

**Cultural Resource Impacts
Golden Gate National Recreation Area GMP/EIS
Marin-San Francisco-San Mateo Counties**

IMPACT TOPIC	Current Conditions and Management	Alternative 1: Connecting People with the Parks – NPS Preferred	Alternative 2: Preserving and Enjoying Coastal Ecosystems	Alternative 3: Focusing on National Treasures
Archeological Resources	<p>Extensive archeological studies have been conducted to identify archeological resources in the park, including sites from the Spanish and Mexican periods. Known archeological resources are protected and preserved subject to available funding, thus resulting in potential deterioration, lack of adequate protection in some cases, and possible loss of integrity as a result of natural processes such as erosion, ongoing agricultural/ranching operations, inadvertent visitor activity, and vandalism.</p>	<p>Archeological resources would be protected from unauthorized removal or other destructive activities. Modification or relocation of existing trails, construction/development and/or improvement of trails, roadways, pull-offs, picnic and camping areas, overlooks, buildings, parking areas, visitor amenities, and interpretive facilities, and extension of San Francisco's historic streetcar system through the Fort Mason tunnel could affect the integrity of some archeological resources, but every effort would be undertaken to avoid known or discovered archeological sites. If such sites could not be avoided, mitigation procedures would be undertaken in consultation with the California State Historic Preservation Office.</p> <p>Remove streetcar Need to link archeology sites to the cultural landscapes Indigenous sites were some of first sites listed on national register in the park – consideration of prehistory of park is almost silent in the document</p> <p>Almost 50 percent of sites could be lost from sea level rise</p> <p>Arch resources help connect people with the park and its values including indigenous and prehistory</p> <p>Would want to see a point in the GMP to relate to indigenous people/arch - a possible immersion zone somewhere to connect people with arch resources</p> <p>Three sites where arch resources are clustered –</p> <p>Note that mitigation is taking place for historic sites but not so much prehistoric sites; park lands provide the last vestige for these remnants so it is even more imperative - these resources should not be impacted in any alternative but the historic arch resources could be impacted but would require consultation and mitigation with native peoples/SHPO; we want to preserve indigenous sites intact</p>	<p>Archeological resources would be protected from unauthorized removal or other destructive activities and would be afforded enhanced preservation treatment. Some archeological resources would be used to emphasize human occupation of the coastal environment. Coastal ecosystem restoration and rehabilitation of pastoral and rural landscapes could impact some archeological resources, but every effort would be undertaken to avoid known or discovered archeological sites. If such sites could not be avoided, mitigation procedures would be undertaken in consultation with the California State Historic Preservation Office.</p>	<p>Archeological resources would be protected from unauthorized removal or other destructive activities and would be afforded enhanced preservation treatment. Generally, archeological resources would be (1) stabilized, rehabilitated, or restored for interpretation or as part of cultural landscape enhancement, or (2) incorporated into historic immersion opportunities and stabilized and protected to allow their public exposure without threat of damage, removal, or vandalism. Modification or development of facilities and rehabilitation/restoration of resources, such as extension of San Francisco's historic streetcar system through Fort Mason, to immerse visitors in the compelling history and stories of the park's cultural sites, could affect the integrity of some archeological resources, but every effort would be undertaken to avoid known or discovered archeological sites. If such sites could not be avoided, mitigation procedures would be undertaken in consultation with the California State Historic Preservation Office.</p>

IMPACT TOPIC	Current Conditions and Management	Alternative 1: Connecting People with the Parks – NPS Preferred	Alternative 2: Preserving and Enjoying Coastal Ecosystems	Alternative 3: Focusing on National Treasures
		<p>Summary Conclusion – When compared with Current Conditions and Management, this alternative would provide for some additional protection and preservation of archeological resources.</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No Adverse Effect</p>	<p>Summary Conclusion – When compared with Current Conditions and Management and Alternative 1, this alternative would provide for enhanced protection, preservation, and interpretation of archeological resources.</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No Adverse Effect</p>	<p>Summary Conclusion – When compared with Current Conditions and Management and Alternatives 1 and 2, this alternative would provide for greater comprehensive protection, preservation, and interpretation of archeological resources.</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No adverse Effect</p>
