



Bear-Human Management Plan

May 2013

SUBMITTED BY: _____
Tania Lewis, Wildlife Biologist

APPROVED BY _____
Lisa Etherington, Chief of Resource Management

APPROVED BY: _____
Albert Faria, Chief Ranger

APPROVED BY: _____
Susan Boudreau, Superintendent

Prologue

The Glacier Bay Bear Management Plan provides direction for the management of people, brown bears, and black bears for the purposes of preventing bear incidents and providing opportunities for bear viewing in Glacier Bay National Park and Reserve. The plan is divided into three sections: Preventive, Responsive, and Information Management. Underlying these sections are principles that have evolved as the National Monument became a National Park, as kayak based tourism increased along the shorelines, and as a bear management program was formed and developed. These principles are specific to this park, and were formed by direct experiences of those living, working, and recreating in this region. The following principles underlie the development and execution of this plan and the bear management program in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve.

1. Bears and people can peacefully co-exist, thus ensuring natural populations of bears and providing bear-viewing opportunities for visitors to the park and preserve for perpetuity (page 10).
2. Bears are often misunderstood and feared by visitors to Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. For this reason, the park must provide the best and most current education, information, and management to minimize conflicts (page 18).
3. Two of the most important ways to minimize bear-human conflicts are preventing bears from obtaining human food or trash (page 14) and educating people on how to behave in bear country and during bear encounters (page 77).
4. Research shows that bear spray is the most effective and safe bear deterrent (page 19).
5. Collecting and maintaining accurate data on bear mortalities and bear populations within or surrounding NPS lands is essential for sound management of these valued park resources (page 49).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROLOGUE..... 2

INTRODUCTION..... 8

 Overview of Glacier Bay 8

 Figure 1. Different areas of Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve are managed according to type/level of human use and accessibility. Management zones include: 1) Bartlett Cove Developed Area (BCDA), 2) Bartlett River, 3) Glacier Bay backcountry, 4) National Preserve/Dry Bay, 5)Alsek River, and 6) Gustavus. 9

 Management Plan Purpose..... 9

 Glacier Bay Bear Management Plan Guiding Principles..... 10

 Management Goals 10

 Objectives 11

 Preventive 11

 Responsive 11

 Informative..... 11

 Responsibilities..... 11

 Review of Bear Management Plan 13

PART I. PREVENTIVE MANAGEMENT..... 14

 CONTROL OF ANTHROPOGENIC FOOD AND ATTRACTANTS 14

 NPS and Concession Facilities 14

 Campground..... 15

 Backcountry 15

 National Preserve, Dry Bay 15

 Alsek River 15

 Private land within and adjacent to park and preserve 16

 ENFORCEMENT 16

 EDUCATION 17

 Fairweather Visitor Guide..... 17

 Regional brochure..... 17

 VIS video and orientation 17

 Signs..... 17

 Talks..... 17

 Website 18

 Alsek River Environmental and Safety Standards..... 18

 TRAINING 18

 All NPS and concession staff..... 18

 NPS staff with visitor contact 18

 NPS staff with bear management duties 18

 NPS staff with backcountry duties and Researchers..... 19

 Contractors and temporary work crews 19

DETERRENTS	19
Mitigating high bear risk situations	19
Bear Pepper Spray.....	19
Firearms	20
FACILITY AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING.....	20
PART II. RESPONSIVE MANAGEMENT	21
COMMUNICATION.....	21
Bear Information Network.....	21
Figure 2. Schematic of how bear management information is communicated.	22
DOCUMENTATION	22
Bear Management Log.....	22
Bear Information Management (BIM) Form	23
Case Incident Reports	23
Alsek River Bear Reporting Form	23
Bear Human Information Management System Database.....	23
BEAR AND HUMAN BEHAVIOR	23
Table 1. Bear Behavior and Management Response Table	24
BEAR MANAGEMENT ACTIONS.....	24
1) Bear Advisory.....	24
2) Monitoring.....	25
Monitoring an individual bear:	25
Monitoring areas for bear activity:	25
Safety	25
3) Area Closures	26
Criteria for issuing temporary area closure:.....	26
Managing the temporary area closure:.....	26
4) Hazing and Aversive Conditioning (AC).....	27
General Procedures	27
Safety	28
Bear Spray in Hazing or Aversive Conditioning	28
Training.....	28
6) On Site/"Hard" Release	28
7) Translocations.....	29
8) Destruction.....	29
HUMAN INJURY OR FATALITY	29
OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES BY MANAGEMENT ZONES	30
1) Bartlett Cove Developed Area (BCDA).....	30
Figure 3. Map of Bartlett Cove Developed Area.....	30
Figure 4. Matrix for bear management response in the Bartlett Cove Developed Area.....	31

2) Bartlett River	32
Figure 5. Matrix for bear management response in the Bartlett River Area.....	32
3) Glacier Bay Backcountry.....	33
Figure 6. Matrix for bear management response in the Glacier Bay backcountry.	
.....	33
4) National Preserve – Dry Bay	34
Figure 7. Matrix for bear management response in the National Preserve, Dry	
Bay.	34
5) Alsek River	35
Figure 8. Matrix for bear management response on the Tatshenshini-Alsek River	
corridor.....	35
6) Gustavus	36
PART III. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	37
HISTORY OF BEAR-HUMAN CONFLICTS	37
Figure 9. Number of Bear incidents from 1959-2011 and backcountry users from	
1997-2011 (NPS unpublished data).....	38
RESEARCH.....	38
Bear distribution, abundance, and habitat in closure areas, 1980s	38
Bear Sightings and Incidents Database, 2000.....	39
Bear Campsite Risk Assessment Project, 2001-2002	39
Figure 10: Subjective Bear Encounter Risk Assessment Ratings of Campsites in	
Glacier Bay.	40
Bear Activity and Habitat Project, 2004-2005.....	40
Effectiveness of Hazing and other Management Techniques in Bartlett Cove, 2006-	
2007.....	42
Regional Bear Research, 2004-2009.....	43
Brown Bears.....	43
Black Bears	44
Disturbance of Brown Bears by Vessels, 2008-2010	45
Black and Brown Bear Distribution, 2009-2010	46
Figure 11. Brown bear distribution (left) and black bear distribution (right) across	
40 study sites in the Glacier Bay bear distribution project, 2009-2010.....	47
Population and landscape genetics of brown bears in Glacier Bay, 2009-2010.....	47
Figure 12. Brown bear genetic groups in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve,	
2009-2010. Orange circles indicate the “GLBA” genetic group endemic to	
Glacier Bay.	48
Gustavus Forelands bear population, 2011-2012.....	48
HUMAN CAUSED BEAR MORTALITIES ON AND AROUND PARK LANDS... 49	
National Preserve - Dry Bay	49
Figure 12: Number of black bears harvested by year in Dry Bay, Glacier Bay	
National Preserve, 1971-2010. Mean = 1.90+ 0.27, n=40 (ADF&G unpublished	
data).....	50

Figure 13: Number of brown bears harvested by year in Dry Bay, Glacier Bay National Preserve, 1960-2010. Mean = 5.80 + 0.43, n = 51(ADF&G unpublished data).....	50
Lands Surrounding the Park.....	50
Figure 14. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Management Units (GMU) surrounding Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve.	51
Figure 15. Numbers of black and brown bears harvested by year in GMU 05A (ADF&G unpublished data).....	51
Figure 16. Numbers of black and brown bears harvested by year in GMU 01D (ADF&G unpublished data).....	52
Figure 17. Numbers of black and brown bears harvested by year in GMU 01C (ADF&G unpublished data).....	52
Gustavus.....	53
Figure 18: Number of black bears killed by year in Gustavus by category: roadkill, defense of life or property (DLP), or harvested/hunted, 2000-2010 (ADF&G unpublished data).....	53
FUTURE RESEARCH	53
Glacier Bears.....	54
Figure 19. Harvest rates of glacial-pelage black bears in GMU 05A (ADF&G unpublished data).	54
Population Trends.....	54
Bear/Human Interaction.....	55
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	55
REFERENCES	56
GLOSSARY	62
APPENDICES	64
APPENDIX A. MANAGEMENT ZONE DESCRIPTIONS	64
1) Bartlett Cove Developed Area (BCDA).....	64
2) Bartlett River	65
3) Glacier Bay Proper Backcountry	66
Figure 20. Seasonal (May 1-Aug. 15) camping closures in the backcountry due to concerns of bear-human conflicts in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve.	67
4) National Preserve – Dry Bay	67
5) Alsek River.....	68
6) Gustavus	69
APPENDIX B: BEAR FOODS IN GLACIER BAY NATIONAL P&P	71
APPENDIX C. FOOD STORAGE REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO GLACIER BAY NATIONAL PARK AND PRESERVE	73
<i>Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve Compendium 2013</i>	73
<i>Federal Code of Regulations, National Parks</i>	74
<i>State of Alaska</i>	74

APPENDIX D. CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS TITLE 36: 13.50: CLOSURE PROCEDURES.	75
APPENDIX E. BEAR SAFETY IN GLACIER BAY.....	77
Know the Difference: Black vs. Brown.....	77
Be Bear Savvy.....	77
Be a Smart Camper.....	77
Minimize Disturbance.....	78
Bear Deterrents.....	78
Fishing and Bears.....	78
Encounters.....	79
APPENDIX F. BEAR HAZING, AVERSIVE CONDITIONING, AND FIREARMS TRAINING.....	80
Tools and Techniques.....	80
Low Level.....	80
Mid to High Level.....	80
Passive.....	80
Specific Procedures.....	81
Hazing.....	81
Aversive conditioning (AC).....	82
Firearms Training.....	83
Shotguns for Bear Management.....	83
Bear Deterrent Pyrotechnic Launcher.....	84
APPENDIX G. BEAR MANAGEMENT CONTACTS.....	85
APPENDIX H. BEAR INFORMATION FORM (BIM).....	86
APPENDIX I. NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK PROTOCOLS	88
APPENDIX J. BEAR MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH TIMELINE.....	107

Introduction

Overview of Glacier Bay

Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve contains 3.34 million acres, of which 2.66 million, or 80%, is designated Wilderness. Glacier Bay proper was covered in ice during the Little Ice Age which began retreating approximately 270 years ago, while portions of the outer coast of the park remained ice-free providing refugia to land plants and animals. For this reason, terrestrial ecosystems range from newly de-glaciated barren rock to old growth Sitka Spruce and Western Hemlock forest. Similarly, bear habitat varies greatly across the landscape. While both black bears (*Ursus americanus*) and brown bears (*Ursus arctos*) live in the park, brown bears are most often seen in the most recently deglaciated portions of Glacier Bay as well as the outer coast while black bears are more common in the lower forested portions of the bay. There is considerable overlap between the two species in many parts of the park.

The majority of park visitation occurs by motorized vessel in Glacier Bay proper. Of the roughly 350,000-440,000 visitors per year, over 95% come by cruise ship and never set foot on the ground within the park. Approximately 600-1600 visitors camp in the park every year in the Bartlett Cove campground, the Glacier Bay backcountry, or along the Alsek River.

For the purposes of this plan, we have divided the park into 6 management zones differentiated by level and types of human activity, level of infrastructure development, and accessibility (Figure 1). These areas have been defined for the purposes of this document and may or may not correspond to management zones in other park plans or documents. Full descriptions of human use, bear habitat types, and management considerations of each bear management zone in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve can be found in Appendix A and B.

Bear Management Zones:

- 1) Bartlett Cove Developed Area (BCDA), 2) Bartlett River, 3) Glacier Bay backcountry, 4) National Preserve, Dry Bay, 5) Alsek River, and 6) Gustavus.



Figure 1. *Different areas of Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve are managed according to type/level of human use and accessibility. Management zones include: 1) Bartlett Cove Developed Area (BCDA), 2) Bartlett River, 3) Glacier Bay backcountry, 4) National Preserve/Dry Bay, 5)Alesk River, and 6) Gustavus.*

Management Plan Purpose

A comprehensive Bear Management Plan is essential for minimizing and mitigating bear-human conflict as well as summarizing information regarding bears and identifying future research needs. The purpose of this plan is to outline park measures to minimize conflict between bears and humans, detail park response to such conflicts and encounters, organizes existing information of bears in the region, and outline future research needed to effectively protect and manage bears within the park.

Bear management is important for Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve because visitors greatly value bear-viewing opportunities, bears are vulnerable to overharvest because they are long-lived animals with low reproductive rates, and bear-human conflicts are a major safety concern. Due to public outcry over questionable state game

management laws, Glacier Bay National Monument (established in 1925), was significantly expanded in 1939 to create a brown bear sanctuary (Catton 1995). In 1980 Glacier Bay became a National Park and Preserve under the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA 1980).

Glacier Bay Bear Management Plan Guiding Principles

Bear-human conflicts can lead to injury and loss of life for both humans and bears. Conflict between bears and humans must be minimized to ensure that bears retain natural habits and optimize longevity and reproduction, and to protect people and property. Park managers believe that bear-human conflict can be minimized through an adaptive bear-human management program that includes preventive, responsive, and information management.

Preventive management is the first and most important step towards minimizing bear-human conflict. Food conditioning can be a contributing factor to bear-human conflicts and bear-caused human injury in national parks (Herrero 1985, Herrero and Fleck 1989). Human attractants (human food and trash) must be controlled in ways that physically deny access by black and brown bears. Requirements for securing attractants should be based on the best available science and experience and adaptive to new innovations in technology. A food storage enforcement plan is integral to implementation of any requirements for securing attractants. Training, public information, and education, including how to secure attractants, are vital to reduce bear-human conflicts.

Effective response to bear-human conflicts can decrease human injury and bear mortality. When preventive measures fail to prevent conflicts, a bear-human conflict reporting procedure and response protocols allow rangers and biologists to quickly respond to the situation with a variety of bear management tools. Bear behavior during conflicts will be classified as either defensive or non-defensive. Defensive behavior is considered natural and the resulting management response will be geared towards controlling human activities. Non-defensive predatory behavior towards human is unacceptable and resulting management response will be geared toward eliminating the bear. In all other cases, relocating or destroying the bear will be a last resort.

Information on bear biology and bear-human interactions is important for managing bears and reducing bear-human conflicts. Bear observation and bear-human interaction information from visitors can be used to reduce conflicts through adaptive management, and to gain biological information about bears.

Management Goals

- **Keep bears and human attractants (food and trash) separate to reduce conflicts and ensure bears retain their natural habits.**

- **Ensure opportunities for present and future generations of visitors to view and encounter bears safely.**
- **Obtain information on black and brown bears in the park and preserve necessary to manage bears and inform policies to minimize conflicts.**
- **Preserve and perpetuate natural bear populations.**

Objectives

Preventive

- Maintain clear and consistent food/trash storage policy and an enforcement plan to ensure that all unnatural food sources are unobtainable to bears.
- Educate visitors, employees, researchers, contractors, and other park users about bear behavior, ecology, safety around bears, and ways to minimize bear-human conflict. Make educational materials accessible and interesting. Informational materials should be distributed in a variety of methods and incorporate consistent content and definitions.
- Provide park staff with bear safety training. Depth of training will increase with visitor interaction and bear management duties of staff.

Responsive

- Maintain a system of quickly obtaining bear-human conflict reports.
- Maintain and follow specific protocols of responding to bear-human interactions and conflicts according to the location and nature of the interaction.

Informative

- Collect bear observations and interactions from as many park users as possible.
- Maintain a standardized method of bear-human interaction data management, analysis, and yearly reporting.
- Conduct or facilitate research investigating key aspects of bear biology (including ecology, habitat use, species distribution, abundance) and bear-human interactions.

Responsibilities

Superintendent

- Approves bear management plan and policies.
- Approves changes to bear management policies.

- Approves/disapproves major bear management actions.

Chief of Resource Management

- Jointly oversees bear management program with chief ranger.
- Approves/disapproves major bear management actions.
- Supervises Wildlife Biologist.

Wildlife Biologist

- Develops and revises bear management plan.
- Coordinates and monitors bear management program.
- Develops content of bear safety educational and training materials.
- Provides bear safety training to park staff, concession staff, and researchers.
- Jointly coordinates response to bear-human conflicts with district ranger.
- Oversees bear observation and interaction data collection and management.
- Initiates, oversees and/or conducts bear research.
- Supervises bear/wildlife technician, if applicable, and oversees other RM staff assisting in bear management duties.
- Chairs bear management committee and takes lead on advisories and press releases.

Bear/wildlife technician(s)

- Coordinates communication and public information regarding bear safety and bear-human conflicts.
- Helps coordinate and participates in hazing and monitoring efforts.
- Leads and assists with bear and other RM research projects.
- Enters and analyzes bear-human interaction data.
- Writes a yearly report of bear-human conflicts.

Other Resource Management Staff

- Assist with bear management duties as needed.
- Respond to bear-human conflicts in the park under guidance of District Ranger and Wildlife Biologist

Chief Ranger

- Jointly oversees bear management program with chief of resource management.
- Approves/disapproves major bear management actions.
- Supervises District Rangers.

District Ranger

- Coordinates and oversees enforcement of food storage regulations
- Jointly coordinates response to bear-human conflicts with wildlife biologist.
- Supervises protection staff assisting with bear-human conflict response.
- Oversees writing and distribution of case incident reports involving bears.
- Serves on bear management committee.

Protection Rangers

- Enforce food storage regulations in the park and preserve.

- Inform district ranger and wildlife biologist of potential and actual bear-human conflicts in the park.
- Respond to bear-human conflicts in the park under guidance of District Ranger and Wildlife Biologist.

Visitor Information Station (VIS) Supervisor

- Oversees bear safety orientations to park visitors.
- Coordinates communications between visitors, rangers, and wildlife biologist.
- Supervises staff that may assist with bear-human conflict response.
- Serves on bear management committee.

Interpretive Educational Specialist

- Oversees bear safety education and outreach to visitors.
- Assists with development of posters, presentations, videos, brochures, etc. regarding bear safety, closures, trail updates, etc.
- Updates park website offerings and social media messaging.
- Coordinates communications between naturalist staff, vessel passengers, VIS staff, and wildlife biologist
- Serves on bear management committee.

Chief of Maintenance

- Insures that all park facilities and generated attractants are secure from bears.

Chief of Commercial Services

- Ensures that concessionaires keep all concession facilities and generated attractants secure from bears.
- Ensures that concession employees receive appropriate bear safety and food storage training.

All NPS Employees

- Keep all food and waste secure from bears within park and preserve land.
- Report food, waste, or other human attractants in the park or preserve that are not secured from bears and other wildlife.

Review of Bear Management Plan

This plan should be reviewed by members of the bear management committee regularly and updated as needed. Major policy changes must be reviewed and supported by all members of the committee, Chief of Resource Management, Chief Ranger, and the Superintendent.

Part I. Preventive Management

CONTROL OF ANTHROPOGENIC FOOD AND ATTRACTANTS

Regulations regarding human-derived food, trash and other unnatural bear attractants are governed by the Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve compendium, the Code of Federal Regulations for National Parks, and the State of Alaska fish and game regulations (Appendix C). The central theme of these regulations is to make all human-derived food, waste and other potential attractants unavailable to bears and other wildlife. Securing anthropogenic attractants will be achieved with the following methods.

NPS and Concession Facilities

All food, trash, waste, recyclables, petroleum products, or other potential bear attractants must be stored in a bear-proof facility or container. Potential attractants include: food, beverages, garbage, food and beverage containers, harvested fish and game, pet and bird food, food waste, and dirty dishes and food storage containers, and scented personal items. All trash sheds, cans or bins in Bartlett Cove Developed Area will be made of solid wood or steel construction with secure doors and latches. Employees will not be allowed to store compost or recyclables on their porch. Employees and visitors will not be allowed to store food or other attractants unattended in backpacks, vehicles with windows down, or unsecured in the bed of pick-up trucks. Employees living in park housing outside the boundaries of the national park will be held to the same food and trash storage standards at their housing units.

Kitchen facilities at the lodge and concession employee housing will keep all food and outdoor trash in bear-resistant containers at all times. Any non-bear-resistant trash container, refrigerators, and freezers containing food will be kept inside or within a bear-proof shed. Doors to kitchen areas will be kept closed with secure latches.

Bear-resistant trash and recycling bins will be available to visitors in the dock parking lot next to the Visitor Information Station (VIS). VIS, protection, and maintenance staff will insure daily that the lids of these waste cans are closed, not overflowing, and that there is no trash surrounding the bins. Staff members will also check picnic tables near the VIS and the administration building to ensure that no food is left unattended. No food preparation is allowed at the VIS porch tables.

All trash and recyclables will be sorted, stored, and disposed of at the depot, which is surrounded by a 10 foot metal fence. The gate leading into the depot will be closed and locked unless the facility is attended by staff.

All employees, contractors, visiting employees, guests, and outside researchers staying and/or working in Bartlett Cove must adhere to food and trash storage policies. *All NPS employees are responsible for reporting food, waste, or other attractants in the park or preserve that are not secured from bears and other wildlife.*

Campground

All food, trash and other potential attractants not being transported, prepared, in use, or being consumed must be stored in one of the food storage caches provided. All cooking and eating will be done in the intertidal zone below the campground. Campers are encouraged to only take out items that they will be using for each meal, and be prepared to quickly stow these items should a bear approach. Campers are encouraged to store clean dishes, toiletries, and fuel products with their food in the food storage cache.

