornor		182	1	Insert “, infrastructure” after road	done
168.	N. Hornor		182	6-7	Delete “Park managers...to assure” and move remaining sent up to line 2, connecting to previous sent with “and”	done
169.	N. Hornor		182	11	Change “children” to program participants “ (they serve adults too) and delete “provides	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					healthy.....awareness.” Insert “The rocky shoreline and natural area surrounding the program site would continue to be managed by the park to protect etc. and provide access on existing trails”	
170.	N. Hornor		182		LRC: Change”at nearby Big Lagoon” to “in this area”	done
171.	N. Hornor		182		MUBE: delete: “Muir Woods shuttle” (transit is not generally described for other areas – why here)	done
172.	N. Hornor		182		GGD: add: park staff housing is provided in 2 residences adjacent to Highway 1. Recent trail and trailhead improvements connect this area to the Dias Ridge Trail.	done
173.	T. Williams		182	para 3	change “natural, ecological, and scientific values” to “ <i>natural and ecological values.</i> ”	done
174.	T. Williams		182	para 5	3 rd sentence. Insert: “...planning and design, <i>and has begun construction of an extensive wetland and creek restoration...</i> ”	revised
175.	T. Williams		182	para 6	Should existing NPS housing at Muir Beach, and NPS land above Green Gulch Farm be included here?	no
176.	N. Hornor		183		TV: 1 st par: delete “Chaparral campground” and replace with “youth conservation corps seasonal group camp site”. TV 2 nd par: insert “Oakwood Valley and” before “Tamalp. Valley”	done
177.	N. Hornor		183		Marin City Ridge and Gerbode Valley: place name needs work: how about Coastal Ridges and Valleys? 1 st par: insert “and preserve coastal fortifications”	done
178.	N. Hornor		183		MH: FB&FC: 2 nd par: change “public safety” to “staff” offices. delete “large” before nursery.	done
179.	T. Williams		183	para 1-2	Include a description of Oakwood Valley.	Retain what to say?
180.	T. Williams		183	para 6	delete “and trails” (the trails facilities are no longer at the Headlands); consider including sign shop and wildland fire facilities	revised
181.	T. Williams		183	para 7	include outdoor education and picnicking; delete swimming here (not a designated swimming beach).	done
182.	T. Williams		183	para 8	Include cultural resources in upland areas? (e.g., Battery Townsley, Hill 88)	done
183.	T. Williams		183	para 9	Change “Marin Headlands” to “ <i>Rodeo Valley</i> ”	done
184.	SLF	Tenn. Valley	183	n/a	Chaparral campground? Never heard of it. Is this the area used by Aim High? Is it a public campground? Or for their use exclusively?	Done YCC
185.	SLF	Marin City & Gerbde	183	n/a	Add “native” to sentence “...continue native habitat restoration...”	done
186.	SLF	Forts Barry & Cronk.	183	n/a	Add the NR offices, network I&M offices, native plant nursery in the park operations section.	Used staff offices
187.	SLF	Forts	183	n/a	Recreational activities should also include special events.	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		Barry & Cronk.				
188.	C. Powell		184		Overview: These park lands are the backyard to San Francisco residents...we can use the write up we have in the dog management plan.	We included this in park purpose narrative
189.	N. Hornor		184	Krby Cov	Delete “plantation”	done
190.	N. Hornor	SF County	184	over view	1 st par: Delete: these areas include” and replace with “:”	done
191.	N. Hornor		184	over view	2 nd par: Change to “preserving a greenbelt next to”	done
192.	T. Williams		184	para 1	Include visiting the batteries as a popular activity?	done
193.	T. Williams		184	para 2	Check use of the term “forest plantation” – maybe “historic forest”. Are the fortifications and the forest truly “preserved”? Maybe state that the area includes them?	done
194.	T. Williams		184	para 3	Is the bridge “preserved” (isn’t it recently constructed?)	done
195.	T. Williams	global	184	para 4	The term “fringe” seems to marginalize the offshore resources. Suggest using “band” . (do global search and modify)	done
196.	T. Williams		184	para 4	Emphasize the natural resources of the marine environment first, something like this – The area includes a variety of habitats (refer to the Ocean Stewardship documents). These habitats support.... (describe types of species). Ongoing research, inventory and monitoring activities provide park management with insight into the value of the marine resources. Park management of these areas accommodates public uses....”	revised
197.	T. Williams		184	para 5	Include the park estuarine and marine areas off the park’s shoreline.	done
198.	T. Williams		184	para 6	2 nd sentence: Insert “...fascinating <i>natural and cultural</i> history of the region.”	done
199.	D. Hatch	GOGA: No Action	184		Point Bonita Cove – not sure where to include this, with Point Bonita or Nearshore Marine Environment. Please add to No Action that the shoreline in Point Bonita Cove is closed to public access year round, except for approved research, to protect the harbor seal haul-out.	done
200.	AsF		184	No#s	Park Lands IN SF County. Why isn’t there a section for SAFR? Also mention the significance of Lower Fort Mason and that it was addressed in a separate planning document—see page 40.	Those areas are outside the planning area and are addressed in relationship to other plans
201.	A. Roth		185		Lands End - the souther portion...refer to it as Sutro Heights and mention it includes the Cliff House.	done
202.	C. Powell		185		Upper Fort Mason: the visitor center will most be likely SAFR	Not decided
203.	C. Powell		185		We want to make the point that it is a continuous waterfront at SAFR	done
204.	C. Powell		185		Lands End: we want to note that the LE water safety staff are headquartered at this site.	This could move at future date
205.	N. Hornor	Upper	185		2ndpar: Great Meadow: delete “was created.....removed”	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		FOMA			Change last sent to” terminate near Fort Mason”	
206.	T. Williams		185	para 2	Should this section mention other such as Home Away from Homelessness, the Officers Club, Chapel, Community Garden?	Done park partners
207.	A. Roth		185		Upper Fort Mason section. Recommend either not mentioning any partners (i.e. SFCC) or mentioning them both "San Francisco Conservation Corps and Home Away from Homelessness" . Would like to re-word the leasing line. "The NPS manages a leasing program that provides the opportunity for the San Francisco community to live in historic residences, much like army personnel before them, while providing a source of funds for preservation and maintenance. Also need to add "The Fort Mason Officer's Club is offered to the public for events, such as weddings and conferences. "	done
208.	SLF	SF Overview	185	n/a	Need to mention partnerships with Presidio Trust, City/County of SF – see Alt 1 text for language.	Stayed general
209.	SLF	Upper Fort Mason	185	n/a	The historic streetcar extension should be listed as proposed- current text sounds like the decision has been made to move this forward, which I don’t believe is correct.	done
210.	SLF	China Beach	185	n/a	Add: “This area is currently managed to protect natural resources above the beach and provide a facility for enjoyment...” – natural resources being native vegetation and slope stability below houses.	done
211.	SLF	Fort Funston	186	n/a	Add: “It also preserves important natural and cultural resources including endangered species habitat ”.	done
212.	C. Powell		186		Ocean Beach: I don’t think this description really gets at it—this is a very complicated, crowded beach with many activities. This description makes it seem idealistic.	Any recommendations?
213.	N. Hornor	Fort Fun	186		Delete “like hang-gliding” and insert “and a small native plant nursery	done
214.	N. Hornor	San Mateo	186	overview	Par 2: insert “Rancho” Par 3: change “unsurpassed” to “many”	done
215.	T. Williams		186	para 2	2 nd sentence. Include the length of this trail connection. “It provides a <u>2-mile</u> long trail connection...”	Don’t know what it is
216.	T. Williams		186	para 4	2 nd sentence. Clarify the actual uses of these areas. Clarify where swimming and boating (motorized vs. non-motorized?) are allowed.	Need help with this
217.	T. Williams		186	para 4	2 nd and 3 rd sentence. See comment above on p.184, paragraph 4.	done
218.	N. Hornor		187	1	County	done
219.	N. Hornor		187	Milagra	Line 2: delete “especially” and change to “including”	done
220.	N. Hornor		187	SHelldance	Orchid “and bromeliad”. Do we currently store maintenance equip?	done
221.	N. Hornor		187	Swe	delete “especially” and change to “including”	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
				ney		
222.	T. Williams		187	para 3	see comment on page v, line 21. Call this area “ <i>South of Thornton State Beach to South of Mussel Rock</i> ”	done
223.	D. Hatch	GOGA: No Action	187		Thornton State Beach South to Mussel Rock – see Tamara’s comments about Thornton SB. You might add that in the Daly City area, massive active landslides, exacerbated by concentrated urban runoff, threaten adjacent bluff top communities.	Geologically dynamic seems to cover that
224.	D. Hatch	GOGA: No Action	187		Milagra Ridge – Bay Area Ridge Trail connection – confirm with Nancy if this easement is in the NE or NW. I think we may have 2 easements – but one is definitely in the NW, the other may be in the SE.	Done, made it broader
225.	SLF	Shelldance Nursery Area	187	n/a	Text should be changed to reflect that we accommodate the commercial orchid nursery operation, but we do not manage for it.	done
226.	SLF	Rancho Corral de Tierra	188	n/a	This site is not “undisturbed” – sentence should be softened to “ relatively undisturbed condition”.	done
227.	D. Hatch	GOGA: No Action	188		Rancho Corral de Tierra – Change 2 nd sentence to say ‘relatively’ isolated and undisturbed...	done
228.	N. Hornor		188	Mori	“California” red-legged frog	done
229.	N. Hornor		188	Pedro	Move 3 and 4 th lines and insert after “however they “ insert “and” will be greatly City of Pacifica “and Ca. Coastal Conservancy manage” Pedro Pt.	
230.	T. Williams		188	para 3	2 nd sentence. change “are likely to” to “ <i>may</i> ”?	done
231.	T. Williams		188	para 3	4 th sentence. change “when” to “ <i>after</i> ”	???
232.	T. Williams		188	para 4	2 nd sentence. Change “The isolated and undisturbed condition of the land...” to “ <i>The remote nature of much of the land...</i> ”	Used DH words
233.	T. Williams		188	para 6	1 st sentence. Insert: “transfer <i>some of</i> this land”.	done
234.	B. Aviles	GG Alts	189	?	Offshore: insert in 1 st sentence: “...lease with the State of California over...”	done
235.	B. Aviles		189	?	Offshore: insert in 2 nd sentence: “...these areas, which does not include the entire coastline in San Mateo County , accommodates...”	done
236.	B. Aviles		189	?	Offshore: Add after last sentence: “ In areas where the park boundary coincides with the Fitzgerald Marine Reserve (FMR) the two organizations would continue to cooperate to implement provisions of the California State Marine Life Protection Act. The FMR area between Montara State Beach and Ross’ Cove, has been designated as the Montara State Marine Reserve. No fishing, harvesting, or collecting would be allowed. In the area between Ross’ Cove and Pillar Point Harbor, designated as the Pillar Point Marine Conservation Area, some fishing would be allowed. ”	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					IS THIS COMMON? Add to all action alternatives?	
237.	BA	Bound	189	Para 3	Should we address future management of Pillar Point Air Force Station ? Keep it out of the planning area (like Angel Island.) There are no plans (we know of) to excess the property, but is it inside the boundary.	I think the decisions was to keep it out of the plan
238.	N. Hornor		189	SFP UC	“Peninsula” Watershed is correct place name (change throughout the doc.) 2 nd line: insert “scenic” before “ecological”. At end of par, add managed by SFPUC guided by their Management Plan (check web site for correct title).	Done done
239.	T. Williams		189	para 2	The term “reservoir” is odd in this context (given the actual water reservoir here) – maybe say “an area rich in native plan and animal life.”	done
240.	SLF	Phleger estate	189	n/a	This is a second and third -growth forest.	Retain, Second growth usually describes additional harvets
241.	SLF	Cost Estimates	189	n/a	See SLF comment #57 – first two paragraphs are repeated for each site and each alternative. These should be a “common to all” concept.	revised
242.	State Parks	Figure 5 map	193		Map should include Samuel P. Taylor State Park as Special Use Zone (also change Sausilito to Sausalito)	
243.	A. Roth		195		Adding a Stinson Beach warming hut is specifically recommended. We already have a food service concession, recently renewed under a 10 year contract - the Surfer’s Grill, which provides a mix of information, food, beverages and incidentals. It seems like the addition of new food services in this area may be duplicative. There are also a variety of services in the town of Stinson Beach. Perhaps what we need is equipment rental and visitor contact station?	Done Refine the narrative
244.	B. Aviles		195	P3	Add: “...Headlands, and the facilities at these sites...”	done
245.	B. Aviles		195	P4	Stinson: reserve time to discuss changes that might better address climate change.	???
246.	A. Lucas		195	15	1. <i>Overview</i> : “The park lands in Marin County are an outdoor recreationist’s paradise, with an extensive network of trails for walks.. ” [use “travels” or term that allow wheel chairs and horse riding, bikes]	Done
247.	N. Hornor	Marin	195	over view	2 nd par, 2 nd to last sent: insert “in support of the park’s mission” 3 rd par: delete”and these sites would be improved” change to” in improved facilities”. Change “continuing to increase” to “increasing”	Done Used brian’s recommendation Done
248.	T. Williams		195	para 1	3 rd sentence. delete “recreational”	done
249.	T. Williams		195	para 2	Not many “fern-filled canyons” in GGNRA outside of Muir Woods. Maybe mention grasslands & chaparral instead. Change “over” to “atop.” Move last sentence/concept up to follow the first sentence.	done
250.	T. Williams		195	para	add that some of these uses would be relocated, and other uses may be added at the Headlands.	retain

