

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

3: ACTIONS COMMON TO ALL

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
1.	B. Aviles	Act. Comm	107	1	Confirm that this section only applies to ACTION alternatives.	Done
2.	B. Aviles		109	1	This section needs better integration into the flow of the document. An introductory paragraph could explain what “Common” and “Action” means. It may need some reorganization to group like subchapters. Some of these may not be GMP topics and should be removed.	Done
3.	B. Aviles		109	1	Another suggestion is to have 1-paragraph summaries of these and put the rest in an appendix. This is a lot to read and absorb ahead of the management alternatives.	retain
4.	C. Powell		109	4-5	I think that the 2 nd sentence should be removed	Retain, it true and should be recognized especially with this park
5.	C. Powell		109	6-7	Sentence that starts with “Park and local governments...” What does this mean?	No such sentence exists
6.	C. Powell		109	12	“portions” - need a new word. Maybe we can use “sites within”	Done
7.	C. Powell		109	13-14	Change this sentence as it does not make any sense	Retain, it still works for me
8.	C. Powell		109	17	Start this section with this paragraph make the rest background into	??
9.	C. Powell		109	20	Type—“purposed”	corrected
10.	C. Powell		109	25-26	Don’t these goals result in the survival of wildlife, ecosystem restoration, etc.?	revised
11.	C. Powell		109	31-33	This paragraph doesn’t seem to fit here. It has nothing to do with climate change—it is a bigger idea if you will.	revised
12.	N. Hornor		109	7-9	Delete t “Park mgrs.....resources. Current or potential” begin sent ‘Changes...	done
13.	N. Hornor		109	17	Delete “throughout the life of this plan”	Done
14.	N. Hornor		109	18	Change “major” to “park-specific”	Done
15.	N. Hornor		109	33	Add at end of sent: ” considering multiple strategies and multiple land manager options”	Done
16.	T. Williams		109		Clarify the difference/relationship between land <i>within</i> the boundary and land <i>acquired within</i> the boundary. (Land needs to be within boundary to be acquired, but doesn’t need to be acquired to be within boundary?)	revised
17.	T. Williams		109	23-27	Good language regarding coastal ecosystem integrity and resilience!! Incorporate elsewhere as appropriate.	ok
18.	T. Williams		109	32	Insert “...regional land <i>and marine area</i> protection efforts.”	done
19.	T. Williams		109	37	Not clear what’s meant by the “ownership” consideration.	Works for me.
20.	State Parks		109	20	Change “proposed” to “purpose”	done
21.	S. Fritzke	Bound.	109	20	Delete “d” at end of “purpose”	done
22.	S. Fritzke	Bound.	109	34	Need to identify who would “critically evaluate” any proposed boundary changes – potentially with an interdisciplinary team of staff members.	That’s a managerial dec for the future
23.	B. Aviles		110	2	Add “ Five... proposed. Based on preliminary evaluations , each meets...”	revised
24.	B. Aviles		110	16	Add “...state tide and submerged lands lease...”	done
25.	B. Aviles		110	26	Add “...continuous tide and submerged land lease.”	done
26.	B. Aviles		110	31	Gregerson is 207 acres.	done
27.	B. Merkle	Offsh.	110	14	Does offshore boundary shift with change in mean high tide line—or is it fixed as of a certain date? Also suggest including that boundary extends 0.25 mile “seaward” from the mean high	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					tide line.	
28.	C. Powell		110	8	“efficient”—need another word here	Done
29.	C. Powell		110	10	Change cooperating with community public safety departments to coordinating with other managers	Done
30.	C. Powell		110	23-24	This sentence makes no sense	Language from the agreement
31.	N. Hornor		110	30	CONFIRM PLACE NAME WITH POST – consider a generic name? Rancho Ridgetop?	Done
32.	N. Hornor		110	29	Add a new property: 800 acre parcel Rancho Ridgetop #2: private property, adjacent to RCdT and SF Watershed lands, accessed by road through the RCdT property. This parcel provides important continuity and habitat connectivity between other protected lands. Current hunting activities not compatible with adjacent recreational uses.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
33.	N. Hornor		110	33	Change “unpaved” to “access” (it’s paved) change “light” to “modest”	done
34.	N. Hornor		110	38	Change “reconnect” to “connect” and “corridors” to “habitats”	done
35.	N. Hornor		110	38	Insert “including trail connections along the scenic ridgetop on an existing narrow road.	done
36.	T. Williams		110	15-17 & 26	Need to clarify the intent of these two statements regarding the state lands lease.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
37.	D. Hatch	Bound. Adjust.	110	5	Change section header to Nearshore or Ocean Environment, San Mateo County	Done
38.	D. Hatch	Bound. Adjust.	110	13	The description of the boundary adjustment for the 0.25 mile seaward of mean high tide should specifically include the nearshore rocks/islands already included in the California Coastal National Monument managed by BLM. This includes Devil’s Slide Rock and San Pedro Rock and probably others.	Brian is working on this
39.	D. Hatch	Bound. Adjust.	110	26	Change end of sentence to read continuous state lands lease.	Done Included in the DIFFERENT CHANGE REQUESTED IN #25
40.	BA	Bound	110	28	Leave time to review/discuss map(s) Craig Scott is preparing that shows all boundary adjustments. Will get this to you ASAP but maybe not until week of workshop. The parcels around Montara/Devil’s Slide , supposedly coming from Caltrans , are really hard to pin down. Same with parts of San Pedro Valley Park which MAY not be entirely in the current boundary.	Done
41.	B. Aviles		111	1	Add “...Tierra unit. The residence, with a functioning well, septic system, and solar panel complex, could be retained for environmental education, park operations, or other park purposes. ”	Done Also See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
42.	B. Aviles		111	21	Add: McNee Ranch State Park, San Mateo County “ This 625-acre park is a unit of the California State Park System, managed as part of Montara State Beach. The site is the only state park adjacent to the Golden Gate National Recreation Area that is not also within the federal authorized boundary. Flanked by Rancho Corral de Tierra to the south and lands the NPS anticipates it will receive from	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					<p>Caltrans following completion of the Devil’s Slide Tunnel to the north, resource preservation and management of visitors is critical. The park includes a small trailhead and ranger residence near the equestrian center on Martini Creek in Rancho Corral de Tierra. No major changes in management are anticipated if the land was to be added to the federal holding.</p> <p>At present, visitors enjoy sweeping vistas of the Pacific Coast and rugged coastal hills from a network of multi-use trails and unpaved fire roads. These routes connect Pacifica with the Coastside communities of Montara and Moss Beach, and lead to the highest points on Montara Mountain. The Bay Area Ridge Trail and San Francisco PUC are planning east-west trail connections that would better link the bay with the ocean. The park has an extensive biodiversity especially on the serpentine soils of the lower slopes where such endangered species as Hickman’s potentilla and San Mateo thornmint, (<i>Acanthomintha duttonii</i>,) are found.”</p>	
43.	B. Merkle	Vallem	111	7-10	Include reasons for acquisition. Similar for Highway frontage.	Reason exist in the Pac Boundary Study
44.	C. Powell		111		Add Thomas property to list? Add Eng property to list?	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
45.	C. Powell		111	34-35	We should add “if the opportunity arises” or some members of the public will be scared we may use eminent domain.	Retain, couldn’t find a way to use this term and not be confusing.
46.	N. Hornor		111	10 & 18	Confirm these two were in Pacifica Boundary study,	Done
47.	N. Hornor		111	11.	add “and is adjacent to lands added to the park boundary in PL ____ with similar characteristics	Done
48.	N. Hornor		111	18	add “and is adjacent to lands added to the park boundary in PL ____ with similar characteristics and that it could provide trailhead access to NPS lands at Cattle Hill and Sweeney Ridge	Done
49.	N. Hornor		111	31	Insert “led by the Association of Bay Area Governments and documented in” before “Golden Lands...”	Done
50.	B. Aviles		112	20	Add to end. “This gateway area includes the undeveloped Ng (SP) property, (size), which abuts Rancho Corral de Tierra. The access road that runs across the property would be a valuable park management asset.”	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
51.	N. Hornor		112		Use bullets or numbers for the PCAs liincluded	Done. Used different headers
52.	N. Hornor		112	4	Add” These sites were evaluated in a boundary study in ____ and determined appropriate for inclusion in the park bundary”	Done
53.	N. Hornor		112	8	Insert “continuity of existing GGNRA lands, including” after “...enhance”	Done
54.	N. Hornor		112	15	Change “natural resources corridors” to “large areas of natural habitat, with scenic views and opportunities for trails connecting existing public lands.”	done
55.	D. Hatch		112	6-10	I don’t see inland parcels that might be adjacent to Milagra or Sweeney Ridge (or other San Mateo lands) included that would help expand sensitive habitat for T&E species and reduce	Discussed at Nov 09 workshop