<p>Historic Structures</p> <p>Remove everything on Banducci; confirm status of Hill 640; confirm eligibility determinations of other properties.</p>	<p>Extensive studies have been conducted and are underway to identify and evaluate historic structures for listing on the National Register of Historic Places and designation as National Historic Landmarks. GOGA's cultural resources include more than 700 historic structures, and the park contains the nation's largest collection of military installations and fortifications dating from 1776 through the Cold War.</p> <p>Historic structures are protected and preserved subject to available funding, thus resulting in varying degrees of preservation and possible loss of historic fabric and integrity. Many historic structures are adaptively used by the National Park Service and park partners for a variety of public and private purposes including administration/operations, staff housing, offices, commercial ventures, historic residence leasing programs, recreational and educational/interpretive programs; and ongoing agricultural/ranching activities.</p>	<p>Under this alternative Hill 640 Military Reservation coastal defense fortifications overlooking the Pacific Ocean above Highway 1 and the southern end of Stinson Beach would be stabilized.</p> <p>Historic buildings at the Banducci Flower Farm, Rapozo Ranch (Miwok Stables), and Golden Gate Dairy in the Tennessee Valley would be preserved/rehabilitated and adaptively used.</p> <p>Historic structures at Forts Barry and Cronkhite in the Marin Headlands would be preserved/rehabilitated and continue to be adaptively used by park and park partners for recreational, educational, and stewardship activities and provide visitors with an expanded menu of opportunities that are strongly linked to the park purpose. The nearby Nike Missile Site would be rehabilitated or restored to its period of significance for interpretive purposes.</p> <p>Based on their condition, National Register significance, and suitability for recreational, visitor use/educational, or operational purposes, historic coastal fortifications in the Conzelman, Bunker, and McCullough road areas would be rehabilitated, stabilized, allowed to deteriorate naturally, or be removed if they become unsafe.</p> <p>Historic coastal defense fortifications in the Kirby Cove area would be preserved/rehabilitated and interpreted.</p> <p>The Point Bonita Lighthouse would be preserved/rehabilitated for interpretation of the site's maritime and military history.</p> <p>Historic structures in Upper Fort Mason (Fort Mason Historic District) would be preserved/rehabilitated and adaptively used to serve as a portal to the park and provide for uses such as hostel and other overnight accommodations, park headquarters, and park/partner offices and programs</p> <p>Historic structures in the Fort Miley Military Reservation would be preserved/rehabilitated, and the Marine Exchange Lookout Station (Octagon House) would be rehabilitated and</p>	<p>Under this alternative Hill 640 Military Reservation coastal defense fortifications overlooking the Pacific Ocean above Highway 1 and the southern end of Stinson Beach would be stabilized.</p> <p>All facilities and structures associated with the Banducci Flower Farm would be removed unless needed to support stewardship, restoration activities, and trail use.</p> <p>Historic structures associated with the Golden Gate Dairy would be preserved, rehabilitated, and adaptively used.</p> <p>Historic structures associated with the Repoza Ranch (Miwok Stables) would be preserved, rehabilitated, and adaptively used.</p> <p>Historic structures associated with Forts Barry and Cronkhite would be rehabilitated and adaptively used. The nearby Nike Missile Site would be rehabilitated or restored to its period of significance for interpretive purposes.</p> <p>Based on their condition, National Register significance, and suitability for recreational, visitor use/educational, or operational purposes, historic coastal fortifications in the Conzelman, Bunker, and McCullough road areas would be rehabilitated, stabilized, allowed to deteriorate naturally, or be removed if they become unsafe.</p> <p>Historic coastal defense fortifications in the Kirby Cove area would be preserved, rehabilitated, and interpreted.</p> <p>The Point Bonita Lighthouse would be preserved/rehabilitated for interpretation of the site's maritime and military history.</p> <p>Historic structures in Upper Fort Mason (Fort Mason Historic District) would be preserved/rehabilitated and adaptively used to serve as a portal to the park and provide for uses such as hostel and other overnight accommodations, park headquarters, and park/partner offices and programs.</p> <p>Historic structures in Fort Miley Military Reservation would be preserved/rehabilitated and adaptively used. The Marine Exchange Lookout Station (Octagon House) would be rehabilitated and</p>	<p>Under this alternative Hill 640 Military Reservation coastal defense fortifications overlooking the Pacific Ocean above Highway 1 and the southern end of Stinson Beach would be rehabilitated and interpreted.</p> <p>All facilities and structures associated with the Banducci Flower Farm would be removed unless needed to support stewardship, restoration activities, and trail use.</p> <p>Historic structures associated with the Golden Gate Dairy would be preserved, rehabilitated, and adaptively used.</p> <p>Depending on their condition, National Register significance, and suitability for recreational, visitor use/educational, or operation purposes, historic structures associated with the Repoza Ranch (Miwok Stables) would be rehabilitated, stabilized, allowed to deteriorate naturally, or be removed if they became unsafe.</p> <p>Historic structures associated with Forts Barry and Cronkhite and the nearby Nike Missile Site would be rehabilitated, interpreted, and adaptively used for various purposes.</p> <p>Historic coastal fortifications in the Conzelman, Bunker, and McCullough road areas, as well as the Kirby Cove area, would be rehabilitated and interpreted.</p> <p>The Point Bonita Lighthouse would be preserved/rehabilitated for interpretation of the site's maritime and military history.</p> <p>Historic structures in Upper Fort Mason (Fort Mason Historic District) would be preserved/rehabilitated for interpretation of the fort's military and civilian history and adaptive use for visitor services and expanded overnight accommodations. Compared with Alternatives 1 and 2, more structures would be dedicated to serve visitors, thus providing a wider range of opportunities. Building 201 would be rehabilitated and adaptively used as GGNRA headquarters and a museum to showcase the military history of Fort Mason and the 20th century Port of Embarkation, a National Historic Landmark that serves as the centerpiece of the historic district.</p> <p>Historic structures, including West Fort Miley, the, USS San Francisco Memorial, and Marine Exchange Lookout Station [Octagon House],</p>