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
				3		
251.	T. Williams		195	para 4	Include “ <i>at Stinson Beach</i> ” in the Diverse Opportunities Zone title parenthetical phrase.	done
252.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	195		Stinson Beach Diverse Opportunities Zone. This zone at Stinson needs to acknowledge climate change and predicted sea level rise impacts. The Visitor Experience elements on p. 116 need to be incorporated here in a slightly more specific manner given the elevation, existing groundwater and flooding issues.	done
253.	SLF	Alt 1 – Marin, SF, SM	195	n/a	We need to have more visitor centers, visitor contact sites in this alternative – I assume this is what is meant by “improving visitor services”, but don’t see that concept followed up in the site-by-site text.	Done a warming hut for infor is added
254.	SLF	Stinson to Bolinas-Fairfax	195	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change to “...expand the creek buffer to protect endangered species habitat...” Change “warming hut” to “facility” – its more generic and allows for descriptors rather than assumptions about services (or lack of services) based on the Crissy Field model. 	Done retain
255.	SLF	Natural Zone	196	n/a	This text should be added to the No action alternative, and the text in Alt 1 should be modified to state “This area would continue to be managed to protect and restore...”	done
256.	SLF	Hwy 1 Scenic corridor	196	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add: “...to enjoy spectacular views of the Pacific coast and natural habitat and to provide...” Need to add a Natural zone downslope of Hwy. 1 to the ocean. 	Done ???
257.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	196		Highway 1 Scenic Corridor. What is the park’s proposed approach in the event of catastrophic road failure in the face of climate change?	Done See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
258.	N. Hornor		196	SB to B-F Rd.	At end of 3rd par: insert: and sea level rise related to climate change. More detailed site planning for proposed improvements will involve working with the community to identify alternatives for vulnerable facilities, including off-site locations and increased transit service to offset reduces parking”	done
259.	N. Hornor		196	Hwy 1	Change “These” to “Park lands in this area” (don’t want to imply that the roads are ours)	done
260.	T. Williams		196	para 2	Change parenthetical phrase to indicate that the Natural Zone includes most of this area. Maybe “ <i>area except the Stinson Beach Diverse Opportunities Zone</i> ”)	done
261.	T. Williams		196	para 4	Show White Gate Ranch and Homestead Hill on a map.	No
262.	State Parks		196		Change middle paragraph to read, “The park would collaborate with Caltrans, Marin County, California State Parks, and other...”	done
263.	B. Aviles		197	P2	LRC- ECL zone: “...landscape. Stewardship facilities relocated from...” “... A stewardship center...”	done
264.	A. Lucas		197	13	2. LRC and GGD “Could be- would be” confusion- under <i>Lower Redwood Creek</i> you say GGD COULD be accommodated in this zone, and under <i>Golden Gate Dairy</i> you say the facilities WOULD be moved to LRC. Coordinate language.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
265.	B. Merkle	ECL	197		I don’t think that the Banducci site with ongoing restoration would be a reasonable place for	See steering committee

