

Table of Contents

Part 1 of 2

Executive Summary

Introduction	ES-1
What is the purpose of this Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement?	ES-2
User Capacity Management and the VERP Framework	ES-2
What are the methods for managing user capacity?	ES-3
How does Yosemite National Park currently manage user capacity?	ES-4
What are the Proposed Alternatives?	ES-9
Alternative 1: No Action.....	ES-9
Alternative 2: VERP Program with Interim Limits (Preferred)	ES-10
Alternative 3: VERP Program with Segment Limits.....	ES-13
Alternative 4: VERP Program with Management Zone Limits	ES-16
Environmental Analysis	ES-18
Environmentally Preferable Alternative.....	ES-18
Consultation and Coordination Process	ES-19

Chapter I: Purpose of and Need for the Project

Introduction	I-1
What is a Wild and Scenic River?.....	I-1
Merced Wild and Scenic River	I-1
Legal History	I-3
Project Background	I-4
Purpose of the Project	I-6
Need for the Revised Merced River Plan/SEIS.....	I-6
Planning Context.....	I-7
Relationship to Yosemite National Park Plans	I-7
Regulations and Policies	I-21
Public Comment Process.....	I-23
Issues and Concerns Addressed in this Document	I-23
Issues and Concerns Not Addressed in this Document.....	I-24
Organization of the Revised Merced River Plan/SEIS.....	I-25
Chapter I: Purpose of and Need for the Project	I-25
Chapter II: User Capacity Management Program.....	I-25
Chapter III: Alternatives.....	I-25
Chapter IV: Affected Environment.....	I-25
Chapter V: Environmental Consequences.....	I-26
Chapter VI: Consultation and Coordination	I-26
Chapter VII: List of Preparers	I-26
Chapter VIII: Glossary	I-26
Chapter IX: Bibliography	I-26
Appendices	I-26

Chapter II: User Capacity Management Program

Introduction	II-1
What is User Capacity?	II-2
Background	II-3
User Capacity Assumptions	II-4
User Capacity Methods.....	II-5

Yosemite National Park’s Existing User Capacity Management Program	II-8
Yosemite’s Visitor Experience and Resource Protection (VERP) Program	II-30
Desired Conditions/Management Zones	II-30
Specific and Measurable Indicators and Standards	II-30
Monitoring	II-32
Enforcing VERP Limits through Management Actions	II-33
Overview of 2005 Standards and Indicators	II-39
How VERP Works	II-48

Chapter III: Alternatives

Introduction	III-1
Relationship between the User Capacity and El Portal Boundary Elements	III-2
Organization of this Chapter	III-3
Elements Common to All Alternatives	III-4
Merced River Plan Management Elements	III-4
Wilderness Management	III-4
Private Land and Public Agency Easements	III-5
Administrative Uses	III-5
Traditional Uses by American Indian Tribes	III-6
Mitigation Measures Common to All Construction Projects within the Corridor	III-6
Descriptions of the Alternatives	III-7
Alternative 1: No Action	III-7
Alternative 2: VERP program with Interim Limits (Preferred)	III-15
Alternative 3: VERP program with Segment Limits	III-29
Alternative 4: VERP program with Management Zone Limits	III-41
Alternatives Considered but Dismissed from Further Analysis	III-53
User Capacity	III-53
El Portal Boundary	III-56
Comparison of the Alternatives	III-57
Environmentally Preferable Alternative	III-74

Chapter IV: Affected Environment

Introduction	IV-1
Impact Topics Considered in this Plan	IV-1
Impact Topics Dismissed from Further Analysis	IV-3
Regional Setting	IV-4
Natural Resources	IV-6
Geology, Geohazards, and Soils	IV-6
Hydrology, Floodplains, and Water Quality	IV-13
Wetlands	IV-25
Vegetation	IV-34
Wildlife	IV-41
Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species	IV-51
Air Quality	IV-54
Noise	IV-62
Cultural Resources	IV-66
Overview of the Human Occupation of the Merced River Corridor	IV-66
Archeological Resources	IV-69
Traditional Cultural Resources	IV-73
Historic Sites, Structures, and Landscapes	IV-75

Visitor Experience	IV-81
Recreation.....	IV-81
Orientation and Interpretation	IV-89
Visitor Services	IV-92
Wilderness Experience.....	IV-95
Social Resources	IV-98
Land Use.....	IV-98
Transportation	IV-112
Scenic Resources.....	IV-124
Socioeconomics	IV-128
Park Operations and Facilities.....	IV-147