IMPACT TOPIC	Current Conditions and Management	Alternative 1: Connecting People with the Parks – NPS Preferred	Alternative 2: Preserving and Enjoying Coastal Ecosystems	Alternative 3: Focusing on National Treasures
		<p>used for interpretation.</p> <p>Battery Davis at Fort Funston would be rehabilitated and interpreted. Other extant buildings at Fort Funston would be retained and could be expanded to meet park operational needs.</p> <p>Historic coastal defense fortifications on Milagra Ridge and Sweeney Ridge would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>Historic agricultural-related buildings in Rancho Corral de Tierra would be stabilized.</p> <p>The Montara Lighthouse and associated historic structures would be preserved/rehabilitated and adaptively used for interpretation.</p>	<p>adaptively used to engage the public in the natural and human history of the marine environment.</p> <p>Battery Davis at Fort Funston would be stabilized. Other extant buildings at Fort Funston would be retained and used to support park operations, stewardship, and education.</p> <p>Historic coastal defense fortifications on Milagra Ridge and Sweeney Ridge would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>Historic agricultural-related buildings at Rancho Corral de Tierra would be stabilized.</p> <p>The Montara Lighthouse and associated historic structures would be preserved/rehabilitated and adaptively used for stewardship and environmental education.</p>	<p>would be preserved/rehabilitated) to showcase the area's military and maritime history. Historic structures at East Fort Miley would be preserved and used for park maintenance and public safety operations.</p> <p>Battery Davis at Fort Funston would be preserved within the context of restoring the area's natural setting. Non-historic structures at the fort would be removed.</p> <p>Historic coastal defense fortifications on Milagra Ridge and Sweeney Ridge would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>Historic agricultural-related buildings at Rancho Corral de Tierra would be stabilized.</p> <p>The Montara Lighthouse and associated historic structures would be rehabilitated/restored and adaptively used for interpretation and visitor accommodations.</p>
Cultural Landscapes	<p>Numerous cultural landscapes have been identified in GOGA, and past, as well as ongoing studies, have inventoried and evaluated some of these for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. Cultural landscapes in the park range from those associated with military installations and fortifications to others that are related to lighthouse/maritime themes and the area's rural, agricultural, and ranching history.</p> <p>Cultural landscape preservation treatment is ongoing and subject to available funding, thus resulting in minimal preservation, deterioration, and loss of scenic values and significant cultural features.</p> <p><i>Current conditions should also state that not all cultural landscapes have been inventoried. Numerous cultural landscapes have been identified but some have not been evaluated</i></p> <p><i>Need a statement about preserving the values in the immediate area of Phleger – need to think about the level of significance it may rise to, which is why it may not be highlighted right now. Need language about Phleger and as our knowledge evolves that resources would be protected. Might think</i></p>	<p><i>Need to lead with the broader discussion of the broader historic districts. Things that are on the LCS would be addressed under buildings and structures – historic features here are earthworks, foxholes, terracing of landscape, viewsheds, etc. Not managing to perpetuate scenic values but their historic values – one of which is scenery</i></p> <p><i>Add a statement about lighthouses and aides to navigation – one is a complete lighthouse facility that has much more potential for adaptive reuse than other lighthouses. Might want to list what other lighthouse sights are then generalize the aids to navigation (Point Bonita, Monterra)</i></p> <p><i>Need same statement for Ft. Funston across all three alternatives</i></p> <p>Under this alternative significant cultural landscape features associated with Hill 640 Military Reservation that can be preserved without compromising natural resource values would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at Banducci Flower Farm, Golden Gate Dairy, Rapozo Ranch (Miwok Stables), and Miwok Trail in the Tennessee Valley would be preserved.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at Forts Barry and Cronkhite and the nearby Nike Missile Site in the Marin Headlands would be preserved/rehabilitated and continue to be adaptively used by park and park partners for recreational, educational, and stewardship activities and to provide visitors</p>	<p>Under this alternative significant cultural landscape features associated with the Hill 640 Military Reservation that can be preserved without compromising natural resource values would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>All cultural landscape features, including historic buildings, would be removed from the Banducci Flower Farm unless needed to support stewardship, natural restoration activities, or trail use.