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					fragmentation and provide habitat corridors. Not all of the private undeveloped parcels are within our current boundary. I read the present text to only include those along the more immediate coast (west of Hwy 1 ???).	
56.	A. Roth		112	31	the park anticipates - I'm not too sure we know enough about the outcome of the Alcatraz embarkation planning process to make this bold statement about the need for a boundary adjustment. Are we talking about adjusting the SAFR/GOGA boundary? Or adding a Port of SF site in the boundary? Would like to discuss with Planning team and Mike Savidge.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
57.	N. Hornor		113		Add McNee Ranch State Park . Sharing a boundary with RCdT this ___ area provides an important ecological and recreational link between other lands within the GGNRA boundary. Its trails connect lto GGNRA lands to its north and south, including it within the GGNRA boundary, similar to other State Park lands similarly situated would correct and inconsistency and recognize the significance of this park’s resources and beauty.	Done
58.	N. Hornor		113		Add SF Jail site undeveloped area?	Done See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
59.	D. Hatch	Bound. Adjust.	113	1-12	Here is suggested text for the Bolinas Lagoon potential future boundary adjustment: Bolinas Lagoon is one of Marin County’s most significant natural resources. Its 1,100 acres, known as the Bolinas Lagoon Open Space Preserve and managed by the Marin County Open Space District, was designated a Wetland of International Importance by the Ramsar Convention in 1998. Along with Drake’s Estero and Tomales Bay, Bolinas Lagoon provides an important coastal environment for fish, birds and mammals that is unparalleled along the northern California coast between San Francisco and Humboldt Bays. Most of the eastern shoreline of the lagoon and portions of the adjacent uplands and small tributary creeks that support federally endangered steelhead trout are managed by Golden Gate National Recreation Area. The Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary also encompasses Bolinas Lagoon with overlapping management authority with Marin County and the NPS. Marin County, NOAA and the Army Corps of Engineers are currently developing plans for restoring natural processes and ecosystem integrity to the lagoon to mitigate for past human activity in the watershed. Here is a link to a map of the preserve. Craig Scott can also likely get the boundary map from the county if he doesn’t already have it. http://www.co.marin.ca.us/pos/MCOSD/os_park_05.asp	Done See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
60.	B. Merkle	Clim. Chnge.	114	4	Sustaining park resources again sounds like we are just trying to hang on. Suggest stronger language.	Retain unless suggested language is provided
61.	B. Merkle	Clim. Chnge.	114		Strongest commitment to climate change would be selection of Alt. 2	Retain unless suggested language is provided
62.	C. Powell		114	4	Is “restoring” the right word? Tie this sentence in with climate change	I think so
63.	C. Powell		114	7	Change “contribution to “emissions”	done
64.	C. Powell		114	8	Move this sentence up front—starts with “The Park Service”	done