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					equestrian operations.	guidance spreadsheet
266.	N. Hornor	L. Rwd. Ck.	197	ECL	Internal discussion: would we consider adding: “could accommodate a small community garden in this area”?	??? Never heard the conclusion of this question
267.	N. Hornor			ECL	2 nd par: change “improve access” to “provide access “ delete “to equest. facilities” (I think all access would be from MUWO Rd.)	Done
268.	N. Hornor			ECL	3 rd par: insert at end of 1 st sent: “to confirm the viability of all proposed site uses” at end of par add” provide a location for” increased water storage...	Done
269.	N. Hornor			ECL	4 th par: add “and repair and reopen damaged road segments” at end of sent.	Done
270.	Interp.		197, et seq		Suggest we add idea of demonstration farms to retain future options to connect new audiences and serve a role in the “healthy parks, healthy people” movement. ** In fact, this is an overall suggestion for all rural areas in the park.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
271.	T. Williams	GG Dairy:E CL	197	1-2	Change “landscape to “character”. Move “protect coastal prairie and scrub habitats” to page 198 Natural Zone and insert after “natural setting”	done
272.	T. Williams		197	para 2	Can all these activities really be accommodated at this site? Would all existing buildings be retained? Maybe “Existing buildings could be used or replaced to support park programs and operations.”	???
273.	T. Williams		197	para 3	Modify: “...near Santos Meadow and the Frank Valley Horse Camp...”	done
274.	T. Williams		197	para 4	Modify: “...providing for water supply and...”	done
275.	T. Williams		197	para 5	Modify: “Park managers would continue to work with...”	done
276.	T. Williams		197	para 6	Modify: “...wetlands, creek, dunes and lagoon, ...”	done
277.	SLF	Lower Rdwd Creek Evolved CL	197	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The evolved cultural landscape sections need to be modified to include natural habitats and features-which constitute contributing elements to the landscapes. Is it Muir Woods Road or Frank Valley Road? Use one or the other consistently throughout document. Google maps and Map Quest both show Muir Woods road. 	Retain, did not modify cause this concern is addressed in mgmt zones for ECL
278.	SLF	Tenn. Valley and Oakwood Valley natural zone	198-199	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to be deliberate with use of “would” versus “could”- the latter leaves a lot of wiggle room – do we want that? How would the Oakwood Valley trail be enhanced to support family groups? – it is already road width... Provide an example of possible changes. Chaparral campground? See comment #174. Add: “The scenic hills...outstanding natural features, endangered species habitat, and scenic views of ...” 	Park staff Needs to be reviewed, same concern from Renny