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with the pastoral landscape at Golden Gate Dairy would be preserved/rehabilitated. Nearby non-historic residences could be removed if they do not contribute to essential community services or park operations.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at the Rapozo Ranch (Miwok Stables) would be preserved/rehabilitated. Non-historic structures and facilities would be removed.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at Forts Barry and Cronkhite and those associated with the nearby Nike Missile Site would be preserved/ rehabilitated.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with historic coastal defense fortifications in the Conzelman, Bunker, and McCullough road areas would be managed to perpetuate their scenic values, and elements could be adapted to accommodate visitor use/education or park and partner administration.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with coastal defense fortifications in the Kirby Cove area, as well as those</p>	<p>Under this alternative significant cultural landscape features associated with the Hill 640 Military Reservation would be rehabilitated</p> <p>All cultural landscape features, including historic buildings, would be removed from the Banducci Flower Farm unless needed to support stewardship, natural restoration activities, or trail use.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with the pastoral landscape at Golden Gate Dairy would be preserved/rehabilitated.</p> <p>Cultural landscape features at Repozo Ranch (Miwok Stables) would be managed to perpetuate their scenic values. Elements could be adapted to accommodate visitor use/education.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with Forts Barry and Cronkhite and the nearby Nike Missile Site would be rehabilitated and interpreted.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with historic coastal defense fortifications in the Conzelman, Bunker, and McCullough road areas, as well as the Kirby Cove area, would be rehabilitated and interpreted.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with the Point Bonita Lighthouse and Lifesaving Station would be preserved/rehabilitated.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with Upper Fort Mason (Fort Mason Historic District) would be preserved and rehabilitated, and some could be adapted to accommodate visitor use/education or park and partner administration.</p>

IMPACT TOPIC	Current Conditions and Management	Alternative 1: Connecting People with the Parks – NPS Preferred	Alternative 2: Preserving and Enjoying Coastal Ecosystems	Alternative 3: Focusing on National Treasures
	<p>about this discussion as similar to the one for Druid Heights. It can likely still merge or be managed in the natural zone.</p> <p>Craig: (channeling Jon Jarvis) we are not going to have active agriculture in GGNRA when PORE is trying to minimize the impacts of agriculture and resource harvesting; if we have neighbors in the area doing active agriculture, we should partner with them to extend interplanted on their sites through partnership as opposed to creating conflicting uses on the GGNRA land. If it's not a site where the significance is not national sig, we don't want to pin ourselves down.</p> <p>Need language about GGNRA interest in preserving cultural landscapes that have integrity – as understanding of cultural resources in San Mateo county evolves, emerges, we would be interested in preserving those resources (not resources that do not have historic integrity)</p>	<p>with an expanded menu of opportunities that are strongly linked to the park purpose.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with historic coastal defense fortifications in the Conzelman, Bunker, and McCullough road areas would be managed to perpetuate their scenic values, and elements might be adapted to accommodate visitor use/education or park and partner administration.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with coastal defense fortifications in the Kirby Cove area and those associated with the Point Bonita Lighthouse and Lifesaving Station would be preserved/rehabilitated.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at Upper Fort Mason (Fort Mason Historic District) would be preserved/rehabilitated/restored.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at Fort Miley would be preserved/rehabilitated.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at Fort Funston would be stabilized and elements could be adapted to accommodate visitor use/education.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with historic coastal defense fortifications on Milagra Ridge that can be preserved without compromising natural resource values would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>The San Francisco Bay Discovery Site NHL on Sweeney Ridge would be preserved, enhanced, and interpreted. Other cultural landscape features associated with historic coastal fortifications on Sweeney Ridge that can be preserved without compromising natural resource values would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at Rancho Corral de Tierra that can be preserved without compromising natural resource values would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with the Montara Lighthouse would be preserved/rehabilitated.</p>	<p>associated with the Point Bonita Lighthouse and Lifesaving Station and Fort Miley, would be preserved/rehabilitated.