Figure 5: Specialist Review Checklist for Proposed Accessions

Does the proposed accession fit within the park's Scope of Collection Statement?	YES 🗆	NO 🗆
Is the item essential to fulfilling the park's mission?	YES □	NO 🗆
Will the item enhance interpretation at the site?	YES □	NO 🗆
Is the proposed accession original to the site?	YES □	NO 🗆
Does park enabling legislation require the park to preserve and maintain certain types of objects and archives?	YES 🗆	NO 🗆
Does the item add information about the collection that would be of value for research and education?	YES □	NO 🗆
Does the park have museum storage space?	YES □	NO 🗆
Does the park have the staffing and funds to manage the item according to NPS museum standards?	YES □	NO 🗆
Are there many similar items already accessioned and maintained as museum property?	YES □	NO 🗆
Is the proposed accession currently in good condition? (Consider completeness, damage, inherent vice, conservation needs, etc.)	YES □	NO 🗆
Does the item have well documented and authentic provenance?	YES □	NO 🗆
Does the proposed accession come with clear copyright? (no restrictions)	YES □	NO 🗆
Did the source of the proposed accession acquire the object legally, and is there clear title?	YES 🗆	NO 🗆
Is the item better suited to another collecting institution that would make it accessible to the NPS and the general public?	YES □	NO 🗆
Is the item hazardous or made of potentially hazardous materials? (e.g. asbestos, uranium, live gunpowder, black mold, arsenic or other pesticide residues, flammable cellulose acetate or cellulose nitrate film and negatives, medical objects, drugs/chemicals)	YES 🗆	NO 🗆