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
279.	T. Williams		197-198	para 7 & 1	It seems like we're making some definitive statements about activities and facilities that would be relocated to the Lower Redwood Creek area – it's looks like it could get pretty crowded there. Suggest a quick close look at available space, conceptual site planning, floodplain protection, protection of MBCSD groundwater quality. Or use some qualifiers – “may” “and/or” “could”...	Park staff Needs to be reviewed
280.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	198		Tennessee Valley Diverse Opportunities Zone – last para. I want to highlight that ‘youth programs’ are specifically mentioned as possibly being relocated here. And I want to highlight that the Natural Zone description states that ‘all facilities and structures would be removed and natural processes restored’. Nancy has been hinting that Aim High could remain in their yurt at lower TV. That was Not the intention of the revised alternative.	Park staff Needs to be reviewed
281.	B. Aviles		198	1	VHP Could be relocated to LRC. Not would.	Park staff Needs to be reviewed
282.	B. Aviles		198	P5	Tenn Valley: Trailhead should be singular.	done
283.	B. Aviles		198	P7	Strike “restoration”. Too narrow.	done
284.	A. Lucas		198	1	3. GGD- Yes, do say a small # of horses could remain, but do not say “Volunteer Horse Patrol” – leave it open for example: “horses for NPS use”. As an option to housing horses there, the Marin Equestrian Plan core team discussed day use at GGD by trail horses. This could provide that horse-presence if that is your goal. Visiting animals could be in the paddock there while people lunch or go to the beach.	Park staff Needs to be reviewed
285.	A. Lucas		198		4. Also it is incorrect to say horse facilities would be removed. For a trail head we would like to include a few simple horse facilities such as a bar to tie the horse to, maybe some water, maybe a paddock for day use only. Try something like “Existing horse facilities may be removed or replaced to accommodate day or overnight use by horses. Horse facilities related to the trailhead could be installed”.	Park staff Needs to be reviewed
286.	B. Merkle	TennV DivOp	198		Why would we propose expanding equestrian operations?	
287.	N. Hornor	Alt 1: TV	198	2	Change “on” to “along”	done
288.	N. Hornor			4-6	Change to “The NPS would continue to promote regularly scheduled transit service to reduce vehicle traffic and to work with Caltrans to to improve the safety of Highway 1 for park visitors including traffic calming and improved pedestrian crossing.	done
289.	N. Hornor	TV-DVO (trailhead)	198	2 nd par	Insert “oakwod Vaeely and” before “the Mill Valley” delete “and the” in parenthesis	done
290.	N. Hornor	TV:DV O (oakwod val)	198	Heading & 1 st par	Change heading to (Oakwood Valley at TV Rd.). Insert “Modest” at beginning of 1 st sent.	done
291.	N. Hornor	TV-Nat.Zon	198	1 st par	Insert “to the beach” after “trail”	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
292.	N. Hornor	e TV- Nat.Zon e	198	2 nd par	Insert “other” after “all”. And before “existing functions” in last sent	done
293.	T. Williams		198	para 2	What’s the status of the Dias Ridge trail crossing at Hwy 1 near Pacific Way?	???
294.	T. Williams		198	para 3	Modify: “The uplands <i>above</i> the dairy <i>and Highway 1...</i> ”. Mention recently completed Dias Ridge Trail project.	Why??
295.	T. Williams		198	para 8	Oakwood Valley Diverse Opportunities Zone. Is this shown in the right place on the map? Is the proposed DOZ on Marincello Road included and shown on the map? I have concerns about adding horses to another watershed -- most of Marincello Road is in the Nyhan Creek watershed, which drains to the SF Bay and includes T&E species habitat.	
296.	T. Williams		198	para 10	Accommodating the nursery and Aim High closer to the trailhead should be explored a little further. Where would these be? (maybe this is in Volume 2?)	
297.	B. Aviles		199	1	Sub “ and trailhead ” for “and the developed zone” More consistent.	done
298.	B. Aviles		199		MH-FOBA/FOCR: Should we list the other locations in this zone? Balloon hangar, YMCA, MMC?	This zone does not take in YMCA???
299.	B. Aviles		199	P3	“Fort Cronkhite would continue to be the visitor portal...”	done
300.	B. Aviles		199	P4	“Rehabilitated historic structures and limited...”	done
301.	B. Aviles		199	P4	Clarify use of term “ <i>WARMING HUT</i> ”. Should we be clearer that it is not necessarily same operational arrangement as Crissy?	In glossary
302.	B. Aviles		199	P4	Do we want to include “ overnight accommodations? ” Right now it suggests hostel could be out.	???
303.	B. Aviles		199	P5	Move last sentence up. It should follow sentence ending with “Fort Cronkhite.”	done
304.	B. Aviles		199	P5	Insert “ Public serving ” ahead of “equestrian facilities.” Is there anything else we should say about facilities? Mention balloon hangar/motorpool? Improvements to support trail riding that is part of the MH-FB Transportation Plan?	done
305.	N. Hornor	MH: MCR& GV	199	Nat zone , Last sent	Insert “and the community” before “to develop a community trailhead...”	done
306.	N. Hornor	MH:FB &FC	199	DV O 2 nd par, line 2	After “Center for the Environment” insert: the “current program focus”	Revised at Nov meeting
307.	N. Hornor		199	DV O 2 nd	Insert “in existing facilities and limited new construction” after “housing”	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
				par, line		
308.	N. Hornor		199	DV O 3 rd par	Move “this zone would also continue.....nursery facility” to previous par. (with other FC	done
309.	N. Hornor		199	DV O 3 rd par, last line	Change “be adapted” to “serve”	done
310.	T. Williams		199	para 1	Insert: “...enhance the <i>expanse</i> of undeveloped coastal habitat...”	done
311.	T. Williams		199	para 2	Insert: “...preserve the <i>expansive</i> undeveloped wilderness-like character...”	done
312.	T. Williams		199	para 3	Clarify how facilities at Forts Barry, Baker and Cronkhite would be managed consistent with CLR and Cultural Resource values.	Adding Steve Haller proposed narrative
313.	T. Williams		199	para 4	1 st sentence. Insert: “...and its partners <i>at Fort Cronkhite</i> that contribute...”	Revised by another comment
314.	T. Williams		199	para 4	4 th sentence. Insert: “...would <i>continue</i> to be provided...”	Revised by another comment
315.	T. Williams		199	para 4	5 th sentence. Modify: “...near the beach, replacing the existing chapel visitor center at Fort Barry, and incorporating a transit stop.”	done
316.	T. Williams		199	para 5	3 rd sentence. Aren’t some of these operations proposed to be relocated to Capehart and Fort Baker?	yes
317.	T. Williams		199	para 5	4 th sentence. What happens to the large parking lot and restrooms at the chapel? -- reduce the size, relocate restrooms (or at least turn out the lights), and restore habitat?	???
318.	A. Roth		199		Fort Bay and Cronkhite sections - The "Center for Environment" as the unifying partner Fort Cronkhite concept does not resonate with me. Potentially overlapping with Institute at Fort Baker. Needs a new concept, something more related to recreation, the outdoors, and national park experience consistent with the diverse opportunities concept. Or eliminate having a concept and rely on the zoning description for appropriate non-profit partnership programming. Need to add - "Buildings may also be leased intermittently to generate funds for maintenance and preservation."	
319.	SH		199	Mari n Hea dlan ds	PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE. Make the core of the Fort Barry and Fort Cronkhite cantonments Evolved Cultural Landscape. We can still have diverse opportunities but demonstrate a respect the cultural landscape much more. The Presidio GMPA requires us to take the best care possible for the Fort Cronkhite cantonment as mitigation for the removal of the other excellent WWII barracks complex in the park. <i>Fort Cronkhite is the best-preserved, most extensive of these nationally-significant features in the entire country. Let the zoning reflect that</i>	Stephan will take care of