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at Upper Fort Mason (Fort Mason Historic District) would be preserved/rehabilitated/restored. Significant cultural landscape features at Fort Funston that can be preserved without compromising natural resource values would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with historic coastal defense fortifications on Milagra Ridge and Sweeney Ridge that can be preserved without compromising natural resource values would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>San Francisco Bay Discovery Site NHL on Sweeney Ridge would be preserved, enhanced, and interpreted.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features at Rancho Corral de Tierra that can be preserved without compromising natural resource values would be afforded preservation treatment.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with the Montara Lighthouse would be preserved/rehabilitated.</p>	<p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with West Fort Miley, the USS San Francisco Memorial, and Marine Exchange Lookout Building (Octagon House) would be preserved/rehabilitated. Significant character-defining features of the cultural landscape resources at East Fort Miley would be preserved, although some could be modified to enable functional park operations.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with Battery Davis at Fort Funston would be preserved within the context of restoring the area's natural setting. Non-historic structures would be removed, and existing park operations and environmental education functions would be relocated.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape features associated with historic coastal defense fortifications on Milagra Ridge and Sweeney Ridge and those associated with agricultural operations at Rancho Corral de Tierra that can be preserved without compromising natural resource values would be afforded preservation treatment</p> <p>San Francisco Bay Discovery Site NHL would be preserved, rehabilitated, and interpreted.</p> <p>Significant cultural landscape resources associated with the Montara Lighthouse would be rehabilitated/restored. Non-historic structures would be removed from the site.</p>
		<p>Summary Conclusion – When compared with Current Conditions and Management, this alternative would generally provide better opportunities for strengthening the integrity of cultural landscape resources that are associated with properties listed on the National Register of Historic Places or designated National Historic Landmarks.</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No Adverse Effect</p>	<p>Summary Conclusion – When compared with Current Conditions and Management, this alternative would generally provide slightly better opportunities for strengthening the integrity of cultural landscape resources that are associated with properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places or designated National Historic Landmarks. When compared with Alternative 1, however, more cultural landscape features would be stabilized, but not as many would be rehabilitated.</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No Adverse Effect</p>	<p>Summary Conclusion – When compared with Current Conditions and Management, as well as Alternatives 1 and 2, this alternative would provide for the most comprehensive improvement/preservation/rehabilitation of cultural landscape features. This would be especially true for the cultural landscape resources associated with historic military themes in the Marin Headlands (coastal fortifications, Forts Barry/Chronkite, and Kirby Cove) and historic maritime themes (Montara Lighthouse).</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No Adverse Effect</p>
<p>Ethnographic Resources</p>	<p>No ethnographic resources or traditional cultural properties</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No historic properties affected</p>	<p>No ethnographic resources or traditional cultural properties</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No historic properties affected</p>	<p>No ethnographic resources or traditional cultural properties</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No historic properties affected</p>	<p>No ethnographic resources or traditional cultural properties</p> <p>Section 106 Determination – No historic properties affected</p>

IMPACT TOPIC	Current Conditions and Management	Alternative 1: Connecting People with the Parks – NPS Preferred	Alternative 2: Preserving and Enjoying Coastal Ecosystems	Alternative 3: Focusing on National Treasures
	<p>Should ethnographic resources be dismissed as an impact topic?</p> <p>No ethnographic resources for this part of the park</p>			
<p>Museum Collections</p>	<p>Case statement from Abby Sue for no action</p>	<p>Allows for incorporation of artifacts into the visitor experience on a case by case basis</p> <p>Some exposure of visitor s to museum collections</p>	<p>Natural resource collection (specimen collection) would be a greater priority/emphasis to highlight and manage within the collections program</p> <p>Could be a greater demand from researchers and managers to access the specimen collections</p> <p>Could be generating more specimens through ecosystem management approach</p>	<p>More artifacts incorporated into the visitor experience in Marin Headlands since national treasures are the highlight and visitor experience would be more dependent on the museum collection</p> <p>Artifacts are prominently featured in the outdoor military museum in Marin Headlands</p> <p>Greater exposure of visitors to the collection potentially increasing public awareness and support for collections – possibly growing collections by experience</p>