Backcountry

All food, trash, toiletries, and other scented items not being transported, prepared, used or consumed must be stored in Bear Resistant Food Containers (BRFC), provided by the VIS, or hung in a tree (at least 10 feet above the ground and 4 feet away from any tree trunk or branch). All cooking and eating must be done in the intertidal zone. Campers are encouraged to only take out items that they will be using for each meal, and be prepared to quickly stow these items should a bear approach. Campers are encouraged to store clean dishes and fuel products with their BRFCs away from their tent.

National Preserve, Dry Bay

Glacier Bay National Preserve is governed by different enabling legislation than that of the national park (see Appendix A). However, park and Alaska food storage regulations (Appendix C) apply to the National Preserve except where specifically stated otherwise. Commercial fisherman in the National Preserve must secure attractants from bears as a condition of their permits with the NPS. Condition #20 states: "Trash and garbage must be removed from the Preserve. Garbage and fish wastes must be handled responsibly in such a way as not to be an attractant to bears and other wildlife, or become a public nuisance or a threat to public health. Burning of all combustibles is recommended, prior to compacting and removal. Garbage and trash may not be buried in the temporary fish camp zone. The permittee agrees to keep his/her land assignment in a clean and orderly state, free of junk, garbage, litter and trash. Disposal of human waste must meet the standards of the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation." In addition to commercial fisherman, lodge owners and park employees who reside in Dry Bay during the summer season must also keep all food, trash and other attractants inaccessible to bears.

Alsek River

Due to the nature of visitor use on the Tatshenshini Alsek river corridor (generally long rafting trips with large parties), users are exempt from the park compendium food storage regulations. Tatshenshini-Alsek River managers from all parks established food storage recommendations for commercial and private groups in 2007 with the potential of making these recommendations mandatory in the future. Storage recommendations for food, trash, beverages, and other attractants:

Option 1) Store in bear-resistant containers certified by the Interagency Grizzly Bear Committee or otherwise approved by the Tatshenshini-Alsek Bear Group. Bear-resistant

food containers are highly recommended as the most reliable method of securing food and other attractants from bears.

Option 2) Store inside a secured dry box (latches shut), cooler (strapped closed), or rubber tote (strapped) surrounded by an activated electric fence that adheres to US Forest Service specifications (Karsky et al. 2007).

Option 3) Suspend at least 10 feet above the ground and 4 feet horizontally from a post, tree trunk or other object on a line or branch that will not support a bear's weight.

All parks purchased two electric fence kits each to use for management trips and acquired two bear-resistant food coolers to loan out for trial during the 2008 season.

Private land within and adjacent to park and preserve

The NPS has no jurisdiction on privately owned land in or near national park or preserve lands. However, since bears frequently cross jurisdictional boundaries, NPS staff will make efforts to collaborate with local and state managers to educate residents about proper food and trash storage techniques as well as help prevent and resolve bear-human conflicts. The National Park Service has electric fences to be loaned to local residents who are having, or have had, issues with bears acquiring food from gardens, compost, or their residence for the purposes of education and minimizing food conditioning within the community. The NPS Wildlife Biologist and the ADF&G Area Biologist will collaborate on educational campaigns and response to conflicts.

ENFORCEMENT

Protection rangers are responsible for identifying and responding to food and trash storage violations. Rangers will look for improperly stored or unattended food and garbage. Unsecured food or trash will be collected or disposed of immediately and violators of food/trash storage regulations will be issued warnings or violation notices as appropriate.

Food storage violations involving the concessions operations will be reported to the Chief of Commercial Services for immediate correction. Violations involving NPS operations will be reported to the Chief of Maintenance for immediate correction. Protection rangers will document all food storage violations and subsequent actions.

The Superintendent may close an area or restrict an activity on an emergency, temporary, or permanent basis for reasons regarding public health and safety, resource protection, protection of cultural or scientific values, subsistence uses, endangered or threatened species conservation, and other management considerations necessary to ensure that the activity or area is being managed in a manner compatible with the purposes for which the park area was established under 36CFR13.50 (Appendix D). These closures will be subsequently enforced by Protection rangers.

EDUCATION

One of the most important elements of bear safety education and training are how to prevent bears from obtaining human food or garbage, how to behave in bear country and during a bear encounter, and the safest and most effective bear deterrents. Educational materials regarding bear awareness and safety will be distributed in a variety of venues and locations to reach and appeal to as many visitors as possible. Potential visitors will be sent bear safety materials by mail in advance of their trips, and will be referred to bear safety information on the park web-site. Bear awareness and safety will be taught with the following distribution methods:

Fairweather Visitor Guide

The Fairweather Visitor Guide contains bear safety information applicable to all people visiting, traveling, working and/or camping in Glacier Bay. The basic bear safety message covers basic differences between black and brown bears, how to minimize potential conflicts with bears, and what to do if you encounter a bear (Appendix E). The Fairweather will be distributed on tour boats and cruise ships, and at the Visitor Information Station (VIS) to all visitors boating, camping, and hiking in Glacier Bay. The Fairweather will be updated yearly.

Regional brochure

The NPS Alaska Region brochure titled “Bear Safety in Alaska’s National Parklands” contains the basic information offered in the Fairweather Visitor Guide with additional details specifically for visitors who will be hiking and/or camping in the backcountry. This brochure will be distributed at the VIS to visitors who are going into the backcountry and/or desire more detailed information on bear safety.

VIS video and orientation

Visitors planning to camp in the backcountry of Glacier Bay are required to first watch a video and receive an orientation at the VIS. The video covers the main points of the basic bear safety message. VIS staff will inquire about the visitor’s experience level and destination, and tailor a personalized orientation based on these responses. VIS staff will also answer any further questions visitors may have regarding bear awareness and safety.

Signs

Educational and/or advisory signs may be placed in locations around Bartlett Cove such as trailheads, kiosks, and the VIS. The content and location of bear educational or advisory signs will be determined by the Interpretation division under advisement of the wildlife biologist and bear committee. Protocols for putting up and taking down advisory signs are found in the Responsive Management section of this document.

Talks

Bear awareness and safety will be addressed by interpretive rangers during daily hikes and nightly presentations to visitors. If a bear advisory has been issued and/or there is a specific bear management concern, the bear committee may request that interpretive

rangers communicate specific information to the public during their walks and talks. In addition, NPS staff will present a bear safety talk for the public at least once near the beginning of the visitor season. NPS staff will also travel to Dry Bay regularly to consult with residents about bear safety and management.

Website

The Glacier Bay National Park website contains the basic bear safety message as well as links to additional information regarding bear safety, biology, research, and management.

Alsek River Environmental and Safety Standards

The document “Alsek River Environmental and Safety Standards” is distributed to all rafters and kayakers floating the Alsek River and outlines food storage and camping requirements for campers on the Alsek River in the preserve, which differs from the park compendium.

TRAINING

It is important that NPS, concession staff, researchers, and contractors are trained regularly in bear awareness and safety because many of them work and live in the park, and often have daily visitor contact. This training should be done near the beginning of each visitor season shortly after seasonal employees arrive at work, with additional training opportunities available for latecomers. The amount of the bear safety training will vary according to the employee’s duties as follows:

All NPS and concession staff

All NPS staff and concession staff should receive an oral presentation of the basic bear safety message by a bear management specialist. Additional information on living and/or working with bears in Bartlett Cove will be provided to employees by division with an emphasis placed on controlling food, trash and other potential bear attractants to minimize bear-human conflicts.

NPS staff with visitor contact

NPS staff having direct visitor contact (Interpretative rangers, Protection rangers, and VIS staff) should receive additional training detailing all elements of the bear safety message so that employees can effectively teach it to visitors. Additional information on bear research and management will also be provided for employees to pass on to visitors.

NPS staff with bear management duties

NPS staff having duties involving responsive bear management actions will receive additional training from GLBA biologists and protection rangers. This additional training will include an overview of bear behavior and bear management techniques including the safe use of bear pepper spray. NPS aversive conditioning training, including a firearm qualification, is required for law enforcement employees participating in hazing or

aversive conditioning bears with the use of firearms. Training requirements for staff conducting hazing and aversive conditioning are further outlined in Appendix F.

NPS staff with backcountry duties and Researchers

NPS and outside researchers who will be camping in Glacier Bay will get bear safety information from the VIS during their backcountry orientation. As a condition of their research permit, researchers who will be traveling on the ground and/or camping extensively will consult with a bear management specialist before going into the field to discuss ways to minimize potential for bear-human conflicts within the scope of their projects. Topics will include camp setup/food storage, electric fencing, responding to bear encounters, and the use of bear deterrents. NPS staff and researchers traveling and/or camping in bear country should be trained in the proper use of bear pepper spray.

Contractors and temporary work crews

Contractors and other crews working in Glacier Bay National Park should receive training from a bear management specialist regarding bear safety and awareness geared specifically towards the type of work they will be doing. The training will cover the bear safety basics with additional attention to control of human food and other attractants, and dealing with bear encounters.

DETERRENTS

Mitigating high bear risk situations

The best approach is to mitigate high bear risk situation includes the following:

- Use highly trained and experienced staff to increase chances of people responding appropriately and safely.
- Increase the number of people involved because risk of bear attacks decrease with larger group size (Hererro 1985).
- Maintain excellent communications with dispatch.
- Ensure that people have the best deterrent for the situation including: bear spray, noise maker, pyrotechnic launcher (flare gun), and/or lethal firearm.

Bear Pepper Spray

Glacier Bay National Park recommends bear pepper spray as the safest and most effective bear deterrent for bear encounters. Employees with potential contact with bears should have access to and training in bear pepper spray. These recommendations are based on recent studies investigating the safety and efficacy of bear pepper spray and guns as bear deterrents with the following results (Smith et al. 2008, Smith et al. 2012):

- 1) There is scientific evidence that bear pepper spray is a very safe and effective bear deterrent.

Of 72 incidents in which bear spray was used on bears in Alaska from 1985-2006 (Smith et al. 2008):

- 2% (3) of the people were injured, and all injuries were minor. There were no human fatalities.
 - Bear spray successfully deterred 92% (46 of 50) of the brown bears and 90% (18 of 20) black bears.
- 2) There is no scientific evidence that carrying a gun increases safety by minimizing the chances of a bear attack.

US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) analyzed bear-human conflicts and determined that people who defended themselves against bears with firearms suffered injuries 50% of the time (USFWS Living with Grizzlies).

Of 269 bear-human conflicts involving firearms in Alaska from 1883-2009 (Smith et al. 2012):

- 56% (151) resulted in human injury or fatality, including 15 fatalities.
 - There was no statistical difference in the outcome (no injury, injury, death) of the incident if people used their firearm (229) or did not use their firearm (40).
- 3) When guns are used as a deterrent, bear mortality is much higher.
- Of 269 bear-human conflicts involving firearms in Alaska from 1883-2009 (Smith et al. 2012), 48% of the bears involved (172 of 357) were killed when firearms were used. No bears were killed when guns were present but not used.
 - Of 72 incidents in which bear spray was used on bears in Alaska from 1985-2006 (Smith et al. 2008), no bears were killed.

Firearms

Bear spray should always be carried and lethal firearms reserved for unique situations such as conducting aversive conditioning of brown bears, a wounded bear, investigation of a bear attack, or other high risk situations at the discretion of the Superintendent. Training requirements for staff carrying firearms for bear management or safety are further outlined in Appendix F.

FACILITY AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING

All new front or backcountry development and changes to existing developments should consider bear habitat, travel corridors, and seasonal bear activity levels in their planning stages. In addition, management plans and actions that will focus or change patterns of human use should take into account bear use of the area and mitigate future potential bear-human conflicts. Bear habitat quality and activity level assessments performed by trained personnel are useful tools in determining current and potential bear use levels and should be conducted prior to the initial planning stages of front and backcountry developments. Changes to the NPS Bartlett Cove Vegetation Management Plan should be implemented in consultation with the Wildlife Biologist in order to minimize bear-human conflicts.

Part II. Responsive Management

COMMUNICATION

Bear Information Network

Throughout this document, initiation of the "information network" is listed as a response to various situations. The information network has been established to quickly communicate to all interested parties, including the public, information regarding closures, and other changing situations. The information network also provides the framework for managers to quickly assess and take action on serious incidents.

The primary tool of the information network is the "GLBA bear committee" mailing list on e-mail (see Appendix G for names and phone numbers). The Visitor Information Station (VIS) staff is responsible for collecting information from visitors and disseminating verbally to visitors and by e-mail to the bear committee and other VIS staff. Once information has been shared, it is the responsibility of the District Ranger and Wildlife Biologist to promptly pass on the information to all appropriate park and lodge employees.

Regional wildlife biologist at the Alaska Regional Office (AKRO) and Douglas Area Biologist with the Division of Wildlife Conservation in the regional office of ADF&G have agreed to be consultants when needed (Appendix G). Situations when AKRO and ADF&G biologists should be contacted are clearly indicated in the Responsive Management portion of this document.

A general schematic has been designed to show the flow of information regarding bear management (Figure 2). Most observations or incident reports come directly from campers to interpreters, rangers, bear technicians, or the VIS. Employees who receive a bear report should notify the VIS. Depending on the nature of the report the VIS staff will: 1) notify District Ranger and Wildlife Biologist, 2) post a message by e-mail ("GLBA bear committee"), or 3) for more serious incidents, contact the bear management group, a division head, or the Superintendent directly as indicated by this document. After a decision has been made regarding the appropriate management action, the VIS will be informed and a message will be posted by e-mail and/or press release. Again, it is the responsibility of the District Ranger and Wildlife Biologist to pass this information on to all employees in a timely manner. Special considerations apply for bear reports originating in the National Preserve. See operating procedures for "National Preserve-Dry Bay" and "National Preserve – Alsek River" for details.

Bear Information Network




Figure 2. Schematic of how bear management information is communicated.

DOCUMENTATION

All reports, decisions, and actions regarding bear management in the park must be documented in order to quickly and appropriately respond to current bear-human conflicts and proactively minimize potential future bear-human conflicts.

Documentation occurs with the following methods:

Bear Management Log

This log is on a clipboard in the RM office and the VIS and should be filled out by park staff for general bear observations and management actions including:

- Visitor or staff reporting bear activity in the park
- Staff monitoring bear(s) in the developed area
- Staff hazing bear(s) out of developed areas with low level techniques.

Bear Information Management (BIM) Form

A copy of this form can be found in Appendix H. Rangers at the VIS and on the daily tour boat have these forms for incidents where the bear:

- Behaved threateningly/aggressively.
- Obtained food.
- Damaged gear.
- Approached people/entered camp.
- Mid-high level aversive conditioning techniques such as pyrotechnics, projectiles, or bear pepper spray were used.

Staff and/or visitors (with help of staff) can fill out this form. Completed forms should be given to the bear techs or wildlife biologist.

Case Incident Reports

Law enforcement personnel complete case incident reports after serious incidents in which the bear behaved threateningly/aggressively, damaged property, and/or obtained food. Case incident reports may also be filled out if aversive conditioning techniques were used. A copy of each bear case incident report will be given to the wildlife biologist.

Alsek River Bear Reporting Form

These voluntary forms are sent to private and commercial groups traveling down the Tatshenshini and Alsek river systems. These forms are collected by park staff in Dry Bay and copies of all reports in which the groups traveled through Kluane National Park should be sent to the Kluane headquarters.

Bear Human Information Management System Database

All reports of bear encounters from the Bear Management Log, BIM form, and Case Incident Reports will be entered into the bear-human information database by the end of the calendar year. The Wildlife Biologist/Resource Management Division is responsible for ensuring data entry and summarizing into a yearly report.

BEAR AND HUMAN BEHAVIOR

Details of each incident should be reviewed to determine if the bear's behavior was tolerant, aggressive, or a defensive response to protect young or food. Actions of the human participant should also be reviewed to determine if the person caused or provoked the incident and if a citation is necessary. The following table, adapted from Denali National Park and Preserve's Bear-Human Conflict Management Plan (USNPS 2003), serves as a general guideline to defining bear behavior and determining management actions (Table 1).

Table 1. Bear Behavior and Management Response Table

Bear Behavior	Human Bear Interactions	Management Response
DEFENSIVE		
Intolerant	Bear leaves area when it becomes aware of people.	None
Protective	Bear challenges intruder of its personal space by approaching, charging, or body language.	Advisory or closure
Surprise	Close encounter, bear charges or attacks, then leaves once person is no longer a threat.	Advisory or closure
Provoked	Person intentionally approaches close to bear, bear reacts then leaves area.	Advisory or closure Consider citation to person
TOLERANT		
Curious	Bear shows inquisitiveness one time to identify scent or object, then leaves.	None
Habituated	Bear frequents area used by people, ignores people, food, and gear.	None or advisory Monitor bear reports, or Haze bear in high human-use areas
Possibly conditioned or rewarded	Repeated interest in people, facilities, food and/or gear.	Advisory or closure or Hazing or Aversive conditioning
Rewarded or food-conditioned	Bear damages gear/property and/or obtains food or trash	Advisory or closure Consider citation to person Consider aversive conditioning Consider relocation, destruction
AGGRESSIVE/PREDATORY		
Threatening with no contact	Bear makes non-defensive charge or stalk but does not make physical contact	Advisory or closure Consider aversive conditioning, relocation, destruction
Threatening with contact	Bear makes non-defensive charge or stalk with physical contact	Destroy
Predation	Kills/consumes victim in non-defensive attack	Destroy

BEAR MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

1) Bear Advisory

Bear advisories contain specific information pertaining to bear management and are designed to be distributed immediately targeting people who may be affected by bear activity and/or bear-human interactions in a certain location. Advisories fulfill two purposes: 1) to inform visitors of the situation and potential risks, and 2) to educate people how to behave to minimize these risks. The content of a bear advisory may include information about recent bear activity or bear-human conflict, and may include a “not-recommended” zone delineating an area where hiking and/or camping is not

recommended due to bear activity. Bear advisories can be distributed in a news release, flyer, posted sign, e-mail, ranger talk/presentation and/or directly by word of mouth from park staff. Front-country areas of bear advisories should be monitored by park staff and reevaluated every 2 weeks to ensure that the advisory is still warranted. Backcountry (including the Bartlett River) advisories will stay in effect for up to 30 days, at which time the advisory will expire unless there is information indicating the advisory is still warranted. Press releases regarding advisories should clearly state the day in which the advisory will expire. When the date of the advisory expires and/or the decision is made to lift the advisory all posted signs must be removed.

2) Monitoring

Monitoring can be directed at either individual bears as they pass through an area of human use, or an area in which bear activity is of concern. General procedures for:

Monitoring an individual bear:

- Always carry a park radio and bear pepper spray.
- Determine location of people, number of bears, species, ages, and bear's behavior and travel route.
- Contact people in the area to alert them to the bear's presence.
- Keep bear in view while maintaining a comfortable distance between you and the bear so that the bear's behavior is unaltered by your presence.
- Ensure that people do not get close enough to the bear to jeopardize their safety or alter the bear's behavior.
- Continually look for any unsecured human food or trash.
- Document all actions.

Monitoring areas for bear activity:

- Always carry a park radio and bear spray.
- Determine location of people, number of bears, species, ages, and bear's behavior and travel route within area.
- Look for fresh bear sign including scat, digs, beds, and tracks. Record bear sign in field notes and/or GPS.
- If possible, conduct a brief habitat assessment looking for available/ripe bear foods in the area and evidence of grazing by bears.
- Continually look for any unsecured human food or trash.
- Document all actions.

Safety

Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for monitoring bears is a park radio and bear spray. A minimum of two people is recommended when monitoring brown bears. Extreme caution is advised when a carcass is present or suspected.

3) Area Closures

Short-term closures (30 days or less) in the backcountry of Glacier Bay have been issued in response to bear-human conflict successfully since the 1970s under the authority of 36CFR13.50 (Appendix D). Temporary closures to human use and/or overnight camping can successfully minimize bear-human conflict by protecting humans from potential bear-human conflict, allowing a potential problem bear to move out of the area without further temptation from human attractants, and/or allowing time for bear habitat conditions to change such that bear activity in the area decreases. Temporary closures also allow managers to conduct aversive conditioning safely if necessary. Closures may also be used to protect bears or other wildlife species at important food sources and/or critical times such as denning or molting. Area closures are communicated to the public through press releases and at the VIS. Closure press releases should contain a map with Lat/Long coordinates defining the closure and the distance from the shoreline that the closure extends. For general shoreline incidents, closures generally begin at the tide line and extend ½ mile inland. Closures may extend 100 yards into the water to protect animals from vessel disturbance. The following guidelines are used to determine the establishment of temporary camping closures and the process of re-opening these areas to overnight camping.

Criteria for issuing temporary area closure:

- One incident involving a human injury or fatality caused by a bear.
- One incident involving a bear with predatory and/or aggressive behavior.
- One or more incidents involving a bear obtaining human food or trash.
- One or more incident involving major property damage.
- Multiple minor incidents involving a bear approaching people and/or minor property damage.
- Bear on a carcass, or a carcass located near a potential camping area.
- Areas with high risk of bear/human conflict due to large number of bears in the area feeding on natural seasonal food sources.
- Other identified wildlife risk.
- Bears or other wildlife species concentrating at important food sources and/or sensitive times.

