

➤ **Introduction to CBA** 9 to 10:10 am

➤ **Nelson/Nygaard Presentation and discussion** from Alexa Viets 10:20 am

Model based on same number of visitors coming today.

MUWO parking spaces 179 (lot) + shoulder of Road= 354 onsite parking spaces

➤ **Small Working Groups identifying** 11:15 to 1: 45pm Small working group to prepare attributes for the FACTORS

➤ **Presentation of Public Comments** 1:45pm what we learned from the public comments with focus on Muir Woods NM.

Action

Need to take a hard look at Homestead Hill as alternate shuttle transfer facility and off-site welcome center as suggested from State Park letter.

➤ **Presentation of Factors** 3pm

Factor 1.

No Action is least desired

Alt 2 most important advantage the alternative that strengthen the resiliency of the watershed. Most important was the creek and floodplain to be restored.

Alt 3 rock work stays so creek is less advantage

(Error for attribute that the alt 3 doesn't have the same amount of protection)

Factor 2.

Least desired is Coastal Eco because it removed all cultural resources

No Action has better retention

Mia concerned more forest restoration in alternative 2, risk to the resource of overnight use. Alternative 2 has less visitor risk from overnight use.

What is the current condition of the ecosystem. What is the baseline to compare the health and potential to improve the ecosystem.

Forest resource protection is the same across the alternatives. The real difference of the alternatives is the creek.

Factor 3.

Opportunities appropriate to MUWO. Diverse ways to experience a primeval forest

Diversity of rec opportunities that are appropriate to MUWO. Diversity of settings.

Alt 1 has more diversity on trails, settings and opportunities, added day uses in Camino

Alt 3 balanced recreation and preservation

No Action has more div of opportunities that alt 2

Question from DH diversity of opportunities might not work for MUWO and that Factor 4 better reflects the visitor experience.

Factor 4.

Alt 2 assumes low use level and highly control visitor use management. Potential to have less ed opport. Although Alt 2 focus on stewardship and science.

Alt 3 brings out the NPS and its values and does it better than alt 1 and 2

CK idea:

Few visitors have opportunity to make deep personal connection for resources alt 2

alt 3 Many more visitors have the opportunity to make personal connections with NPS icons and NPS exp

alt 1 many more visitors can make connections but not as deep

Long discussion about alt 2 being least advantages, majority supported getting rid of 2.

Break down experience direct, indirect,

were providing less people with deep exp

BO more extensive effort to engage people in a deeper way

NH all the action alts remove the concession from the prime resource and use for park and interpretive activities

BO thinks that the other alts allows for a greater range of stories and ideas

Alternative 2 carries the least amount voted by the group

fewer people, fewer types of experience

Visitor have an opportunity to make deep personal connections through stewardship although in possibly more limited numbers, encourages repeat visitation.

Long discussion about alt 2 being least advantages, majority supported getting rid of 2.

Break down experience direct, indirect,

were providing less people with deep exp

(F3 is how you exp the park and F4 is how you learn about it)

Factor 5.

No Action Least

No action because it does not take a new look at transportation.

Defender Challenger – Rating importance

Factor 5 and 4, 4 gives better advantage

CK Magnitude of advantage is equal and the voice of the public has a greatest concern over the public. Thus F5 has slightest more advantage over F4

F4 and F3, 4 provides reason that MUWO is established

(BO biggest challenge in MUWO is looking ways to bring it alive and how to change the entrance. How people access it.)

(Decisions are based on the importance of advantage.)

Is F5 more advantage F3?

Recreation opport (F4) should be more important than access (F5)

Conversation was is Alt 1 F3 change Substantially to Very Much More

Comparing F1 or F2, Which one gives you more advantage? Public says this is most important. **F1 is paramount is 100 advantage.**

In relationship to F1, how does F2?

on alt 2 the groves are left and alt 3 the cultural resource are left. Groves are pretty much the same across the board.

Rational for 75 for F2, based on what the public valued and the purpose of why MUWO was established.

Rational for 85 F2 preserving the grove is enhancing the integrity of the national historic district.

CK asked what is the health of the Redwood forest? (its not regenerating itself as well and we don't have a lot of good research on the health). National hist district has a national conservation and preserving it is compatible. You can remove a substantial amount rocks and still retain its integrity. The national significance is the trees and contributing structures. (We talk about the tree the same in all three alternatives.)

F3 – 5 points there are other advantages that are much more important.

F3 75 points advantage of diversity of experiences, ed, overnight, contemplative are more advantages than a singular exp is great.

F4 70 and F5 is 70

F5 alt 2=30 because of the removal of tour bus and parking

F4 Alt 1 = 40

F4 Alt 2 = 60

F3 Alt 3= 70 really close to the one having alter 1

F3 alt NA 55 already a broad range today

F3 alt NA 40 lots of clutter at MUWO maintenance and concession detracts from the visitor experience

F2 alt 35 NA not overall improving the conditions of the structures, parking lot impacts on cultural setting,

F2 alt 1 60 removing maintenance is an enhancement of the historic structures in the setting, removing non historic structures.

F2 alt 3 does better