Part 2 of 2

Chapter V: Environmental Consequences

Introduction	V-1
Cumulative Impacts	V-2
Impairment	V-2
Methodologies	V-3
Natural Resources	V-5
Visitor Experience.....	V-14
Social Resources.....	V-16
Alternative 1: No Action	V-23
Natural Resources	V-23
Cultural Resources	V-77
Visitor Experience.....	V-91
Social Resources.....	V-101
Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	V-124
Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	V-124
Relationship of Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity	V-124
Responsiveness of Alternative 1 to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' Direction on the Merced River Plan	V-125
Alternative 2: Interim Limits with VERP program	V-136
Natural Resources	V-136
Cultural Resources	V-177
Visitor Experience.....	V-194
Social Resources.....	V-204
Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	V-223
Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	V-223
Relationship of Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity	V-223
Responsiveness of Alternative 2 to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' Direction on the Merced River Plan	V-223
Alternative 3: VERP program with Segment Limits	V-235
Natural Resources	V-235
Cultural Resources	V-275
Visitor Experience.....	V-292
Social Resources.....	V-300
Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	V-317
Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	V-318
Relationship of Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity	V-318
Responsiveness of Alternative 3 to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' Direction on the Merced River Plan	V-318

Alternative 4: Management Zone Limits with VERP	V-329
Natural Resources	V-329
Cultural Resources	V-367
Visitor Experience.....	V-382
Social Resources.....	V-390
Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	V-407
Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	V-408
Relationship of Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity	V-408
Responsiveness of Alternative 4 to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals’ Direction on the Merced River Plan	V-408

Chapter VI: Consultation and Coordination

Consultation.....	VI-1
Scoping History	VI-1
Public Review of Revised Merced River Plan/SEIS	VI-1
Results of Draft Review Comments	VI-2
Comment Analysis and Response Process	VI-3
Coordination	VI-4
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.....	VI-4
California State Historic Preservation Officer	VI-4
U.S. Forest Service.....	VI-4
California Department of Transportation.....	VI-4
Bureau of Land Management.....	VI-5
American Indian Consultation	VI-5
Gateway Communities.....	VI-5
List of Agencies, Organizations, and Businesses that Received the Revised Merced River Plan/SEIS.....	VI-6
Federal Agencies	VI-6
United States Representatives	VI-6
State Agencies	VI-6
American Indian Tribes.....	VI-6
County and Local Governments	VI-7
Organizations and Businesses.....	VI-7
Libraries	VI-9
Newspapers	VI-9
Radio	VI-9
Television	VI-9
Colleges and Universities.....	VI-10

Chapter VII: List of Preparers

Chapter VIII: Glossary

Glossary of Terms.....	VIII-1
Acronyms and Abbreviations	VIII-17

Chapter IX: Bibliography

References.....	IX-1
Map Data	IX-35

Appendix A: Governing Mandates..... A-1

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.....	A-1
Interagency (Secretarial) Guidelines on the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.....	A-1
Wilderness Act	A-1
1978 National Parks and Recreation Act	A-2
16 USC Section 1a-7	A-2
CFR (Title 36).....	A-3
National Park Service Management Policies	A-3

Appendix B: Mitigation Measures Common to All Alternatives

Prior to Construction	B-1
During Construction	B-2
Post-Construction.....	B-4

Appendix C: User Capacity Alternative Assumptions**Appendix D: Special-Status Species****Appendix E: Potential Cumulative Actions**

Reasonably Foreseeable Actions	E-1
Present Actions.....	E-11
Past Actions.....	E-16

Appendix F: Summary of Public Comments and Responses

Introduction	F-3
Public Comments and Responses	F-3
Results of Draft Review Comments	F-3
How To Use This Document	F-4
Concerns, Comments, and Responses	F-5
Planning Process and Policy.....	F-5
Relationship to Other Planning Efforts.....	F-30
Implementation Plans and Projects.....	F-40
Applicable Laws and Regulations	F-46
Consultation and Coordination	F-53
Alternatives.....	F-66
Other Alternatives	F-80
Cumulative Effects Analysis.....	F-88
Park Resources.....	F-90
Special Land Designations	F-133
Visitor Experience.....	F-147
Access.....	F-154
Visitor Services	F-176
Transportation	F-183
Socio-economic Environment	F-195

Index

List of Figures

Figure I-1	Regional Map	I-2
Figure I-2	Revision Process for the Merced Wild and Scenic River Revised Comprehensive Management Plan	I-4
Figure I-3	Relationship to Yosemite National Park Plans.....	I-7
Figure I-4	Classifications and Outstandingly Remarkable Values of the Merced Wild and Scenic River	I-11
Figure II-1	VERP Framework	II-9
Figure II-2	Management Zones for the Merced Wild and Scenic River	II-17
Figure II-3	Yosemite Valley Management Zones for the Merced Wild and Scenic River	II-19
Figure II-4	Gorge Management Zones for the Merced Wild and Scenic River	II-21
Figure II-5	Wawona Management Zones for the Merced Wild and Scenic River	II-23
Figure II-6	Range of Potential Management Actions.....	II-34
Figure II-7	VERP Framework	II-48
Figure III-1	Alternative 1, El Portal Boundary	III-13
Figure III-2	Alternative 2, El Portal Boundary (Preferred)	III-27
Figure III-3	Alternative 3, El Portal Boundary	III-39
Figure III-4	Alternative 4, El Portal Boundary	III-51
Figure IV-1	YARTS Ridership along Highway 140 May 2000 through July 2004.....	IV-117
Figure IV-2	Yosemite National Park Visitation by Bus	IV-119
Figure IV-3	Percent of Annual Buses and Bus Visitors by Month	IV-120
Figure IV-4	Annual Park Visitation 1980 to 2004	IV-141
Figure IV-5	Average Monthly Park Visitation	IV-141