Managing the temporary area closure:

- Allow 30 days for most closures following bear incidents. Closures following major incidents, such as a human injury or death, may be longer.
- Monitor the area for bear habitat and activity as often as possible over the 30 days.
- Near the end of the closure time, trained resource protection and/or resource management staff may camp at the incident location for a minimum of one night to test if the bear is still in the area.
- Near the end of the closure time, bear management specialists may conduct a brief bear habitat and activity survey to assess the risk of further bear encounters in the area.

- Near the end of the closure time, members of the GLBA Bear Committee should discuss the incident and subsequent management actions and data to decide if the area is safe to open.

Criteria for re-opening a temporary area closure area:

- 30 days has passed, and
- The bear involved in the original bear incident(s) was either effectively aversively conditioned or was not seen again in the closure area, and
- Habitat quality and bear activity levels were found to be normal for the season and location.

OR

- Wildlife risk or sensitivity that was identified is no longer present.

4) Hazing and Aversive Conditioning (AC)

Hazing and aversive conditioning of bears can be used to change individual bear behavior by conditioning with negative stimulus.

General Procedures

Define your goals

What do you want the bear to do and/or learn?

List objectives

- How can you best achieve the goals?
- Evaluate the situation and possible risks.
- Discuss all options including: do nothing, monitor bear, issue an advisory for the area, close the area, launch an educational campaign, haze bear, attempt aversive conditioning, relocate bear, destroy bear.

Make a plan

- Carefully plot a course of action to deal with the specific situation – consider all techniques and tools available.
- Make the plan realistic with available personnel, time and resources.
- Outline ways to measure the success of management actions – how are you going to tell if the plan is working?
- Establish times to regroup and discuss how the plan is going and how to improve or change tactics.

Conduct hazing and/or aversive conditioning with the following guidelines:

- Make sure the scene is safe and visitors are informed or removed from area.
- Ensure that all unnatural attractants have been secured from bears.
- Always provide the bear an escape route.
- Use the minimum tool that will accomplish your objective.
- Only authorized personnel should use flare launchers, and/or bear deterrent ammunition.

- Ensure adequate staffing is present for safe execution of the planned action: 1-2 people for hazing of black bear, 2 people required for any work with brown bear, 3 people recommended for hazing or AC on brown bear: 1 w/ AC rounds, 1 w/ lethal rounds, and 1 w/ bear spray.
- Several interactions with the bear may be required to effectively haze or aversively condition bears.

Document decisions and actions

Keep a running record of all management meetings and decisions and well as all interactions with the bear(s).

Safety

Safety is the most important factor to consider during hazing/AC. Pyrotechnics and 12 gauge projectiles are dangerous and potentially lethal to people and wildlife as misplaced shots could cause severe injury to eyes and even kill. Pyrotechnics (screamers, bangers, and cracker shells) should NEVER be fired directly at the bear, and care must be taken to avoid starting a fire with certain cartridges. Projectiles (rubber bullets, rubber buckshot and beanbag rounds) should only be fired with a clear line of sight at reasonable range, and aimed for muscle mass, NOT head and soft tissue.

Bears may react defensively so personnel should be prepared at all times to respond to a charge or even attack. Authorized personnel conducting hazing and AC on brown bears should consider carrying at least one 12 gauge shotgun containing lethal slugs as a back-up. Shotguns containing deterrent projectiles vs. lethal rounds should be clearly marked and lethal rounds should NEVER be combined with AC projectiles in the same shotgun.

Bear Spray in Hazing or Aversive Conditioning

Bear spray should be present and accessible whenever actively hazing or aversively conditioning bears in case the bear becomes defensive or aggressive. The use of **bear pepper spray shall not be used as a hazing or aversive conditioning tool** because bear spray should be reserved as a last resort for self-defense.

Training

Only trained personnel may conduct hazing and aversive conditioning. Other staff members may assist only under close supervision of trained personnel. Tools and techniques, specific protocols, and training procedures are outlined in Appendix F.

6) On Site/"Hard" Release

Hard release is a management tool that has proven quite effective in several national parks. The problem bear is trapped in the location where it is not allowed (such as a picnic area of campground), tranquilized and then released at the same location with a large amount of negative reinforcement such as projectiles, cracker shells, and Karelian bear dogs if available. Currently in Glacier Bay National Park there are no employees trained or equipment available to capture and immobilize bears. If it is determined that a

hard release in the park is necessary, the park will rely on the availability and expertise of Alaska Region and ADF&G personnel.

7) Translocations

Glacier Bay National Park has no employees trained or equipment available to capture, immobilize and relocate a bear. If it is determined that relocating a bear in the park is necessary, the park will rely on the availability and expertise of Alaska Region (AKRO) and ADF&G personnel. All decisions and operations would be under advisement from AKRO and ADF&G biologists.

8) Destruction

A bear may be killed if it poses an immediate threat to life and safety. In all other situations, the Superintendent must authorize destroying a bear under advisement of the Chiefs of Resource Management and Protection, Wildlife Biologist, and District Ranger in consultation with Regional Wildlife Biologist and/or Protection Ranger and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Area Biologist.

Destroying a bear will only be conducted if identification of the bear is absolutely positive AND one of the following criteria is met:

- The bear poses an immediate threat, or
- Aversive conditioning has been attempted and failed, or
- Translocation has failed or is not an option, or
- The bear is responsible for an injury or fatality from a non-defensive or unprovoked attack, or
- The bear is mortally injured in an area of human use.

The destruction of bears should be conducted by park rangers or resource management personnel proficient in the use of either high caliber rifles or 12 gauge shotguns. It is recommended that at least two armed personnel be present when bear is destroyed.

HUMAN INJURY OR FATALITY

Bear-human conflicts resulting in human injury or death require immediate activation of the information network, including the Alaska Region Communication Center and additional notification of the Superintendent, Chief of Protection, District Ranger, Chief of Resource Management, and Wildlife Biologist. The incident command system will be activated and an incident commander will be identified. Responders will follow the NPS Alaska Region Bear/Human Attack Protocols Checklist and follow protocols and reporting procedures in the NPS Alaska Region Bear/Human Attack Report (Appendix I). One person will be assigned to conduct public relations with the media during the investigation.

Initial response to the incident will focus on the safety of the victim, responders, and other people in the area. Initial investigation of the attack will be aimed at determining if


the attack was defensive, provoked, or aggressive/predatory (non-defensive and unprovoked). Bears seen in the area will be actively hazed out of the area during the investigation. If the attack is determined to be defensive or provoked, the area may be closed and no further action taken. If the attack is determined to be aggressive or predatory, further action will be taken to positively identify the bear involved in the incident and destroy it. If a bear in the area interferes with the investigation or acts aggressively and cannot be deterred or hazed from the area, staff may consider destroying bear. If a bear is seen consuming human flesh, the bear will be destroyed. All reports, decisions, and actions must be documented in detail.

OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES BY MANAGEMENT ZONES

Different areas of Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve will be managed according to type/level of human use and accessibility to park personnel. Management zones include: 1) Bartlett Cove Developed Area, 2) Bartlett River, 3) Glacier Bay proper backcountry, 4) National Preserve/Dry Bay, 5) Alsek River, and 6) Gustavus. More information about the different management zones can be found in the introduction of this document and Appendix A.

1) Bartlett Cove Developed Area (BCDA)

Bartlett Cove is the access point for nearly all land visitors to Glacier Bay, therefore a distinction has been made between the Bartlett Cove Developed Area and the rest of the park based on the unfeasibility of closing the Bartlett Cove area to visitors. Areas of high human use in the BCDA have been designated as places where elevated bear management will be conducted during the visitor season (May 1-August 31)(Figure 3). Daily walks through housing and lodge areas by patrol rangers, technicians, supervisors, and managers are recommended to insure that food and trash are being stored properly



- **Areas of Elevated Bear Management:**
- Bears in this area will be monitored whenever possible.
 - Bears lingering on roads, trails, boardwalks or immediately adjacent to buildings within these areas will be actively hazed away whenever possible.

Figure 3. Map of Bartlett Cove Developed Area.

The following operational procedures apply to response to bear-human conflicts in the Bartlett Cove Developed Area:




Figure 4. Matrix for bear management response in the Bartlett Cove Developed Area.

2) Bartlett River

The Bartlett River and trail system are managed with special considerations pertaining to bear-angler interactions. Bear use on the river is common when salmon are present, and bear-human encounters should be expected. The river and trail system can be considered for closure if a serious threat to resources or human safety is perceived. The following operational procedures apply to response to bear-human conflicts in the Bartlett Cove River:




Figure 5. Matrix for bear management response in the Bartlett River Area.

3) Glacier Bay Backcountry

The backcountry of Glacier Bay contains areas of moderate human use and ranges from relatively accessible to inaccessible for management response actions. Temporary advisories and localized closures have proven successful as the primary action to mitigate bear problems in the backcountry. The following operational procedures apply to response to bear-human conflicts in the Glacier Bay backcountry:




Figure 6. Matrix for bear management response in the Glacier Bay backcountry.

4) National Preserve – Dry Bay

A distinction has also been made for Dry Bay in the National Preserve because of special circumstances including commercial fishermen living and working in the area, rafters camping at the end of their Alsek River trips, legal trapping and hunting (including bears), and limited park personnel available for bear management. Closing Dry Bay to human use is not a realistic option. The information network for Dry Bay relies on communication from the Dry Bay Ranger(s) to the Yakutat District Ranger and members of the Glacier Bay Bear Committee (see Appendix G of Bear Management for names and phone numbers). The following operational procedures apply to response to bear-human conflicts in Dry Bay:




Figure 7. Matrix for bear management response in the National Preserve, Dry Bay.

5) Alsek River

The Alsek River corridor, with the exception of Dry Bay, is accessible only by raft/kayak from Canada, motor boat as far as Alsek Lake, or helicopter. Therefore management actions focus on obtaining reports of bear-human conflicts in a timely manner and initiating the Tat-Alsek information network. The information network for the Alsek River Corridor relies on communication from the Dry Bay Ranger(s) to the Yakutat District Ranger and members of the Glacier Bay Bear Committee. Glacier Bay staff will pass on bear information to other agencies involved in managing the river system (Parks Canada, BC Parks, and Yukon Parks). For more serious encounters, these managers will be notified by phone (see Appendix G of Bear Management Plan for names and phone numbers). The following operational procedures apply to response to bear-human conflicts on the Alsek River:




Figure 8. Matrix for bear management response on the Tatshenshini-Alsek River corridor.

6) Gustavus

Gustavus is outside the jurisdictional boundaries of Glacier Bay National Park. Although bears move in and out of Gustavus from park lands, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game has full management control of bears while they are in Gustavus. For this reason, the park's role in management outside of its boundaries will focus on education and information transfer. In addition, efforts will be made to minimize human food acquisition by the bears with the use of loaned electric fencing to protect such food sources as gardens, compost bins/piles, and residences. Park service personnel working in conjunction with the ADF&G area biologist may issue educational materials to residents of Gustavus in efforts to reduce bear-human conflicts. Any reports or observations of food storage violations and/or bear-human conflicts in Gustavus should be reported to the ADF&G area biologist and no further action taken without the request of this individual.

Part III. Information Management

HISTORY OF BEAR-HUMAN CONFLICTS

Historical accounts of bear-human conflict in Glacier Bay date back to 1912 when Allen Hasselberg was mauled by a brown bear as he was hunting up the Bartlett River (Howe, 1996). Settlers raising cattle in Gustavus in the 1920s - 50s were challenged by “marauding” brown bears when, according to homesteaders’ recollections, bears of both species were shot on sight (Mackovjak 1988, Kurtz 1995, Streveler, pers comm.). In 1939, Bert Parker shot and killed a brown bear that he claimed stalked him at his mining camp above Ptarmigan Creek. (Been 1940). Known bear incidents were minimal (1-2 per year) and minor from 1960-1975 (Figure 9). In 1976, a lone kayaker camping in the east arm was killed and consumed by a brown bear. In 1978, Glacier Bay National Monument staff wrote the first bear management plan and made attempts to bear-proof garbage cans and the dump in Bartlett Cove to deal with an estimated 25 black bears in the front country that were partially or entirely dependent upon human food sources (Ritter 1978). The plan defined methods to reduce bear-human conflicts as well as protect and maintain natural habitat for black and brown bears.

After the first bear management plan was written, the number of bear-human conflicts continued to increase, and another lone kayaker was killed by a black bear in Sandy Cove in 1980. These events led to repeated yearly seasonal camping closures of two large sections of coastline: Sandy and Spokane Coves; and West Tarr/North Johns Hopkins Inlet, referred to from here on as the Sandy Cove and Tarr Inlet closure areas. In addition to the camping closures, these areas were subject to periodic monitoring of bear distribution, habitat, and abundance throughout the 1980s.

In 1988, Glacier Bay, now a national park, completed its second bear management plan which further detailed methods of reducing bear-human conflict while preserving bears and their habitat and allowing for visitor education and enjoyment (USNPS 1988). Although the bear management plans of 1978 and 1988 both outlined specific methods of eliminating all human food sources for bears as an important step to reducing bear-human conflict, bears continued to obtain human food and trash in the backcountry throughout the 1980s and into the early 1990s. In 1991, the park began to mandate the use of bear-proof food storage techniques such as Bear Resistant Food Canisters (BRFC) in the backcountry and incidents in which bears obtained food dropped over the next few years. In the front-country, bears continued to get food regularly, despite the bear-proof garbage cans until the summer of 1992 when a black bear with 3 cubs of the year repeatedly obtained food from people in Bartlett Cove and was subsequently relocated to Geikie Inlet (see Appendix A.1). After this season, food storage in the developed area improved significantly and overall numbers of bear-human conflicts decreased throughout the park for several years.

Bear-human conflict numbers began to rise again after 1995 and peaked at 22 in 2000. Most of the conflicts during this time occurred in the backcountry. Backcountry

visitation increased through the 1990s peaking at 1600 campers per season in 1997 (Kralovec et al. 2007), and then slowly decreasing to an average of 1100 people per year from 1997-2011 (Figure 9). Increases in backcountry use and bear-human conflicts in the late 1990s led to the initiation of several bear research projects from 2000-2005 designed to minimize bear-human conflicts, evaluate the ongoing Sandy Cove and Tarr Inlet closure areas, and inform a comprehensive bear management plan. Lessons learned in the first few years of this phase of bear research led to an overhaul of the park’s bear safety message beginning in 2003. The new safety message taught campers how to interpret basic elements of bear behavior, how to react accordingly during bear encounters, and encouraged campers to maintain control of their gear and stand their ground to approaching bears in most situations. A historical bear research and management timeline can be found in Appendix J.



Figure 9. Number of Bear incidents from 1959-2011 and backcountry users from 1997-2011 (NPS unpublished data).

RESEARCH

Bear distribution, abundance, and habitat in closure areas, 1980s

Increasing bear-human conflicts, including two fatalities and multiple incidents involving bears obtaining campers’ food, led to repeated seasonal camping closures in the 1980s. Several research projects were initiated in the late 1980s to attempt to gain information on bear habitat and activity in the Sandy Cove and Tarr Inlet closure areas. Observations in Sandy and Spokane Coves indicated high concentrations of black bears using the beach, especially in the spring and early summer (Sharman et al. 1982a, 1982b, and 1983,

Publicover 1985, Blackie 1989). High numbers of black bears in the area were attributed to: a natural funnel of low elevation terrain of three creek systems leading to the coast and surrounded by steep mountains; the successional stage of the vegetation being prime for black bear forage (high density of salmon berries and devil's club); and increasing human use of Gustavus and the Beardslee Islands potentially driving bears northward (Publicover 1985).

Several studies were conducted in the West Arm to evaluate brown bear movements, habitat and number of bears using various sections of shoreline including Tarr Inlet, northern Johns Hopkins Inlet and Russell Island areas (Warburton 1988, Wolfe 1989, and Climo and Duncun 1991). Habitat was found to vary greatly between sections of shoreline with habitat quality and bear numbers were highest on the mainland north of Russell Island and lowest on the west side of Tarr Inlet and north side of Johns Hopkins Inlet. Studies showed that during the years of research, a minimum of 4-7 bears resided in the Tarr Inlet closure area and traveled regularly between beach segments. Wolfe suggested requiring the use of bear-proof food canisters, maintaining the camping closure for a minimum of two years, increasing camper education and bear sighting collection efforts, and expanding upon research of bear distribution in the upper west arm.

Bear Sightings and Incidents Database, 2000

In response to rising numbers of bear incidents through the late 1990s and to begin informing the creation of a new bear management plan, a database of bear sightings and incidents was constructed and populated with data from records dating back to 1932. In 2006 all records from the original Access/GIS database were imported into a new database called the AKRO Bear-Human Information Management System (BHIMS) which is currently used and summarized annually.

Bear Campsite Risk Assessment Project, 2001-2002

One hundred and sixty one campsites were assessed in 2001-2002 for bear habitat potential, bear encounter potential, and bear displacement potential. Subjective encounter risk ratings were assigned to each site in the field (Figure 10) and a statistical analysis was conducted to test which site variables are best indicative of bear activity levels. Seventy-three percent (n=117) of the campsites were subjectively rated as moderate bear encounter risk, twenty percent (n=33) were rated as low encounter risk, and seven percent (n=11) were rated as high encounter risk (Lewis et. al 2006).

Statistical analysis involved deriving human use levels for specific areas from the park's camper database and identifying relative bear usage and bear-human conflict histories for specific areas by constructing a database of park records. Three variables were selected to model bear activity and incident rates at camp sites: bear-human incident rate (number of bear-human incidents derived from park records), bear use (site use by bears as derived from park records), and bear sign within 100 m of campsites. Classification models used sites with no occurrences of bears or conflicts as one group, and those with at least one occurrence of bear use or conflict as the other. Models indicate that the likelihood of bear use of any given site is most closely predicted by: subjective rating of

the site's habitat potential, amount of human use, and the assessment of fall habitat quality. The study found that the probability of bear-human conflict was best predicted by the following variables in decreasing importance: distance to salmon streams, amount of bear sign, and the ability of bears to bypass the site (Smith et al. 2006).




Figure 10: Subjective Bear Encounter Risk Assessment Ratings of Campsites in Glacier Bay.

Bear Activity and Habitat Project, 2004-2005

In 2004, the park and USGS initiated a study to quantitatively evaluate the two large (28 and 29 km) sections of shoreline in Sandy Cove and Tarr Inlet that had been closed to the public for camping for over 20 years (see Appendix A, Figure 20). Park managers needed information on bear use in these areas to determine whether the long-term camping closures remained warranted. They also needed information on effective methods for evaluating bear activity and habitat quality for future conflict sites. Several non-invasive methods were tested to assess bear activity and habitat quality within these closure areas as well as within other areas of historic high numbers of bear-human

conflicts. In June, July and August of 2004 and 2005, researchers conducted bi-weekly surveys of 8 study areas (6 predominantly brown bear areas, and 2 black bear areas) to quantify bear activity using remote time-lapse videography, bear sign abundance surveys, and genetic testing of hair samples (Partridge et al. 2009). They also evaluated bear habitat quality by mapping and classifying habitats and determining the abundance and diversity of bear foods using vegetation plots on random transect lines within each habitat type.

One camping closure area ranked consistently low in bear activity and habitat quality, while the other ranked consistently high. These results led park managers to open the closure area with low bear activity/habitat quality (Tarr Inlet) for the 2007 visitor season, while the closure containing high bear activity and habitat quality (Sandy Cove) remains closed to camping. This work suggests that habitat evaluation, bear sign mapping, and periodic scat counts will provide a useful index of bear activity for future sites of interest (Partridge et al. 2009).

In a corresponding diet study conducted at 8 study sites of management concern including the closure areas, Partridge et al. (2009) examined evidence of bear grazing and conducted a cursory analysis of the gross contents of all scats encountered in the study areas. Based on these analyses, graminoid and forb species were found to be the most prevalent bear foods, particularly early in the season. Graminoid species such as rye grass and sedge were commonly found in scats throughout the season in brown and black bear study areas, while alkali grass was also commonly found in scats in black bear areas. Horsetail was also found in most study sites throughout the season. Based on grazing evidence and scat contents, both bear species used many umbels such as seacoast angelica, cow parsnip, and pacific hemlock parsley, particularly during the mid-summer. The roots of alpine sweet-vetch were dug extensively in Russell Passage and to a lesser degree in Queen Inlet and Reid Inlet. The flowers, stems, and seeds of field oxytrope were a large component of scats in Queen Inlet and Russell Passage. Strawberries were utilized extensively in all study areas where they were available. Soapberry was also a main late summer diet component in most brown bear study areas in the West Arm. In Tlingit Point and Sandy Cove study areas, ground cone was grazed when available and berry use was high, starting in June with the maturation of strawberry and salmonberry and continuing through July and into late August when devils club, nagoonberry, high-bush cranberry, and other species became prevalent. There was little evidence of a dependence on terrestrial meat sources by Glacier Bay bears, although scats were occasionally found with hair and connective tissue. The use of barnacles and mussels was common across study areas and seasons. Barnacle shells were more commonly observed in bear scats than mussels, although both occur in intertidal areas. In late August 2005, salmon became common in scats from specific areas such as Wolf and Tlingit Points. Unfortunately, field work terminated just as salmon were entering streams, making evaluation of salmon dependence difficult. An unexpected source of terrestrial food for bears in many of the study areas was wasps. In Sandy Cove, Wolf Point, and Russell Passage, the remains of several predated nests of paper wasps were found in 2004, along with wasp exoskeletons and nest material in scats.