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
65.	C. Powell		114	36	Change “outside” to “larger” or a similar word—outside is not the correct word	done
66.	C. Powell		114	37	I think you mean to say NPS can’t control effects of climate change solely through reduction or our own emissions???	Change made
67.	N. Hornor	Clim. Chnge.	114	5	Delete “fiscal and ecological threats”	done
68.	N. Hornor		114	6	Change “policy position” to “strategy” or “approach	done
69.	N. Hornor		114	7	Change “climate change” to “greenhouse gases”	Different change #64
70.	N. Hornor		114	8	National Park Service or GGNRA?, delete “a set of”	done
71.	N. Hornor		114	9	Delete “into the future”	done
72.	N. Hornor		114	14	Carbon neutral for visitors or just park/partner ops?	NPS and park partners
73.	N. Hornor		114	22	El. “opportunities for”	done
74.	T. Williams		114	8	Insert “adapt to <i>the effects of climate change</i> ”	done
75.	T. Williams	Global	114	8	Make the life of the plan consistent throughout – it’s 20 years here, 25 and 30 years in other places in the document.	done
76.	T. Williams		114	13	Suggest changing “CO2 Emissions” to “ <i>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</i> ”	done
77.	T. Williams		114	20	Delete the second “to” -- “...estimated to contribute more than 90%...”	done
78.	T. Williams		114	22	Add sentence like “ <i>Education is key to reducing greenhouse gas emissions within the park.</i> ” Suggest including a commitment to provide incentives to visitors to reduce emissions.	Retain, included below
79.	T. Williams		114	28	Insert “...Through the efforts of <i>management, employees, partners...</i> ”	done
80.	T. Williams		114	38	Delete “staff” – “However, the park would...”	No, the “park” doesn’t do anything, people (i.e., staff) do things
81.	T. Williams		114	39	Strengthen this section – Include participation in regional planning for resilience.	I don’t know what this means.
82.	T. Williams		114		Include reference to memo from Regional Director re: Climate Change?	No
83.	D. Hatch		114	4	Change the 1 st sentence to read: ‘Sustaining and restoring park resources in the face of climate change will require the ...’	done
84.	D. Hatch		114	14	Does this 2016 goal include visitor CO2 emissions? I think we need to be very clear what is and is not included here. 2016 goal that includes visitors seems highly unlikely.	NO
85.	D. Hatch		115	17	Add a statement to the effect that the NPS will work with neighboring communities to ensure that protection of park resources is an integral component of their adaptation strategies and that the protection, preservation and restoration of coastal wetlands and coastal processes can serve as a vital tool in buffering coastal communities from the effects of climate change and sea level rise.	done
86.	D. Hatch		115	30-37	See page 221 for better description of what we want to achieve for natural resources with respect to adaptive management and building resiliency: Sustain native biodiversity, reconnect fragmented habitats and migration corridors, minimize impact of invasive species, restore naturally functioning ecosystems...	Done, merged with Bill’s comments below
87.	B. Aviles		115	19	Update to include recent park actions, which will continue to grow. Most of this has been done or is underway. Add to end: “...park resources and continue to provide national park experiences. ”	Retain, didn’t fit well
88.	B. Merkle	Clim.	115	29-	I think we need more detail on management approach for NR.	Done

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		Chnge.		37	Add: Monitoring; Regional coordination and planning; Reduce habitat fragmentation and increase habitat connectivity and movement corridors; restore and enhance habitats, including improving habitat quality by reducing human caused disturbance; focus on ecosystem management and natural processes and not single species or existing ideas of communities as these will change over time; plan and adapt.	
89.	B. Merkle	Clim. Chnge.	115	29- 37	Instead of maintaining biological diversity, which sounds like we are going to hold on to protecting individual species, state as manage for biological diversity	Done
90.	B. Merkle	Clim. Chnge.	115	33	Is landscape diversity the right term? We need to think more about this. You could make a landscape more diverse by developing parts of it.	removed
91.	C. Powell		115	15	This sentence sounds like NPS isn't doing anything to reduce climate change--	Seems the actions below demonstrates NPS actions
92.	N. Hornor		115	4	Delete"staff". This paragraph should mention sea level rise and efforts to plan for replacement of habitats predicted to be lost as a result	It is "Staff" who use tools and monitoring methods, not the park. (The park just lies there....)
93.	N. Hornor		115	19	Change "in addition to" to "to meet" and "could" to "would"	done
94.	T. Williams		115	12- 13	Modify: "...instead of managing for <i>specific communities as they are currently defined.</i> "	done
95.	T. Williams		115	19- 26	Update this section. Something like – "This would be an evolving process. Utilizing local, regional and larger scale monitoring, modeling and mapping evaluations, the park would identify and refine the assessment of areas and specific resources that are vulnerable to sea-level rise, extreme storms, and associated coastal erosion. Predictions and observations of other climate change effects, including weather, local climatic conditions, and phenology, would be gathered. Based on this information combined with the results of targeted monitoring, park managers...." Include prioritization.	done
96.	T. Williams		115	30	Insert "Reduce current <i>and future</i> stressors..."	done
97.	T. Williams		115	38	Add bullet: "Collect and/or document resources that would be otherwise lost to the effects of the climate change (e.g., fossils, unique geologic resources, unique biological resources)"	done
98.	T. Williams		115	40	Insert "Reduce current <i>and future</i> stressors..."	done
99.	Interp.	Action Comm on	115- 116	18- end of sect.	This seems to me to be an extension of the Adapt goal that starts on p 114	These are more specific actions that ties to any of those goals
100.	B. Aviles		116	17	Put the "Continue" bullet first. Change to read: "Continue to provide a range of experiences by transitioning recreational use away from locations where changes in resource conditions no longer support such uses. "	done
101.	B. Merkle	Visit. Exp	116	17- 18	Add unsafe to undesirable or infeasible.	done