List of Tables

Table ES-1	Existing User Capacity Management Program Overview	ES-5
Table ES-2	Alternative 2: User Capacity Management Program Overview	ES-11
Table ES-3	Alternative 2: Interim Limits on Facilities and Specific Activities	ES-12
Table ES-4	Alternative 3: User Capacity Management Program Overview	ES-15
Table ES-5	Alternative 4: User Capacity Management Program Overview	ES-17
Table I-1	Outstandingly Remarkable Values of the Merced River (Main Stem and South Fork).....	I-14
Table II-1	Yosemite Wilderness Trailhead Quota System.....	II-12
Table II-2	Management Zone Prescription Summary.....	II-25
Table II-3	Management Action Toolbox	II-35
Table II-4	Possible Levels of NEPA Compliance for Various Management Actions	II-38
Table II-5	Yosemite's VERP Program: Indicators and Standards by Management Zone.....	II-40
Table III-1	Existing User Capacity Management Program Overview	III-9
Table III-2	Alternative 1: Existing Use Levels	III-10
Table III-3	Alternative 2: User Capacity Management Program Overview	III-17
Table III-4	Alternative 2: Interim Limits on Facilities and Specific Activities	III-18
Table III-5	Comparison of General Management Plan Visitor Use and Employee Housing Levels (1980) with Existing Conditions (2004) and Proposed Capacity Levels with Full Implementation of the General Management Plan	III-23
Table III-6	Comparison of Number of General Management Plan Facilities (1980) with Interim Facility Limits, and General Management Plan Facilities Proposed Facilities.....	III-24
Table III-7	Alternative 3: User Capacity Management Program Overview	III-30
Table III-8	Alternative 3: Segment and Corridorwide Limits.....	III-31
Table III-9	Alternative 4: User Capacity Management Program Overview	III-42
Table III-10	Alternative 4: Management Zone and Corridorwide Limits	III-45
Table III-11	Comparison of Alternatives and Key Assumptions	III-58
Table III-12	Summary of Environmental Consequences	III-61
Table IV-1	Summary of California Wildlife Habitat Relationship Types in the Merced River Corridor	IV-46
Table IV-2	Air Basin Attainment/Nonattainment Designations	IV-55

Table IV-3	Recent Ozone and PM-10 Concentration Data for Yosemite National Park and Vicinity	IV-59
Table IV-4	Recreational Opportunities Typical within the Merced River Corridor	IV-81
Table IV-5	Concessioner Stock Use	IV-88
Table IV-6	Interpretive Programs	IV-91
Table IV-7	Total YARTS Ridership along Highway 140, Merced to Yosemite Valley, May 2000 through July 2004	IV-118
Table IV-8	Summary of Major Intrusions Within Each Scenic Category	IV-127
Table IV-9	Population by County	IV-130
Table IV-10	County Population Projections, 2000-2050	IV-130
Table IV-11	1996 Employment by Major Industry Sector	IV-131
Table IV-12	1996 Income by Major Industry Sector	IV-132
Table IV-13	1996 Economic Output by County and Industry Sector	IV-132
Table IV-14	1998-2002 Total Taxable Retail Sales by County	IV-133
Table IV-15	Employee Housing at Selected Locations in Yosemite National Park	IV-137
Table IV-16	Monthly Parkwide Visitation 1980 through 2004	IV-142
Table IV-17	Local Overnight Visitors' Lodging Locations (Summer)	IV-143
Table IV-18	Existing Lodging and Camping Capacity in the Yosemite Region (excluding National Park Service facilities)	IV-144
Table IV-19	Average Daily Spending by Yosemite Visitors in the Affected Region	IV-144
Table IV-20	Total Spending by Yosemite Visitor Population Categories in 1998	IV-145
Table IV-21	Ethnicity of Yosemite Visitors and California and Yosemite Region Residents	IV-145
Table IV-22	Annual Household Income of Yosemite Visitors, California and Yosemite Region Residents	IV-146
Table IV-23	2003 Yosemite Valley Energy Consumption	IV-152
Table V-1	Effect of Alternative 1 on the ORVs of the Merced River	V-130
Table V-2	Typical Noise Levels from Construction Equipment	V-172
Table V-3	Effect of Alternative 2 on the ORVs of the Merced River	V-229
Table V-4	Effect of Alternative 3 on the ORVs of the Merced River	V-323
Table V-5	Effect of Alternative 4 on the ORVs of the Merced River	V-413
Table C-1	Alternative 1: No Action Alternative	C-2
Table C-2	Alternative 2: Interim Limits on Facilities and Specific Activities	C-4
Table C-3	Comparison of GMP Visitor Use and Employee Housing Levels (1980) with Existing Conditions (2004) and GMP Proposed Levels at full implementation	C-5
Table C-4	Alternative 3: Segment and Corridorwide Limits	C-6
Table C-5	Alternative 4: Management Zone and Corridorwide Limits	C-8
Table D-1	Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Considered in this Analysis	D-1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK