

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
FT. MYERS DIVISION**

\_\_\_\_\_  
NATIONAL PARKS CONSERVATION )  
ASSOCIATION, and )  
JOHN ADORNATO III, )  
 )  
Plaintiffs, )

v. )

Case No. 2:11-cv-00578-JES-SPC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT )  
OF THE INTERIOR, *et al.* )  
 )  
Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES FOR )  
ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY, *et )  
al.* )  
Plaintiffs, )

v. )

Case No. 2:11-cv-00647-JES-SPC

SALLY JEWELL, *et al.* )  
 )  
Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_

**PEER PLAINTIFFS’ NOTICE OF APPEAL**

Plaintiffs Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility, Sierra Club, South Florida Wildlands Association, Wilderness Watch, and Brian Scherf—i.e., the Plaintiffs in *Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility v. Jewell*, No. 2:11-cv-647 in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida (hereinafter “the PEER Plaintiffs”)—hereby give notice that they appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit from the final judgment entered by this Court on February 5, 2015 in denying the PEER Plaintiffs’ Rule 59(e) motion for reconsideration, *see* ECF No. 37, from the Court’s September 19, 2014 Opinion and Order granting summary judgment for Defendants and denying the PEER Plaintiffs’ requested relief,

*see* ECF No. 33, and from the September 25, 2014 Judgment entered by the Court in favor of Defendants, *see* ECF No. 34.

Although the PEER Plaintiffs are only parties to *Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility v. Jewell*, No. 2:11-cv-647, that case was administratively consolidated by the district court with another lawsuit, *National Parks Conservation Association v. Department of Interior*, 2:11-cv-578. Accordingly, to the extent that the decisions are considered related or consolidated, the PEER Plaintiffs also hereby appeal from the final judgment entered by this Court in district court case number 2:11-cv-578 on February 5, 2015 denying the PEER Plaintiffs' Rule 59(e) motion for reconsideration, *see* ECF No.195, from the Court's September 19, 2014 Opinion and Order granting summary judgment for Defendants and denying the PEER Plaintiffs' requested relief, *see* ECF No. 187, and from the September 25, 2014 Judgment entered by the Court in favor of Defendants, *see* ECF No. 188.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ William S. Eubanks II

William S. Eubanks II

Eric R. Glitzenstein

MEYER GLITZENSTEIN & CRYSTAL

1601 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 700

Washington, DC 20009

Phone: (202) 588-5206

beubanks@meyerglitz.com

eglitzenstein@meyerglitz.com

Counsel for PEER Plaintiffs

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on April 6, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. Counsel of record currently identified on the Mailing Information list to receive e-mail notices for this case are served via Notice of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF.

/s/ William S. Eubanks II  
William S. Eubanks II (*pro hac vice*)  
MEYER GLITZENSTEIN & CRYSTAL  
1601 Connecticut Avenue NW, Ste 700  
Washington, DC 20009  
Phone: (202) 588-5206  
beubanks@meyerglitz.com

Counsel for PEER Plaintiffs