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
102.	N. Hornor		116	12	Delete”of the resource”	Different change
103.	N. Hornor		116	18	changing	done
104.	N. Hornor		116	19	Insert “threatened” before rec. resources	done
105.	T. Williams		116	1	Add bullet: “Understand rates of change and advancing threats. Consider multiple or phased approaches for a given resource or site (e.g., stabilize in the near-term; prepare to record, collect, and/or relocate later).”	Retain the current language
106.	T. Williams		116	11	replace “the most prudent option” with “practical”	done
107.	T. Williams		115 -116		Make sure the following concept comes through – Currently, the climate change effect that has been observed and is most confidently predicted for the Bay Area is sea level rise. Reliable predictions of other effects will emerge during the life of this plan, and will be incorporated into the park’s Climate Change Action Plan. Examples include storm intensity; fog patterns; phenological disruption; weather effects on resources; visitor experience.	Much of this is implied by just having this policy here.
108.	B. Aviles		118	1	Museum: This reads like part of the foundation. Does this square with the statement on page x – colored page? This would be a good place to add the decision to locate the collection in the Presidio Cavalry Stables . See my comments on page 202.	Abby Sue approved
109.	SH	Mus. Coll.	118	13	“...these policies...” What policies. None yet mentioned.	Rewritten by ASF
110.	N. Hornor	MusCo 1	118		This seems like specific guidance to the collection – is this appropriate for the GMP?	Yes
111.	N. Hornor		118	16	Change “policies” to “goals”?	This was done in rewrite
112.	N. Hornor		118	9	NIKE – but shouldn’t it be broader (ie “military”?)	Rewritten by ASF
113.	N. Hornor		118	9	Delete “fort”	Rewritten by ASF
114.	AsF		118	3-11	Change paragraph to “The museum collection is the fourth largest in the national park system representing over 200 years of the park’s history. The park’s legacy is reflected through artifacts relating to Native American culture, the evolution of military history from Spanish Colonial times to the coastal defense and cold war periods, the advances of maritime history and westward expansion, and the park’s relationship with the surrounding San Francisco Bay Area communities. Highlighting this rich chronicle of history are significant museum collections from Alcatraz Island, the U.S. Army and Nike Missile Site, archeological remains from every episode of the park’s history, and archival photographs, oral histories, architectural drawings and documents. The park’s natural specimen collections reflect the unique geological features and fragile biodiversity of the central Californian coastal ecosystems.”	Rewritten by ASF
115.	Interp.		118	21	Add “broadens public access to the collections, and” after “program that”	done
116.	BA	Museu m	118	2	If we add decision to relocate collection to former stables, ASF suggests we call it a “state-of-the-art” facility.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
117.	DF	Chapt 3- Museu m	118	16- 18	This may be a comment to CR staff as well as to the GMP authors. There doesn’t appear to be any language that speaks to collaboration with other depositories. For instance, we are in the process of transferring many of our aquatic specimens to other depositories that can provide the public and research community better access to NPS specimens and have the capacity for	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

3: ACTIONS COMMON TO ALL

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		Colls.			proper curation.	
118.	AsF		119	18	Change “permanent” to “research”	done
119.	N. Hornor		119	1	Change “policy” to guidelines?	THIS IS A QUESTION? Different change was made in rewrite....
120.	T. Williams		119	8	change “national” to “ <i>natural</i> ”	done
121.	T. Williams		119	16	Change “monument” to “ <i>park</i> ”?	done
122.	State Parks		120	3	Delete “on a consistent basis	done
123.	B. Aviles		120	1	Native: Use of “NPS” instead of park may be appropriate here. Some formatting issues if we want all Common sections to read alike.	done
124.	SH	Native Amer.	120	20	Change monument resources to park resources. You are busted. Obviously copied from some national monument’s GMP.	done
125.	N. Hornor		120	14	field work	Retain
126.	N. Hornor		120	19	Resource (sing.)	done
127.	N. Hornor		120	20	Delete “monument	done
128.	N. Hornor		120	37	Change “institutionalize” to “guide”? (ask Scolari)	done
129.	Interp		120	26	Replace “Indian-led educ” with “Indian-led interpretive”	done
130.	Interp		120	26-27	Replace “offered through park visitor centers” with “throughout the park”	done
131.	N. Hornor	Ocean Stew.	121		General: needs an organization consistent with others in this section?	done
132.	N. Hornor		121	8	Confirm and insert “the park has exclusive federal jurisdiction over waters offshore of former military lands” ?	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
133.	N. Hornor		121	5	Confirm 40 miles of coastline (ocean?)	done
134.	N. Hornor		121	15	Insert “such as from dams” after regimes?	done
135.	N. Hornor		121	19	Insert “urban” before “runoff” and delete period after runoff.	done
136.	N. Hornor		121	29	Change “policy” to strategy?	done
137.	B. Aviles		122	1	Ocean: same format issues as with Native, pp 120-121. What happened to the list of relevant laws and policies contained in the original draft? I didn’t see them in the appendices, although this topic is addressed on A-15. Is this redundancy necessary?.	Done Policies will be there in appendix
138.	SH	Ocean Stew.	122	8	Are you being consistent with the extent of the existing offshore leases in other parklands? Just checking.	Working on that
139.	B. Merkle	Ocean Stew	122	4	Boundary is 1,000 feet in many areas, which is different than ¼ mile. May have to present the range in boundary offshore.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
140.	C. Powell		122	5	I thought our coastline was 59 miles-not 40 miles	Broaden to get away from specific miles
141.	C. Powell		122	29-34	This section also backed into the discussion instead to starting out with the point you want to make. Move this graph up to beginning of the section.	done
142.	C. Powell		122	15	How does this sentence factor into climate change? I talks about the effect of other actions on	It expands the thoughts of the

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

3: ACTIONS COMMON TO ALL

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					park resources, but doesn't mention climate change.	previous sentence.
143.	C. Powell		122	41	Staff must develop a plan and pursue funding to implement it.	Done
144.	C. Powell		122	6-8	Do you want to add language about the MPAs? We have two off the coast of Montara.	The strategies incorporate actions to those without mentioning MPAs
145.	C. Powell		122	27	See Ralph Mihan's memo	???
146.	T. Williams		122	30	replace "tiered to" to " <i>tiered from</i> " or " <i>based on</i> "	done
147.	D. Hatch		122	2	<p>Julia Brunner and Rebecca Beavers had some general comments on the Ocean Stewardship Strategy that have not yet been considered including: 1) a clear description of the park's vision/goal for ocean and coastal resources in the park – what do managers want these resources to look like in 20-30 years? 2) What is your target condition for these resources? And 3) What do you mean by 'protected' resources (couldn't find this used in the current text).</p> <p>I will try to have NR staff give this some thought and get back to you. Julia and Rebecca did not have time yet to give any more detailed feedback.</p>	These are too specific for here. You are calling for an implementation plan. Those items are what makes up that plan
148.	State Parks		122	14	Change dredge disposal to dredge spoil disposal	done
149.	N. Hornor		123	38	"Research" change to "study"	done
150.	N. Hornor		123	1	"establish" seems like a tall order for just GOGA. How about Participate? improve? Support?" Support establishment of an improved..."change "seemless" to "coordinated"	done
151.	N. Hornor		123	31	Insert "consistent management" before "lease"	Used additional state
152.	T. Williams		123 125	head er	Change from "Operational Facilities" to " <i>Ocean Stewardship</i> "	Will fix all of these in time
153.	D. Hatch		123	28- 29	Change end of sentence to read '... in response to changes from impacts associated with climate change.'	done
154.	D. Hatch		124	32	Change 'within the San Francisco littoral cell' to processes 'that affect parks waters and shorelines'. Otherwise define the SF littoral cell.	done
155.	N. Hornor		124	6	Change 17 million to high visitation	done
156.	LRB	Ocean Stewardship	124	Add on	Objective 2.10. Park staff will work with the State Lands Commission, NOAA, and other agencies to identify and formally assess the condition and value of submerged shipwrecks and other submerged archaeological resources, and strategize for their protection, treatment, and interpretation.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
157.	LRB	Ocean Stewardship	124	26	"...protect natural and cultural ocean resources."	done
158.	Interp		124	6	Confirm vis stats	Done, broaden
159.	N. Hornor		124	20	Confirm Bay Water Trail is correct name	Done
160.	T. Williams		124	1	Modify: "Staff will work with local " <i>regional and state</i> " agencies..."	done
161.	C. Powell		125		Gulf of the Farallones National Sanctuary Visitor Center—I checked with Brian Johnson and he let me know GSA is the leasing agent. They are looking at a building in Pillar Point Harbor. They believe it will be a 12 month process. At this point they have no funding to lease the building even when GSA executes the lease. Peter Grinnell, from San Mateo Harbor is giving a	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					bid to GSA at a reduced rate if the Sanctuary does building improvements. There is a discretionary pot of funds in NOAA at Headquarters—they will make a decision on whether to use these funds for the visitor center. If NPS wants to jointly be in the visitor center, we will need to begin those discussions with Carol Preston, 561-6622 x 201, who is chairing this project.	
162.	B. Aviles		126	9	Ops. Tense/intent. “The following section outlines the comprehensive disposition...” SEE EDITS FROM CAREY FEIERABEND.	Done
163.	B. Aviles		126	25	Substitute “ established in the park ” for “developed”.	done
164.	B. Aviles		126	30	Add: “...operations presently located in...”	done
165.	B. Aviles		126	32	Sub “ established ” for created.	done
166.	B. Aviles		126	36	Add: “...Crissy Field and Building 1227 at Fort Scott. The buildings... ”	Used Carey Feierabend changes
167.	B. Aviles		126	37	Suggest adding a distinct BOX around notes for internal reader. Insert on here with this text: “ These actions are pending an agreement with the Presidio Trust (letter of agreement, MOU or other format). ”	Used Carey Feierabend changes
168.	N. Hornor	Oper Facil	126		Carey F. consolidating hers, mine and Brian Aviles’	Used Carey Feierabend changes
169.	S. Fritzsche	Oper Facil	126	15-22	What about Stewardship centers? Interp./visitor centers? Nr/CR ops? These are all left out of this section.	Used Carey Feierabend changes
170.	S. Fritzsche	Maint. Hubs	126	31	What would happen to the buildings and Fort Bakre and Fort Cronkhite with the maintenance ops hub?	Used Carey Feierabend changes
171.	S. Fritzsche	Maint. Hubs	126	34	Add “and Baker Beach” to end of sentence- to encompass the full scope of the Presidio Area A strip.	Used Carey Feierabend changes
172.	S. Fritzsche	Oper. Facil	126-127	-	General comment – this section is silent on the needs for native plant nursery space (such as in upper Tennessee Valley near the Miwok stables), in southern San Mateo with incorporation of new land areas. Also silent and NR/CR offices and stewardship facilities, which are critical for many areas of the aprk and are currently inadequate. Not sure why these are not considered “operational” as well, since they are perment needs and certainly fit within the concepts of “parks to the people” and “Connecting People with the Parks”.	This section has only a narrow focus on maintenance, LE and park collection See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
173.	AsF		126-127		I’m not sure what section the operational facilities for Museum Collections are addressed in. They are introduced on page 32. Not sure if museum collections should be mentioned here as well? We are also scattered throughout the park at sites and facilities that were not intended for operational uses.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
174.	B. Aviles		127	3-4	Change to “ would ” from “could”	Done
175.	B. Aviles		127	15	Another text BOX for “ This action is pending an agreement with California State Parks. ”	Done
176.	B. Aviles		127	30	Another bullet: “ Building 1648 at Langdon Court – Existing facility would be rehabilitated for use as a trails maintenance and stewardship center. ”	Done
177.	B. Merkle	Pub Saf	127	10	Indicate where these are for public. Where is Coastside?	????
178.	B. Merkle	Pub Saf	127	14	I don’t think the facility is proposed for in Kent Canyon, but rather adjacent to Kent Canyon in the developed footprint by the Rd.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
179.	T. Williams		127	1-29	This seems very specific for a 20-30 year plan. Suggest presenting it as a vision, or making more general. Delete reference to fire station remaining at Fort Cronkhite; move discussion of maintenance operation to previous section or alternatives description. Line 28 – where is “Coastside”?	Retain this format.
180.	D. Hatch		127	17	Change the volunteer horse patrol could be relocated to would be relocated. It may or may not end up in Tennessee Valley though.	Done
181.	State Parks		127	12-15	The possible move of the MUWO maintenance facility to Kent Canyon appears to be a Satellite Office for Public Safety. Can it be formatted differently to show that it is a Satellite Office under Maintenance Hubs?	Brian and Carey addressed this
182.	State Parks		127	34	Change “pending” to “contingent upon”	done
183.	SH	Oper. Facil.	127	30	Add PRES-1680 Trails Maintenance Facility Also say Coastside <i>San Mateo</i> on line 28	Used Carey Feierabend changes
184.	Interp		127	4	Delete “vacated” and sub in “given over to non-law enforcement purposes.”	Used Carey Feierabend changes
185.	Interp		128-129		Isn’t this a guiding principle; seems out of place here. Good stuff, but doesn’t seem to fit. Needs discussion.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
186.	B. Aviles		128	3	Suggest striking first 2 sentences. Start with “Golden Gate...”	
187.	B. Aviles		128	8	Part. Change: “...with the park. By doing so the park has gained greater appreciation and support. These opportunities...” I think the text has to better communicate the reason why partnerships are so important here at GGNRA. Maybe this goes after line 11: “For many people in the Bay Area, the park enriches their lives every day. It may come as an evening run along the coast, a chance to walk the dog on a grassy lawn, or as the beautiful backdrop to a hectic commute. These daily encounters, combined with the richness and diversity of the park’s resources seem to have fostered great public interest in the welfare of the park. This public-spirited attitude, coupled with an entrepreneurial legacy of the Bay Area, has led many people to seek partnerships with the park. The park has welcomed these partnerships as a way to accomplish the mission of the park. Park managers know that they can’t accomplish the grand purpose of the park without such partnerships.” BLATHER...	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
188.	N. Hornor	Partnerships	128	2	This section seems a bit specific for the GMP, though partnership guidance is what we asked for... Should reference the Guiding Principle on p 11 Insert “Since the GGNRA was established, partnerships with other organizations have been central to preserving park resources and offering programs that further the mission of the park.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
189.	N. Hornor		128	12	Delete “the entire org. at”	Waiting on AR changes
190.	N. Hornor		128	13-14	Change “every” to “many aspects”	Waiting on AR changes
191.	N. Hornor		128	24-	Change from “first define...second” add “consistency with park goals”.	Waiting on AR changes

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
				31		
192.	T. Williams		128	2	change “risk-adverse” to “ <i>risk-averse</i> ”	Waiting on AR changes
193.	T. Williams		128	6	change “enacting” to “ <i>realizing</i> ”; and “its” to “ <i>their</i> ”	Waiting on AR changes
194.	D. Hatch		129	24	This section on Commit to Actively Managing Partnerships sounds like it refers to facility-based partnerships. That should be reflected here.	Waiting on AR changes
195.	B. Aviles		130	3	RW Creek: This is also listed in the appendix. Page A-17. Is this redundant? Change 1 st sentence: “The vision... watershed was crafted in an extensive public planning process in 2003. The large group of land managers, citizens, and other stakeholders agree that the Redwood Creek watershed will be an intact natural ecosystem that offers opportunities... ”	Done
196.	N. Hornor	Rdwd CrkVis	130	2	Insert an intro that places this in context and references the process (the Vision was developed thorough a public process, in collaboration etc. in 20__.)	Done
197.	T. Williams		130	3	change the word “as” to an open quotation mark	Done
198.	T. Williams		130	5-8	refer to the Redwood Creek Watershed Vision Council?	No
199.	S. Fritzke	Rdwd CrkVis	130	3	Delete “is that” in the first sentence, to read “...watershed as an intact natural ecosystem offers opportunities...” Also, this sentence has an ending quotation mark but not a beginning quotation mark.	Done
200.	DF	Chapt 3	130+		Since Redwood Creek Vision was specifically called out, I was wondering if other watershed or broader planning efforts that GOGA are involved with might be described as well (Bollinas Lagoon Ecosystem Restoration, Upland Goals Project). The other option would be to include in Appendix B-Management Plans. The Upland Goals Project also targeted high priority lands for acquisition and protection—I think this data layer was sent to Craig S/Brian A.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
201.	Interp.		130-132		Is this section needed? Seems out of place.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
202.	B. Aviles		133	1	Sharp Park. Insert BOX: “ Update as City of SF study comes to completion. This is anticipated in late 2009. ”	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
203.	C. Powell		133		Sharp Park --- this section needs to be rewritten when we have an update on the City of SF’s report and we know NPS’s response.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
204.	T. Williams		133	7	Insert: “Park Service <i>and partners</i> ” or name the Conservancy and others	Deleted Sharp Park
205.	T. Williams		133		Update the status of action on the SF Park Code Sec. 3.20 Sharp Park (July 31 and August 31, 2009 have passed).	Deleted Sharp Park
206.	S. Fritzke	Sharp Park	133	6	There is no such thing as “Golden Gate National Parks”. Need to change to GGNRA.	Deleted Sharp Park
207.	BA	Sharp	133	1	Leave a few moments for an update on Sharp Park. The city study will be released around November 2. Park will have to edit common statement following the workshop.	Deleted Sharp Park
208.	DF	Chapt 3-Sharp Park	133-134		When this position statement was originally written, it was focused on the 2 listed species currently present. Subsequent discussions have included potential of the site for other listed species (e.g., tidewater gobies are listed in the USFWS recovery plan for introduction at Sharp Park) as well as the importance and value of providing opportunities for coastal habitat resiliency. Perhaps a question for Nancy H—should the existing section on Sharp Park read verbatim as the original position statement or a more broader statement of site restoration	Deleted Sharp Park