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					<i>significance.</i> We can adjust the fine print to ensure a full range of tenant and visitor use, while insisting of the highest preservation standards.	
320.	SLF	MAHE Marin City & Gerbod e Valley	199	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add: "...continue habitat restoration, protect endangered and sensitive species,..." • Will a visitor information kiosk be included at a community trailhead in Marin City? Seems like we need one there. 	Done.
321.	SLF	MAHE Fort Barry & Cronkhi te	199	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diverse opportunity zone – suggest changing wording about a warming hut to: "A facility combining visitor information, education and interpretive services with food service would be developed..." • Seems like the cultural landscape at Fort Barry should also be restored (eucalyptus grove managed to historic extent...). • The chapel should be available for NPS and park partner use, and I assume would replace the function bldg. 1050 currently serves? 	Done. Retained. Retained.
322.	SLF	MAHE Capeht housing	200	n/a	Where would housing be accommodated if displaced by a park ops facility? This location should be articulated since it's a related action.	Retained.
323.	SLF	MAHE Conzel. etc.	200	n/a	Scenic corridor should also highlight the endangered species habitat along the road corridor.	Done.
324.	B. Aviles		200	P2	Add: "...interpret the significant military features..."	Done.
325.	B. Aviles		200	P5	Capehart: Strike second sentence – replacing units. Add to next sentence: "...facility would be developed south of Bunker Road. Housing units..."	Done.
326.	B. Merkle	Capeht	200		Where will park interns be housed? Housing is key to attracting interns.	Retained.
327.	N. Hornor	Capeht	200		Insert "in the neighborhood" before "on the south side of" and "in existing structures or limited new construction" at end of par.	Done.
328.	T. Williams		200	para 1	change "extended" to " <i>extensive</i> ".	Done.
329.	T. Williams		200	para 2	Is the map of the Evolved Cultural Landscape Zone correct? Include area of wetlands restoration as described in the MHFBTIMP	Done.
330.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	200		Capehart Housing Area Add Natural Zone and put description of housing to be removed on north side of Bunker Rd. in this zone, not in Park Operations Zone.	Done.
331.	A. Roth		200		Kirby cove- reference to rustic accommodations - does not meet the commercial services test for "necessary and appropriate" per the above comment when we have both rustic and high end accommodations within a 10 minute drive. Recommend removal.	
332.	AsF		200	No #s	Marin Headlands Fort Barry & Chronkhite. Historic Immersion Zone—weak sentence about the Nike Missile Site.	Retain. Please suggest a sentence.
333.	DF	Chapt4- Alt#1	200		Under Sensitive Resources Zone (Rodeo Lagoon), there is very broad restoration sentence. Is this sufficient? For instance, one potential restoration option would be restore Rodeo lake to historic lagoon by removing flashboard dam for Rodeo Lake, lengthening causeway (either with	

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					box culverts or pilings) and fill removal.	
334.	T. Williams		201	para 2	Include management of historic forest at Kirby Cove.	
335.	T. Williams		201	para 4	Where is there swimming in this area?	Done. Removed reference to swimming.
336.	T. Williams		201	para 5	Are there additional areas that should be considered for inclusion in the offshore Sensitive Resource Zone?	Done.
337.	T. Williams		201	para 6	Modify title? “Park Lands in San Francisco County (<i>excluding Alcatraz</i>)”	Retain.
338.	SLF	MAHE Kirby Cove	201	n/a	Last sentence should be changed to indicate the status of mission blue butterfly – “...and expansion of habitat for the endangered mission blue butterfly”.	Done.
339.	SLF	Offshore Marine Env't	201	n/a	This text appears to be the same as the No Action alt. If so, state it.	Retain.
340.	SLF	SF – Overview	201	n/a	The first sentence implies that these opportunities only exist in the SF lands. I think this is a universal statement that applies to all of the GGNRA lands- in Marin, SF, and San Mateo counties!	Retain.
341.	SLF	SF – Overview	202	n/a	Last paragraph – Need to add this to the overview for the no action alternative. Also, add SF Maritime?	Deleted from this section because it applies to all alternatives and is already incorporated in our guiding principles.
342.	SLF	Upper FOMA evolved CL	202	n/a	Why new food service? This would complete with the Youth Hostel and SF city facilities.	Retain.
343.	B. Aviles		202	P3	Add to end of the Overview a statement about the museum collection: “In all alternatives, the park’s archive and museum collection, with represents a rich chronicle of history, would be relocated to rehabilitated buildings in the Presidio that formerly served as stables for the U.S. Cavalry. This modernized facility would ensure the long-term preservation of the collection and be the focal point for programs that engage visitors in memorable and meaningful learning opportunities.” Is this COMMON? Seems like we are working toward this now.	Retain. In common to all.
344.	B. Aviles		202	P4	Upper FM: add “...Wharf and Lower Fort Mason. ” To end of 1 st sentence.	Done.
345.	B. Aviles		202	P8	Should we refer to Fort Mason Center (the organization) or Lower Fort Mason (the NHL)?	Done. Changed to Fort Mason (improved access both lower and upper)
346.	B. Aviles		202	P8	Tail end of paragraph needs work. Consider adding detail about Van Ness, F-Line... Second to last sentence (These concepts...) should be closing line.	Retain. Done.

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
347.	N. Hornor	SF overvw	202	Last line, 1st par	Delete “and the northern remainder of”	Done.
348.	N. Hornor			3 rd par:	insert “stewardship” after “engagement” and “the” before “Trails Forever”	Done.
349.	N. Hornor	U. Ft. MAson	202	ECL 2 nd par	At end: add “that support the park mission” after “programs.”	Done.
350.	N. Hornor		202		Insert: The 2 neighboring national park units, GOGA and SAFR, would collaborate on unified visitor welcoming and orientation, exploring the potential to share facilities. Consistent NPS site identification and directional signage would be placed along the popular waterfront Bay Trail and at transit nodes.	Done.
351.	B. Aviles		203	P1	Add: “...the landscape, safety of pedestrians and bicyclists on the paths , and provide...” Add to end of para: “The site would accept modifications necessitated by the extension of the historic streetcar (F-Line) through the tunnel to Lower Fort Mason.	Done.
352.	B. Aviles		202	P3	Park Ops: last sentence: “...the site and structures would serve park operational needs.”	Done.
353.	N. Hornor		203	DV O	Use quotes for “Great Meadow” (here and elsewhere in doc), change “a variety” to “the variety” and insert “including special events” after “current uses”	Retain. Chicago Manual of Style recommends against quotes for colloquialism. Done.
354.	N. Hornor		203	Ops. ZON e	Change “would” to “could”	Done.
355.	N. Hornor	Lands End	203	Last sent	Insert “and directional signage” after “trail connections”	Done.
356.	N. Hornor	Ft. Miley	203		Insert “historic” before “warehouse” TEAM: this was the one place where we though that the SFUSD ed. Program could relocate. If agreed, should insert: “this site could also host the relocated education program from Fort Funston if no longer needed for operational purposes” see page 205	???
357.	DF	Chapter 4-Alts 1-3 MAPS	Vari ous	Map s	I might be missing something here, but is there a reason that there is no marine/estuarine resource designation for the areas off of Lower Fort Mason and Black Point?? The shoreline of Black Point is described in the text as Sensitive Resource Zone in Alts 1-3 (Page 203)	
358.	T. Williams		203	para 3	Is the area offshore of Black Point within the boundary? If not, consider adding it.	Ask Darren Fong 100’ water line in?
359.	T. Williams		203	para 5 and 6	Include continued preservation of dark night skies in these areas.	Done.
360.	SLF	Upper	203	n/a	Add: “...and provide formal opportunities for picnicking”.	Done.