Effectiveness of Hazing and other Management Techniques in Bartlett Cove, 2006-2007

Bear management actions in Bartlett Cove in 2006 and 2007 were analyzed to determine the effectiveness of various techniques. Bear management events are defined as periods of time in which NPS staff are in the presence of a bear or bears for management purposes such as monitoring and/or hazing. Bear management events lasted anywhere from 30 seconds to several hours. If the event involved solely monitoring the bear(s), it was considered a monitoring event, and if any hazing occurred during the event, it was then considered a hazing event. Since hazing is largely a method to move bears out of areas of high human-use, overall success of a hazing event is defined as accomplishing this objective. There were 34 bear management events (28 hazing and 6 monitoring) in Bartlett Cove in 2006 and 41 (22 hazing and 19 monitoring) in 2007. 27 out of 28 hazing events were successful in 2006, while 21 out of 22 hazing events were successful in 2007. Of the 50 hazing events over the two years combined, 37 involved family groups (mother with dependent cubs) while 13 involved non-family groups (individual bears or multiple bears with no dependent cubs).

The reason for the decrease in hazing and increase in monitoring of bears between 2006 and 2007 was likely because park managers decreased the size of the “no bear zone” in the developed area between the two years. In 2006, bears were hazed from a large area between the main dock and Alder Creek, including the wooded areas and the beach meadows. Many staff hours were spent attempting to haze bears away from prime grass and berry resources within this large zone. In 2007, park managers decided to decrease this area of the “no-bear zone” to roads, trails, housing developments, and parking lots, while allowing bears to travel and feed on the beach and wooded areas between the high human-use areas. Due to the close proximity of human activity, it was decided that bears in this area would be continually monitored by park staff to increase human safety and decrease the chances of bears obtaining human food or trash. Monitoring bears instead of hazing them decreases overall staff time because one or two people can easily monitor a bear while it usually requires two or more people to haze them effectively. Monitoring bears instead of hazing them also allows the public to view bears safely in their natural environment, and provides an opportunity for education.

We used results from these two years to determine the success of hazing techniques on bears in both family and non-family groups. Hazing techniques are defined as individual actions taken by NPS personnel to move bears. A hazing action is considered successful if the bear(s) stops its activity and/or moves in the desired direction immediately after the hazing action. Data from 2006 and 2007 were combined to increase the sample size of each hazing technique evaluated. Over the two year period, 56 hazing actions were recorded involving family groups of bears of which 26 (46%) were successful. The following hazing techniques were used on family groups: Air horn was successful 0 out of 1 times (0%); Pyrotechnic bear deterrent launcher was successful 1 out of 1 times (100%); Speaking softly to the bears was successful 3 out of 3 times (100%); Throwing objects was successful 3 out of 8 times (38%); Banging objects was successful 0 out of 1 times (0%); Approaching aggressively was successful 6 out of 11 times (55%); Vocalizing and waving was successful 1 out of 1 times (100%); Yelling and clapping was

successful 7 out of 22 times (32%); “Super soaker” squirt gun was successful 1 out of 3 times (33%); and Vehicle noise was successful 1 out of 1 times (100%). 21 hazing actions were recorded with non-family groups of which 17 (81%) were successful. The following hazing techniques were used on non-family groups over the two year period: Throwing objects was successful 1 out of 1 times (100%); Approaching aggressively was successful 5 out of 6 times (83%); Yelling and clapping was successful 10 out of 13 times (77%); and Vehicle noise was effective 1 out of 1 times (100%).

Although sample size was small for most hazing techniques, overall results indicate that family groups are generally harder to move than bears without dependent young. Yelling and clapping was the most common technique used and was 77% successful on non-family group bears but only 32 % successful on family groups. Cubs of the year will often flee up a tree when frightened, which is counter-productive to efforts to move a family group out of the area since the mother will not leave the area without her cubs and the cubs will not come down until the threat has diminished. Talking softly, while only used 3 times on family groups, was 100% successful, possibly because bears could be slowly coaxed out of the area without frightening the cubs enough to climb a tree. This technique of “gentle herding” may offer the most promise of keeping family groups, particularly with cubs of the year, away from areas of high human use.

Regional Bear Research, 2004-2009

Brown Bears

Brown bears range throughout the Southeast Alaska mainland and on many of the the northern islands including Admiralty, Baranof and Chichigof (ABC Islands). Brown bear densities are highest on the ABC Islands at ~ 1 bear/mi². Although mainland brown bear densities are unknown, they are estimated to be highest on the Yakutat Forelands (between Dry Bay and Yakutat Bay), second highest in upper Lynn Canal and Chilkat River Valley, and lowest in Glacier Bay (Schoen and Gende, 2006). Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) believe that the mainland population of brown bears is stable (Porter 2005).

Preliminary genetic comparison of Glacier Bay proper brown bears showed that they have a closer genetic relationship to adjacent mainland bears in Kluane, Yukon, than to Chichagof Island bears (Paetkau 2004). This is somewhat expected since ABC Islands bears are known to be somewhat genetically isolated (Paetkau 1998), but still surprising since Chichagof Island is only a short swim away from Glacier Bay for a bear, using the Icy Strait islands as stepping stones. Because Glacier Bay lies directly between Kluane and Chichagof, a more detailed analysis of the genetic relatedness of Glacier Bay bears can answer interesting questions about the transfer of individuals between these populations.

Another interesting study utilizing brown bear hair samples from seven individuals in Glacier Bay was conducted by Garth Mowat and Douglas Heard, investigating major components of grizzly bear diet across North America (Mowat and Heard 2005). Using stable isotope analysis, Mowat and Heard analyzed the proportion of assimilated carbon and nitrogen coming from plant, marine and terrestrial meat sources from in Glacier Bay brown bears. Their results indicated a diet of 69% plants, 31% marine derived nutrients,

and 0% terrestrial meat. The proportion of marine derived nutrients found in the diets of Glacier Bay brown bears is low compared to diets of brown bears along the coastline of British Columbia and Alaska. For example, brown bear diets on Chichagof Island were found to be 46% plants and 54% marine derived, and in Katmai National Park 37% plants and 63% marine. Marine carbon and nitrogen is assumed to have come from salmon, although bears obviously use other marine sources (e.g., barnacles, mussels, rock gunnels, clams). It is also important to remember that the value of 31% represents the assimilated carbon and nitrogen coming from marine sources and does not indicate the total biomass consumed. Thus, based on Mowat and Heard's work, marine derived nutrients are an important part of the yearly diet of bears in Glacier Bay, but vegetation likely comprises the majority of the biomass consumed by bears (Partridge et al. 2009).

Salmon have been found to be one of the most important factors determining the population productivity of coastal bears in Alaska (Hilderbrand et al. 1999). Due to the relatively recent deglaciation of Glacier Bay, salmon resources are less substantial than other areas of southeast and coastal Alaska. Based on field observations and crude scat analysis, it is likely that much of the marine derived nutrients found in the diets of Glacier Bay brown bears originate from barnacles, mussels, and other invertebrates (Partridge et al. 2009). Hilderbrand et al. (1999) found a positive correlation between contribution of dietary meat (particularly salmon) and North American brown bear body mass, litter size, and population density. Using the estimated value of 31% of the marine derived meat contribution and the equations of Hilderbrand, we can estimate several average individual and population parameters. Based on Hilderbrand's work, the mean female and male mass of Glacier Bay bears would be approximately 154.4 kg and 256.1 kg, respectively. The mean litter size of females would be 2.14 and the overall population density would be approximately 200 per 1000 km² (0.2 bears per km²). However, these numbers are possibly lower because a large source of marine derived meat in Glacier Bay is likely invertebrates, a widely dispersed resource with smaller dietary reward per unit of effort than salmon. Intertidal foraging by brown bears has not been widely investigated, but Smith and Partridge (2004) found on the Alaska Peninsula that smaller bears were more likely to harvest clams in the intertidal than larger males or females with cubs of the year. They concluded that since large males and females with young cubs have the highest nutritional requirements, the nutritional reward of harvesting individual clams is simply not worth the time and effort required to obtain them.

Black Bears

Black bears in Southeast Alaska are common along the mainland coast and the southern islands. Although no population studies on black bears have been conducted in northern southeast Alaska, ADF&G estimates densities of approximately 1.3-1.5 bears per mi² in forested habitat throughout the region, except where displaced by brown bears on the Yakutat Forelands (Barten 2005 a and b, Hessing 2005). Black bears in Southeast Alaska have been the least studied of all big game species (Schoen and Peacock 2006).

Black bears in the region exhibit a wide range of colors, including black, cinnamon, and blue (glacier bears). Black and cinnamon color phases are both common in Glacier Bay, and glacier bears are also reported regularly. Lt. Wood reported a "silvertip" glacier bear

in the area in 1877, and one was shot in Dry Bay October of 1917 (Home 1973). Joe Ibach, a miner in Reid Inlet from the 1920s to the 1950s, shot two glacier bears in 1930 (Home 1973) and a number of others as a big game guide up until the early 1960's (Ken Youmans as related to Greg Streveler). As further related to Youmans, Ibach described glacier bears to be quite different in appearance and behavior than other black bears: smaller, rangier, more secretive, tending to favor high, barren country (Greg Streveler, pers. comm.). Ibach claimed that glacier bears were a separate species based on the small size of his specimens and a thin covering of bone on the rear molars (Home 1973), but whatever their former status, they are now likely thoroughly introgressed into the black bear population. Bears with "glacier bear" pelage have reported sporadically in the park from the 1950s to present, mostly on the southwest side of Glacier Bay, Dundas Bay, Taylor Bay, and the outer coast including Lituya Bay and Dry Bay, often in mixed litters with other pelage colors. They do not generally fit Ibach's description.

Black bears from Glacier Bay to Yakutat Bay, including glacier bears, are recognized as a subspecies *Ursus americanus emmonsii*, while most other black bears in Southeast Alaska are recognized as belonging to subspecies *Ursus americanus pugnax* (MacDonald and Cook 1999, Schoen and Peacock 2006). It appears that the two subspecies are likely mixing somewhere in the vicinity of Glacier Bay as evidenced by the increase in cinnamon colored individuals. Cinnamon colored black bears are common to the northeast of Glacier Bay in Lynn Canal where glacier bears are very rare (Hessing 2005). Meanwhile, cinnamon colored bears are very rare northwest of Glacier Bay on the Yakutat forelands where glacier bears are the most abundant (Barten 2005). The first cinnamon colored black bear reported in Glacier Bay was in 1967 (Home 1973), and are now common on the lower portion of the bay. Stone and Cook (2000) found genetic evidence of two distinct lineages, coastal and continental, that converge near Windham Bay south of Juneau. Further genetic analysis is needed to determine genetic variation and subspecies designation of black bears in the region.

Disturbance of Brown Bears by Vessels, 2008-2010

Bear-viewing and other recreational activities are growing non-consumptive resource uses that managers must balance with mandates to protect wildlife from detrimental disturbance. The effects of human use on population parameters of bears are often hard to interpret so changes in bear behavior may be used as a proxy to assess the effects of human disturbance. This study examined the effects of vessel based bear-viewing on the behavior of brown bears on the shoreline of Glacier Bay Alaska using controlled experimental vessel approaches to bears. Researchers experimentally approached 24 brown bears from vessels and recorded the distance from boat to bear using a Rangefinder Binoculars in a study from 2008-2010. During these approaches, scientists documented the bear's behavior every 15-30 seconds. Bear behaviors were categorized as either energetic gain (beneficial) or stress (detrimental) behaviors. Results indicate that energetic gain behaviors (feeding, resting, etc.) did not change significantly with boat proximity, but the frequency of stress behaviors (vigilance, mouthing, etc.) increased significantly when a vessel approached a bear within 100 meters. In addition, the majority of bears that were approached within 100 meters fled short distances and several bears were displaced completely from the beach. A result from this study is a

recommendation for vessel captains to maintain at least 100 yards from bears on the beach communicated during boater orientations.

Black and Brown Bear Distribution, 2009-2010

Until relatively recently, it was believed that brown bears colonized Southeast Alaska from the north, and black bears from the south after the end of the last great ice age ~10,000 years ago (Klein 1965). However, black and brown bear fossils found in caves on Prince of Wales Island in the 1990s dated up to 40,000 years old indicate that both species coexisted in the area through at least part of the Late Wisconsin glaciation, thus further substantiating the theory that a habitable coastal refugia existed in Southeast Alaska during this time (Heaton et al. 1996).

Species distribution in the park has changed significantly over the past 100 years. Brown bears were commonly reported in Gustavus and Bartlett Cove in the 1920s and 30s, were essentially absent in these areas from the 1960's through the late 1990's, and have become more regular there since that time. Conversely, black bears were more prevalent on the lower outer coast in the 1960s and 1970s (Streveler, et al., 1974, 1975), whereas now brown bears appear to predominate, at least in the Dixon Harbor/Torch Bay area. A similar increase in brown bear frequency is noticeable over the last decade in western Dundas Bay, at Point Carolus, and in the Bartlett Cove - lower Beardslee area. Beginning in 2010 and increasing in 2011 and 2012, brown bears have been frequently spotted within the developed areas of Bartlett Cove and Gustavus. Currently, black bears appear to predominate in the forested regions of the lower bay while brown bears predominate in the open recently deglaciated upper bay and along much of the outer coast to Dry Bay, with wide mixing zones of the two species in the mid portions of Glacier Bay and in bays and inlets along Icy Strait and the outer coast. The changes in bear distribution over time are likely caused by a range of factors including receding glaciers providing access to new territory, subsequent plant and stream succession, immigration of individuals through travel corridors, colonization of new areas, and competition between species. Streveler and Smith (1987) describe two immigration corridors into Glacier Bay besides the shoreline: the Tarr Inlet – Melbern corridor in the upper west arm, and the Goddess River – Endicott River, otherwise known as the Endicott Gap, in the lower east arm. They inferred that the Endicott Gap corridor has played a large role in the establishment of mammals, including brown and black bears, in upper Glacier Bay. Competition between the two species has been hypothesized to play a major role in colonization success when there is a large dietary overlap between the species (Mattson et al. 2005). Mattson et al. (2005) conclude that brown bears have an advantage over black bears when high quality foods are concentrated at predictable times, allowing brown bears to dominate foraging through interference, or resource defense, competition. But when food resources are more dispersed and less predictable, black bears with smaller body size (less dietary requirements) and higher densities are able to dominate foraging opportunities through exploitation competition. This theory could explain the general absence of brown bears in the lower forested areas on Glacier Bay from the 1930 – 1990s, where berries and forb resources are dispersed and black bear populations are well established.



Figure 11. Brown bear distribution (left) and black bear distribution (right) across 40 study sites in the Glacier Bay bear distribution project, 2009-2010.

A study from 2009-2010 indicates that southern Glacier Bay and Gustavus are now suitable brown bear habitat. Researchers investigated the distribution of bears along the length of the bay, in relation to the plant and stream succession of the landscape and the number of years the land has been exposed by glacial retreat (Lewis 2012). The study explored patterns of distribution among present bear populations. Bear tracks and hair collected from rub trees were analyzed to help understand how modern Glacier Bay bears are influenced by the unique landscape surrounding Glacier Bay. Anecdotally, the recently forested southern bay have been inhabited only by black bears while brown bears in Glacier Bay have been associated with old growth forests of the outer coast and recently de-glaciated areas. This study discovered that black bear distribution is strongly associated with closed forest cover (Figure 11). Surprisingly however, brown bears were found in every part of the bay, including the young forests in the southern bay. This discovery indicates that brown bears are in an ongoing process of colonizing Glacier Bay, expanding their range into the forelands, including the town of Gustavus. As testament to the brown bears' range expansion, 2012 was the third year in over 50 that brown bears were regularly sighted on the Bartlett River, in Bartlett Cove, and Gustavus. One major behavioral difference between the two species is that brown bears may charge or even attack (rarely) when surprised or defending cubs or a carcass, highlighting the importance of park visitors and community members to minimize bear attractants in town, and for people to make noise and pay attention while hiking or berry picking.

Population and landscape genetics of brown bears in Glacier Bay, 2009-2010

A study conducted in 2009-2010 examined the genetics of bears across Glacier Bay. Bear Hair DNA from 105 brown bears was collected from sites spanning across Glacier Bay National Park (Lewis 2012). Genetic and landscape analysis can be used to show how the landscape and population structure of brown bears are intertwined in Glacier Bay, and to help determine likely sources for brown bear re-colonization in the recently de-glaciated region. Results show that there are three genetically distinct groups of brown bears in Glacier Bay and that the rugged Fairweather mountain range and the wide fjords of Glacier Bay are both barriers to dispersal (Figure 12). Two genetic groups

range far beyond the park's boundary to the west and east, and one group was isolated long enough to undergo genetic drift and develop a genetic signature unique to northern Glacier Bay. This endemic subpopulation likely stems from an original group of colonizers from the east, while bears from the other two groups are more recent immigrants. One recently immigrated group likely moved into the bay from the northwest, the other arrived from the northeast, and represent a second wave of colonization along the shoreline of Glacier Bay. These recent immigrants are beginning to mix with the original colonizers after years of separation, and soon the unique genetic signal of the original colonizers can be expected to vanish.




Figure 12. Brown bear genetic groups in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve, 2009-2010. Orange circles indicate the “GLBA” genetic group endemic to Glacier Bay.

Gustavus Forelands bear population, 2011-2012

Wildlife managers rely on accurate population estimations to contribute to the working knowledge of game and non-game animals. Until recently, there have been no regional population studies done on black bears (*Ursus americanus*) in southeast Alaska, yet harvest rates are set at 10% of the total population. Researchers conducted an in-depth literature review of the history of bear harvest and the use of noninvasive genetic sampling and mark-recapture methodology. The methods described in the literature review were used during the spring, summer, and fall months of 2011 and 2012 to conduct noninvasive genetic research estimating the minimum number of black bears within the Gustavus, Alaska forelands. Harvest records were collected from the Alaska Department of Fish & Game and compared the population estimate with the average

number of harvested bears from 1990 – 2011 to determine if harvest rates were either set at unsustainable or overly conservative levels. Field staff collected 196 samples and marked 33 black bears and 14 brown bears over two field seasons with hair collected off of 25 rub trees and 8 baited hair traps. Using the Huggins linear logistical model in program DENSITY, the minimum number of black bears was estimated to be to be 54.5 ± 10.3 (95% CI=41.6 – 84.8). The average number of black bears killed by humans annually from 1990 – 2011 was 3.68 indicating that the current harvest level meets the 10% allowable take but future research is encouraged to obtain more precise population estimates allowing wildlife managers to monitor black bear population trends and continue to make responsible management decisions.

HUMAN CAUSED BEAR MORTALITIES ON AND AROUND PARK LANDS

Hunting is not allowed within the boundaries of Glacier Bay National Park, but bears can be harvested legally within the preserve, on private in-holdings within the park, and on all lands adjacent to the park and preserve in accordance to ADF&G hunting regulations. Bears can also be killed legally in defense of life and property (DLP) in the preserve and other areas surrounding the park if the bear was not attracted by garbage or other attractants and all other means of deterring the bear were attempted before it was killed. DLP kills must be reported to ADF&G and parts of the bear (hide and brown bear skulls) must be submitted. Other potential human caused mortalities include poaching and road-kills.

National Preserve - Dry Bay

Sport hunting of both brown and black bears occurs in the preserve, although brown bears are much more prevalent and thus more commonly hunted. Harvest data show that black bear harvest has declined since 1971 in the preserve while brown bear harvest is increasing at a rate of 13.8% per year since 1960 (Figure 12 and 13). Although Dry Bay is remote, there are several airplane landing strips that hunters utilize as well as a public use cabin in which hunters can stay. There is also a lodge in Dry Bay that specializes in guided bear hunts, both in and out of the preserve. There has been only one reported DLP kill in the preserve, although there have been numerous anecdotal reports over the years of nuisance bears killed in Dry Bay illegally. The park has recently committed to continued education and enforcement in Dry Bay to attempt to minimize illegal nuisance bear kills. In addition, the park will document and update bear harvest numbers based on Alaska Department of Fish and Game data in the bear management plan periodically.

A recent study found that 2 male brown bears captured and collared by ADF&G at the Yakutat landfill migrated to Dry Bay and back to Yakutat where they were subsequently killed (ADF&G unpublished data). One of these bears dened for a winter south of Dry Bay on National Park Service land. This data provides the first evidence that actions taken surrounding the unprotected landfill in Yakutat affect park resources.




Figure 12: Number of black bears harvested by year in Dry Bay, Glacier Bay National Preserve, 1971-2010. Mean = 1.90 ± 0.27, n=40 (ADF&G unpublished data).




Figure 13: Number of brown bears harvested by year in Dry Bay, Glacier Bay National Preserve, 1960-2010. Mean = 5.80 ± 0.43, n = 51 (ADF&G unpublished data).

Lands Surrounding the Park

The northern side of Glacier Bay National Park borders a Canadian Provincial Park, where hunting is prohibited. The United States Forest Service administers the land on the northwest, northeast, and east sides of Glacier Bay National Park where hunting is

permitted by state regulations in Game Management Units (GMUs) 05A, 01D, and 01C respectively (Figure 14). The following graphs show the harvest trends for black and brown bears in these GMUs from 1960-2010 (Figures 15, 16, and 17).




Figure 14. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Management Units (GMU) surrounding Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve.




