

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES  
EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

REQUESTED ACTION: ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION

PROPERTY Gaymont  
NAME:

MULTIPLE  
NAME:

STATE & COUNTY: WEST VIRGINIA, Preston

DATE RECEIVED: 3/22/13 DATE OF PENDING LIST:  
DATE OF 16TH DAY: DATE OF 45TH DAY: 5/08/13  
DATE OF WEEKLY LIST:

REFERENCE NUMBER: 92000351

NOMINATOR: STATE

REASONS FOR REVIEW:

APPEAL: N DATA PROBLEM: N LANDSCAPE: N LESS THAN 50 YEARS: N  
OTHER: N PDIL: N PERIOD: N PROGRAM UNAPPROVED: N  
REQUEST: N SAMPLE: N SLR DRAFT: N NATIONAL: N

COMMENT WAIVER: N

ACCEPT  RETURN  REJECT 5.8.13 DATE

ABSTRACT/SUMMARY COMMENTS:

**Additional Documentation Approved**

RECOM./CRITERIA Accept

REVIEWER Edson Beall

DISCIPLINE History

TELEPHONE \_\_\_\_\_

DATE 5.8.13

DOCUMENTATION see attached comments Y/N see attached SLR Y/N

If a nomination is returned to the nominating authority, the nomination is no longer under consideration by the NPS.



(Expires 5/31/2012)

United States Department of the Interior  
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Gaymont (additional documentation)  
Name of Property

Preston County, West Virginia  
County and State

3. State/Federal Agency Certification

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, I hereby certify that this  nomination  request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set for in 36 CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property  meets  does not meet the National Register criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant  nationally  statewide  locally. (See continuation sheet for additional comments.)

*Susan Mitchell* Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer 3-19-2013  
Signature of certifying official/Title Date

West Virginia State Historic Preservation Office  
State or Federal agency and bureau

In my opinion, the property  meets  does not meet the National Register criteria. ( See Continuation sheet for additional comments.)

Signature of certifying official/Title Date

State or Federal agency and bureau

Explanation of amendment:

Gaymont was listed in the National Register of Historic Places in 1992 under Criterion A: Entertainment/ Recreation and Commerce and under Criterion C: Architecture. Under each area of significance the period of significance was listed as 1896 to 1941. This amendment seeks to adjust the period of significance under each area of significance to the following:

- Criterion A: Entertainment/Recreation ca. 1895-1924 and 1929-1939
- Criterion A: Commerce ca. 1895-1924 and 1929-1939
- Criterion C: Architecture ca. 1895

See the attached continuation sheet for justification.

United States Department of the Interior  
National Park Service

## National Register of Historic Places Continuation Sheet

Gaymont (additional documentation)  
**Name of Property**

Preston County, West Virginia  
**County and State**

---

### 8. Statement of Significance

---

The 1992 National Register nomination listed 1896 as the beginning of the period of significance because, "Gaymont's construction was started in 1894, thus the house was probably completed in 1896." Since the completion date is unknown, the period of significance under Criterion C: Architecture should be ca. 1895.

The period of significance for its importance as part of a resort (Criterion A: Entertainment/Recreation and Commerce) was listed from 1896 to 1941 in the 1992 nomination. However, the beginning of the period of significance should reflect when Gaymont began to operate as part of the resort. Thus, the beginning of the period of significance should be ca. 1895.

The 1992 nomination listed 1941 as the end of the period of significance since that is when many of the resort's resources were sold or ownership was transferred. However, since the property was listed for its importance as part of the Brookside Resort, the period of significance should reflect only the years it served in that capacity and was significant to the history of the region in the areas of commerce and entertainment/recreation. Brookside Resort operated as an important local resort and contributor to the local economy from ca. 1895 to 1924 and again from 1929 to 1939. Thus the period of significance under Criterion A should be ca. 1895 to 1924 and 1929 to 1939.