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
					potential?	
209.	SH	Sharp Park	134	11	Evaluations not to be done by NPS	Deleted Sharp Park
210.	LRB	Sharp Park	134	11	“Potentially significant Archeological and historic property surveys resources should also be evaluated conducted to determine if significant cultural resources should be incorporated into land management goals.”	Deleted Sharp Park
211.	B. Aviles		135	1	Transport: No substantive comments on this section, however, the tense needs to be updated. Should read as things the park WILL do or is continuing to do. Look for consistency in reference to the park/NPS. Line 9 may need an explanation of “alternative modes” (not only motor vehicles).	done
212.	C. Powell		135	13	Add on “...in partnership with other organizations.”	done
213.	C. Powell		135	33-36	I think this sentence needs to be rewritten.	done
214.	N. Hornor	Trans	135	4	Change add “and management” after “planning”	done
215.	N. Hornor		135	5	Del “Additionally”	done
216.	N. Hornor		135	8	Change “planning” to “management”	done
217.	N. Hornor		135	9	Insert “transit” before “bicycle”	done
218.	N. Hornor		135	24	Insert “through coordinated planning, programming, management and maintenance”	done
219.	N. Hornor		135		Add: Sustaining and Expanding the Muir Woods Shuttle. The park would continue to collaborate with Marin County to sustain and improve service on the successful Muir Woods shuttle .	done
220.	N. Hornor		135		Need to insert a section referencing the MH-FB Transportation Plan transit? The Historic Streetcar Extension – need to talk about this section and its relationship to other plans section (A-10 and 12)	done
221.	N. Hornor		135		Add language about other transit”: ...continue to promote improved transit by other operators	Done Mobility, access, connectivity
222.	N. Hornor		135	32	Delete “wide”	done
223.	T. Williams		135	5	Insert at the end of the sentence “ <i>and reducing the park’s carbon footprint.</i> ”	done
224.	T. Williams		135	10	Modify: “... and they <i>are even more relevant</i> today, <i>in the face of climate change.</i> ”	done
225.	T. Williams		135	14	Insert “network of equitable <i>energy efficient, low-emissions</i> multi-modal transportation options”	done
226.	T. Williams		135	18	Insert new first bullet: “ <i>Reduce greenhouse gas emissions</i> ”	done
227.	D. Hatch		135	5	Add to end of 1 st sentence: ‘... and to achieving the goal of becoming carbon neutral in 2016.’	Used first recommended language in line223. Left this for the climate change section.
228.	State Parks		135	30	Add “...Presidio including possible links to Alcatraz, Angel Island, Sausalito, Tiburon, Larkspur and the East Bay.”	done
229.	C. Powell		136	3	New ferry departure points....not just point.	done
230.	N. Hornor		136	10	Insert “encouraging use of alternative modes of access where available” at end of sent.	done
231.	N. Hornor		136	18	Delete “tolls”? not sure where this came from or what was envisioned.	done
232.	B. Aviles		137	1	Trails. I find this section reads pretty well. Change a few “woulds” to WILL . What about the phrase “Under all alternatives...” Redundant?	done

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

3: ACTIONS COMMON TO ALL

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
233.	N. Hornor	Trails	137	4	Delete “that meet the park goals”	done
234.	N. Hornor		137	7-9	Delete sentence	done
235.	N. Hornor		137	14-15	Delete “. They provide...body” and add last phrase to previous sent.	done
236.	N. Hornor		137	20	Insert “to improve conditions, provide more sustainable alignments and to fill gaps in the system”	done
237.	T. Williams		137	9	change “adventure” to “ <i>adventurer</i> ”	revised
238.	B. Aviles		138	35	Are there other nationally designated trails that we should mention? Anza, Discovery, Water?	???
239.	C. Powell		138	36-37	Safe and accessible trailheads	No, some trails could be purposely managed for challenge
240.	T. Williams		138	1-3	should the De Anza Trail be included here?	???
241.	T. Williams		138	25	include connections to transit in Marin	Not all have that opportunity.
242.	T. Williams		138	8-10	Include eliminating trails that pose threats to park resources, or are not essential. Maybe add a separate goal?	This is already included in the alternatives such as closing and restoring fire roads etc
243.	T. Williams		138	36	Include connections to transit in San Mateo Co	???
244.	S. Fritzke	Trail System Goals	138	8-12	These may be goals, but numbers 4 and 5 are not currently being met, due to lack of inter-divisional coordination prior to trail planning and implementation projects. Need to describe how this will be done (through integration of interdisciplinary team early in the planning process, and throughout the implmentaiton process).	That would be done in a trail plan for SM
245.	BA		139	50	Do we need a section to describe the 5 lighthouses coming to the park from USCG? Point Bonita, Alcatraz, and Montara are addressed in the alternatives – although we don’t reference their coming to the park and the hazmat issues they bring. Line Point (close to Ft Baker) and Diablo Point (above Kirby Cove) are not addressed at all.	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
246.	C. Powell		139	2	Add Cattle Hill to the list...	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
247.	B. Aviles		140-141	1	This section provides a lot of detail even before the alternatives are described. It may be better located in the “ Consultation ” section where it could help describe our compliance with NEPA and NHPA to implement the plan.	Moved to mitigation in Alternatives section Done.
248.	B. Aviles		140	4	Please don’t say “two parks.”	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
249.	B. Aviles		140	13	Strike. Topo survey at MUWO should be done by 11/09.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
250.	B. Aviles		140	21	DOE’s – CR can describe?	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
251.	B. Aviles		140	32	Could this include a FIRE MANAGEMENT PLAN?	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
252.	B. Merkle	Imp. plans	140	5	Don’t think that all these studies are necessary before specific actions can be implemented— please clarify.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
253.	C. Powell		140		Implementation Plans—don’t we need a section on outreach to the public??	Done. Revision (as per