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		FOMA – great meadow				
361.	SLF	Fort Miley evolved CL	203	n/a	Group camping facilities at West Fort Miley? Where? Is there enough room, and is it compatible with other current and expected uses?	Retain.
362.	B. Merkle	Oc. Beach	204		May want to provide street names = about Lincoln to help with visualizing where this shift is. Note that the designation of the seawall does not match up with the currently defined snowy plover protection area which starts at stairwell 21.	
363.	B. Merkle	FortFun NZ	204		Probably should call out coastal bluffs and bank swallow nesting in this section.	Done.
364.	N. Hornor	Ocean B	204	DV O:	Insert “preserve” before “Hist. O’S seawall” and move to 1 st line of this par after “The park would”	Done.
365.	N. Hornor		204	DV O 3 rd par	Delete “the park would” and insert “would be improved to link” after “connections”	Done.
366.	N. Hornor	FOFU	204	Both Zone s	Line 1: change “rec. ops” to “current rec. activities” and insert “dog walking and” after “including”	Done.
367.	N. Hornor		204		Delete last sent and replace with “and its earthworks fenced and protected”.	Done.
368.	N. Hornor		204	Nat zone	Change “expanded” to “extended”	Done.
369.	T. Williams	global	204	para 1 & 4	replace “seawall” with “ <i>O’Shaughnessey seawall</i> ” in this context (there are other seawalls)	Done. Changes made in all alts.
370.	T. Williams		204	para 1 & 4	Delete the phrases “to the greatest extent possible” or “to the extent possible”. Not clear if it weakens or strengthens resource protection.	Done.
371.	T. Williams		204	para 4	What are the “public safety activities” that would be continued? lifeguards?	Retained.
372.	T. Williams		204	para 6	delete the phrase “through managed retreat”; insert at end of sentence “ <i>and restoring natural processes.</i> ”	Done.
373.	T. Williams		204	para 7	Include management for bluff retreat.	???
374.	T. Williams		204	para 8	The Natural Zone should include the entire within the lessingia recovery plan.	Done.
375.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	204		Ocean Beach Natural Zone. Please add protection of threatened species (Western Snowy Plover) in this zone.	Done.

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					In Both Zones: Is the park on-board with managed retreat as our highest priority? Not sure the City and ACOE are. There is also ongoing research experimenting with depositing sand in the nearshore littoral zone to see if it will replenish beach sand and provide additional protection (so far not much success, but new ideas are emerging about new things to try).	Done. Removed “managed retreat.”
376.	BA	Alts	205	?	San Mateo overview: 2 nd para – We may want to give greater prominence to the challenges we face with the addition of Rancho’s 4,000 acres, not just the placeless “addition of park land”.	Retain. See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
377.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	205		Nearshore Marine Areas Scenic Corridor Zone. Can we strengthen this with some of the language from the Ocean Stewardship Strategy? It’s pretty weak as is.	Done.
378.	N. Hornor		205	Ops Zone	Delete “grounds”. Insert “satellite” before “maint. Facility” and delete “garage” TEAM: we have not identified another site...	Done.
379.	N. Hornor				Offshore Marine Areas – be consistent throughout doc. Sometimes called “environment” (I prefer environment”	Done. Changed to Offshore Ocean and Bay Environment throughout document.
380.	N. Hornor	San Mateo County	205	overview	1 st par UNESCO etc. check and use correct place name throughout the document 2 nd par: line 3 insert “these newest” before “park lands”. last sentence in this paragraph: make this the last sentence in the previous par. and insert “connectivity” before “preservation and restoration” Can we integrate “enhancing community character” into the overview? ie: “park improvements would be consistent with preservation of community character” at the end of the section?	Done. Golden Gate Biosphere Reserve – consistent throughout Vol I. May need to check Vol II. Done.
381.	T. Williams		205	para 2	change “environment” to “ <i>environmental</i> ”	Done.
382.	T. Williams		205	para 3	Are swimming and boating appropriate here?	Done.
383.	T. Williams		205	para 3	change “rocks” to “ <i>geologic resources and processes</i> ”	Done.
384.	T. Williams		205	para 5	change “more than three decades” to “ <i>four decades</i> ”	Done.
385.	B. Aviles		206	P1	Any further elaboration of the visitor center? Perhaps add “ local governments ” to list of organizations that could share the facility.	Done.
386.	N. Hornor	Milagra	206	Nat Zone	No mention of preservation of the historic structures	Done.
387.	T. Williams		206	para 7	Is it OK to add housing at Shelldance? Is it in the City of Pacifica city limits? zoning restrictions?	???
388.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	206		Thornton Beach – need to work with neighboring communities to mitigate concentrated urban runoff and landslide threat. Milagra Scenic Corridor Zone – nothing on cultural resources here?	Done. ???

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
389.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	207		Devil’s Slide – this will be a major multi-use trail. Can we confirm that CalTrans will keep the lands above and below the trail corridor that are totally infested with pampas grass (or jubata grass – need to check with Sue) and seriously impact the scenic views?	???
390.	N. Hornor	Sweeney	207	Nat and Scenic Corridor	SFPUC “Peninsula” Watershed	Done.
391.	N. Hornor	Picardo	207	DV Zone	Insert “potentially” before “including” and “or environmental education” after “equestrian use	Done.
392.	N. Hornor	Mori	207	Nat Zone	At end: add “Collaboration with adjacent land managers would also contribute to expanded efforts to preserve listed species and their habitats, improving habitat connectivity across management boundaries”	Done.
393.	N. Hornor	Pedro	207	Nat Zone	Insert “and other agencies” at end of 1 st sent.	Done.
394.	T. Williams		207	para 6	Emphasize the limitations on the sustainability of a trail in this unstable area.	Retain.
395.	SLF	Sweeney Ridge Natural Zone	207	n/a	Define “primitive camping”- outhouses and no running water, or?	Retain.
396.	BA	Alts	208	?	Let’s consider treating Rancho in smaller parts . Maybe leave the big Natural zone, but treat the Diverse Opps zones in Martini Creek Watershed separate from San Vicente and Denniston Creek Watersheds? This would allow us to differentiate important actions, like retention of equestrian operations, location for other visitor amenities, etc. Although we may want to be very cautious since we’ve given the community no indication of this.	Retain. See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
397.	BA	Alts	208	?	Should we make a statement about the many demands placed on water resources in Rancho? This will influence operation of stables and provision of new visitor facilities.	Done.
398.	BA	Alts	208	?	Do we need to address GRAZING as an issue here? We received specific inquiry from Teresa Gibson at Mid-Penn. Should this be incorporated into a management zone?	Resolved. See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
399.	B. Merkle	Corrl DivOp	208		Consider relocating and consolidating equestrian facilities.	Retain. See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
400.	N. Hornor	Rancho	208	Nat Zone	2 nd par: Add “NPS would work with SFPUC to complete a “ instead of Opportunities”. Insert “ after second SFPUC and delete “would mbe explored” at end of sent.Peninsula”	Done.
401.	N. Hornor		208	Nat Zone	1 st par: Line 3 change “which would include” to “including”	Done.
402.	N. Hornor		208	DV Zone	Par 1: Change “the Rancho” to “this area. Change” after the GMP “to “following gmp approval” Delete “vital”???change “operations” to “facilities” change to “continuing to work	Done.