Figure 15. Numbers of black and brown bears harvested by year in GMU 05A (ADF&G unpublished data).




Figure 16. Numbers of black and brown bears harvested by year in GMU 01D (ADF&G unpublished data).




Figure 17. Numbers of black and brown bears harvested by year in GMU 01C (ADF&G unpublished data).

Gustavus

Black bears are killed legally in Gustavus almost every year (Figure 18). Although most bears are harvested by licensed hunters during the legal season, it is important to recognize that many of these bears are shot in people’s yards after investigating garbage, livestock, and other human attractants. Hunters often choose to harvest nuisance bears instead of declare them DLP kills so that they do not have to forfeit the hides. For example, in 2002 many bears were killed after getting into people’s outdoor refrigerators and freezers, but only 2 out of the 14 bears killed were reported as DLPs. The Gustavus landfill is surrounded by an electric fence and has been essentially bear-proof for over 10 years, but garbage and other human attractants at people’s homes and cabins continue to be the cause of many, if not most, black bear deaths in Gustavus.




Figure 18: Number of black bears killed by year in Gustavus by category: roadkill, defense of life or property (DLP), or harvested/hunted, 2000-2010 (ADF&G unpublished data).

FUTURE RESEARCH

The vast majority of Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve is comprised of intact Wilderness, and human development and hunting are allowed in only a very small fraction of the land. For this reason, there is little management motivation or need to conduct invasive research (such that requires capturing and collaring animals) for the purposes of managing and maintaining bear populations. Historically, the park has leaned towards less intrusive methods of research that seek to answer specific management questions or threats. The following research projects have been identified as important for bear management in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve.

Glacier Bears

NPS and ADF&G biologists have concern of overharvest of rare glacier-pelage black bears in the preserve and US Forest Service lands surrounding the Glacier Bay National Park. Recently the Alaska Board of Game designated black bears as furbearers, meaning their hides may be sold commercially. Biologists fear that increased hunter effort may target these animals due to the value of these rare hides. Harvest data from GMU 5A, encompassing Glacier Bay National Preserve does not show an increase in glacier bear harvest from 1971-2010 (Figure 19) and only seven of the 78 (9%). This proportion may increase in the future if black bear numbers increase in the preserve, so harvest levels should be monitored. In addition to monitoring harvest, baseline data on the distribution and relative abundance of glacier bears would be of interest to the park.

Further genetic analysis is needed to determine genetic variation and subspecies designation of glacier bears and other black bears in the region. The first step is to evaluate the genetic structure of black bear populations within and surrounding the park. Then further genetic analysis is necessary to determine the genetic basis for the glacier bear phenotype, and frequency of this genotype across populations. Baseline data on the distribution and frequency of glacier bears among populations of black bears in the region is necessary to assess the conservation status of the color phase and manage the genetic diversity of black bears in the park.




Figure 19. Harvest rates of glacial-pelage black bears in GMU 05A (ADF&G unpublished data).

Population Trends

Presently there is little known about the populations of black and brown bears in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Population monitoring may be warranted in the future if human use increases significantly along the shoreline of the park. Population monitoring

in the preserve and lands surrounding the park may be warranted if human-caused bear mortality increases significantly due to increased harvest or DLP kills in the preserve, or ADF&G harvest regulations are liberalized. Current research is being conducted that will provide a minimum population of black and brown bears within the Gustavus forelands. The information from this study will inform decisions based on harvest pressure in the area as well as provide a baseline data set for future population monitoring if necessary.

Bear/Human Interaction

Yearly analysis of bear-human interactions are important in order to continue providing the most relevant bear safety messages and other preventive management techniques and thus minimize bear-human conflicts. In addition, analysis of bear-human interactions in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve across longer time-frames may be useful to determine if current management strategies minimize bear-human conflicts.

Acknowledgements

This document is the result of years of interdivisional collaboration and the hard work of the following NPS employees: Gus Martinez, Margaret Hazen, Fawn Bauer, Randy Larson, Cherry Payne, Susan Boudreau, Kyle Pinjuv, Christopher Behnke, Lisa Etherington, Tom Vandenberg, Albert Faria, Lewis Sharman, Chuck Young, Rusty Yerxa, Tomie Lee, Craig Smith, Terry DeBruyn, Jim Capra, Maya Seraphin, Wendy Bredow, Todd Bruno, Scott Taylor, Chad Soiseth, Bill Eichenlaub, Dave Nemeth, Nat Drumheller, and Haley Underberg. In addition, many thanks to Tom Smith, Steve Partridge, Greg Streveler, Debbie Wellwood, Kevin McLaughlin, Afan Jones, Neil Barten, and Ian Gill for valuable contributions to this plan.

References

- Baron, J. 1984. Bears in Bartlett Cove. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Unpublished report. 21 pp.
- Barten N. 2005a. Unit 1C black bear management report. Pages 36-55 *in* C. Brown, editor. Black bear management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 2001 – 30 June 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 17.0. Juneau, Alaska.
- Barten N. 2005b. Unit 5 black bear management report. Pages 117-128 *in* C. Brown, editor. Black bear management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 2001 – 30 June 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 17.0. Juneau, Alaska.
- Been, F. T. 1940. Notes from a trip to Admiralty Island and Glacier Bay, 1940, in the company of Victor Cahalane. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Informal narrative report. 48 pp.
- Benn, B., and S. Herrero. 2002. Grizzly bear mortality and human access in Banff and Yoho National Parks, 1971-98. *Ursus* 13:213-221.
- Blackie, B. 1989. Beach use by black bear in East Glacier Bay. Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Gustavus, Alaska, USA.
- Bunnell, F.L., and D.E.N. Tait. 1981. Population dynamics of bears – implications. *In* Dynamics of large mammal populations. C.W. Fowler and T.D. Smith, eds. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York. Pp. 75-98.
- Catton, T. 1995. Land reborn: A history of administration and visitor use in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Seattle, WA., University of Washington. 517 pp.
- Climo, L. and T. Duncan. 1991. Brown bear use of beaches in the west arm closure area, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve, in 1991. Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Gustavus, Alaska, USA.
- Heaton, T. H., S. L. Talbot, and G. F. Shields. 1996. An ice age refugium for large mammals in the Alexander Archipelago, Southeastern Alaska. *Quaternary research* 46: 186-192.
- Hessing P. 2005. Unit 1D black bear management report. Pages 56-70 *in* C. Brown, editor. Black bear management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 2001 – 30 June 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 17.0. Juneau, Alaska.
- Herrero, S. 1985. Bear attacks, their causes and avoidance. Lyons and Burford publishers, New York. pp. 53-54.

- Herrero, S., and F. Fleck. 1989. Injury to people inflicted by black, grizzly, and polar bears: recent trends and new insights. *International Conference on Bear Research and Management* 8:25-35.
- Herrero, S., A. H. Weerstra, R. M. Roth and L. Wiggins. 1993. The conservation significance of bears and their habitat in the Tatshenshini river valley. *Canadian Wildlife Federation*. Published report. 26 pp.
- Hilderbrand, G.V., C.C. Schwartz, C.T. Robbins, M.E. Jacoby, T.A. Hanley, S.M. Arthur, and C. Servheen. 1999. The importance of meat, particularly salmon, to body size, population productivity, and conservation of North American brown bears. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 77: 132-138.
- Home, W. S. 1973. *The Mammals of Glacier Bay*. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Unpublished report. 47 pp.
- Howe, J. R. 1996. *Bear Man of Admiralty Island, a biography of Allen E. Hasselborg*. University of Alaska Press, Fairbanks Alaska. Pp. 61-83.
- Karsky, D., K. Barber, J. Gookin, G. Kees, and J. Claar. 2007. Specifications for Portable Electric Fence Systems as Potential Alternative Methods for Food Storage. Technology and Development publication number 0723 2305P. Missoula, MT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Missoula Technology and Development Center. 10 p. http://www.fs.fed.us/t-d/php/library_card.php?p_num=0723%202305P
- Klein, D. R. 1965. Postglacial distribution patterns of mammals in the southern coastal regions of Alaska. *Arctic*. 18: 7-20.
- Kralovec, M. L., A. H. Banks and H. Lentfer. 2007. Distribution and number of backcountry visitors in Glacier Bay National Park 1996-2003. *In: Piatt, J. F. and S. M. Gende ed. Proceedings of the Fourth Glacier Bay Science Symposium, Juneau, Alaska. October 26-28, 2004. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2007-5047, pp.204-207.*
- Kurtz, R. 1995. *Glacier Bay National Park & Preserve, historic resource study*. NPS. historic resource study. pp.105
- Lewis, T. 2012. *Shoreline Distribution and Landscape Genetics of Bears in a Recently Deglaciaded Fjord: Glacier Bay, Alaska*. University of Alaska, Fairbanks. M.S. Thesis. 103 pp.
- MacDonald, S.O. and J.A. Cook. 2007. *Mammals and amphibians of Southeast Alaska*. The Museum of Southwestern Biology, The University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001.

- MacHutchon, A. G. 2000. Risk assessment of bear - human interaction at campsites on the Tatshenshini River and lower Alsek River, Yukon, B.C., and Alaska. B.C. Parks. Published report. 76 pp.
http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/bcparks/explore/parkpgs/tatshens/tat_report.pdf
- MacHutchon, A.G., and D.W. Wellwood. 2002. Reducing bear-human conflict through river recreation management. *Ursus* 13:357-360.
- Mackovjak, J. R. 1988. Hope and hard work, the early settlers at Gustavus Alaska. Gustavus, AK, Goose Cove Press. 85 pp.
- Mattson, D.J. 1990. Human impacts on bear habitat use. *International Conference on Bear Research and Management* 8:33-56.
- Mattson, D.J., S. Herrero, and T. Merrill. 2005. Are black bears a factor in the restoration of North American grizzly bear populations? *Ursus* 16(1):11-30.
- Mowat G., and D.C. Heard. 2006. Major components of grizzly bear diet across North America. *Can. J. Zool.* 84: 473-489.
- Murdoch, C. and C. Soiseth. 2007. 2007 Bartlett River Fieldwork Summary. Final report to Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Gustavus, Alaska, USA.
- Nevin, O.T. and B. K. Gilbert. 2005. Perceived risk, displacement and refuging in brown bears: positive impacts of ecotourism? *Biological Conservation* 121:4:611-622.
- Paetkau, D., G.F. Shields, and C. Strombeck. 1998. Gene flow between insular coastal and interior populations of brown bears in Alaska. *Molecular Ecology* 7, 1283-1292.
- Paetkau, D. 2004. Wildlife Genetics International project w9498 Glacier Bay pilot study. Report to Glacier Bay National Park February 25, 2004.
- Partridge, S.T., T.S. Smith, and T.M. Lewis. 2009. Bear activity and habitat assessment of eight shoreline areas in Glacier Bay National Park, Alaska. Final report to Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Gustavus, Alaska, USA.
- Porter, B. 2005. Unit 1 brown bear management report. Pages 1-19 *in* C. Brown, editor. Brown bear management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 2002-30 June 2004. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Juneau, Alaska.
- Publicover, D. A. 1985. A Survey of potential and actual habitat use by American black bears in the Spokane Creek drainage, Glacier Bay, Alaska, August 16-24, 1985. University of Vermont, Department of Botany, Field Naturalist Program, Burlington. 25 pp.

- Ritter, J. 1978. Glacier Bay National Monument, Alaska: bear management plan. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Unpublished report. 9 pp.
- Rode, K.D., S.D. Farley, and C.T. Robbins. 2006. Behavioral responses of brown bears mediate nutritional effects of experimentally introduced tourism. *Biological Conservation* 133. Pp 70-80.
- Schoen, J., and S. M. Gende. 2006. Brown Bears in Southeast Alaska. Audobon Society Report.
- Schoen, J., and L. Peacock. 2006. Black Bears in Southeast Alaska. Audobon Society Report.
- Sharman, L. and K. Kristensen. 1982a. Sandy Cove bear surveys plus stream surveys (June 17-23, 1982). U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Informal narrative report. 13 pp.
- Sharman, L. and K. Coghill. 1982b. Spokane Cove bear survey and Sandy Cove recheck (after 6/82 survey). U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Informal narrative report. 4 pp.
- Sharman, L. and P. Brown. 1983. Sandy Cove bear survey - June 15, 16, 17, 1983. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Informal narrative report. 4 pp.
- Smith, T.S. 2002. Effects of human activity on brown bear use of the Kulik River, Alaska. *Ursus* 13:257-267.
- Smith, T. S. and T. Lewis. 2002. Risk assessment of bear-human interactions at campsites within Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve, Alaska. U.S. Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center. Unpublished report. 11 pp.
- Smith, T.S, T. D. DeBruyn, T. Lewis, R. Yerxa and S. Partridge. 2003. Bear-Human interactions at Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve: conflict risk assessment. *Alaska Park Science*. Summer, 2003: 20-25.
- Smith, T.S, and S.T. Partridge. 2004. Dynamics of intertidal foraging by coastal brown bears in southwestern Alaska. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 68(2): 233-240.
- Smith, T.S., B.A. Johnson, T.L. Lewis. 2006. Using Neural Networks to Model Bear-Human Interactions at Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve, Alaska, USA. Poster Presentation for the 17th International Conference on Bear Research and Management. October 2-6, 2006 Karuizawa Town, Nagano, Japan.

- Smith, Tom S., S. Herrero, T.D. DeBruyn, and J. Wilder. 2008. Efficacy of Bear Deterrent Spray in Alaska. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 72(3): 640-645.
- Smith, Tom S., S. Herrero, C. Layton, R.T. Larsen, and K.R. Johnson. 2012. Efficacy of Firearms for Bear Deterrence in Alaska. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 76(5): 1021-1027.
- Soiseth, C. and L. Adamson. 1998. Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve Bartlett River Recreational Fishing survey and bear education campaign, 1996-1997 seasons. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Unpublished report. 18 pp.
- Stone, K.D. and J.A. Cook. 2000. Phylogeography of black bears (*Ursus americanus*) of the Pacific Northwest. *Can. J. Zool.* 78: 1218-1223.
- Streveler, G. P. 1977. Dixon Harbor biological surveys, final reports on the summer phase of research of 1973, 1974, and 1975. U.S. National Park Service. pp.
- Streveler, G. P. and L. Smith. 1987. Endicott Gap large mammal survey. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Unpublished report. 13 pp.
- Streveler, G. P. and L. A. Smith. 1980. Larger mammals. *In*: Streveler, G.P. and I.A. Worley, and B.F. Molnia, (eds.) Lituya Bay environmental survey, v.1. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. 288-335 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). Living with Grizzlies, Fact Sheet No. 8. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Mountain-Prairie Region, P.O. Box 25486. Lakewood, Colorado 80225. <http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/species/mammals/grizzly/bear%20spray.pdf>
- USNPS. 1989. Alek River Visitor Use Management Plan. Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Gustavus, Alaska, USA. <http://www.nps.gov/glba/parkmgmt/upload/1989-alek.pdf>
- USNPS. 1988. Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve bear management plan. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Management plan. 17 pp.
- USNPS. 2003. Vessel Quota and Operating Requirements Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision. Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Gustavus, Alaska, USA.
- USNPS. 2003. Denali National Park and Preserve Bear-Human Conflict Management Plan. Denali National Park and Preserve, P.O. Box 9, Denali Park Alaska, 99755. <http://www.nps.gov/dena/parkmgmt/upload/Bear-Human%20Conflict%20Management%20Plan.pdf>

USNPS. 2007. Off-Road Vehicle Use Plan Environmental Assessment. Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Gustavus, Alaska, USA.

Warburton, J. 1988. A background survey of the distribution, movements, and habitats of the brown bear in the west arm of Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. U.S. National Park Service, Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Unpublished report. 70 pp.

Wolfe, D.F.G. 1989. Brown Bears in the Upper West Arm of Glacier Bay: Shoreline numbers, movement patterns, and habitat usage. Final report to Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve. Gustavus, Alaska, USA.

Glossary

Aggressive Behavior: Bear charges and/or attacks person non-defensively. Behavior may be threatening or predatory.

Attractants: Human derived objects that may attract bears, including; food, beverages, garbage, food and beverage containers, harvested fish and game, pet and bird food, food waste, and dirty dishes and food storage containers, and scented personal items.

Aversive Conditioning: Management action that uses negative stimuli to attempt to train a known bear to stop a certain activity or behavior.

Bear-human conflict: Bear-human encounter in which the person was injured, gear was damaged, and/or the person had to deter the bear. Synonymous with incident.

Bear-human encounter: Bear and human interact in some way.

BRFC: Bear Resistant Food Container. An item constructed to prevent access by a bear.

Charge: Bear runs towards a person.

Cryptic Behavior: Bear attempts to remain unseen by people.

Curious Behavior: Bear displays interest in a person, gear, or facilities.

Defensive Behavior: Bear reacts to a perceived threat to themselves or their cubs. Defensive behaviors range from body language and approaching to charging or attacking. Reaction may be intolerant, protective, surprised, or provoked.

Dominance Behavior: Bear attempts to assert dominance over a person.

Encounter: A bear and a human are mutually aware of each other and interact in some manner.

Food-conditioned Behavior: Bear seeks human food, trash, and other attractants. Habituated Behavior: Bear has become tolerant of people (or vehicles, boats, buildings, etc.) due to repeated exposure with no negative consequences.

Hazing: Management action that uses negative stimuli to move bears from certain locations.

Incident: Bear-human encounter in which the person was injured, gear was damaged, and/or the person had to deter the bear. Synonymous with bear-human conflict.

Intolerant Behavior: Bear does not tolerate people or human activity.

Low Level Hazing/AC Techniques: Mild aversive stimuli such as yelling, clapping, and throwing objects.

Mid-High Level Hazing/AC Techniques: More severe aversive stimuli such as pyrotechnics and projectiles fires from shotguns.

Monitoring: Management action in which observers look for and/or watch a bear or bear activity in a specific location.

Observation: A person sees a bear but has no interaction.

Predatory Behavior: A bear stalks or attacks a person in order to consume them.

Protective Behavior: Bear challenges intruder of its personal space by responding defensively.

Provoked Behavior: Bear responds defensively after person approaches, follows, or otherwise harasses the bear.

Rewarded Behavior: Bear has received a positive reward (usually food or trash but could also include gear or other property) from people and seeks more.

Surprised Behavior: Bear is surprised in a sudden encounter with people and behaves defensively.

Threatening Behavior: Bear makes a non-defensive charge, stalks, or attacks a person in an aggressive and/or predatory manner.

Tolerant Behavior: Bear tolerates people and human activity.

Appendices

APPENDIX A. MANAGEMENT ZONE DESCRIPTIONS

1) Bartlett Cove Developed Area (BCDA)

The park headquarters is located in Bartlett Cove near the mouth of Glacier Bay. The vast majority of land visitors in the park pass through the Bartlett Cove Developed Area (BCDA), which includes all NPS administered lands, structures, facilities, and waters within 1 mile of any Bartlett Cove facility, including buildings, structures, park roads, parking lots, campground, picnic areas, paved trails. Lester Island and the remaining undeveloped area in Bartlett Cove are managed as backcountry. The Glacier Bay Lodge provides overnight accommodations for visitors with a range of approximately 4,000-13,000 visitor use nights (number of people x number of nights) per year since 1995. A park service campground provides camping opportunity for visitors. In addition to overnight visitors, park and lodge staff housing and park administrative facilities are located in the BCDA. Day users include visitors, locals from neighboring Gustavus, and from private boats and tour ships. The total number of user-days in Bartlett Cove is unknown.

The vegetation in the BCDA is composed primarily of young spruce forest, beach upland meadows and intertidal zone. These habitats contain abundant seasonal bear forage including grasses, forbs, and berries. Based on foraging observations and crude scat analysis the following plant foods appear highly important: Spring/early summer – sedges, Pacific alkali grass, common horsetail, and dandelion flowers; Midsummer - Angelica, cow parsnip, Pacific hemlock parsley, and strawberries; late summer/Fall – Nagoonberries, Devil’s club berries, blueberries, and salmonberries. The Bartlett River, about a mile to the northeast, is a productive salmon stream containing sockeye, pink, and coho salmon runs, and intertidal food resources such as barnacles and mussels surround the BCDA. Detailed bear food descriptions can be found in Appendix B.

In 1992, a cinnamon colored black bear, called “Ursula”, with 3 cubs-of-the-year frequented Bartlett Cove. The bear and cubs approached people for food, became regular dumpster visitors, and began to steal packs from the porch of the Visitor Information Station and the lodge luggage room. In mid-August, one of the cubs disappeared. The remaining bears got into the fenced area near the lodge kitchen and into the lodge itself. Hazing was attempted and the bears were repeatedly sprayed with bear spray, only to return. In early September it was decided that the female and her remaining 2 cubs must be relocated. An ADF&G wildlife biologist was called in, the female was immobilized and cubs captured and the family group was transported to the north side of Geikie Inlet.

Improvements in storage of human trash and food were made following this experience, and conflicts decreased dramatically. Black bears regularly passed through the developed area and were occasionally hazed from human-use zones, but few bears obtained human food or became a nuisance. During the summer of 2011, a single black bear obtained

food from a dumpster outside of the lodge. The lodge replaced their dumpsters with bear-resistant dumpsters in July 2012 to prevent a recurrence of bears obtaining food from dumpsters.