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
						GOGA) added and relocated.
254.	T. Williams		140	15	Move this bullet to top of list	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
255.	T. Williams		140	18		Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
256.	T. Williams		140	25	unless this is directly from a referenced document, suggest revising to read something like “water resources availability study that evaluates the potential park water uses (including habitat needs) in relation to available water supply and conservation measures that could meet those needs”	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
257.	T. Williams		140	28-29	Move this bullet to top of list	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
258.	T. Williams		140	33	We just received our GIS-based geologic map product from the NPS Geologic Resources Division! Haven’t looked at it yet, but we can probably delete this bullet.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
259.	D. Hatch		140	11	Check with Mike Savidge as to whether there are additional items from the Redwood Creek Watershed Assessment that should be incorporated.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
260.	D. Hatch		140	24	I guess it’s ok to call all of the natural resource implementation plans although our division is not the lead on several of them. It would be good to get feedback from NR staff on other needs. Park geologic map is done. Add Ocean Stewardship Action Plan for the park.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
261.	S. Fritzke	Nat’l Res.	140	27 & 32	Not sure there is a need for both a veg mgmt plan and an exotic plant mgmt. plan. Might lump the two into just the “veg plan, including exotics”	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
262.	SH	Impl. Plans	140	7	Mention NPS Management Policies	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
263.	SH		140	21	Archeological Survey for all of Redwood Creek Watershed HSR for Historic Architecture	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
264.	LRB	Implementation Plans	140	21	Add: “Conduct an archaeological survey of Muir Woods to inform resource stewardship and management.”	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
265.	SH		141	13	More specifics needed here. Call me. Don’t have enough time for a specific list now, but certainly need: CLRs for all park landscapes Archeological Surveys for all park lands identified in the Sensitivity Model Historic Structure Reports for all structures expected to be treated NHL and NRHP up to date HRS all up to date Fortification preservation and management plan Lighthouses preservation and management plan	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
266.	SH	Impl. Plans	141	30	Historic Resource Study updated Archeological survey completed	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
267.	D. Hatch		141	17	The geotechnical evaluation should also be a vulnerability assessment of the potential impact of sea level rise on coastal erosion and how it may affect historic structures. Ocean Stewardship Action Plan will also have elements specific to Alcatraz.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
268.	B. Aviles		141	13	DOE's – CR can describe?	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
269.	B. Aviles		141	26	Strike. CLR is in progress. Part 1 is done. Part 2 should be done in FY10.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
270.	B. Aviles		141	29	HRS could be expanded to evaluate the American Indian Occupation.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
271.	B. Merkle	Alcatraz Island	141	17-18	Change to: Evaluation and risk assessment of the condition and stability of geologic resources as it relates to island resources (Tamara may have better language)	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
272.	B. Merkle, LR	Alcatraz Island	141	19-20	Ecological study of the Alcatraz western gulls in relation to the broader regional population to inform gull management	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
273.	B. Merkle, LR	Alcatraz Island	141	21	Population viability analysis of Alcatraz waterbirds to determine sustainable population targets. Note that this will be challenging, esp. across multiple species, and limited based on assumptions made in analysis.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
274.	T. Williams		141	5	add to end of bullet: <i>“and management plan”</i>	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
275.	AsF		141	12 and 25	Cultural Resources is listed twice—but line 12 is blank. This section needs to be more specific—CLRs for what (list separately), Archeological Overview & Assessments--(otr CR staff will list specific plans in their comments).	See steering committee guidance spreadsheet
276.	AKB	3	141	15	Add something about the energy efficiency and sustainability that are also our goals on Alcatraz? Solar panels, grid tie, water filtration, water catchment, etc?	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
277.		Implementation Plans	141	General comment	Comment: Implementation Plans are almost completely unidentified for cultural resources. I have added a few critical needs, but greater visioning is needed to identify the kinds of actions needed ahead. It appears that little thought has been given to cultural resources strategically. Most of the plans and vision statements compiled in this draft that contain cultural resource actions are derived from natural resource program goals. Where is the cultural resources strategic visioning?	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
278.	LRB	Implementation Plans	141	13	Add: Routinely conduct archaeological surveys on new park lands and existing unsurveyed lands to identify significant properties, and inform implementation of the General Management Plan through their inclusion in implementation plans	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
279.	LRB	Implementation Plans	141	13	Add: Prepare a comprehensive strategic management plan for the enhancement of cultural resources at Golden Gate National Recreation Area	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
280.	LRB	Implementation Plans	141	13	Add: Operationally manage a comprehensive cultural resources inventory and report archive through a GIS and knowledge base accessible to all land managers and appropriate partners and stewards.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.
281.	LRB	Implementation.	141	Add on to	Add: Complete an intensive archaeological survey of Alcatraz to inform Cultural Landscape treatments.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.

GOGA – TEAM DRAFT GMP

3: ACTIONS COMMON TO ALL

NO.	Reviewer	Sect.	Page	Line	Review Comments	Response/Notes
		Plans		Alcatraz: Cultural Resources		
282.	LRB	Implementation Plans	141	Add on to Alcatraz: Cultural Resources	Add: Conduct ground penetrating radar, remote sensing, and archaeological ground truthing on the Alcatraz Parade Ground and Recreation Yard to identify and assess buried historic fortifications to inform planning for those areas.	Done. Revision (as per GOGA) added and relocated.