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					with equest. operators, to enhance bmps etc. ...and expand programs that welcome...Move the sent “Any new facility” to end of par. insert “in this zone” after “New facilities”	
403.	N. Hornor		208	DV Zone	3 rd par: Insert “to improve habitat connectivity and protect sensitive habitats” before “to protect water quality...”	Done.
404.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	208		Rancho Diverse Opportunities Zone, 3 rd sentence of 1 st para. Please delete ‘vital’ in association with equestrian operations.	Done.
405.	A. Roth		208		Diverse Opps section - describing the Equestrian uses as vital is overkill. Also, suggest that we explicitly state that the exact configuration, size and type of equestrian operations may change to meet the new role of Rancho as part of a National Park. Overall I feel the GMP really reduces the management options for the Equestrian uses of Rancho, and is potentially misleading the public in thinking there will be no change to these operations. I think we need to be forthright in saying that over the next 20 years, although significant equestrian uses will always be a part of Rancho, the exact locations, type and scale will be a subject of subsequent planning and will need to confirm to NPS management policies.	Done. See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
406.	Interp		208, et seq		Suggest we add idea of demonstration farms to retain future options to connect new audiences and serve a role in the “healthy parks, healthy people” movement. ** In fact, this is an overall suggestion for all rural areas in the park.	Retain.
407.	B. Aviles		209	P5	SFPUC: Add at end of para. “The park would collaborate with SFPUC to create a watershed visitor education center, as described in the 2004 Peninsula Watershed Management Plan. The likely location for the center would be near the Pulgas Water Temple on Cañada Road.”	Done.
408.	N. Hornor		209	Mon tara Ligh thou se	Reality check: is it feasible to improve these trail connections? if so, keep, but change “up and down the coast” to “to the Coastal Trail”	Retain.
409.	N. Hornor		209	Phle ger	Line 2: change “experiences” to “facilities”. Delete “and” after Bay Area Ridge Trail, use comma	Done.
410.	N. Hornor		209	SFP UC Nat zone	Insert “Peninsula” in heading. line 3: Insert: “completion of the Bay Area Ridge Trail connection from Phleger to Highway 92” after “promote” add “and” after that	Done.
411.	N. Hornor			Scen ic		
412.	B. Aviles		210	1	See comments on page 189.	Done.
413.	T. Williams		210	para 1	The area off Fitzgerald Marine Reserve is a Sensitive Zone. Add text to describe.	Done.
414.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	210		REMINDER: need to access CDFG website to pull maps of newly established marine protected areas so we can zone properly. They have been approved!	Done.
415.	A. Roth		210		It appears the GMP is trying to do some level of business planning in this section. To really be credible here I think we would need to be in the range of 20-25% more FTE to fully implement	Done. The revised FTE numbers are 26% (Alt 1),

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					the GMP, I don't think we can reasonably assume anything greater than that. I forget if I generated the 4 FTE number for business management, I think 3 FTE might be more appropriate. The FTE level suggested here are not credible in my opinion (way too high), especially in the areas of Cultural Resources, Law Enforcement. based on my experience in business planning. This needs another look.	20% (Alt 2), and 25% (Alt 3) greater than current.
416.	B. Merkle	Cost Ests	211		Need estimates for additional NR staff—and for all alternatives.	Done.
417.	T. Williams		211	para 2	4 th bullet – Where would this expanded volunteer horse patrol be?	Removed.
418.	T. Williams		211	para 6	Suggest modifying: “.. <i>anticipated increase in equestrian facilities with the addition of San Mateo lands.</i> ” The level of expansion expected in Marin would not likely warrant new staff.	Removed.
419.	T. Williams		211	para 7	Is one additional contracting staff sufficient?	Removed.
420.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	211		COST ESTIMATES Natural Resources Division estimates the need for an additional 10 FTEs to conduct and manage the following elements of the preferred alternative: additional wildlife management needs with increased visitor access and changing uses, Bolinas Lagoon and tributary restoration, Easkoot Creek riparian corridor restoration and community watershed/flood protection planning at Stinson Beach, restoration of Lower Tennessee Valley wetlands and riparian corridor, marine resource protection and establishment of marine protected area at Point Bonita and Bird Island, restoration of riparian corridor with removal of Capehart Housing, Fort Funston habitat corridor restoration, Devil's Slide invasive vegetation management and visitor management to prevent wildlife disturbance, Rancho Corral de Tierra riparian corridor restoration, and increased invasive species management parkwide with changing visitor use patterns.	Retained. FTE discussions completely adjusted and broadened.
421.	SLF	Cost est's	211	n/a	NR?	Done.
422.	D. Hatch	GOGA: Alt 1	213		One Time Non-facility Costs Do we have cost estimates for restoration of Lower Tennessee Valley, Capehart riparian restoration, Easkoot Creek riparian restoration, Fort Funston habitat restoration, Rancho riparian and fish habitat restoration, Devil's Slide invasives management- (check with Nancy – Devil's Slide uplands may still be a CalTrans responsibility after road closes)? I haven't checked the spreadsheet.	Please fill out on restoration cost spreadsheet and return to DSC. LTV – not for alt 1 Capehart – yes (light creek restoration \$25K) Easkook – no (common to all?) FT Funston – not for alt 1 Rancho – not estimated Devil's slide – not for alt 1
423.	SH		214	Map follo wing	Please consider making Fort Cronkhite and Fort Barry cantonments ECL not DO Zone.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
424.	T. Williams		215	map	Is the little area of Diverse Opportunities Zone to the east of the Trailhead/Miwok Stables area in the correct location?	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
425.	T. Williams		215	map	Add note that the map shows the zoning for the preferred alternative (Alt 3) for Muir Woods	
426.	B. Aviles		215-217-219	Maps	Several comments on the maps – adjust zones, change labels, etc.	
427.	T. Williams		217	map	Alcatraz area: Add note that this map shows the zoning for the preferred alternative (Alternative 3) for Alcatraz	
428.	T. Williams		217	map	Alcatraz area: The yellow zone around the island should be pink. (see p. 255)	
429.	T. Williams		219	map	The Diverse Opportunities zone at Picardo Ranch and Rancho Corral de Tierra should have the black dot pattern in them to indicate that they’re future additions.	
430.	T. Williams	Global	221	para 1	These are solid guiding principles for managing in the face of climate change. We should be proposing this in all alternatives.	
431.	D. Hatch	GOGA Alt 2	221	Stinson	Diverse Opportunities Zone: I think we need to strengthen our response to climate change by at least mentioning planned retreat and reduction and relocation of facilities to other upland areas near Stinson Beach as sea level rises.	
432.	State Parks		222		In last paragraph change “state parks” to California State Parks	Done.
433.	T. Williams	Global	225	para 4	For Alternative 2, it seems like some (if not all) of the marine environment described as “Scenic Zone” should be in the Natural Zone.	Done.
434.	T. Williams		225	last para	1 st sentence. Modify: “...climate change, <i>including</i> rising sea level...”	Done.
435.	T. Williams		225	last para	2 nd sentence. Modify: “management in <i>the</i> face of <i>accelerated</i> sea level rise.”	Done.
436.	T. Williams		227	para 5	Modify: “...to address coastal erosion <i>by</i> relocating facilities out of vulnerable locations <i>and restoring natural processes...</i> ”	Done.
437.	T. Williams		227	para 7	Insert: “...Mussel Rock (a <i>nearly continuous</i> stretch of almost 5 miles)...”	Done.
438.	D. Hatch	GOGA Alt 2	227	Ocean Beach	Natural Zone – add language about this area also providing a important naturally functioning buffer for adjacent communities from the effects of climate change and sea level rise. Also see comment 46 above. In Both Zones – 2 nd para. We may want to add ‘through managed retreat and other non-hardened shoreline solutions’ to preserve flexibility.	
439.	D. Hatch	GOGA Alt 2	227	Ocean Beach	Natural Zone – add language about this area also providing a important naturally functioning buffer for adjacent communities from the effects of climate change and sea level rise. Also see comment 46 above. In Both Zones – 2 nd para. We may want to add ‘through managed retreat and other non-hardened shoreline solutions’ to preserve flexibility.	Duplicate of comment #438.
440.	T. Williams		228	para 3	See comment above regarding Scenic Zone vs. Natural Zone for marine environment.	Done.