Single black bears regularly pass through the BCDA but rarely linger. Brown bears are rarely sighted in Bartlett Cove, but there has been an increase in brown bear sightings within the BCDA from 2010 to 2012. The vast majority of bear use is by female black bears with dependent young. Human use has been shown to displace large males that can be a threat to young bears (Nevin and Gilbert 2004), so it is possible that BCDA serves as a refuge with safe feeding opportunities for mothers with cubs and other subordinate bears. In addition, human activity likely deters brown bears from the area, thus further increasing the benefits of the refuge for subordinate black bears (Mattson 1990). Black bear family groups frequenting the BCDA create both challenges and benefits to management and visitor experience. The potential for habituated black bears coming into contact with people is high which creates concern for public safety as well as the potential for bears to become food conditioned if food or trash is stored improperly. The benefits include enhanced bear viewing opportunities for visitors and increased educational opportunities for the park to teach the public bear safety and awareness.

2) Bartlett River

The Bartlett River is an approximately 20 mile long watershed that flows into Glacier Bay about a mile north of the Bartlett Cove Developed Area. Visitor access to this popular fishing stream is via a 2.8 mile developed trail. The number of visitors using the Bartlett River trail system has not been tracked until recently. Trail counters recorded 1466 visitors and park employees using the trail in 2012 (C. Murdoch, NPS, pers. com). Results from opportunistic angler counts mid-August to mid October 1996-2007 indicate that daily use has increased from an average of 1-2.5 anglers to 4.5-5 anglers on the river at a time (Murdoch and Soiseth, 2007).

The vegetation and bear forage opportunities along the Bartlett River are similar to the BCDA with spawning pink salmon, chum salmon, sockeye salmon, and Dolly Varden available seasonally. Bear use of the area is high, particularly when fish are available. Most bears observed are black bears but brown bears have occasionally been sighted along the river and trail.

There have been numerous reports of individual bears obtaining fish and other food from anglers, and nearly every year advisories are issued for the river warning fisherman of rewarded/persistent bears. The Bartlett River trail has been closed to foot traffic due to aggressive bears intimidating fisherman as recently as 1999. Since then, park managers have focused efforts on educational campaigns and advisories before and after bear-human conflicts and the trail and river have not been closed to human use unless a human safety risk is identified.

In an attempt to reduce the potential of bears acquiring fish from anglers, the Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve compendium was updated as of 2011. Section 2.10(d)3 states "*All fish caught on the Bartlett River shall be kept within six feet of the angler or*

their person.” AND “*All harvested fish caught on the Bartlett River shall be packed out whole, except gills and entrails.*” Signage has been posted at both trail heads informing anglers of these new regulations. VIS staff, bear management staff and law enforcement rangers frequently patrol the river and talk to anglers and hikers about recent bear activity and current regulations.

3) Glacier Bay Proper Backcountry

Glacier Bay proper is a recently deglaciated marine fjord that extends more than 60 miles northward. Most visitors to the shores of Glacier Bay travel by kayak and camp at any number of non-designated campsites. An average of 1100 visitors camp yearly in the backcountry of Glacier Bay from 1997 - 2011 (NPS unpublished data).

Plant communities in Glacier Bay range from young spruce forest in the lower portion of the bay to sparsely vegetated and even barren land in the upper, most recently deglaciated part of the bay. Bear forage species in the Bay include most of the bear foods listed in Appendix B. Black bears tend to live in the forested areas of the lower bay, while brown bears predominate in the more open upper reaches of the bay, with considerable overlap between the species in the mid and lower portions of the bay.

Two people have been killed by bears in the Glacier Bay backcountry (1976 and 1980). Both were single kayakers in a time when bears were obtaining food from campers regularly. No person has been injured by a bear in the Park since 1980 and BRFCs (or hanging food) became mandatory in the backcountry in 1991. Presently, bear-human interactions in the backcountry are common with about 10-20 incidents (conflicts) per year. Backcountry conflicts range from mild to severe. The most common scenario involves a curious bear approaching a camp, the campers either absent or back away from their gear, and the bear proceeds to play with and/or destroy anywhere from a single item to an entire camp. Aggressive behavior is rarely reported and defensive behavior is also uncommon. Bears usually do not obtain food from people, but on occasion bears are able to gain access to some sort of food reward. It is believed that jumping on and tearing into tents, bags, and kayaks may be a type of reward for the bear, even if no actual food is obtained. For this reason, campers are encouraged to defend their gear unless they feel their safety is at imminent risk.

Management response to backcountry bear-human conflicts has generally consisted of issuing advisories and camping closures. Two long term seasonal camping closures were initiated in the 1980's in response to bear-human conflicts (Figure 20). A bear research study from 2004-2005 found that one of the closure areas (Sandy Cove) was necessary, while the other (Tarr/Johns Hopkins Inlet) was shown to be unnecessary and was subsequently opened to camping in 2007. Park staff members with bear management responsibilities have been trained in aversive conditioning (AC) since 2006, and although AC has been attempted several times in the Glacier Bay backcountry since then, crews have never successfully located the perpetrating bear and applied AC. For this reason, advisories and closures appear to be the most efficient and effective management responses to incidents in the backcountry.

Icy Strait, Outer Coast, Excursion Ridge and Inlet are geographically and biologically diverse. Visitation to these areas is characterized as low, but the yearly number of visitors is unknown. Research, management actions, and law enforcement efforts in these areas have been minimal compared to the other management areas where visitation is higher. Bear food resources likely include all of the bear foods listed in Appendix B. Excursion Ridge appears to support more black bears, the Outer Coast more brown bears, and Icy Strait appears to have a mix of both. Reports of bear-human conflicts in these areas are very rare, most likely due to low visitation.



Figure 20. Seasonal (May 1-Aug. 15) camping closures in the backcountry due to concerns of bear-human conflicts in Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve.

4) National Preserve – Dry Bay

Glacier Bay National Preserve in Dry Bay encompasses approximately 57,000 acres of land on the northwest side of Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve where the Alsek River flows into the Gulf of Alaska. Glacier Bay National Park acquired the National Preserve at Dry Bay from the US Forest Service in 1980 under the Alaska National Interest Land Conservation Act (ANILCA). Under ANILCA, more uses are allowed in the preserve than are generally allowed in the park such as hunting, trapping, subsistence uses, commercial fishing, cabins, off road motorized vehicles, and airplane landings on existing airstrips. A small group of commercial gill net fishermen live in permitted

cabins during the summer months, there are 3 fishing and hunting lodges, and people visit to fish, hunt, trap, take photos, camp and hike. In addition, approximately 800 rafters and kayakers who travel the Tatshenshini and Alsek Rivers take out in Dry Bay for transport home every summer. The total number of summer residents and yearly visitors to the preserve is unknown and likely variable.

A majority of the preserve is a flat rapidly uplifting landscape that has originated from a glacial outwash plain over 200 years ago (USNPS 2007). Habitat types include cottonwood and spruce forests, open and closed low and tall scrub, wetlands, bare ground with sparse herbs and scrub, sand dunes, open meadows, estuaries, riparian, and intertidal zones. This diversity of vegetation types in addition to multiple anadromous fish streams creates an area of overall high quality bear habitat. Based on foraging observations and crude scat analysis the following plant foods appear highly important: Spring/early summer – alpine sweet-vetch roots, sedges, dandelion flowers, horsetail; Midsummer - angelica, cow parsnip, strawberries, field locoweed, creeping spike rush; late summer/Fall – alpine sweet-vetch roots, angelica roots, high-bush cranberries, lupine roots, beach carrot roots, and salmon. The Alsek River and several other drainages in the area including the East Alsek River, the Doame River, Dog Salmon Creek and other unnamed creeks, provide important spawning and rearing habitat to various salmonid species. In addition to salmon, bears have access to fish and marine mammals that are washed upon the shore by the surf. Brown bears predominate in Dry Bay while black bear sightings are rare. The majority of the brown bears observed or reported near cabins and trails are mothers with cubs and subadults, possibly due to a refugia created by the wariness of adult males to human habitation, particularly in a hunted population (Nevin and Gilbert 2004).

Bear-human conflicts in Dry Bay are common. Although no person has been killed by a bear in Dry Bay, bears have caused extensive property damage to boats, fishing nets, equipment, and cabins. Problem bears in Dry bay have historically “disappeared”, and the NPS and Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) strongly suspect that residents regularly kill problem bears but fail to report these kills and turn over the skull and hide to ADFG officials. In 2005, at least four different sub-adult brown bears were involved in breaking into five cabins, partially destroying two of them. On one particularly alarming occasion, two bears attempted to enter a cabin by breaking windows and tearing at walls while a woman and her small child were inside. The four bears involved in property destruction disappeared. Law enforcement personnel and park biologists suspect that these bears were able to obtain human food and trash and thus became food-conditioned, growing more bold and destructive until local residents shot them. This presents both a law enforcement problem (illegal killing of wildlife in the preserve) and a bear management problem (how to manage for losses from a population when the magnitude of losses is unknown).

5) Alsek River

The Alsek and Tatshenshini Rivers originate in the Yukon Territory, Canada, flow southwest to merge together in British Columbia, and then flow into Glacier Bay National Park, Alaska. The Alsek River enters the Pacific Ocean at the northern

boundary of the National Preserve in Dry Bay. The Tatshenshini and Alsek River systems offer world renowned 7-12 day rafting and kayaking expeditions for private and commercial groups. Visitor numbers are limited by maximum group sizes, put-in restrictions on the Alsek, and take-out restrictions in Dry Bay. The Alsek River Visitor Use Management Plan (USNPS 1989) guides visitor use for Glacier Bay National Park. Approximately 700-1300 visitors float down the Alsek River into Glacier Bay National Park every year.

Bear food resources along the Alsek River as it enters Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve are similar as in Dry Bay, with abundance and variety of foods tending to increase as the river gets closer to the Pacific. Both black and brown bears are seen along the river corridor, but brown bears are the most common species reported below Alsek Lake. Bear habitat and bear-human encounter potential was assessed at known campsites along the river in 1999 (MacHutchon, 2000). Two of these campsites in Glacier Bay National Park were “not-recommended for camping” by researchers due to potential bear-human conflicts, mostly as a result of high bear forage quality in combination with travel corridor and poor visibility issues. No management action has been taken in Glacier Bay National Park as a result of these campsite recommendations. The report is available to the public on the Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve website.

Historically there have been few bear-human conflicts along the Alsek River, possibly due to relative low visitation and strong river ethics education. Although bears are rarely reported getting food from rafters, the Alsek River is the only portion of Glacier Bay National Park where storing food and trash in bear-resistant manner is NOT required. Due to concerns over this and other bear management issues, river managers from Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve, BC Parks, Yukon Parks, and Kluane National Park created the “Tat-Alsek Bear Group” in 2006 to focus efforts on improving food storage techniques as well as obtaining information from rafters on bear-human interactions. This group has purchased food storage electric fences and bear-resistant coolers for loan and trial, created a new bear-human interaction reporting system that has increased reporting dramatically, and initiated the creation of an interagency bear-human conflict management plan.

6) Gustavus

Over 200 years ago about 35 square miles of land that make up the current Gustavus was a flood plain for the large glacier at the mouth of Glacier Bay. Gustavus is now a city of approximately 420 residents surrounded by Glacier Bay National Park and the waters of Icy Strait. Gustavus is the primary gateway community to Glacier Bay National Park, and summer workers and visitors likely double the population from May to September.

Gustavus is flat and wet, especially where development ditching has not occurred. Habitat types include cottonwood, pine and spruce forests, open and closed low and tall scrub, wetlands, open meadows, riparian zones, recently uplifted beach fringe, and intertidal zones. The following plant foods appear highly important: Spring/early summer – sedges, dandelion flowers, horsetail; Midsummer - angelica, cow parsnip, strawberries, nagoonberries; late summer/Fall – high-bush cranberries, blueberries, and

salmon. Black bears are most prevalent in Gustavus, but recently brown bear sightings have been increasing within the town and its surrounding areas.

Bear-human conflicts in Gustavus tend to involve obtaining or trying to obtain food from people, particularly near the later part of the summer. The town has a secure landfill that bears cannot access, but bears are still able to obtain food, livestock, and trash from residents. In most years, bears that become food conditioned are shot in Gustavus, but no one has been killed or injured by a bear to date. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game manages bears and hunting in Gustavus. Black bears are routinely hunted for sport, and 2012 was the first year a brown bear was legally harvested on a sport hunting tag in Gustavus.

APPENDIX B: BEAR FOODS IN GLACIER BAY NATIONAL P&P

ID Code	Common Name	Scientific Name	Habitat Type; Targeted Part; Season
Herbs			
ACRU	Baneberry	<i>Actea rubra</i>	Forest; Unknown; Unknown
ANSP	Sea watch/white angelica	<i>Angelica</i> spp.	Meadow; Stalk, flower, roots; Spring, ,summer
ARUV	Bearberry	<i>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</i>	Open dry; Berry: Fall and spring
ARDI	Goatsbeard	<i>Aruncus dioicus</i>	Forest; Unknown; Summer
ASSP	Vetch	<i>Astragalus</i> spp	Open Dry; Root; Summer
ATFI	Lady Fern	<i>Athyrium filix-femina</i>	Forest; Leaves; Summer
BAOR	American Wintercress	<i>Barbarea orthoceras</i>	Meadow; Unknown; Unknown
BORO	Groundcone	<i>Boschniakia rossica</i>	Alder; Bulb; Summer
COCH	Pacific Hemlock-Parsley	<i>Conioselinum chinense</i>	Meadow; Leaves and flowers; Summer
EQSP	Horsetail	<i>Equisetum</i> spp.	Wetlands; All; Spring and summer
FRCH	Strawberry	<i>Fragaria chiloensis</i>	Meadow; Berries; Summer
GLLI	Beach Carrot	<i>Glehnia littoralis</i>	Sand dunes; Root; Late summer
HEAL	Alpine Sweet-vetch	<i>Hedysarum alpinum</i>	Meadow; Roots; Spring, summer, fall
HELA	Cow Parsnip	<i>Heracleum lanatum</i>	Meadow; Stalk, flower, seed; Summer
LAMA	Beach Pea	<i>Lathyrus maritimus</i>	Meadow; Unknown; Unknown
LIHU	Beach Lovage	<i>Ligusticum hultenii</i>	Meadow: Leaves and flower; Summer
LUSP	Lupine	<i>Lupinus</i> spp.	Meadow; Roots; Late summer
OPHO	Devil's Club	<i>Oplopanax horridus</i>	Forest; Berries; Summer and fall
OSDE	Licorice Root	<i>Osmorhiza depauperata</i>	Forest; Unknown; Unknown
OXCA	Field Locoweed	<i>Oxytropis campestris</i>	Meadow; Flowers, seeds, roots; Summer
PLMA	Goose Tongue	<i>Plantago maritima</i>	Intertidal; Leaves; Unknown
RISP	Currant	<i>Ribes</i> spp.	Forest; Berry; Summer and fall
RUAR	Nagoonberry	<i>Rubus arctica</i>	Meadow and forest; Berry; Summer
RUSP	Salmonberry	<i>Rubus spectabilis</i>	Forest; Berry; Summer
SARA	Red-elderberry	<i>Sambucus racemosa</i>	Forest; Berry; Summer and fall
SHCA	Soapberry	<i>Shephardia canadensis</i>	Open dry; Berry; Summer and fall
STAM	Twisted Stalk	<i>Streptopus amplexifolius</i>	Forest; Stalk; Spring and summer
TASP	Dandelion	<i>Taraxacum</i> spp.	Meadow; Flower; Spring and summer
TRMA	Sea Arrow-Grass	<i>Triglochin maritimum</i>	Intertidal; Leaves; Unknown
VASP	Blueberry/Huckleberry	<i>Vaccinium</i> spp.	Forest; Berry; Summer and fall
VIED	High-bush Cranberry	<i>Viburnum edule</i>	Forest and open; Berry; Fall
Grasses			
ELAR	Rye-grass	<i>Elymus arenarius</i>	Beach meadow; Blade; Spring
ELPA	Creeping spike rush	<i>Eleocharis palustris</i>	Wetland; Blades; Summer
CASP	Sedges	<i>Carex</i> spp.	Wetland; Blades; Spring and summer
PUNU	Pacific Alkaligrass	<i>Puccinellia nutkaensis</i>	Intertidal: Blades; Spring and summer
UNGR	Unknown graminoid	N/A	Variable: Blades; Spring and summer
ID Code	Common Name	Scientific Name	Habitat Type; Targeted Part; Season
Animals			
BASP	Barnacles	<i>Balanus</i> spp.	Intertidal; Inside; All seasons
MYED	Blue Mussels	<i>Mytilus edulis</i>	Intertidal; Inside; All seasons

ONSP	Salmon	<i>Onchorynchus</i> spp.	Streams; All parts; Summer and fall
TRSP	Amphipods	<i>Traskorchestia</i> spp.	Intertidal; All parts; Spring and summer
PHSP	Gunnels/Sticklebacks	<i>Pholis/Xiphister</i> spp.	Intertidal; All parts: All seasons
MISP	Voles	<i>Microtus/Clethrionomys</i> spp	Meadow; All parts; All seasons
VESP	Wasps/Bees	<i>Vespula/Bombous</i> spp	Variable; All parts; Summer
ALAL	Moose	<i>Alces alces</i>	Variable; Calves; Spring
ORAM	Mountain Goat	<i>Oreamnos americanus</i>	Variable; All Parts; All seasons

APPENDIX C. FOOD STORAGE REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO GLACIER BAY NATIONAL PARK AND PRESERVE

Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve Compendium 2013

2.10(d) Food storage: designated areas and methods

(1) Definition: A bear resistant container (BRC) means an item constructed to prevent access by a bear. BRC's include—

- Items approved by the Department of Interior and Agriculture's Interagency Grizzly Bear Committee: <http://www.igbconline.org/html/container.html>
- Additional items listed by the State of Alaska, Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation: <http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=livingwithbears.bearcontainers>, with the concurrence of the Superintendent;
- Items or methods approved by the Superintendent.

(2) Throughout the park, all food (except legally taken game) and beverages, food and beverage containers, garbage, harvested fish and equipment used to cook or store food must

be stored in a bear resistant container (BRC) or secured—

- Within a hard sided building;
- Within lockable and hard sided section of a vehicle, vessel, or aircraft; or
- By caching a minimum of 100 feet from camp and suspending at least 10 feet above the ground and 4 feet horizontally from a post, tree trunk or other object on a line or branch that will not support a bear's weight.
- The Superintendent may, upon request, waive or modify food storage requirements in circumstances where compliance with these requirements is not possible, overly burdensome, and is consistent with public safety and wildlife conservation interests.

(3) Bartlett River

- All harvested fish caught in the Bartlett River shall be kept within six feet of the angler or on their person
- All harvested fish caught in Bartlett River shall be packed out whole, except gills and entrails.

Note: This provision does not apply to:

- Clean dishes and cooking equipment that are free of food odors. We strongly recommend that these items be securely stored; but clean and odor free items are not required to be stored in secure containers.
- Food that is being transported, consumed or prepared for consumption.
- Bait which is being lawfully used for trapping or hunting in accordance with applicable state or federal is not considered food subject to the provisions in this section.

[LINK](#) to Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve 2013 Compendium

Federal Code of Regulations, National Parks

Title 36: 2.10 (d)

Food storage. The superintendent may designate all or a portion of a park area where food, lawfully taken fish or wildlife, garbage, and equipment used to cook or store food must be kept in a sealed vehicle, or in a camping unit that is constructed of solid, non-pliable material, or suspended at least 10 feet above the ground and 4 feet horizontally from a post, tree trunk, or other object, or shall be stored as otherwise designated.

Violation of this restriction is prohibited. This restriction does not apply to food that is being transported, consumed, or prepared for consumption.

[LINK](#) to CFR 36: 2.10

Title 36: Parks, Forests, and Public Property

PART 13—NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM UNITS IN ALASKA

13.1124 Bartlett Cove Campground.

(a) Camping is prohibited in the Bartlett Cove Developed Area except in the Bartlett Cove Campground. From May 1 through September 30, all overnight campers must register to camp in the Bartlett Cove Campground. Failure to register is prohibited.

(b) Cooking, consuming, or preparing food in the Bartlett Cove Campground is prohibited except in designated areas.

(c) Food storage. In the Bartlett Cove Developed Area, storing food in any manner except in a sealed motor vehicle, a vessel (excluding kayaks), a building, an approved bear-resistant food container, a bear-resistant trash receptacle, or a designated food cache is prohibited.

[LINK](#) to CFR 36: 1124

State of Alaska

5 AAC 92.230

Except under the terms of a permit issued by the department, a person may not:

- 1) negligently feed a moose, deer, elk, sheep, bear, wolf, coyote, fox, wolverine, or deleterious exotic wildlife, or negligently leave human food, animal food, mineral supplements, or garbage in a manner that attracts these animals;
- 2) intentionally feed a moose, deer, elk, sheep, bear, wolf, coyote, fox, wolverine, or deleterious exotic wildlife, or intentionally leave human food, animal food, mineral supplements, or garbage in a manner that attracts these animals.
- 3) The prohibitions described in (a) of this section do not apply to the use of bait for trapping furbearers or deleterious exotic wildlife, or hunting black bears under 5 AAC [92.044](#), or hunting wolf, fox, or wolverine with bait as described in 5 AAC [92.210](#), and elsewhere under 5 AAC [84](#) - 5 AAC [92](#).

[LINK](#) to State of Alaska 5 AAC 92.230

**APPENDIX D. CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS TITLE 36: 13.50:
CLOSURE PROCEDURES.**

- a) *Authority.* The Superintendent may close an area or restrict an activity on an emergency, temporary, or permanent basis.
- (b) *Criteria.* In determining whether to close an area or restrict an activity on an emergency basis, the Superintendent shall be guided by factors such as public health and safety, resource protection, protection of cultural or scientific values, subsistence uses, endangered or threatened species conservation, and other management considerations necessary to ensure that the activity or area is being managed in a manner compatible with the purposes for which the park area was established.
- (c) *Emergency Closures.* (1) Emergency closures or restrictions relating to the taking of fish and wildlife shall be accomplished by notice and hearing.
(2) Other emergency closures shall become effective upon notice as prescribed in paragraph (f) of this section; and
(3) No emergency closure or restriction shall extend for a period exceeding 30 days, nor may it be extended.
- (d) *Temporary closures or restrictions.* (1) Temporary closures or restrictions relating to the taking of fish and wildlife, shall not be effective prior to notice and hearing in the vicinity of the area(s) directly affected by such closures or restrictions, and other locations as appropriate;
(2) Temporary closures shall be effective upon notice as prescribed in paragraph (f) of this section; and
(3) Temporary closures or restrictions shall not extend for a period exceeding 12 months and may not be extended.
- (e) *Permanent closures or restrictions.* Permanent closures or restrictions shall be published as rulemaking in the Federal Register with a minimum public comment period of 60 days and shall be accompanied by public hearings in the area affected and other locations as appropriate.
- (f) *Notice.* Emergency, temporary, and permanent closures or restrictions shall be:
(1) Published in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the State and in at least one local newspaper if available, posted at community post offices within the vicinity affected, made available for broadcast on local radio stations in a manner reasonably calculated to inform residents in the affected vicinity, and designated on a map which shall be available for public inspection at the office of the Superintendent and other places convenient to the public;
(2) Designated by the posting of appropriate signs; or
(3) Both.
- (g) *Openings.* In determining whether to open an area to public use or activity otherwise prohibited, the Superintendent shall provide notice in the Federal Register and shall, upon request, hold a hearing in the affected vicinity and other locations as appropriate prior to making a final determination.
- (h) *Facility closures and restrictions.* The Superintendent may close or restrict specific facilities for reasons of public health, safety, and protection of public property for the duration of the circumstance requiring the closure or restriction. Notice of facility closures and restrictions will be available for inspection at the park visitor center. Notice

will also be posted near or within the facility, published in a newspaper of general circulation in the affected vicinity, or made available to the public by such other means as deemed appropriate by the Superintendent. Violating facilities closures or restrictions is prohibited.

(i) Except as otherwise specifically permitted under the provisions of this part, entry into closed areas or failure to abide by restrictions established under this section is prohibited.

[LINK](#) to CFR 36: 13.50

APPENDIX E. BEAR SAFETY IN GLACIER BAY

The following highlights the most important aspects of bear safety training.

Know the Difference: Black vs. Brown

Black Bears:

- Straight facial profile
- Lack of a shoulder hump
- Prominent ears
- Short, curved claws
- 3 feet at the shoulder
- 125 to over 300 pounds
- Evolved in the forest, usually flee when threatened

Brown Bears (also called grizzlies)

- “Dish-shaped” facial profile
- Prominent shoulder hump
- Long, straight claws
- 3.5 feet at the shoulder/up to 9 feet when standing on hind legs
- Average 500 to 1000 pounds
- Evolved in tundra, may charge or attack when threatened

Be Bear Savvy

- Be alert
- Make noise, particularly in windy conditions or near rushing water
- Choose routes that offer good visibility
- Travel in a group of two or more
- Keep your personal items and food within your immediate reach
- Do not pursue or approach bears for photographs
- Avoid streams with spawning fish

Be a Smart Camper

Cooking and storing food:

- Cook at least 100 yards from your tent and food storage area
- Cook and eat in the intertidal zone
- Wash cooking gear in marine waters
- Be prepared to quickly stow all food should a bear suddenly approach
- Keep all food, trash and other scented items in a bear resistant food container (BRFC) and store with clean cooking gear in brush or behind rocks away from animal trails away from your camp

Choosing a campsite:

- Avoid areas with bear sign including an abundance of scat, animal trails and chewed or clawed trees
- Avoid active salmon streams
- Pull your kayak and pitch your tent clear of the beach
- Select a site that would allow bears room to pass at high tide

Control your gear:

- Keep gear together, the more spread out your gear is the more difficult it is to defend.
- Do not leave gear unattended - to minimize potential bear damage to gear, consider breaking down your campsite daily.
Be aware of what goes on around your campsite.

Minimize Disturbance

Bears only have 6-8 months to acquire the calories and fat reserves needed for the entire year, and the shoreline is essential for food and travel. The following guidelines will minimize your disruption of bears and help keep them wild.

- Never approach a bear.
- Stay at least 100 yards from bears in your kayak or vessel.
- While bear viewing, pay attention to the bear's behavior. If the bear is looking at you or otherwise changes its behavior because of you, you are too close!

Bear Deterrents

Bear Spray - Recommended

- Easy to use with a little practice.
- Do not cause lasting damage or injury to bears or people.
- Has proven extremely effective in stopping bears' unwanted behavior.
- Does not make bears angry or aggressive when sprayed.
- Accuracy and aim are not critical.
- Quickly accessible when carried on the outside of clothing.

Guns – Not recommended

- Require hours of training.
- Can kill or injure bears AND people.
- Can be effective in stopping bears' unwanted behavior, but often at the cost of injury or death.
- Wounded bears can become angry and aggressive.
- Accuracy and aim are VERY important.
- It is illegal to discharge a firearm in Glacier Bay National Park.

Fishing and Bears

- Keep your catch on your person at all times in a backpack or stringer to allow for quick retreat from approaching bears.
- Never yield your catch or other food items.
- If a bear approaches while you are fishing, reel in your line. If you have a fish on the line and the bear appears interested, cut the line.
- Clean fish in the river discarding entrails and gills in the mid-channel current.
- On the Bartlett River, keep your catch within 6 feet of you at all times and only guts and gills may be left in river, filleting fish on the stream is prohibited.

Encounters

When encountering humans, most bears will run away, approach curiously, appear to ignore the situation or act defensively. By staying alert, calm, and tailoring your reaction to the bear's behavior and species, you increase the odds of a positive outcome for both you and the bear.



If You See a Bear

The Bear	What You Can Do	
May or may not be aware of you	<p><i>What is your activity and degree of mobility?</i></p> <p><i>You are hiking or kayaking (mobile):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change your course to avoid bear. • Monitor bear's movement. • If bear is close, talk calmly to avoid surprising it. 	<p><i>You are camping or eating (not mobile):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keep all gear under direct control. • Group together without blocking bear's route. • Talk calmly to make bear aware of you. • Stand your ground.
Moves toward you	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor bear's movement. • Stand your ground and talk calmly. • Allow bear to pass peacefully. 	
Becomes focused on you	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stay together and stand your ground. • Be assertive and elevate your defense: clap your hands, wave your arms, use noisemakers, such as air horns or bang pots together. 	
Charges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to stand your ground and look big. • Use pepper spray if you have it. • Few charges end in contact. 	
If a bear makes contact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fight back vigorously. • This is likely a predatory attack. 	

If You Surprise a Bear

The Bear	What You Can Do
May react defensively and may snort, huff, pop its jaw, or charge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stand your ground and talk calmly to the bear. • Attempt to move away slowly.
Begins to follow you	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stand your ground.
Charges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use pepper spray.
Enters your tent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fight back.
Is a brown bear and makes contact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Play dead—lie flat, face down on the ground, and lace your fingers behind your head. Do not move.
Is a black bear and makes contact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fight back.

APPENDIX F. BEAR HAZING, AVERSIVE CONDITIONING, AND FIREARMS TRAINING

Tools and Techniques

In general, begin with the minimum, least invasive tool that accomplishes the objective, and increase the severity of the tool if and when necessary. In most cases, low level techniques will accomplish the desired effect of deterring the bear. The use of cracker shells and non-lethal shotgun shells for hazing or aversive conditioning is rarely used in the front-country due to the likely close proximity of people. In backcountry situations where a bear is likely to be difficult to deter, such as a bear that has obtained food from humans or repeatedly caused property damage, the team may choose to skip the low level techniques and begin aversive conditioning with pyrotechnics and projectiles. The use of bear pepper spray should not be used as a hazing or aversive conditioning tool, as bear spray should be reserved for self-defense.

Low Level

Yelling and clapping – Useful within hearing range of bear.

Throwing rocks – Can be effective at close range.

Stepping towards the bear – Can be very effective at close range.

Squirt gun “Super Soaker” – Can be effective at close range.

Mid to High Level

Pyrotechnics-

- *Bear deterrent flare launcher “bear banger”* – Launches 6mm cartridge pyrotechnic projectiles (“screamers” and “bangers”) at ranges of 25-75 yards. Shoot in the air or at the ground between you and the bear – training and practice required.
- *12 gauge cracker shell* – Produces a very loud bang at about 75 yards. Do not aim at bear – training and practice required.

Projectiles-

- *Paint ball gun* – Use at close range (15-25 yards) to mark and/or scare bears.
- *12 gauge rubber buckshot* – Shoots rubber pellets that sting at a range of 20-30 yards.
- *12 gauge bean bag* – Shoots beanbag that stings and may mark animal with colored dye at range of 10-20 yards (“Aero sock” tail can increase range).
- *12 gauge rubber slug* – Shoots soft rubber baton that stings at range of 30-50 yards.
- *12 gauge lethal slugs* – Used for back-up, NEVER mixed with AC rounds. Not shot at bear unless bear is posing imminent threat to person.

Passive

Electric fence – Useful/effective in remote areas and/or for bears who damage property or gear when people are not present.

Specific Procedures

Hazing is conducted in order to keep bears out of a certain area and/or away from people. It should be used with human tolerant bears that repeatedly travel through or linger in high human use areas designated as “no-bear” zones.

- a. Clearly define the boundaries of the “no-bear” zone
- b. Maximize monitoring and reporting efforts in order to catch bear in no-bear zone as soon and often as possible
- c. At least one, ideally two or more, trained personnel should be present, both should have bear pepper spray and radios. A minimum of two people are required for hazing brown bears. Depending on the situation and the bear you may consider shotguns with one person carrying bear deterrent rounds and one with lethal rounds.
- d. If you catch a bear heading into a no-bear zone, attempt to deter him from entering.
- e. If bear is already in the no-bear zone, determine which direction you would like the bear to go and make sure that this area is clear of people.
- f. Initiate hazing by yelling and clapping from the direction that you do NOT want the bear to go. If the bear is difficult to move, recruit more staff or volunteers to help make noise and attempt to herd the bear in the right direction. It is not always possible to control the direction of travel. Bears may have their own agenda and it can be more effective to go with their general flow instead of trying to get them to go a certain way. The overall goal is to monitor them until they have left the no-bear zone.
- g. Keep the pressure on until the bear has crossed the boundary out of the no-bear zone. Continue monitoring and conducting people/traffic control as necessary and remain with bear until confident that the bear will remain outside the zone.

Hazing bear from high quality natural foods (e.g., berry patches, animal carcasses) can be extremely difficult and is only recommended where it is determined that bear presence poses a significant risk to bear and human safety, such as high human use zones (trails, parking lots, housing, etc.) within the BCDA. It can be especially difficult and largely unnecessary to move the bears through the berry bushes because 1) vegetation impairs visibility and can be dense and slippery, 2) there may be 2 or 3 animals in family groups to keep track of and they don't always stick together, and 3) they are on an extremely valuable food source so the energetic benefits to the bears remaining in the area often outweighs the negative effects of our hassling them. Therefore, if the bears are not posing a significant risk to bear or human safety, trained staff should monitor bears allowing them to move through the area without altering their natural behavior. At this time it is important for there to be communication between the staff monitoring the bear(s) and any other park employee or visitor that may be in the area. The best strategy is one of patience and persistence. Monitoring the bears through the bushes (sticking towards the more open areas) and allowing them to move until they get to the edge of the “no-bear” zone can be slow but often proves successful. Hazing a bear from a carcass is never recommended unless the area cannot be closed to human use and the carcass creates a hazard to public safety.

Hazing or aversive conditioning of a female with dependent young requires more forethought and patience due to the number of animals and the potential vulnerabilities of the young animals. Black bear cubs usually retreat from danger up tall trees and may be difficult to get down (when this occurs it is pointless to try to haze the mother from the area because she will undoubtedly return for her cubs eventually). Brown bear mothers can be extremely protective of their cubs so there is an added safety concern for personnel. In both species the cubs are vulnerable to becoming separated from their mothers and bear deterrent rounds such as rubber bullets and beanbags could kill cubs due to their small size. Listed below are some general guidelines:

- Focus hazing/AC efforts on the mother and the cubs will likely follow.
- Try to keep the family group together.
- Back-off when cubs are up a tree or away from mother. Try to get the mother to go to the cubs and take them with her.
- Exercise extreme caution and try not to position yourself between a mother and her cubs, especially when working with brown bears.

Aversive conditioning (AC) is conducted to teach bears that a certain action elicits an uncomfortable or painful consequence. Aversive conditioning is rarely used in GLBA but remains an option should the necessary situation arise. It should be used with food-conditioned bears or bears that destroy property. The bear may approach people to get their food or property or it may be cryptic and come in the night and/or when people are absent. AC can also be used on a bear that is beginning to approach people or investigate property, but has not obtained items yet. Employees conducting aversive conditioning with firearms must be in National Park Service uniforms or otherwise clearly identified.

If bear is approaching people to obtain food or property:

- a. Stage a scenario similar to one in which the bear has approached people previously. Make sure area is closed to the public.
- b. At least 2 trained personnel should be present, one with bear deterrent rounds and one with lethal rounds. Both should have bear pepper spray and radios.
- c. If bear approaches, attempt to deter by waving arms and yelling
- d. If bear continues to approach, one person should ready bear deterrent rounds, preferably a beanbag (consider a marking beanbag for future identification) or rubber bullet followed by a cracker shell. The other person should stand by with bear spray and lethal back-up. Continue yelling.
- e. When bear gets within range and is in good position, fire rubber bullet or beanbag round at bear's shoulder or rump followed immediately by cracker round fired at ground immediately behind or to the side of bear.
- f. If bear runs, immediately discontinue conditioning.
- g. Repeat as necessary

If bear is focusing on property and/or is cryptic (remains hidden, only comes out when people are not present):

- a. Hide out within view, but downwind, of a place where the bear has been obtaining human food or destroying property during typical time of bear's visits. Make sure that you are within range for firing bear deterrent rounds at bear and that the area is closed to the public.

- b. At least 2 trained personnel should be present, one with bear deterrent rounds and one with lethal rounds. Both should have bear pepper spray and radios.
- c. When bear approaches human property, one person should ready bear deterrent rounds, preferably a beanbag (consider a marking beanbag for future identification) or rubber bullet followed by a cracker shell. The other person should stand by with bear spray and lethal back-up. Both people should attempt to be quiet and undetected.
- d. When bear comes into contact with human property and is in good position, fire rubber bullet or beanbag round at bear's shoulder or rump followed immediately by cracker round fired at ground immediately behind or to the side of bear.
- e. If bear runs, immediately discontinue conditioning.
- f. Continue to be quiet and repeat as necessary

Firearms Training

36 CFR 13.1 defines a firearm as “any loaded or unloaded pistol, revolver, rifle, shotgun or other weapon which will or is designated to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of expanded gases, except that it does not include a pistol or rifle powered by compressed gas. The term “firearm” also includes irritant gas devices.” Non-law enforcement personnel may obtain a firearms certification for non-law enforcement personnel with the signature of the superintendent. The chief of resource management and the wildlife biologist will determine if non-law enforcement personnel should obtain this certification for bear management purposes. All park LE and non-LE staff authorized to carry firearms for bear management actions should attend a yearly training that covers the following:

1. Bear behavior
2. Review of Glacier Bay bear management plan
3. Aversive conditioning tools and techniques (to include bear spray, pyrotechnics, rubber and bean bag projectiles.
4. Basic firearms safety review
5. Familiarization/Competency test of pyrotechnic pistol
6. Shotgun shooting proficiency

Other topics/scenarios:

- Shoot or no shoot scenarios;
- Shotgun nomenclature, operation and cleaning;
- NPS policy on weapon accountability and security as well as legal aspects of firearm use;
- A mock scenario that involves an aversive conditioning incident.

Shotguns for Bear Management

12 gauge shotguns, preferably Remington 870 with a 3 inch chamber, is the preferred weapon for high level bear management activities and lethal back-up. Only employees who have attended a park approved aversive conditioning training by a wildlife biologist AND a firearms training session covering wildlife management situations by a certified firearms instructor, should use a shotgun in hazing/AC activities. All personnel carrying

shotguns must also pass a shooting proficiency course as part of their firearms certification, which requires the shooter to accurately shoot 4 (80%) of 5 rounds in a target at 15 yards within 25 seconds, twice. All personnel carrying firearms in the park will observe federal, state, and local laws regarding firearms and ammunition, and safe firearm handling must be conducted at all times. In addition, employees carrying firearms in the view of the public will be in NPS uniform. Firearms and ammunition will be stored locked and out of sight in the protection division offices.

Bear Deterrent Pyrotechnic Launcher

Bear deterrent pyrotechnic launchers can be effective tools to haze and/or aversively condition bears. The park currently owns 6mm RG-3 Six Shot Clip Magazine Launcher used with 6 mm Hot Acorn RWS Blanks and pyrotechnic cartridges: “Flamers”, “Screamers” and “Flaming Whistlers”. Products were purchased from Margo Supplies LTD., Alberta Canada:

http://www.margosupplies.com/american/scare/launchers_pyro_new.htm.

APPENDIX G. BEAR MANAGEMENT CONTACTS

Title	Name	Phone Number
Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve Management Team		
Superintendent	Susan Boudreau	907-697-2616
Chief of Resource Management	Lisa Etherington	907-697-2640
Chief of Visitor/Resource Protection	Albert Faria	907-697-2621
Chief of Interpretation	Vacant	907-697-2620
Chief of Maintenance	Ken Hutchinson	907-697-2626
Chief of Commercial Services	Vacant	907-697-2624
Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve Bear Committee		
Chief of Resource Management	Lisa Etherington	907-697-2640
Chief of Visitor/Resource Protection	Albert Faria	907-697-2621
Wildlife Biologist	Tania Lewis	907-697-2668
District Ranger	Gus Martinez	907-697-2628
VIS Supervisor	Margaret Hazen	907-697-2608
Interpretive Ranger	Tom VandenBerg	907-697-2619
National Preserve Rangers		
Dry Bay Ranger	Jim Capra	907-784-3295
Yakutat District Ranger	Michael Thompson	907-822-7453
NPS Alaska Region		
AKRO Wildlife Biologist	Grant Hilderbrand	907-644-3578
AK Dept. of Fish and Game		
Area Biologist	Ryan Scott	907-465-4359
Tatshenshini-Alsek Managers		
Kluane Nat. Park (Parks Canada)	Tom Elliot	867-667-3915
Tat-Alsek Park (BC Parks)	Janice Joseph	250-847-7316
Tat-Alsek Park (Yukon Parks)	Afan Jones	867-667-3048
Champaign-Aishihik 1 st Nations	Michael Jim	867-634-4248
Other Important Numbers		
Visitor Information Station	VIS	907-697-2627

7. Description of Bear		Second Bear	Third Bear
A. Species	1. Brown 2. Black 3. Unknown	1. Brown 2. Black 3. Unknown	1. Black 2. Black 3. Unknown
B. Color	1. Blonde 2. Lt. Brown 3. Dark Brown 4. Cinnamon 5. Black 6. Unknown	1. Blonde 2. Lt. Brown 3. Dark Brown 4. Cinnamon 5. Black 6. Unknown	1. Blonde 2. Lt. Brown 3. Dark Brown 4. Cinnamon 5. Black 6. Unknown
C. Size	1. Small 2. Medium 3. Large 4. Unknown	1. Small 2. Medium 3. Large 4. Unknown	1. Small 2. Medium 3. Large 4. Unknown
D. Age	1. Cub of the year 2. Yearling 3. Sub-adult 4. Adult 5. Unknown	1. Cub of the year 2. Yearling 3. Sub-adult 4. Adult 5. Unknown	1. Cub of the year 2. Yearling 3. Sub-adult 4. Adult 5. Unknown
E. Sex	1. Male 2. Female 3. Unknown	1. Male 2. Female 3. Unknown	1. Male 2. Female 3. Unknown
F. Description Markings, Radio collar, Tags or scars			

10. Vegetation Type

A. Meadow	D. Forest	G. Unvegetated
B. Low Brush	E. Glacier	H. Intertidal Zone
C. High Brush	F. In water	I. Other

11. What was the bear doing when first observed?

A. Feeding on vegetation	H. Mating
B. Feeding on carcass	I. Playing with _____
C. Hunting	J. Traveling
D. Digging	K. Walking toward people
E. Standing	L. Running toward people
F. Resting	M. Investigating property
G. Running away from people	N. Other _____

12. What were you doing prior to seeing bear?

A. Sleeping	F. Sitting
B. Eating/cooking	G. Photographing
C. Hiking	H. Breaking/setting up camp
D. Running	I. Paddling
E. Boating	J. Other _____

13. What was the bear's initial reaction?

A. Not aware of people	G. Watched people
B. Stood up	H. Walked towards people
C. Growled/woofed/gnashed teeth	I. Circled around people
D. Walked away	J. Bluff charged
E. Ran away	K. Made contact with person
F. Remained in area ignored people	L. Investigated property
	M. Other _____

14. What did you do then?

A. Walked/backed away	F. Made noise
B. Ran away	G. Threw something at bear
C. Followed the bear	H. Photographed the bear
D. Continued hiking-same direction	I. Abandoned property
E. Remained still/quiet	J. Used pepper spray
	K. Other _____

15. How did the bear react?

A. Not aware of people	G. Watched people
B. Stood up	H. Walked towards people
C. Growled/woofed/gnashed teeth	I. Circled around people
D. Walked away	J. Bluff charged
E. Ran away	K. Made contact with person
F. Remained in area ignored people	L. Investigated property
	M. Other _____

16. How close were you to the bear? _____

17. Was food present?

A. Presence unknown	E. Food hung in tree
B. No food present	F. Food outside of bear canister
C. Food odor only	G. Preparing/consuming meal
D. Food in bear resistant canister	H. Other _____

18. Was food eaten by the bear? A. No___ B. Yes___ C. Unknown___

If so, what?