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
441.	T. Williams		228	para 4	2 nd and 3 rd sentences. Suggest incorporating this language into the Preferred Alternative.	
442.	T. Williams		230	para 4	Couldn't find this area on the map.	Done.
443.	DAH	GOGA Alt 2	229	Sweeney	Natural Zone – last sentence. What does 'enhanced' mean for SF Bay Discovery Site?	
444.	DAH	GOGA Alt 2	229	Devil's Slide	Sensitive Resource Zone. Add at end of 1 st sentence '... seabirds that are sensitive to human disturbance.'	Done.
445.	T. Williams		231	para 2	Need to revise this text. Some of these areas are zoned as Sensitive Zone. I agree that the remainder of the areas should be in the Natural Zone, but the map shows them as Scenic Corridor.	Done.
446.	DAH	GOGA Alt 2	231	Marine	Change Natural Zone to Scenic Corridor. Add the portion of the Pillar Point State Marine Conservation Area that is within the GOGA boundary in the text for the Scenic Corridor. Add Sensitive Zone for Egg Rock (Devil's Slide) Special Closure area 300' west of Egg Rock and 1000' east of Egg Rock. Also add to Sensitive Zone the Montara State Marine Reserve portion within GOGA boundary. These also need to be added/corrected on the map.	
447.	DAH	GOGA Alt 2	232	NR	Alt 2 would require approx 10 additional NR FTEs for increased T&E and other habitat restoration including riparian restoration at Corral de Tierra, Tenn Valley, Easkoot Creek, marine protection and monitoring, conversion of roads to trails, etc.	Retain. FTE discussion broadened.
448.	B. Merkle	Cost Ests	232		Need estimates for additional NR staff.	Done.
449.	DAH	GOGA Alt 2	233	Table 10	Are there restoration costs for GOGA at Stinson Beach, Tennessee Valley, Rodeo Valley, Fort Funston, Corral de Tierra? Costs in the tables I have look incomplete. A daunting task but many many millions of \$\$\$ for alt 2.	Please make additions on restoration cost spreadsheet and return to DSC. Stinson- partially completed estimates. TV-partially completed, need Tamara's info RV- no Ft Funston- yes Rancho Corral de Tierra- partially completed
450.	DAH	GOGA Alt 2	236	Alt 2 maps SF and San Mateo	Change Natural Zone to Scenic Corridor except as described on # 120 above for Sensitive Zone. 2 maps need corrections to marine environment.	???

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
451.	T. Williams		243	1	Reconsider promoting biking through Tennessee Valley in any alternative. This is a very high-value expanse of habitat that shouldn't be fragmented by further disturbance associated with recreational activity.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
452.	T. Williams		244	8-9	Delete "being mindful of".	Done.
453.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	244	14	What kind of overnight accommodations are we talking about at Kirby Cove?	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
454.	T. Williams		245	19	Consider using a broader than "bus" to describe on-land transit. (maybe just delete the word "bus" (This also occurs elsewhere in the document).	Done.
455.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	245	23	What kind of facilities are we talking about at the Great Meadow?	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
456.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	246	21 and 24	Change to O'Shaughnessey Seawall	Done.
457.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	246 and 255	28 and map on 255	The zoning on the map is inaccurate. I should work with Craig to make this more realistic. Maybe we need an inset map for FOFU? There will be a lot of interest in how it's mapped.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
458.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	246	32	Alt 3 still has a Park Operations Zone at Fort Funston. We did not say they would be relocated elsewhere in the park. Please add Park Ops Zone to the text.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
459.	T. Williams		247	2	Clarify that these uses would be accommodated to the extent this area remains safe from bluff erosion.	Done.
460.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	255		Zoning map of Fort Funston. Both the Natural and Diverse Opportunities zones need to be re-mapped to more accurately reflect existing and desired conditions. I can work with Craig on this. Park Ops zone would be retained.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
461.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	247	6	Change to Scenic Corridor zone.	
462.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	249	18	Change to Scenic Corridor zone. Add Sensitive Zone as described in #120 above.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
463.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	250	11	Need approx. 10 FTEs for NR restoration, wildlife management, etc. Same as Alt 1. See #82-83 above.	Retain. FTE discussion broadened.
464.	DAH	GOGA Alt 3	254-255	Maps	Change Natural Zone to Scenic Corridor. Add / correct Sensitive Zone per #120 above. I have provided maps to Craig.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
465.						
466.						
467.						
468.						

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

4: ALTERNATIVES APPLIED TO GGNRA

	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
469.						