19. Was property damaged? A. No___ B. Yes___ If so, list property & estimate cost: _____

APPENDIX I. NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK PROTOCOLS

Checklist

Purpose: This protocol serves as a guideline for Alaska park areas to use as a guideline when initially managing a serious bear or other wildlife-related incident involving death or injury to humans. It is understood that each incident will require specific actions that may differ according to location, time of year, and a variety of other circumstances.

Variations to this protocol may be necessary to address these differences.

- 1) Establish need for Protocol:
 - A) Protocol to be used for the following wildlife-related incidents:
 - Fatality
 - Major Injury
 - Minor injury
 - B) Protocol optional for the following wildlife-related incidents:
 - Charge not ending in physical contact
 - Animal displaying aggressive and/or threatening behavior
 - Serious property damage
 - Wildlife fatality caused by human
- 2) Stabilize and secure the scene to prevent public access/preserve evidence
 - Establish Incident Command System
 - Ensure safety of all responders
 - Briefing, weapons, backup, training, accountability
 - Establish safety/investigation scene boundary
 - Define area by geography and time-Avoid letting the area grow larger
 - Notify additional divisions/agencies
 - 0 Protection Rangers
 - 0 Resources Management/Bear Management
 - 0 Superintendent
 - 0 Regional Office (Reg. Chief Ranger, Public Information Officer)
 - 0 Alaska State Troopers
 - 0 Alaska Department of Fish and Game
 - 0 Alaska Office of the State Medical Examiner
 - Trail and road closures
 - Aircraft landing restrictions
 - Vessel access restrictions
- 3) Ensure safe evacuation of Victims and public from the area
 - Check camping permit databases

- Check Air Taxi manifests
- Aviation sweep
- Boat patrols
- Evacuate visitors and non-essential staff from area, debrief
- Ensure no other unauthorized persons enter the area (signs, media, barriers)

4) Investigation

- Utilize NPS Alaska Region Bear/Human Attack Report (*attached*)
- Consider possibility of other incidents
- Evidence collection
- Interviews
- Photos
- Video
- GPS
- Sketch layout

5) Disposition of Animal

- Description of animal
- Location
- Consult park Bear Management Plan and Regional Wildlife Biologist
- Destruction - Avoid shooting offending animal in head or abdomen to preserve samples for lab analysis.
- Transport
- Evidentiary considerations

NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

**FORM 1
ATTACK SUMMARY**

(completed by On-Site Incident Commander)

1. Case Incident Record #: _____
2. Regional Bear-Human Information Management Reporting System ID #: _____
3. Incident Command (PERSON IN CHARGE): _____
Phone: _____ Park: _____

4. Assisting Ranger _____
Phone: _____ Park: _____

5. Assisting Resource personnel: _____
Phone: _____ Park: _____

6. Media contact person: _____ Phone: _____
7. Park contact person: _____
Phone: _____ Division: _____
Address: _____
8. Other agency contacts:
Name: _____ Agency/Title: _____
Address: _____ Phone: _____
Name: _____ Agency/Title: _____
Address: _____ Phone: _____
9. Location of attack (detailed description): _____

GPS coordinate; datum: _____
10. Attack date: _____ Attack time: (24 hr): _____
11. Person reporting attack: _____ Phone number: _____
Date reported: _____ Time: _____ How reported? _____

12. Field investigation date(s): _____ Times: _____ to _____
_____ Times: _____ to _____
13. Species: Grizzly bear Black bear Polar bear Unknown Other: _____
14. Management action: No action Relocated Destroyed Date: _____
Other: _____ Provide details: _____

NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

FORM 2
SITE INSPECTION FORM
(completed by On-Site Incident Commander)

Incident Command:
Phone: Park:
Address:

TREAT AREA LIKE A CRIME SCENE. AFTER ENSURING THE SAFETY OF VICTIM AND RESPONDERS, THE FOLLOWING SEQUENTIAL STEPS MUST BE TAKEN:

- 1. Secure attack site with investigation scene tape (use caution normally exercised at crime scene).
2. Ensure that only authorized personnel are present.
3. Describe tracks present:

(a) bear: length (mm): width (mm):
bear: length (mm): width (mm):
bear: length (mm): width (mm):
(b) human: length (mm): width (mm):
human: length (mm): width (mm):
human: length (mm): width (mm):

Use the track diagram on the next page to indicate measurements of tracks found at the attack site. Identify the species and portion of track that was measured (e.g., pad only, pad and toe, pad and toe and claws).

- 4. Describe presence and location of animal hair/tissue/blood/feces:
5. Collect and label animal hair/tissue/blood/feces, in designated container according to protocols.

Table with 6 columns: Label ID #, Tissue Type, Location, Label ID #, Tissue Type, Location. It contains 5 empty rows for data entry.

- 6. Describe and list attack victim's equipment, clothing, etc.:
7. Describe and attach analogue photographs of attack scene (duplicate or archive digitals):
- no. of photographs:
- scene location:
- animal tracks:
- human tracks:
- articles (tent, BRFC, etc.):
- tissue/blood/feces:
- debris:
- food sources (natural and human):
- summary:

- 8. Draw sketch of attack scene and tracks (attached page).

FORM 2
SITE INSPECTION FORM
(completed by On-Site Incident Commander)

Black Bear Tracks – The prints of the black bear are distinguished by toes that are splayed in a more rounded arc. Draw a line, or use a straight edge, across the bottom of all the toes. If the upper half or more of the little toe line up below the line it's likely a black bear. If the toes line up above the straight line, then it's likely a brown bear (see diagram below).

Grizzly Bear Tracks - The prints of the grizzly bear are distinguished by an oval pad with closely spaced toes in a relatively straight toe arc. Claw marks over twice as long as the toe pads are usually evident. In general, but not always, grizzly bear tracks are larger than black bear.

Indicate on the diagram the exact measurement of track found at the site by showing which portion of the track was measured (i.e., pad only, pad and toe, pad and toe and claws).



Claws of adult grizzlies are rarely less than 1¾" long. Claws of black bears seldom exceed 1½".

FRONT FOOT



BACK FOOT



BEAR TRACKS



FRONT



HIND

NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

Page 3 of 3

FORM 2
SITE INSPECTION FORM
(completed by On-Site Incident Commander)

Sketch of Attack Scene

Include path of animal(s), location/movement of people, key features, north arrow, and distances. Also note vegetation type and locations of bear sign (beds, trails, digs, mark trees, etc) and food sources (natural and human).

NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

**FORM 3
VICTIM EVIDENCE**

(completed by On-Site Incident Commander)

Incident Command: _____

Phone: _____ Park: _____

Address: _____

1. No. of humans involved: _____ No. of humans injured: _____

No. of humans killed: _____

2. (a) Victim's name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____ Age: _____

(b) Victim's name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____ Age: _____

(c) Victim's name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____ Age: _____

3. (a) Witness' name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____ Age: _____

(b) Witness' name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____ Age: _____

(c) Witness' name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____ Age: _____

4. Summarize victim 2(a)'s activity before the attack (attach statement): _____

Summarize victim 2(b)'s activity before the attack (attach statement): _____

Summarize victim 2(c)'s activity before the attack (attach statement): _____

NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

**FORM 3
VICTIM EVIDENCE**

(completed by On-Site Incident Commander)

5. Summarize victim 2(a)'s account of attack (attach statement): _____

Summarize victim 2(b)'s account of attack (attach statement): _____

Summarize victim 2(c)'s account of attack (attach statement): _____

6. Summarize witness 3(a)'s account of attack (attach statement): _____

Summarize witness 3(b)'s account of attack (attach statement): _____

Summarize witness 3(c)'s account of attack (attach statement): _____

7. Collect the following injury information from the attending physician(s):

Injuries indicative of claw? Yes _____ No _____ Teeth?: Yes _____ No _____

Wound measurement and locations - victim (a): _____

Wound measurement and locations - victim (b): _____

Wound measurement and locations - victim (c): _____

Number of wound pictures attached - victim (a): _____

Number of wound pictures attached - victim (b): _____

Number of wound pictures attached - victim (c): _____

Physician's name(s): _____

Address(es): _____

Phone number(s): _____

Collect and preserve victim tissue sample. Label Identification Nos.: _____

Collect samples from under victim's fingernails. Label Identification Nos.: _____

Collect saliva sample from victim's bite marks. Label Identification Nos.: _____

8. Comments: _____

NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

**FORM 3
VICTIM EVIDENCE**

(completed by On-Site Incident Commander)

9. Name of lab analyzing tissue, fingernail, saliva, etc. samples: _____
Samples/Purpose of analysis: _____
Lab analyst's name: _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____

Samples/Purpose of analysis: _____
Lab analyst's name: _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____

Samples/Purpose of analysis: _____
Lab analyst's name: _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____

10. (a) Next of kin of Victim 2 (a): _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____ Relationship: _____
Date and time contacted: _____ Contacted by: _____

(b) Next of kin of Victim 2 (b): _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____ Relationship: _____
Date and time contacted: _____ Contacted by: _____

(c) Next of kin of Victim 2 (c): _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____ Relationship: _____
Date and time contacted: _____ Contacted by: _____

11. When possible, attach a copy of any additional/further report (such as CIR, Coroner's report) or treatment information/documentation (such as the report of the attending physician or emergency medical treatment). Identify the attached documentation here:

ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

FORM 4 ANIMAL EVIDENCE (completed by On-Site Incident Commander)

If it is determined that the bear should be destroyed, avoid shooting animal in head or abdomen to preserve samples for lab analysis. To preserve evidence, immediately place plastic bags on head and paws, before moving animal from kill site.

Incident Command: _____
Phone: _____ District: _____ Region: _____
Address: _____

1. Bear/animal species: _____ Sex: _____ Offspring present? _____
If offspring, describe: _____
Estimated age of offending animal: _____ How determined? _____
Physical description of animal: _____

2. Animal behavior before, during, and after attack: _____

3. Was animal behavior consistent with:
- predatory attack: Yes _____ No _____
- defensive reaction: Yes _____ No _____
- non-defensive reaction: Yes _____ No _____
Describe why you believe this: _____

4. Did offending animal have complaint history? Yes _____ No _____
Bear Management Report System ID / CIR / BMRF reference #: _____
Comments: _____

5. Describe other animals directly involved: _____

6. Animal photo numbers:
Live animal: _____
Body: _____
Head: _____
Paws: _____
Teeth: _____

ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

FORM 4 ANIMAL EVIDENCE (completed by On-Site Incident Commander)

7. Was offending animal destroyed? Yes _____ No _____ If yes, date and time _____
Location of dead animal: _____

GPS coordinate; datum: _____

8. Animal description (metric measurements):

Body length (cm) from tip of nose to base of tail: _____ Chest girth (cm): _____

Weight (kg): _____

Any tags, tattoos, special marking, etc? _____

9. Teeth (refer to attached diagram) - **cover head with plastic bag**

- Ensure lab collects material attached to teeth.

- Ensure lab collects victim's DNA sample from gum line, along teeth.

- Upper inter canine distance: tip-to-tip _____ mm.; maximum _____ mm

- Lower inter canine distance: tip-to-tip _____ mm.; maximum _____ mm

- Upper inter-3rd incisor distance: tip-to-tip _____ mm.; maximum _____ mm

- Lower inter-3rd incisor distance: tip-to-tip _____ mm.; maximum _____ mm

- Teeth condition: sharp: _____ worn: _____ broken: _____ missing: _____

10. Paws - **cover paws with plastic bags**

- Collect material attached to paws. Identification tag no.: _____

- Claw condition: sharp: _____ worn: _____ broken: _____ missing: _____

11. Paw description - **cover paws with plastic bags**

- left front paw, width measurement: _____ mm

- right front paw, width measurement: _____ mm

- left back paw, width measurement: _____ mm

- right back paw, width measurement: _____ mm

- describe abnormalities: _____


12. Hair samples. Identification tag no.: _____

13. Carcass. **Place plastic bags over head and paws and place carcass in plastic bag at kill site and in storage.**

ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

FORM 4 ANIMAL EVIDENCE (completed by On-Site Incident Commander)


There are two measurements involved with the intercanine distance. This includes the tip-to-tip distance (distance from the tip of the upper right canine to the tip of the upper left canine). The second measurement is the maximum distance (measured from the point of greatest convexity on the lateral or outward surface of the right upper canine to the corresponding point on the outward surface of the upper left canine). The same measurements are made for the lower canine teeth.



Note: In the case of worn canines, measure from the center of the tip.

INTER-3RD INCISOR DISTANCE MEASUREMENT:

Two measurements are made for the inter-incisor distance: tip-to-tip distance (measured from the tip of the upper right 3rd incisor to the tip of the upper left 3rd incisor) and the maximum inter-incisor distance (measured from the lateral or most outward edge of the upper right 3rd incisor to the lateral edge of the upper left 3rd incisor). The same measurements are made for the lower 3rd incisors.



NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

Page 1 of 1

FORM 5A

TRANSPORT - ANIMAL NECROPSY

(Form 5A is to be completed by Incident Command and is attached to a blank Form 5B. Forms 5A and 5B accompany the animal/body parts to the lab.)

NOTE: DIFFERENT LABS MAY BE USED FOR DIFFERENT ANALYSES. CONTACT NPS ALASKA REGIONAL WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST FOR LIST OF CURRENT LABS TO BE USED.

Incident Command: _____
Phone: _____ Park: _____
Address: _____

Alaska Police contact name: _____
Phone: _____ Address: _____
Unit: _____

TRANSPORT ANIMAL WITH THE HEAD, PAWS, AND BODY IN PLASTIC BAGS.

Species: _____
Date of capture: _____
Physical condition: _____
Wounds: _____
Injuries: _____

List samples and identification label numbers of body parts sent to lab:

Description	ID Label No.
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	

Analysis instruction to lab as to parts and specimens to preserve and analysis to be conducted (e.g., identify stomach contents, collect tissue samples from teeth and claws). NOTE: ADDITIONAL TESTS MAY BE REQUIRED BY OTHER LABS. _____

NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

Page 1 of 4

FORM 5B LABORATORY REPORT ANIMAL NECROPSY

(Form 5A is to be completed by Incident Command and is attached to a blank Form 5B. Forms 5A and 5B accompany the animal/body parts to the lab.)

Laboratory name: _____

Address: _____

Phone number: _____

Date animal received: _____

Reference number: _____

Necropsy date: _____

EXTERNAL EXAM

Physical condition: _____

Wounds: _____

Plastic bags covering feet? Yes _____ No _____

Plastic bags covering head? Yes _____ No _____

Plastic bags covering carcass? Yes _____ No _____

Collected material attached? Yes _____ No _____

Claw condition: sharp: _____ worn: _____ broken: _____ missing: _____

Collected material attached? Yes _____ No _____

Hair:

Collected material attached? Yes _____ No _____

Carcass weight (kg): _____ Sex: _____

Nose to base of tail length (cm): _____

Photograph (on reverse side, note file # and date): Yes _____ No _____

NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

FORM 5B LABORATORY REPORT ANIMAL NECROPSY

(Form 5A is to be completed by Incident Command and is attached to a blank Form 5B. Forms 5A and 5B accompany the animal/body parts to the lab.)

INTERNAL EXAM

Date: _____
Brain submitted for rabies analysis? Yes _____ No _____ Expected results date _____

Circle "N" for normal or "A" for abnormal, then explain in "Findings".

Skin	N	A
Musculoskeletal system, skeletal muscles, bones	N	A
Oral cavity	N	A
Respiratory system - air passages, lungs	N	A
Circulatory system - heart, major vessels	N	A
Digestive tract - esophagus, stomach, intestines	N	A
Liver	N	A
Urogenital system - kidneys, bladder, gonads	N	A
Spleen	N	A
Lymph nodes	N	A
Adrenal gland and other glands	N	A
Nervous system	N	A
Other	N	A

Visible abnormalities: _____

If female, was she lactating? Yes _____ No _____

Pregnant? Yes _____ No _____

Additional Analysis: _____

Collect saliva or other tissue for DNA analysis? Yes _____ No _____

Tissue collected: _____

NPS ALASKA REGION BEAR/HUMAN ATTACK REPORT

Page 4 of 4

**FORM 5B
LABORATORY REPORT
ANIMAL NECROPSY**

(Additional Notes)

APPENDIX J. BEAR MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH TIMELINE

Early 1900's – Brown bears reported in Muir Pt area (Streveler 1987).

1912 – Allen Hasselborg attacked by and killed a brown bear on Bartlett River. He later collected the skull of “*Ursus orgilos*”.

1916 – W.G. Cooper reported a brown bear on Russell Island (G. Streveler pers. comm. in Warburton, 1988).

1932 – Dixon reports brown bear in Berg Bay.

1939 – Bert Parker shot brown bear at his mine camp at Ptarmigan Creek. Was the first reported in the region (Been, 1940).

1939 – Glacier Bay National Monument expanded for brown bear habitat:

<http://www.nps.gov/glba/adhi/adhi4.htm>

1965 – Dump established in Bartlett Cove.

1970's

1973-75 – Dixon Harbor large mammal surveys.

1976 – Fatality on White Thunder Ridge – September 11.

1976-77 – Lituya Bay large mammal survey.

1977 – Bear-proof garbage cans installed in Barco at dock and trail to campground.

Garbage in housing, lodge and campground enclosed. (Ritter, 1978).

1978 – Endicott Gap mammal survey.

1978 – Bartlett Cove Dump closed with wire mesh fence. Previous estimates of 25 black. Bears partially or entirely dependent on human food sources in Barco and Gustavus (GLBA Bear Management Plan 1978).

1978 – First Bear Management Plan.

1980's

1980 – Fatality in Sandy Cove August 1, area closed August 2.

1981-86 – Sandy Cove closed and opened throughout visitor seasons. Generally closed for the first half of the summer.

1982-84 – Sandy Cove beach surveys.

1984 – Black bear killed in Barco as a result of AC attempts, after being “peppered” by Don Chase on 9/1. 2 bears in Barco most of the summer drawn by halibut carcasses from fish cleaning station – both bears soon obtained human food and trash. (Baron, 1984).

1985 – Sandy Cove photographic ID from raft June-July, Spokane Cove research by Publicover.

1986 – Tarr Inlet closed to camping.

1987 – Sandy Cove and Tarr Inlets closed to camping May 1(?) – Aug. 15th.

1988 – “Spirit of Adventure” tour boat began regular camper drop-offs in the West Arm, Tarr closed through July.

1988 – Research brown bears in West Arm closure area by Warburton.

1988 – Second Bear Management Plan. Bear Sighting program implemented.

1989 – Research brown bears in West Arm closure area by David Wolfe.

1989 – Research black bears in east GB by Barbara Blackie. Tarr and Sandy Inlets closed in compendium every year from this year until 2007.

1990's

1990 – Bear Resistant Ford Canisters (BRFC) made available and “strongly recommended” to backcountry users.

1991 – BRFCs become mandatory in backcountry as defined in the Compendium.

1991 – Research brown bears in Tarr Inlet closure area by Lisa Climo and Tim Duncan, found 6-7 brown bears using area including 2 family groups.

1992 – Black bear “Ursula” with 3 cubs of the year who repeatedly obtained food from people in Bartlett Cove was relocated to Geikie Inlet.

1996-97 – Research creel survey and bear-human interactions on Bartlett River by Chad Soiseth and Liz Adamson.

2000's

2000-2001 – Bear Sightings and Incidents database created and populated.

2001-2002 – Bear Campsite Risk Assessment: data collection.

2003 – Bear safety message revised.

2004-2005 – Bear Activity and Habitat project: data collection.

2007 – Tarr Inlet bear closure lifted based on results from 2004-5 study.

2006-2008 – Bear Management Plan Developed.

2008-2009 – Vessel Disturbance of Brown Bears: data collection.

2009-2010 - Shoreline Distribution of Bears and Landscape Genetics of Brown Bears: data collection.

2010 – NPS biologist charged by a brown bear on the Bartlett River signifying the beginning of regular brown bear sightings in Bartlett Cove and Gustavus.

2011-2012 - Gustavus Forelands Bear Population: data collection.

2013 – Revision of Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve Bear Management Plan.